

Desarguesian Finite Generalized Quadrangles are Classical or Dual Classical

H. VAN MALDEGHEM* AND J.A. THAS

Seminar of Geometry and Combinatorics, State University of Ghent, Krijgslaan 281, B-9000 Gent, Belgium

S.E. PAYNE

Department of Mathematics, Box 170, University of Colorado at Denver, Denver, Colorado 80204, U.S.A.

Communicated by R.L. Mullin

Received October 1, 1990. Revised May 24, 1991.

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{S} = (P, B, I)$ be a finite generalized quadrangle of order (s, t) , $s > 1$, $t > 1$. Given a flag (p, L) of \mathcal{S} , a (p, L) -collineation is a collineation θ of \mathcal{S} which fixes each point on L and each line through p . For any line N incident with p , $N \neq L$, and any point u incident with L , $u \neq p$, the group $G(p, L)$ of all (p, L) -collineations acts semiregularly on the lines M concurrent with N , p not incident with M , and on the points w collinear with u , w not incident with L . If the group $G(p, L)$ is transitive on the lines M , or equivalently, on the points w , then we say that \mathcal{S} is (p, L) -transitive. We prove that the finite generalized quadrangle \mathcal{S} is (p, L) -transitive for all flags (p, L) if and only if \mathcal{S} is classical or dual classical. Further, for any flag (p, L) , we introduce the notion of (p, L) -desarguesian generalized quadrangle, a purely geometrical concept, and we prove that the finite generalized quadrangle \mathcal{S} is (p, L) -desarguesian if and only if it is (p, L) -transitive.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{S} = (P, B, I)$ be a finite generalized quadrangle (GQ) of order (s, t) , $s > 1$, $t > 1$; that is, \mathcal{S} is an incidence structure of points and lines, with a symmetric point-line incidence relation satisfying (i) each point is incident with $1 + t$ lines ($t \geq 1$) and two distinct points are incident with at most one line, (ii) each line is incident with $1 + s$ points ($s \geq 1$) and two distinct lines are incident with at most one point, and (iii) if x is a point and L is a line not incident with x , then there is a unique pair $(y, M) \in P \times B$ for which $xI MyIL$. Results on generalized quadrangles may be found in the monograph (Payne and Thas 1984).

Given a flag $(p, L) \in P \times B$ of \mathcal{S} , a (p, L) -collineation is a collineation θ of \mathcal{S} which fixes each point on L and each line through p . By 2.4.1 of (Payne and Thas 1984) θ acts semiregularly on the set $P \setminus p^\perp$ and also on the set $B \setminus L^\perp$ (i.e., θ is an elation about p and an elation about L in the terminology of (Payne and Thas 1984)). In particular it follows that the group $G(p, L)$ of (p, L) -collineations has order dividing st . For let N be a line incident with p , $N \neq L$, and u a point incident with L , $u \neq p$. Then $G(p, L)$ acts semiregularly on the lines M concurrent with N , p not incident with M . It follows that $|G(p, L)| = st$ iff $G(p, L)$ is transitive on the set of st lines meeting N at points different from p iff $G(p, L)$ is transitive on the set of points collinear with u but not incident with L .

*Research Associate of the National Fund for Scientific Research (Belgium).

If these equivalent conditions hold, we say \mathcal{S} is (p, L) -transitive. Note that \mathcal{S} is (p, L) -transitive iff (the point-line dual of) \mathcal{S} is (L, p) -transitive. Further, one can check that each finite classical or dual classical GQ is (p, L) -transitive for all flags (p, L) .

This note has two main results. The first is:

THEOREM 1. The GQ \mathcal{S} is (p, L) -transitive for all flags (p, L) iff \mathcal{S} is classical or dual classical.

Let $V = (p_1, L_1, \dots, p_4, L_4)$ be an ordered quadrilateral of \mathcal{S} . So p_1, \dots, p_4 are four distinct points, L_1, \dots, L_4 are four distinct lines, and $p_i L_i p_{i+1}$, where subscripts are taken modulo 4. The quadrilateral V is said to be *opposite* a flag (p, L) provided p is not incident with L_i and p_i is not incident with L , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. So let V be a quadrilateral opposite a flag (p, L) , and let θ be a nonidentity (p, L) -collineation. Then V^θ is also a quadrilateral opposite (p, L) and V, V^θ are in perspective from the flag (p, L) according to the following definition: Two (ordered) quadrilaterals $V = (p_1, L_1, \dots, L_4)$ and $V' = (p'_1, L'_1, \dots, L'_4)$ which are opposite a flag (p, L) are said to be *in perspective from (p, L)* provided p_i, p'_i are collinear with a common point on L , and L_i, L'_i are concurrent with a common line through p , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Note that this definition is also self-dual.

The GQ \mathcal{S} , with flag (p, L) , is said to be (p, L) -desarguesian provided the following condition holds: For any quadrilateral $V = (p_1, L_1, \dots, L_4)$ opposite (p, L) and any flag (p'_1, L'_1) satisfying (i) p'_1 is not on L and p is not on L'_1 , (ii) $p_1 \perp r_1 \perp p'_1$ for some r_1 on L , (iii) $L_1 \perp M_1 \perp L'_1$ for some M_1 through p , there is a quadrilateral $V' = (p'_1, L'_1, \dots, L'_4)$ opposite (p, L) and in perspective with V from (p, L) .

Note that \mathcal{S} is (p, L) -desarguesian iff (the point-line dual of) \mathcal{S} is (L, p) -desarguesian.

It is easy to see that if \mathcal{S} is (p, L) -transitive, then \mathcal{S} is (p, L) -desarguesian. The converse yields our second main result.

THEOREM 2. For a given flag (p, L) , \mathcal{S} is (p, L) -transitive iff \mathcal{S} is (p, L) -desarguesian.

There is an immediate corollary.

COROLLARY 1. The GQ \mathcal{S} is (p, L) -desarguesian for all flags (p, L) iff \mathcal{S} is classical or dual classical.

2. (p, L) -Transitivity

Let $\mathcal{S} = (P, B, I)$ be a finite GQ of order (s, t) , as in Section 1. This section is devoted to a proof of Theorem 1, and for that we need the concept of property (H) . For distinct points x, y , recall that the *closure* of the pair (x, y) is $cl(x, y) = \{z \in P : z^\perp \cap \{x, y\}^{\perp\perp} \neq \emptyset\}$. Then a point p has *property (H)* provided that, whenever $T = \{x, y, z\}$ is a triad of points contained in p^\perp and $x \in cl(y, z)$, then $y \in cl(x, z)$. By 5.6.2 of (Payne and Thas 1984) we see that property (H) is a fundamental property for points (or lines) in the classical or dual classical GQ.

LEMMA 1. Suppose \mathcal{S} is (p, L) -transitive for fixed p and all lines L through p . Then p has property (H) .

Proof. Let $T = \{x, y, z\}$ be a triad in p^\perp for which $x \in cl(y, z)$ say $wIpx$ with $w \in \{y, z\}^{\perp\perp}$. By hypothesis there is a (p, pz) -collineation θ mapping w to x . Then $y^\theta Ipy$ and $\theta : \{w, y, z\}^{\perp\perp} \rightarrow \{x, y^\theta, z\}^{\perp\perp}$, forcing $y \in cl(x, z)$. Hence $x \in cl(y, z)$ implies $y \in cl(x, z)$.

A *panel* of \mathcal{S} is an ordered triple (L, p, M) , where L and M are distinct lines incident with the point p , or an ordered triple (x, L, y) where x and y are distinct points incident with the line L . For a given panel (x, L, y) , let $H(x, L, y)$ be the group of all collineations of \mathcal{S} which are both (x, L) - and (L, y) -collineations. For each line M through x , $M \neq L$, $H(x, L, y)$ acts semiregularly on the points of M different from x . Hence $|H(x, L, y)|$ divides s . We say the panel (x, L, y) is *Moufang* provided $|H(x, L, y)| = s$. For a panel (L, p, M) the group $H(L, p, M)$ is defined in a dual manner. And (L, p, M) is *Moufang* provided $|H(L, p, M)| = t$. If every panel of \mathcal{S} is Moufang, then \mathcal{S} is said to be a *Moufang GQ*. By a theorem of P. Fong and G.M. Seitz [1974] (cf. J. Tits [1976]), a Moufang GQ must be classical or dual classical. The main result of (Thas, Payne and Van Maldeghem 1991) is that if every panel of \mathcal{S} of one type (say of the form (x, L, y)) is Moufang, then every panel is Moufang.

LEMMA 2. If \mathcal{S} is (p, L) -transitive for every flag (p, L) , then \mathcal{S} is Moufang, and hence classical or dual classical.

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{S} is (p, L) -transitive for all flags (p, L) . So all points (and dually, all lines) have property (H). By 5.6.2 of (Payne and Thas 1984) we have the following:

- (i) Each point is regular, or
- (ii) each hyperbolic line $\{x, y\}^{\perp\perp}$, $x \not\perp y$, has just two points, or
- (iii) $\mathcal{S} \cong H(4, s)$.

And dually,

- (i)' Each line is regular, or
- (ii)' for each pair of nonconcurrent lines L, M , $\{L, M\}^{\perp\perp} = \{L, M\}$, or
- (iii)' $\mathcal{S} \cong H^*(4, t)$, the point-line dual of $H(4, s)$.

We may assume $\mathcal{S} \cong H(4, s)$ and $\mathcal{S} \cong H^*(4, t)$. Suppose all lines are regular, so $s \leq t$. If each point is regular, then $s = t$ and $\mathcal{S} \cong W(2^e)$ (cf. 5.2.1 of (Payne and Thas 1984)). So we may assume each hyperbolic line has two points. Let p, p' be distinct points on a line L ; so (p, L, p') is a panel for which we shall show \mathcal{S} is (p, L, p') -transitive. Let q, q' be any points ($\neq p$) on a line N ($\neq L$) through p . We show there is a $\theta \in H(p, L, p')$ mapping q to q' . Let N' be any line ($\neq L$) through p' , and M, M' the lines through q, q' meeting N' . Let x be any point of L , $p \neq x \neq p'$. By the regularity of lines there is a line K through x meeting M at a point y and M' at a point y' . Then the (p, L) -collineation θ mapping M to M' maps q to q' , y to y' , and fixes K and N' . Now let N'' be any line through p' , $N' \neq N'' \neq L$. Define lines M'' and T by $yIM'' \perp N''$ and $pIT \perp M''$. By regularity of the pair (M'', L) , the line through y' meeting T also meets N'' . As θ fixes T and maps y to y' , clearly θ fixes N'' . So θ fixes all lines through p' . (Actually, this shows θ is an ordinary symmetry about L , i.e., it fixes all lines meeting L .) As \mathcal{S} is (p, L, p') -transitive for all panels (p, L, p') of this type, \mathcal{S} is classical or dual classical.

By duality, the only remaining case has all hyperbolic lines of size 2 and all spans $\{L, M\}^{\perp\perp}$, $L \not\perp M$, of size two. Also, by duality we may assume $s \leq t$.

Fix a point p , and let L_0, \dots, L_t be the lines through p . Each (p, L_i) -collineation is an elation about p . If $G = \langle \theta : \theta \text{ is a } (p, L_i)\text{-collineation for some } L_i, 0 \leq i \leq t \rangle$, then by 8.2.4(iii) of (Payne and Thas 1984) G is a group of elations about p . Now let L_{j_0}, L_{j_1} be any two of the lines through p , x_0 on L_{j_0} , x_1 on L_{j_1} , $x_0 \not\perp x_1$. Let N_0, M_0 be two lines ($\neq L_{j_0}$) through x_0 and N_1, M_1 the two lines through x_1 with $N_0 \perp N_1$, $M_0 \perp M_1$. Say $N_0 I q I N_1$, $M_0 I r I M_1$. Let θ_0 be the (p, L_{j_0}) -collineation mapping N_1 to M_1 . Clearly θ_0 also maps N_0 to M_0 . Similarly, θ_1 is the (p, L_{j_1}) -collineation mapping N_0 to M_0 , and hence mapping N_1 to M_1 . Then both $\theta_0, \theta_1 \in G$, and they both map q to r . So $\theta = \theta_0 = \theta_1$ is the unique element of G mapping q to r . Hence $\theta \in H(L_{j_0}, p, L_{j_1})$. It follows that $|H(L, p, L')| = t$ for each panel of the form (L, p, L') . By (Thas, Payne and Van Maldeghem 1991) the dual result holds; so \mathcal{S} is classical or dual-classical. (However, by 9.5.1 of (Payne and Thas 1984) \mathcal{S} cannot exist.)

3. (p, L) -Desarguesian Implies (p, L) -Transitive

To prove Theorem 2 it must be shown that, if \mathcal{S} is (p, L) -desarguesian, then it is (p, L) -transitive. So suppose \mathcal{S} is (p, L) -desarguesian for a given flag (p, L) . Let L' be an arbitrary line through p , $L' \neq L$. Suppose $M I p' I L'$, $M' I p'' I L'$, $p' \neq p \neq p'' \neq p'$, $M \neq L' \neq M'$. To show that \mathcal{S} is (p, L) -transitive, it will suffice to construct a (p, L) -collineation θ mapping M to M' . Since any GQ with $t = 2$ and $s > 1$ is classical, hence (p, L) -transitive, we may assume that $t > 2$.

The set of all points incident with a line N will be denoted by N^* . The idea of the proof is to define a bijection θ from the pointset $(P \setminus p'^{\perp}) \cup L'^* \cup M'^*$ onto the pointset $(P \setminus p''^{\perp}) \cup L'^* \cup M'^*$ which preserves collinearity, and then to extend θ to an automorphism α of \mathcal{S} for which α is a (p, L) -collineation mapping M to M' .

The definition of θ proceeds according to six cases determined by the type of point involved.

Type (i) For $x \in L^*$, $x^\theta = x$.

Type (ii) For $x = p'$, $x^\theta = p''$.

Type (iii) For $p' \neq x I M$, put $x^\theta = x'$, where $x' I M'$ and $x \perp r \perp x'$ for some point r , $r \in L^*$. So $\theta : M^* \rightarrow M'^*$.

Type (iv) Suppose y is any point of P not on any line of $\{L, M\}^{\perp}$. Let (N, x) be the flag defined by $y I N I x I M$, and define the line L'' by $p I L'' \perp N$. If $x' = x^\theta$, N' is the line defined by $x' I N' \perp L''$. Then $y^\theta = y'$ where $y' I N'$ and $y \perp r \perp y'$ for some point r on L . By hypothesis N cannot meet L ; so $L'' \neq L$, forcing y' to be on no line of $\{M', L\}^{\perp}$. Hence a counting argument shows that θ is a bijection from the set of points of type (iv), i.e., on no line of $\{L, M\}^{\perp}$ to the set of points on no line of $\{M', L\}^{\perp}$, which is the set of points of type (iv) $^\theta$. Note that each point of N^* other than x is of type (iv). The points of N^* are mapped bijectively to the points of N'^* , and each point of N' other than x' is of type (iv) $^\theta$. Note that if $y \perp p$, then $L'' = yp$ and y^θ is the unique point for which $x' I x' y^\theta I y^\theta I p$. So $\theta : \{yp\}^* \rightarrow \{yp\}^*$.

Let y_1, y_2 be distinct collinear points of type (iv) . We shall prove that $y_1^\theta \perp y_2^\theta$. By the preceding comments we may assume that $p \notin (y_1y_2)^*$ and $y_1y_2 \not\perp M$. Let $MLx_i \perp y_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Then

$$V = (y_2, y_2x_2, x_2, x_2x_1, x_1, x_1y_1, y_1, y_1y_2)$$

is a quadrilateral opposite (p, L) . Since \mathcal{S} is (p, L) -desarguesian, there is a quadrilateral $V' = (y_2^\theta, y_2^\theta x_2^\theta, \dots)$ opposite (p, L) and in perspective with V from (p, L) . Clearly $V' = (y_2^\theta, y_2^\theta x_2^\theta, x_2^\theta, x_2^\theta x_1^\theta, x_1^\theta, x_1^\theta y_1^\theta, y_1^\theta, ?)$, forcing the last line to be $y_1^\theta y_2^\theta$. Moreover, as in the earlier two cases, y_1y_2 and $y_1^\theta y_2^\theta$ must be concurrent with the same line through p . So θ yields a bijection from the set of points of type (iv) to the set of points of type $(iv)^\theta$ which preserves collinearity. A counting argument shows that it must also preserve noncollinearity.

Type (v) Let $uIL', p \neq u \neq p'$. If $y \perp u$ with y of type (iv) , then let u^θ be the point of L' collinear with y^θ . Note that since $t > 1$ there are such points y . We now show that u^θ is independent of the choice of y . So let y_1 be of type (iv) with $u \perp y_1$ and $y \neq y_1$. If y_1Iuy , then $y^\theta \perp y_1^\theta$ and $L' \perp y^\theta y_1^\theta$ by case (iv) . Now assume that $y_1 \notin (uy)^*$. Suppose $L'Iu' \perp y_1^\theta$ with $u' \neq u^\theta$. If y_2Iuy and y_3Iuy_1 , with y_2 and y_3 of type (iv) , then necessarily $y_2^\theta \not\perp y_3^\theta$. (By the last line of case (iv) .) In $((yu)^* \cup (y_1u))^* \setminus \{u\}$ there are at least s points of type (iv) . Since points y_2^θ and y_3^θ , respectively, on $u^\theta y^\theta$ and $u' y_1^\theta$ are never collinear (so the point of $y_1^\theta u'$ collinear with y_2^θ must not be the image of a type (iv) point of y_1u), it follows that $((yu)^* \cup (y_1u))^* \setminus \{u\}$ has at least s points on lines of $\{L, M\}^\perp$, hence exactly s points on lines of $\{L, M\}^\perp$. Since $t > 2$, there is a line Y through u for which all points of $Y^* \setminus \{u\}$ are of type (iv) . Let $y_4 \in Y^* \setminus \{u\}$. Then by the preceding argument, $y_4^\theta \perp u^\theta$ and $y_4^\theta \perp u'$. Hence $u^\theta = u'$, a contradiction. Consequently u^θ is independent of the choice of y .

Type (vi) Let z be on a line of $\{L, M\}^\perp$, $z \notin M^* \cup L^* \cup L'^*$. Let $L'Iu \perp z$, $Llr \perp z$, and let R' be defined by $rIR' \perp M'$. Then z^θ is defined by $R'Iz^\theta \perp u^\theta$. So the $s^2 - s$ points of type (vi) are mapped bijectively to the $s^2 - s$ points (of type $(vi)^\theta$) on a line of $\{L, M'\}^\perp$ but not on M' or L or L' .

Now we prove that the restriction of θ to $P \setminus p'^\perp$ preserves collinearity. If $y_1 \perp y_2$ with y_1, y_2 of type (iv) , then we already have proved that $y_1^\theta \perp y_2^\theta$. So let $y \perp z$, with $y, z \in P \setminus p'^\perp$, y of type (iv) , z on a line of $\{L, M\}^\perp$. If zIL , clearly $z^\theta \perp y^\theta$. Suppose $z \notin L$, but yz meets L' at a point u . Let $Llr \perp z$, and let R be any line through r with $L \neq R \neq rz$. If $RIm \perp u$, clearly m is of type (iv) . If $y^\theta Iu^\theta m^\theta$, then $y \perp m$, a contradiction. Hence y^θ is not on the line through u^θ and concurrent with $R' = rm^\theta$. It follows that y^θ is a point of the line through u^θ and concurrent with rz^θ (which meets M'), i.e., $y^\theta Iu^\theta z^\theta$. Hence $y^\theta \perp z^\theta$ and y^θ, z^θ are collinear with the common point u^θ of L' .

Let z_1, z_2 be distinct elements of type (vi) , with $z_1 \perp z_2$ and z_1, z_2 collinear with a common point u of L' . Assume that $z_1^\theta \not\perp z_2^\theta$. We have $z_1^\theta \perp u^\theta \perp z_2^\theta$. Let $z_1 \perp r_1IL$ and $u^\theta z_2^\theta Iy_2' \perp r_1$. Then y_2' is not on $r_1z_1^\theta$, so is not on a line of $\{M', L\}^\perp$, so $y_2' = y_2^\theta$ for a y_2 of type (iv) . Analogously, on $u^\theta z_1^\theta$ there is a point y_1^θ ($y_1^\theta \perp r_2, z_2 \perp r_2IL$) with y_1 of type (iv) . Since y_1 and y_2 are on $uz_1 = uz_2$ and $y_1 \perp y_2$, we have $y_1^\theta \perp y_2^\theta$, a contradiction. Hence $z_1^\theta \perp z_2^\theta$, and z_1^θ, z_2^θ are collinear with the common point u^θ of L' .

Let y be of type (iv) , z of type (vi) , $y \perp z$, and assume that $L'Iu \perp y$ with $u \not\perp z$. Let $L'Im \perp z$. First assume that all points of $(mz)^* \setminus \{m\}$ are of type (vi) . Then z is the only

point of yz which is of type (vi) , while the s other points are of type (iv) . The images of the points of $(yz)^* \setminus \{z\}$ are incident with a common line V . Let z' be the remaining point of V . Since m is collinear with no point of $(yz)^* \setminus \{z\}$, m^θ is collinear with no point of $V^* \setminus \{z'\}$. Hence $m^\theta \perp z'$. Let $Lr \perp z$. Since $(z')^{\theta^{-1}}$ is of type (vi) , we have $rz' = rz^\theta$. And since $m^\theta \perp z^\theta$, necessarily $z' = z^\theta$. Consequently $y^\theta \perp z^\theta$. Now assume that in $(mz)^*$ there is at least one point y_1 of type (iv) . Let $yzIy_2 \perp p$. Then y_2 is of type (iv) . Further, let $y_1IR \perp M$ and $Rly_3 \perp y_2$. Then y_3 is of type (iv) . Since \mathcal{S} is (p, L) -desarguesian, there is a quadrilateral $V' = (y_2^\theta, y_2^\theta y_3^\theta, \dots)$ in perspective with $V = (y_2, y_2 y_3, y_3, y_3 y_1, y_1, y_1 z, z, zy_2)$ from (p, L) . Consequently $y_2^\theta \perp z^\theta$. Hence we may assume that $y \neq y_2$ and $y^\theta \not\perp z^\theta$. Since $y_2^\theta \perp z^\theta$, the line $y^\theta y_2^\theta$ is not concurrent with $m^\theta z^\theta$. Let $m^\theta \perp z''Iy^\theta y_2^\theta$. Then z'' is of type $(vi)^\theta$, for otherwise there arises the triangle $m(z'')^{\theta^{-1}}z$. No point v of type $(iv)^\theta$ of $(y^\theta y_2^\theta)^* \setminus \{z''\}$ is collinear with a point w of type $(iv)^\theta$ of $(m^\theta z^\theta)^* \setminus \{m^\theta\}$, for then $v^{\theta^{-1}}$ on yy_2 would be collinear with $w^{\theta^{-1}}$ on mz . On $(yz)^* \cup (mz)^*$ there are at least s points of type (iv) . Hence on $((y^\theta y_1^\theta)^* \setminus \{z''\}) \cup ((m^\theta z^\theta)^* \setminus \{m^\theta\})$ there are at least s points of type $(iv)^\theta$, and hence also at least s points of type $(vi)^\theta$. Consequently in $(yz)^* \cup (mz)^*$ there are exactly $s + 1$ points on lines of $\{L, M\}^\perp$. Let T be a line through z with $mz \neq T \neq yz$ and $T \not\perp L$. (Since $t > 2$ the line T exists.) All points of $T^* \setminus \{z\}$ are of type (iv) . By the preceding arguments $(T^*)^\theta$ is the pointset of a line through z^θ . Let $y^\theta \perp y_4^\theta \in T^{*\theta}$. Then yy_4z is a triangle, giving a contradiction. We conclude that $y^\theta \perp z^\theta$.

Finally, let z_1, z_2 be distinct collinear points on lines of $\{L, M\}^\perp$ but not in p'^\perp . If z_1IL , then clearly $z_1^\theta \perp z_2^\theta$. So we may assume that $z_1, z_2 \notin L^*$. If on z_1z_2 there are at least two points y_1, y_2 of type (iv) , then by preceding cases $z_i^\theta \perp y_i^\theta$, $i = 1, 2$, $y_1^\theta \perp y_2^\theta$. Hence $z_1^\theta \perp z_2^\theta$. If, on the other hand, z_1z_2 has at least s points on lines of $\{L, M\}^\perp$, then by (the point-line dual of) 1.3.4(iv) of (Payne and Thas 1984), all points of z_1z_2 are on lines of $\{L, M\}^\perp$, implying $z_1z_2 \perp L'$. Again by a preceding case $z_1^\theta \perp z_2^\theta$.

Hence θ induces a bijection of $P \setminus p'^\perp$ onto $P \setminus p''^\perp$, and collinear points are mapped onto collinear points. A counting argument shows that noncollinear points of $P \setminus p'^\perp$ are mapped to noncollinear points of $P \setminus p''^\perp$.

Let T be a line of \mathcal{S} not incident with p' , with $L' \not\perp T \not\perp M$, and let $Tlq \perp p'$. All points of T different from q are mapped by θ onto the s points of a line T' not collinear with p'' . Let $T'Iq' \perp p''$. Suppose $T_1 (\neq T)$ is a second line through q and not incident with p' . Assume that the corresponding line T_1' is incident with q_1' , $q_1' \perp p''$. Since no point of $(T')^* \setminus \{q'\}$ is collinear with any point of $(T_1')^* \setminus \{q_1'\}$, clearly T' meets T_1' at $q_1' = q'$. Put $q^\theta = q'$. Now let q, q_1 be on a line through p' , with $q \neq q_1 \neq p' \neq q$. If $q^\theta \not\perp q_1^\theta$, then let n' be a point for which $q^\theta \perp n' \perp q_1^\theta$ and $n' \not\perp p''$. So $q \perp (n')^{\theta^{-1}} \perp q_1$ with $(n')^{\theta^{-1}} \not\perp p'$, a contradiction. Consequently $q^\theta \perp q_1^\theta$. Now θ is defined on all points of \mathcal{S} , and is the restriction to P of a uniquely defined collineation α of \mathcal{S} . Clearly the restriction of α to $(P \setminus p'^\perp) \cup L^* \cup M^*$ is θ . The collineation α is a (p, L) -collineation mapping (p', M) to (p'', M') . We conclude that \mathcal{S} is (p, L) -transitive.

References

- Fong, P. and Seitz, G.M. 1973/1974. Groups with a (B, N) -pair of rank 2, *I* and *II*. *Invent. Math.*, 21:1–57, and 24:191–239.
- Kiss, G. (1990). A generalization of Ostrom's theorem in generalized n -gons. *Simon Stevin*. 64: 309–317.
- Payne, S.E. and Thas, J.A. 1984. *Finite generalized quadrangles*. London, Boston, Melbourne: Pitman.
- Thas, J.A. and Van Maldeghem, H. 1991. Generalized Desargues configurations in generalized quadrangles. *Bull. Soc. Math. Belg.*, 42: 713–722.
- Thas, J.A., Payne, S.E. and Van Maldeghem, H. 1991. Half Moufang implies Moufang for finite generalized quadrangles, *Invent. Math.*, 105: 153–156.
- Tits, J. 1976. Classification of buildings of spherical type and Moufang polygons: a survey. In *Teorie Combinatorie*, Volume I (ed. B. Segre) *Roma Accad. Naz. Lincei* pp. 229–246.

