

1 **PARAPOLAR SPACES OF INFINITE RANK**

2 PAULIEN JANSEN AND HENDRIK VAN MALDEGHEM

ABSTRACT. We show that in many classical characterization theorems involving parapolar spaces, we can lift the assumption of having only symplecta of finite rank. At the same time, we present an example of a parapolar space of infinite symplectic rank which shows that this is not possible in all characterizations.

3 **Keywords:** Spherical buildings, polar spaces, parapolar spaces.

4 **AMS Classification:** 51E24

5 1. INTRODUCTION

6 *Buildings*, sometimes also called Tits-buildings, were introduced by Jacques Tits
7 [13] and provide a geometric interpretation of semi-simple groups of algebraic ori-
8 gin (semi-simple algebraic groups, classical groups, groups of mixed type, (twisted)
9 Chevalley groups). These buildings can be rather complicated combinatorial struc-
10 tures; however, the properties of *spherical buildings* can be made more accessible
11 using associated point-line geometries, the so called *Lie incidence geometries* [4].
12 Classical examples are projective spaces, polar spaces and Grassmannians thereof.

13 About 45 years ago, Bruce Cooperstein [5] initiated the study of *parapolar spaces*,
14 which basically are point-line geometries in which the convex closure of two points
15 at distance two is either a 2-path, or a non-degenerate polar space, which is then
16 called a *symp* (Definition 2.11). However, unlike the fact that an irreducible spher-
17 ical building of rank at least 3 automatically corresponds to a semi-simple group
18 of algebraic origin, a parapolar space, even of constant symplectic rank at least
19 3 or 4, is not known to automatically arise from a spherical building. Conse-
20 quently, ever since the birth of the parapolar spaces, many efforts have been made
21 to characterize certain Lie incidence geometries as parapolar spaces satisfying cer-
22 tain regularity conditions, see for example [2], [8] and [11] and Chapters 13–18 in
23 [12]. For a more recent one, see [6] and [7].

24 Most of these characterization theorems assume, either implicitly or explicitly,
25 that the symps of the parapolar space have finite rank, or even stronger, that
26 the singular subspaces of the parapolar space are finite dimensional. In this short
27 paper, we zoom in on some classification theorems, and prove that the finite-
28 dimensionality assumption follows from the other regularity conditions. At the

29 same time, we provide an example of a parapolar space in which all symps have
 30 infinite rank, and where any two symps intersect in an infinite dimensional singular
 31 subspace. This proves that the finite rank assumptions of [6] and [7] are necessary.
 32 One of the reasons why we are able to dispense with the finite-dimensionality is,
 33 among others, the fact that we have now to our disposal the recent characteriza-
 34 tion of so-called *lacunary* parapolar spaces, from which we borrow the essential
 35 Proposition 2.16.

36 One of the motivations to do this job, is because the Main Theorem is needed
 37 in forthcoming papers classifying certain strong parapolar spaces, the so-called
 38 *Jordan spaces*. These Jordan spaces on their turn help to classify certain *Tits sets*,
 39 which are the rank 1 analogues of *Tits polygons* defined in [9].

40 **Formulation of results.** All necessary terminology regarding parapolar spaces
 41 can be found in Section 2. For any terminology regarding Lie incidence geome-
 42 tries, we refer to [12]. In [8, Theorem 2], Kasikova and Shult prove the following
 43 characterization result.

44 **Proposition 1.1.** *Let $\Delta = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ be a strong parapolar space that satisfies the*
 45 *following axioms:*

- 46 (H'_1) every point p is collinear to at least one point of each symp,
- 47 (H'_2) for each point p , the set $\{q \in \mathcal{P} \mid d_\Delta(q, p) \leq 2\}$ forms a proper subspace of
 48 Δ ,
- 49 (F') if no symp has rank two, all singular subspaces are finite dimensional.

50 *Then Δ is either a Lie incidence geometry of type $(B|C)_{3,3}$, $A_{5,3}$, $D_{6,6}$ or $E_{7,7}$ or*
 51 *the direct product of a line and a polar space of arbitrary rank at least 2.*

52 The Main Theorem of the present paper states that (F') automatically follows
 53 from (H'_1) and (H'_2) .

54 **Main Theorem.** *Let Δ be a strong parapolar space that satisfies (H'_1) and (H'_2) ,*
 55 *then (F') holds too. In particular, Δ is one of the geometries obtained in Propo-*
 56 *sition 1.1.*

57 There is a range of other characterization theorems of which the proof makes
 58 use of Proposition 1.1. Also in these characterizations, one must no longer require
 59 that singular subspaces have finite dimension. We gather some of these results in
 60 Section 4.

61 Any strong parapolar space that satisfies Axioms (H'_1) and (H'_2) also has the
 62 property:

- 63 (L_0) No two symps intersect in exactly a point.

64 In [6], all parapolar spaces that satisfy (L_0) were classified, under the extra as-
 65 sumption that all symps have finite rank. In the light of the Main Theorem, it
 66 is hence natural to ask whether this latter assumption automatically follows from
 67 (L_0) . It turns out that this is not the case. In Section 5, we construct an example
 68 of a parapolar space that satisfies (L_0) , but where every symp has infinite rank.

69

2. PRELIMINARIES

70 **2.1. Partial linear spaces.** Throughout, we will work with incidence structures
 71 called *partial linear spaces*. In this subsection, we introduce the general definitions
 72 we will need.

73 **Definition 2.1.** A *point-line space* is a pair $\Delta = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ with \mathcal{P} a set and \mathcal{L} a
 74 set of subsets of \mathcal{P} . The elements of \mathcal{P} are called *points*, the members of \mathcal{L} are
 75 called *lines*. If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $l \in \mathcal{L}$ with $p \in l$, we say that the point p *lies on* the
 76 line l , and the line l *contains* the point p , or *goes through* p . If two points p and q
 77 are contained in a common line, they are called *collinear*, denoted $p \perp q$. If they
 78 are not contained in a common line, we say that they are *noncollinear*. For any
 79 point p and any subset $P \subset \mathcal{P}$, we denote

$$p^\perp := \{q \in \mathcal{P} \mid q \perp p\} \text{ and } P^\perp := \bigcap_{p \in P} p^\perp.$$

80 A *partial linear space* is a point-line space in which every line contains at least three
 81 points, and where there is a unique line through every pair of distinct collinear
 82 points p and q , which is then denoted with pq .

83 **Example 2.2.** Let V be a vector space of dimension at least 3. Let \mathcal{P} be the
 84 set of 1-spaces of V , and let \mathcal{L} be the set of 2-spaces of V , each of them regarded
 85 as the set of 1-spaces it contains. Then $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ is called a *projective space (of*
 86 *dimension $\dim V - 1$)*.

87 **Definition 2.3.** Let $\Delta = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ be a partial linear space.

- 88 (1) A *path of length n* in Δ from point x to point y is a sequence ($x =$
 89 $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, p_n = y$) of points of Δ such that $p_{i-1} \perp p_i$ for all $i \in$
 90 $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$. It is called a *geodesic* when there exist no paths of Δ from
 91 x to y of length strictly smaller than n , in which case *the distance* between
 92 x and y in Δ is defined to be n , notation $d_\Delta(x, y) = n$.
- 93 (2) The partial linear space Δ is called *connected* when for any two points x
 94 and y , there is a path (of finite length) from x to y . If moreover the set
 95 $\{d_\Delta(x, y) \mid x, y \in \mathcal{P}\}$ has a supremum in \mathbb{N} , this supremum is called the
 96 *diameter* of Δ .
- 97 (3) A subset S of \mathcal{P} is called a *subspace* of Δ when every line l of \mathcal{L} that
 98 contains at least two points of S , is contained in S . A subspace that
 99 intersects every line in at least a point, is called a *hyperplane*. A subspace

- 100 is called *convex* if it contains all points on every geodesic that connects any
 101 two points in S . We usually regard subspaces of Δ in the obvious way as
 102 subgeometries of Δ .
- 103 (4) A subspace S in which all points are collinear, or equivalently, for which
 104 $S \subseteq S^\perp$, is called a *singular subspace*. If S is moreover not contained in
 105 any other singular subspace, it is called a *maximal singular subspace*. A
 106 singular subspace is called *projective* if, as a subgeometry, it is a projective
 107 space (cf. Example 2.2). Note that every singular subspace is convex.
- 108 (5) For a subset P of \mathcal{P} , the *subspace generated by P* is denoted $\langle P \rangle_\Delta$ and is
 109 defined to be the intersection of all subspaces containing P . The *convex*
 110 *closure of P* is denoted $\langle\langle P \rangle\rangle_\Delta$ and is defined to be the intersection of all
 111 convex subspaces that contain P . A subspace generated by three mutually
 112 collinear points, not on a common line, is called a *plane*. Note that, in gen-
 113 eral, this is not necessarily a singular subspace; however we will only deal
 114 with geometries satisfying Axiom (PP₃) (see below), which implies that
 115 subspaces generated by pairwise collinear points are singular; in particular
 116 planes will be singular subspaces.

117 **2.2. Polar spaces.** We recall the definition of a polar space, and gather some
 118 basic properties. Since we insist on including infinite rank, we take the viewpoint
 119 of Buekenhout–Shult [1]. All results in this section are well known.

120 **Definition 2.4.** A *polar space* is a partial linear space in which every point is
 121 collinear to one or all points of every line. It is called *non-degenerate* if no point
 122 is collinear to all other points.

123 **Lemma 2.5** (Theorem 7.3.6 and Lemma 7.3.8 of [12]). *Let Γ be a non-degenerate*
 124 *polar space. Every singular subspace of Γ is projective. Either every maximal*
 125 *singular subspace of Γ has finite dimension¹ n , in which case we say that Γ has*
 126 *rank $n + 1$, or every maximal singular subspace of Γ is infinite dimensional, in*
 127 *which case we say that Γ has infinite rank.*

128 *Remark 2.6.* If a partial linear space contains no points, or contains at least two
 129 points but no lines, it is automatically a (non-degenerate) polar space, of rank 0
 130 or rank 1, respectively.

131 **Lemma 2.7** (Lemma 7.5.2 of [12]). *If a non-degenerate polar space contains lines,*
 132 *it is the convex closure of any two noncollinear points contained in it.*

133 **Definition 2.8.** Let Γ be a non-degenerate polar space and let N be a singular
 134 subspace of Γ . We define $\text{Res}_\Gamma(N)$ to be the point-line space $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ with

¹we will always work with projective dimensions

$\mathcal{P} := \{\text{singular subspaces } K \text{ of } S \text{ with } N \subset K \text{ and } \text{codim}_K(N) = 1\},$

$\mathcal{L} := \{\text{singular subspaces } L \text{ of } S \text{ with } N \subset L \text{ and } \text{codim}_L(N) = 2\},$

135 where any element of \mathcal{L} is identified with the set of elements of \mathcal{P} contained in
136 it.

137 **Lemma 2.9** ([10]). *Let Γ be a polar space and let N be a singular subspace of Γ .*
138 *The point-line space $\text{Res}_\Gamma(N)$ is a polar space, which is non-degenerate if and only*
139 *if $N^{\perp\perp} = N$.*

140 If the polar space Γ is non-degenerate and has finite rank, then the polar spaces
141 $\text{Res}_\Gamma(N)$ are non-degenerate for all singular subspaces N . If Γ is non-degenerate
142 and has infinite rank, this is no longer the case. The following lemma ensures that
143 we can still find a lot of such singular subspaces.

144 **Lemma 2.10** ([10]). *Let Γ be a non-degenerate polar space of infinite rank and*
145 *let M be a maximal singular subspace of Γ . For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a singular*
146 *subspace N_k of Γ contained in M with $\text{codim}_M(N_k) = k$ and $N_k^{\perp\perp} = N_k$. For such*
147 *N_k , the polar space $\text{Res}_\Gamma(N_k)$ is non-degenerate and has rank k .*

148 **2.3. Parapolar spaces.** We also recall the definition of a parapolar space, and
149 state some corollaries of classification theorems that will be useful later on.

150 **Definition 2.11.** A *parapolar space* Δ is a connected partial linear space, which
151 is not a polar space, and which satisfies the following two axioms.

152 (PP₁) For points p and q with $d_\Delta(p, q) = 2$ and $|p^\perp \cap q^\perp| \geq 2$, the convex sub-
153 space $\langle\langle p, q \rangle\rangle_\Delta$ is a non-degenerate polar space. Any subspace that can be
154 obtained like this is called a *symp* of Δ (which is short for *symplecton*).
155 (PP₂) Every line of Δ is contained in a symp of Δ .

156 A pair of points p and q with $d_\Delta(p, q) = 2$ is called *special* if $|p^\perp \cap q^\perp| = 1$ and
157 *symplectic* if $|p^\perp \cap q^\perp| \geq 2$. A parapolar space is called *strong* when it contains no
158 pair of special points.

159 *Remark 2.12.* In the definition of parapolar spaces, one often also adds the follow-
160 ing axiom:

161 (PP₃) Every point is collinear to zero, one or all points of any line.

162 This however automatically follows from (PP₁), which is why we do not explicitly
163 include it in the axioms.

164 We will need the following lemma.

165 **Lemma 2.13.** *Let Δ be a partial linear space in which the convex closure of any*
 166 *two noncollinear points is a non-degenerate polar space (of rank at least two). Then*
 167 *either all points of Δ are mutually collinear, or Δ is a polar space, or Δ is a strong*
 168 *parapolar space of diameter 2.*

169 *Proof.* Suppose that not all points of Δ are mutually collinear. Then there are
 170 points x_1 and x_2 which are noncollinear. Suppose that some point x is collinear to
 171 all points of Δ . Then it is in particular collinear to x_1 and x_2 , and hence contained
 172 in $\langle\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle\rangle_\Delta$. By assumption, this is a non-degenerate polar space, so there is some
 173 point in $\langle\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle\rangle_\Delta$ which is noncollinear to x , a contradiction.

174 It is clear that Δ is connected and that (PP₁) holds. It hence suffices to check
 175 (PP₂). To that end, let l be any line of Δ , and let p and x be two distinct points
 176 on l . By the arguments in the previous paragraph, there exists some point q that
 177 is noncollinear to x . The convex closure $\xi := \langle\langle x, q \rangle\rangle_\Delta$ is a non-degenerate polar
 178 space of rank at least two, which of course contains x . Let p_1 and p_2 be two points
 179 of ξ collinear to x such that p_1 is not collinear to p_2 . If p is collinear to both p_1 and
 180 p_2 , the point p is contained in a geodesic connecting p_1 and p_2 , implying that p is
 181 contained in ξ , and in particular that $l = xp$ is indeed contained in some symp.
 182 We may therefore assume without loss of generality that p is not collinear to p_1 .
 183 Then $\langle\langle p, p_1 \rangle\rangle_\Delta$ is a non-degenerate polar space which contains x and p , and hence
 184 also l . This concludes the proof. \square

185 **Definition 2.14.** A parapolar space has *symplectic rank at least d* (for $d \in \mathbb{N}$)
 186 when every symp has rank at least² d . It has *infinite symplectic rank* when every
 187 symp has infinite rank.

188 **Lemma 2.15** (Lemma 4.1 of [6]). *In a parapolar space of symplectic rank at least*
 189 *$d \in \mathbb{N}$, every singular subspace of dimension $d-1$ is contained in a symp. If $d \geq 3$,*
 190 *every singular subspace is projective.*

191 **Proposition 2.16** (Lemma 6.9 of [7] and Lemma 7.14 of [6]). *Let Δ be a strong*
 192 *parapolar space where every symp has finite rank at least three.*

- 193 (1) *If the intersection of any two symps contains at least a point, and there is*
 194 *a line which is contained in two symps, then every symp of Δ has rank at*
 195 *most 5.*
 196 (2) *If the intersection of any two symps is never exactly a point, then every*
 197 *singular subspace of Δ has finite dimension.*

²Every symp of infinite rank has of course rank at least d .

198

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

199 In this section, we prove the Main Theorem. To that end, we denote with Δ a
 200 strong parapolar space of symplectic rank at least three that satisfies Axioms (H'_1)
 201 and (H'_2) . We aim to prove that Δ satisfies the following property:

202 (F') Every singular subspace is finite dimensional.

203 In [8], Kasikova and Shult characterize all strong parapolar spaces of symplectic
 204 rank at least three that satisfy axioms (H'_1) and (H'_2) , and (F'). However, for many
 205 of the results they gather on the way, they do not use (F'). We start by gathering
 206 two of these results that will still prove to be useful.

207 **Lemma 3.1** (Theorem 17.2.6 of [8]). *No two symps intersect in exactly one point.*

208 **Lemma 3.2** (Proof of Theorem 17.2.9 of [8]). *Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be two symps intersect-*
 209 *ing in a singular subspace M of dimension at least 2. For any point $x_1 \in \xi_1 \setminus M$,*
 210 *with $M \not\subseteq x_1^\perp$, we find $x_2 \in \xi_2 \setminus M$ such that $x_1 \perp x_2$.*

211 We can use this to obtain the following properties.

212 **Lemma 3.3.** *Let ξ be a symp and x a point not in ξ , then $x^\perp \cap \xi$ is either a point*
 213 *or a maximal singular subspace of ξ .*

214 *Proof.* It is well known that $M := x^\perp \cap \xi$ is a singular subspace of ξ . Moreover,
 215 Axiom (H'_1) ensures that M is not empty. Suppose for a contradiction that M
 216 contains a line, but is not a maximal singular subspace of ξ . There exists some
 217 point y in $M^\perp \cap \xi \setminus M$. The set $x^\perp \cap y^\perp$ contains M , implying that x and y are
 218 symplectic. The intersection $\xi \cap \langle x, y \rangle_\Delta$ contains y and M and is hence a subspace
 219 of dimension at least two. Applying Lemma 3.2 with $x, y, \langle x, y \rangle_\Delta, \xi$ taking up the
 220 role of x_1, ξ_1, ξ_2 , then yields some point in $\xi \setminus (\xi \cap \langle x, y \rangle_\Delta)$ which is collinear to x ;
 221 but $M = x^\perp \cap \xi$ is contained in $\xi \cap \langle x, y \rangle_\Delta$, so we find a contradiction. \square

222 **Lemma 3.4.** *Two different symps intersect either trivially, in a line or in a sub-*
 223 *space which is a maximal singular subspace of both symps.*

224 *Proof.* Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be two symps. It is well known that $\xi_1 \cap \xi_2$ is a singular
 225 subspace. If $\xi_1 \cap \xi_2 \neq \emptyset$, then it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $M := \xi_1 \cap \xi_2$ contains
 226 a line. Suppose that M is a subspace of dimension at least two. Take $y \in M$
 227 and $x \in \xi_2$ such that x and y are not collinear. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that
 228 $M_1 := x^\perp \cap \xi_1$ is a maximal singular subspace of ξ_1 . The point y is contained in ξ_1 ,
 229 so $y^\perp \cap M_1$ is a hyperplane of M_1 . Each point of this hyperplane is contained in
 230 $x^\perp \cap y^\perp \subset \langle x, y \rangle_\Delta = \xi_2$. We hence find that $y^\perp \cap M_1$ is contained in $\xi_1 \cap \xi_2 = M$.
 231 It is at the same time a hyperplane of M , implying that M is a maximal singular
 232 subspace of ξ_1 . \square

233 **Lemma 3.5.** *For any two symps ξ and ξ' , there exists a sequence of symps*
 234 *$(\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ such that $\xi_0 = \xi$ and $\xi_n = \xi'$ and such that, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,*
 235 *the intersection $\xi_{i-1} \cap \xi_i$ is a maximal singular subspace of both ξ_{i-1} and ξ_i .*

236 *Proof.* Let ξ and ξ' be any two symps. First suppose that $\xi \cap \xi'$ contains a plane.
 237 It follows from Lemma 3.4 that either $\xi = \xi'$ or that $\xi \cap \xi'$ is a maximal singular
 238 subspace of both ξ and ξ' , in both cases, the claim follows immediately. Next,
 239 suppose that $\xi \cap \xi'$ is a line l . The symp ξ has rank at least three, so there is some
 240 point $x \in \xi \cap l^\perp$, with $x \notin l$. By Lemma 3.3, the set $x^\perp \cap \xi'$ is a maximal singular
 241 subspace M of ξ' that contains l . Since ξ' also has rank at least three, there exists
 242 some $x' \in \xi' \cap l^\perp$, with $x' \notin M$. The points x and x' are symplectic, and the
 243 symp $\langle\langle x, x' \rangle\rangle_\Delta$ intersects both ξ and ξ' in at least a plane. The claim then follows
 244 from the arguments above. Finally, assume that $\xi \cap \xi'$ does not contain a line. It
 245 follows from Lemma 3.4 that the two symps intersect trivially. Take $x \in \xi$. By
 246 Axiom (H_1) , there is some point $x' \in x^\perp \cap \xi'$. The line xx' is moreover contained
 247 in some symp, which, by Lemma 3.4, intersects both ξ and ξ' in at least a line.
 248 The claim again follows from the arguments above. \square

249 Our next aim is to prove that there exists some symp of finite rank.

Definition 3.6. Let N be a singular subspace of Δ . We define $\text{Res}_\Delta(N)$ to be the point-line space $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &:= \{\text{singular subspaces } K \text{ of } \Delta \text{ with } N \subset K \text{ and } \text{codim}_K(N) = 1\}, \\ \mathcal{L} &:= \{\text{singular subspaces } L \text{ of } \Delta \text{ with } N \subset L \text{ and } \text{codim}_L(N) = 2\}, \end{aligned}$$

250 where again, any element of \mathcal{L} is identified with the set of elements of \mathcal{P} contained
 251 in it. It is clear that $\text{Res}_\Delta(N)$ forms a partial linear space.

252 *Remark 3.7.* Let ξ be a symp and N be a singular subspace of Δ contained in
 253 ξ , denote with $N^{\perp \xi} := N^\perp \cap \xi$. The point-line geometry $\text{Res}_\xi(N)$, as defined in
 254 Definition 2.8, is a subspace of $\text{Res}_\Delta(N)$. By Lemma 2.9, it is a polar space, which
 255 is non-degenerate if and only if $N = N^{\perp \xi \perp \xi}$.

256 We aim to construct singular subspaces N of Δ for which $\text{Res}_\Delta(N)$ forms a
 257 parapolar space. From now on we assume, for a contradiction, that there is no
 258 symp of finite rank.

259 *Notation 3.8.* Fix some symp ξ . Using Lemma 3.5, we find a maximal singular
 260 subspace M of ξ that is contained in at least one other symp. Take $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3}$, and
 261 use Lemma 2.10 to find a singular subspace N_k in M for which $\text{codim}_M(N_k) = k$
 262 and $\text{Res}_\xi(N_k)$ is a non-degenerate polar space of rank k .

263 **Lemma 3.9.** *For any symp ξ' of Δ that contains N_k , the subspace $\text{Res}_{\xi'}(N_k)$ of*
 264 *$\text{Res}_\Delta(N_k)$ is a non-degenerate polar space of rank k .*

265 *Proof.* If $\xi = \xi'$, the claim holds trivially, so suppose that this is not the case.
 266 Denote with M' the intersection of ξ and ξ' . The subspace N_k is contained in M' ,
 267 so using Lemma 3.4, we obtain that M' is a maximal singular subspace of both ξ
 268 and ξ' . The subspace M' induces a maximal singular subspace in $\text{Res}_\xi(N_k)$, which
 269 implies that $\text{codim}_{M'}(N_k) = k$. It follows from Lemma 2.9 that, in order to show
 270 that $\text{Res}_{\xi'}(N_k)$ is a non-degenerate polar space of rank k , it suffices to prove that
 271 $N_k = N_k^{\perp_{\xi'} \perp_{\xi'}}$.

272 It is clear that $N_k \subseteq N_k^{\perp_{\xi'} \perp_{\xi'}}$, so we prove the opposite inclusion. The subspace
 273 M' is contained in $N_k^{\perp_{\xi'}}$, which implies that $N_k^{\perp_{\xi'} \perp_{\xi'}} \subseteq M'^{\perp_{\xi'}} = M'$, where the
 274 latter equality holds because M' is a maximal singular subspace of ξ' . Take $p \in$
 275 $M' \setminus N_k$. The polar space $\text{Res}_\xi(N_k)$ is non-degenerate, so $N_k^{\perp_{\xi}} = N_k$, and in
 276 particular, there exists some point $x \in N_k^{\perp_{\xi}}$ which is not collinear to p . The set
 277 $x^\perp \cap M'$ is a hyperplane N' of M' that contains N_k but not p . By Lemma 3.3, the
 278 set $x^\perp \cap \xi'$ is a maximal singular subspace M_x of ξ' with $M' \cap M_x = N'$. For any
 279 point $x' \in M_x \setminus N'$, the set $x'^\perp \cap M'$ contains N' . Since M' is a maximal singular
 280 subspace of ξ' , and x' is not contained in M' , this implies that $x'^\perp \cap M' = N'$. The
 281 point x' is hence a point of ξ' which is noncollinear to p and collinear to all points
 282 of N_k , which implies that $p \notin N_k^{\perp_{\xi'} \perp_{\xi'}}$. \square

283 **Lemma 3.10.** *The partial linear space $\text{Res}_\Delta(N_k)$ is a strong parapolar space of*
 284 *diameter two in which all symps have rank k , and any two symps intersect in a*
 285 *singular subspace of dimension $k - 1$.*

286 *Proof.* We first prove that the convex closure in $\text{Res}_\Delta(N_k)$ of any two noncollinear
 287 points of $\text{Res}_\Delta(N_k)$ forms a non-degenerate polar space of rank k . To that end, let
 288 K_1 and K_2 be any two such points of $\text{Res}_\Delta(N_k)$. By definition, both K_1 and K_2 are
 289 singular subspaces of Δ that contain N_k with $\text{codim}_{N_k}(K_1) = \text{codim}_{N_k}(K_2) = 1$.
 290 Let $x_i \in K_i \setminus \{N_k\}$, $i = 1, 2$, be arbitrary. Then $K_i = \langle x_i, N_k \rangle_\Delta$. It follows
 291 immediately that x_1 and x_2 are collinear in Δ if and only if K_1 and K_2 are collinear
 292 in $\text{Res}_\Delta(N_k)$. We hence find that x_1 and x_2 are not collinear. We have that
 293 $N_k \subseteq x_1^\perp \cap x_2^\perp$, which implies that x_1 and x_2 are symplectic in Δ , and that the
 294 symp $\langle\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle\rangle_\Delta$ of Δ contains N_k . The subspace $\text{Res}_{\langle\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle\rangle_\Delta}(N_k)$ is, by Lemma 3.9,
 295 a non-degenerate polar space of rank k which of course contains K_1 and K_2 . It
 296 is clear that $\langle\langle K_1, K_2 \rangle\rangle_{\text{Res}_\Delta(N_k)}$ is contained in $\text{Res}_{\langle\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle\rangle_\Delta}(N_k)$. Using Lemma 2.7,
 297 one obtains that equality holds.

298 We have picked the subspace N_k of Δ in such a way that it is contained in two
 299 distinct symps of Δ . As a consequence, $\text{Res}_\Delta(N_k)$ contains points that are not
 300 collinear to each other, and is not a polar space. We can hence conclude using
 301 Lemma 2.13 that $\text{Res}_\Delta(N_k)$ is a strong parapolar space of diameter two. All symps
 302 have rank k . By Lemma 3.3, any two symps of Δ that contain N_k intersect in a
 303 maximal singular subspace of both symps, so this translates to the fact that every

304 two symps of $\text{Res}_\Delta(N_k)$ intersect in a maximal singular subspace of both symps,
 305 which in this case, is a singular subspace of dimension $k - 1$. \square

306 **Proposition 3.11.** *The parapolar space Δ contains some symp of finite rank.*

307 *Proof.* Suppose this is not the case. For $k \geq 6$, it then follows from Lemma 3.10
 308 that $\text{Res}_\Delta(N_k)$ is a parapolar space which satisfies the assumptions of Proposi-
 309 tion 2.16 but where all symps have rank $k > 5$, a contradiction. \square

310 Using the previous proposition, we find some symp of Δ that has finite rank d .
 311 Then $d \geq 3$ by assumption.

312 **Lemma 3.12.** *All symps of Δ have rank d .*

313 *Proof.* By assumption, there exists some symp ξ of rank d . Let ξ' be any other
 314 symp for which $\xi \cap \xi'$ is a maximal singular subspace of both ξ and ξ' . Then ξ' has
 315 a maximal singular subspace of dimension $d - 1$, which implies that it has rank d .
 316 The claim now follows directly from Lemma 3.5. \square

317 Proposition 2.16 now finishes the proof of the Main Theorem.

318

4. COROLLARIES OF THE MAIN THEOREM

319 Our first consequence improves a theorem of Shult, namely Theorem 2 of [11].

320 **Corollary 4.1.** *Let Δ be a parapolar space of diameter 3 and symplectic rank at*
 321 *least 3, that satisfies*

322 (H_1) *no point is collinear to exactly one point of a symp.*

323 *Then Δ is either a Lie incidence geometry of type $F_{4,1}$, $E_{6,2}$, $E_{7,1}$ or $E_{8,8}$ or the line*
 324 *Grassmannian of a non-degenerate polar space of arbitrary rank at least 4.*

325 *Proof.* In [11], Shult classifies these geometries Δ under the extra condition that,
 326 if Δ has no symp of rank three, all singular subspaces have finite dimension. So if
 327 Δ contains a symp of rank three, the claim follows. Suppose this is not the case.
 328 Let M be any singular subspace of Δ , and take $p \in M$. In [8], it is proved that the
 329 residue $\text{Res}_\Delta(p)$ is a strong parapolar space of symplectic rank at least 3 satisfying
 330 Assumptions (H'_1) and (H'_2) . Our Main Theorem then proves that $\text{Res}_\Delta(p)$ is finite
 331 dimensional, implying that M itself is also finite dimensional. \square

332 The next corollary improves a result of Cohen & Ivanyos, namely Theorem 1
 333 of [3]. The proof is similar to the one of Corollary 4.1 and we omit the details.
 334 The original statement, along with all relevant definitions, can be found in [3]. We
 335 content ourselves with describing the point-line space $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{H})$.

336 **Example 4.2.** Let \mathbb{P} be projective space and \mathbb{H} be a set of hyperplanes of \mathbb{P} such
 337 that \mathbb{H} forms a subspace of the dual of \mathbb{P} and $\bigcap_{H \in \mathbb{H}} H = \emptyset$.

338 The partial linear space $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{H})$ is defined as follows. The point set is the set
 339 $\{(p, H) \in \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{H} \mid p \in H\}$. The line set consists of two types: subsets of the form
 340 $\{(p, H) \mid p \in l\}$ where l is a line of \mathbb{P} that is contained in H , and subsets of the
 341 form $\{(p, H) \mid K \subset H\}$ where K is a codimension-2 subspace of \mathbb{P} that contains p
 342 for which there are at least two elements of \mathbb{H} containing it.

343 If \mathbb{P} is finite dimensional, the set \mathbb{H} necessarily consists of all hyperplanes of \mathbb{P} ,
 344 and $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{H})$ is a Lie incidence geometry of type $A_{n, \{1, n\}}$.

345 **Corollary 4.3.** *Let Δ be a non-degenerate root filtration space. Then Δ is either*
 346 *a generalized hexagon, a Lie incidence geometry of type $F_{4,1}$, $E_{6,2}$, $E_{7,1}$ or $E_{8,8}$, the*
 347 *line Grassmannian of a non-degenerate polar space of arbitrary rank at least 3, or*
 348 *a point-line space $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{H})$.*

349 5. EXAMPLE OF A PARAPOLAR SPACE OF INFINITE SYMPLECTIC RANK

350 In this section, we aim to prove the following proposition.

351 **Proposition 5.1.** *There exists a strong parapolar space of diameter two such that*
 352 *all symps are infinite dimensional, and every two symps intersect in an infinite*
 353 *dimensional projective subspace.*

354 We will construct such an example Ω using a free construction process. Hence
 355 the construction is inductive: we start with some partial linear space $\Omega_0 = (\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$,
 356 and in every step $i \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, we add some points and lines to Ω_{i-1} to obtain a new
 357 partial linear space $\Omega_i = (\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{L}_i)$. That way, we obtain a chain

$$\Omega_0 \subset \Omega_1 \subset \cdots \subset \Omega_n \subset \dots$$

358 We will then use this chain to construct a new partial linear space, namely
 359 $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i$ which will satisfy the desired properties. Here, the union is just the
 360 direct limit. Each Ω_i will also be defined as a union of two nondisjoint partial
 361 linear spaces. In order to obtain a partial linear space, we need some necessary
 362 conditions.

363 **Definition 5.2.** Let $\Delta_i = (\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{L}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, be a partial linear space with $\mathcal{P}_1 \cap$
 364 $\mathcal{P}_2 \neq \emptyset$. Assume that two points in $\mathcal{P}_1 \cap \mathcal{P}_2$ are contained in some $l_1 \in \mathcal{L}_1$ if and
 365 only if they are contained in some $l_2 \in \mathcal{L}_2$, in which case l_1 and l_2 are assumed
 366 to coincide. The union $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$ is defined to be $(\mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2)$, which is a
 367 partial linear space.

368 Every partial linear space Ω_i that we will construct, is what we call a *preparap-*
 369 *olar space.*

370 **Definition 5.3.** A *preparapolar space* Δ is a connected partial linear space in
 371 which Axioms (PP'_1) , (PP_2) and (PP_3) hold, where (PP'_1) is defined as follows.

- 372 (PP'_1) For points p and q with $d(p, q) = 2$, one of the following holds:
 373 (a) The set $p^\perp \cap q^\perp$ contains a pair of noncollinear points. The convex
 374 closure of p and q forms a non-degenerate polar space. Any subspace
 375 that can be obtained like this is called a *symp*.
 376 (b) The set $p^\perp \cap q^\perp$ is a singular subspace. The convex closure of p and q
 377 in Δ is $\langle p, p^\perp \cap q^\perp \rangle \cup \langle q, p^\perp \cap q^\perp \rangle$.

378 Note that every parapolar space is a preparapolar space. Constructing prepara-
 379 polar spaces is significantly easier than constructing parapolar spaces. One exam-
 380 ple is the following.

381 **Construction** (Construction of Ω_0 and ϕ_0). Let V be a vector space over a count-
 382 able field k with basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. For $j = 0, 1$ and 2 , define V_j be the vector subspace
 383 of V generated by $\{e_i\}_{i > 2}$ and e_j , and denote with M_j the subspace $\mathbb{P}(V_j)$ of
 384 $\mathbb{P}(V)$. Define $\Omega_0 = (\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$ to be the partial linear space with is the union of
 385 $M_0 \cup M_1 \cup M_2$. One easily checks that Ω_0 is a preparapolar space, but of course
 386 not a parapolar space. Note that there exists a bijection $\phi_0 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0 \times \mathcal{P}_0$.

387 The preparapolar space Ω_0 is constructed such that it satisfies the following
 388 conditions.

- 389 (A_1) The point set is countably infinite.
 390 (A_2) There is some field k such that every singular subspace is a projective space
 391 over k .

392 Moreover, Ω_0 contains a singular subspace M of countably infinite dimension (for
 393 example M_0), for which the following holds.

- 394 (A_M) For every point p , the subspace $p^\perp \cap M$ has finite codimension in M .

395 *Remark 5.4.* Any preparapolar space that satisfies (A_M) has only symps of infinite
 396 rank, and has diameter at most two.

397 Starting from a preparapolar space that satisfies the axioms above, we can
 398 construct a strictly bigger preparapolar space that still satisfies the same axioms.
 399 We make this explicit in the next lemma.

400 **Lemma 5.5.** Let $\Delta = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ be a preparapolar space that satisfies (A_1) , (A_2) ,
 401 and that contains some singular subspace M of countably infinite dimension for
 402 which (A_M) holds. Let x and y be noncollinear points of Δ for which x and y are
 403 not in a symp of Δ . There exists a partial linear space $\Delta_{x,y}$ for which the following
 404 hold.

- 405 (1) The point-line space $\Delta_{x,y}$ is a preparapolar space.

- 406 (2) The point-line space Δ , and hence also M , is a subspace of $\Delta_{x,y}$.
 407 (3) The point-line space $\Delta_{x,y}$ satisfies (A_1) , (A_2) and (A_M) .
 408 (4) For noncollinear points p and q of Δ , the following hold:
 409 (a) if $\langle\langle p, q \rangle\rangle_\Delta$ contains x and y , then $\langle\langle p, q \rangle\rangle_{\Delta_{x,y}}$ is a symp,
 410 (b) if $\langle\langle p, q \rangle\rangle_\Delta$ does not contain both x and y , then $\langle\langle p, q \rangle\rangle_{\Delta_{x,y}} = \langle\langle p, q \rangle\rangle_\Delta$.

411 *Proof.* Let Δ, x, y and M be as in the statement. Denote $M_{x,y} := x^\perp \cap y^\perp$, $M_x :=$
 412 $\langle x, M_{x,y} \rangle$ and $M_y := \langle y, M_{x,y} \rangle$. Using (A_2) , we see that the singular subspaces
 413 $M_{x,y}, M_x$ and M_y are projective spaces over some field k , with $\text{codim}_{M_x}(M_{x,y}) =$
 414 $\text{codim}_{M_y}(M_{x,y}) = 1$. Since $M \cap M_{x,y}$ is the intersection of two subspaces of M
 415 of finite codimension of M , it has finite codimension in M , implying that the
 416 projective subspace $M_{x,y}$ has countable infinite dimension.

417 By (PP'_1) , the convex closure of x and y in Δ is given by $M_x \cup M_y$. We construct
 418 a polar space $\Gamma_{x,y}$ which intersects Δ in $M_x \cup M_y$. To that end, let V be a vector
 419 space over k with basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Denote with V_x the vector subspace generated
 420 by $\{e_{2i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, with V_y the vector subspace generated by $\{e_{2i}\}_{i > 0}$ and $\{e_1\}$, and with
 421 $V_{x,y}$ the intersection $V_x \cap V_y$. Using the arguments in the previous paragraph, it is
 422 clear that we can identify $M_{x,y}, M_x$ and M_y with the subspaces $\mathbb{P}(V_{x,y}), \mathbb{P}(V_x)$ and
 423 $\mathbb{P}(V_y)$ of $\mathbb{P}(V)$ respectively. Consider the symmetric bilinear form $f : V \times V \rightarrow k$
 424 with $f(\sum_i a_i e_i, \sum_i b_i e_i) = \sum_i (a_{2i} b_{2i+1} + b_{2i} a_{2i+1})$. A subspace S of V is called
 425 isotropic when $f(s, t) = 0$ for all $s, t \in S$. The point-line space $\Gamma_{x,y}$ with as point
 426 set the isotropic 1-dimensional vector subspaces of V , and as line set the isotropic
 427 2-dimensional vector subspaces of V , is a polar space. Note that M_x and M_y are
 428 maximal singular subspaces of $\Gamma_{x,y}$ and that we have constructed $\Gamma_{x,y}$ in such a
 429 way that it intersects Δ in $M_x \cup M_y$. Moreover, every point of $\Gamma_{x,y}$ is collinear
 430 with a subspace of codimension at most one of $M \cap M_{x,y}$.

431 It is clear that $\Gamma_{x,y}$ and Δ satisfy the conditions of Definition 5.2, we can hence
 432 consider the partial linear space $\Delta \cup \Gamma_{x,y}$. It is straightforward to check that $\Delta \cup \Gamma_{x,y}$
 433 is indeed a preparapolar space that satisfies the required properties. \square

434 We will apply Lemma 5.5 to inductively construct the chain of preparapolar
 435 spaces Ω_n . Along the way, we use the following bijection:

$$f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} : (n_1, n_2) \mapsto \frac{(n_1 + n_2)(n_1 + n_2 + 1)}{2} + n_2.$$

436 **Construction** (Construction of Ω_n and ϕ_n). Suppose that we have constructed
 437 preparapolar spaces $\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{n-1}$ that satisfy (A_1) , (A_2) and (A_M) , but such
 438 that none of these is a parapolar space. Suppose moreover that for all $i = 1 \dots n-1$,
 439 the partial linear space $\Omega_i = (\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{L}_i)$ contains Ω_{i-1} as a subspace and that $\phi_i :$
 440 $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_i \times \mathcal{P}_i$ is a bijection. Set $(n_1, n_2) = f^{-1}(n)$. Note that $n_1 \leq n$, which
 441 implies that the map ϕ_{n_1} is defined. If the pair $\phi_{n_1}(n_2)$ of $\mathcal{P}_{n-1} \times \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$, is not
 442 contained in a symp of Ω_{n-1} , define $(x, y) := \phi_{n_1}(n_2)$. If the pair $\phi_{n_1}(n_2)$ is already

443 contained in a symp of Ω_{n-1} , use the fact that Ω_{n-1} is not a parapolar space to find
 444 a pair of points $(x, y) \in \Omega_{n-1} \times \Omega_{n-1}$ that is not in a symp of Ω_n . Use Lemma 5.5
 445 to construct Ω_n from Ω_{n-1} and the points x and y . It follows from Lemma 5.5
 446 that Ω_n is a preparapolar space that contains Ω_{n-1} as a subspace and that satisfies
 447 axioms (A_1) , (A_2) and (A_M) . Let $\phi_n : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n \times \mathcal{P}_n$ be a bijection. No pair of
 448 points in $(\mathcal{P}_{n-1} \setminus \langle\langle x, y \rangle\rangle_{\Omega_n}) \times (\mathcal{P}_n \setminus \mathcal{P}_{n-1})$ is contained in a common symp of Ω_n ,
 449 which implies that Ω_n is not a parapolar space.

450 *Proof of Proposition 5.1.* Define $\Omega = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i$. Let x and y be any two non-
 451 collinear points of Ω . There exists some n_1 for which x and y are contained in Ω_{n_1} .
 452 Set $n_2 := \phi_{n_1}^{-1}(x, y)$. By construction, the convex closure of x and y in $\Omega_{f(n_1, n_2)}$ is
 453 a non-degenerate polar space S . But then S is the convex closure of x and y in
 454 Ω_i for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq f(n_1, n_2)}$. This implies that the convex closure of x and y in Ω is
 455 S . \square

456 **Acknowledgement.** The authors are grateful to the referee for pointing out
 457 some small inaccuracies. The first author is supported by FWO Flanders and the
 458 L'Oréal-UNESCO "For Women in Science" program.

459

REFERENCES

- 460 [1] F. Buekenhout & E. E. Shult, On the foundations of polar geometry, *Geom. Dedicata* **3**
 461 (1974), 155–170.
 462 [2] A. M. Cohen & B. N. Cooperstein, A characterization of some geometries of Lie type, *Geom.*
 463 *Dedicata* **15** (1983), 73–105.
 464 [3] A. M. Cohen & G. Ivanyos, Root shadow spaces, *Europ. J. Combin.* **28** (2007), 1419–1441.
 465 [4] B. N. Cooperstein, Some geometries associated with parabolic representations of groups of
 466 Lie type, *Canad. J. Math.* **28** (1976), 1021–1031.
 467 [5] B. N. Cooperstein, A characterization of some Lie incidence structures, *Geom. Dedicata* **6**
 468 (1977), 205–258.
 469 [6] A. De Schepper, J. Schillewaert, H. Van Maldeghem & M. Victoor, A geometric characteri-
 470 sation of the Hjelmlev-Moufang planes, *Quart. J. Math.* **73** (2022), 369–394.
 471 [7] A. De Schepper, J. Schillewaert, H. Van Maldeghem & M. Victoor, On exceptional Lie
 472 geometries, *Forum Math. Sigma* **9** (2021), paper No e2, 27pp.
 473 [8] A. Kasikova & E. Shult, Point-line characterisations of Lie incidence geometries, *Adv. Geom.*
 474 **2** (2002), 147–188.
 475 [9] B. Mühlherr & R. Weiss, Tits polygons, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **275**(1352), Providence,
 476 2022.
 477 [10] Pasini, Antonio, On Polar Spaces of Infinite Rank, *Journal of Geometry* **91** (2009), 84–118.
 478 [11] E. E. Shult, On characterizing the long-root geometries, *Adv. Geom.* **10** (2010), 353–370.
 479 [12] E. E. Shult, Points and lines: characterizing the classical geometries, *Universitext*, Springer-
 480 Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, (2011).
 481 [13] J. Tits, Buildings of Spherical Type and Finite BN-Pairs, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*
 482 **386**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, (1974), x+299 pp.

483 Paulien Jansen & Hendrik Van Maldeghem
 484 Department of Mathematics: Algebra and Geometry

485 Ghent University
486 Krijgslaan 281, S25
487 B-9000 Gent
488 Paulien.Jansen@UGent.be, Hendrik.VanMaldeghem@UGent.be