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#### Abstract

We show that every sub-weak embedding of any non-singular orthogonal or unitary polar space of rank at least 3 in a projective space $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$, $\mathbb{K}$ a commutative field, is a full embedding in some subspace $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{F})$, where $\mathbb{F}$ is a subfield of $\mathbb{K}$; the same theorem is proved for every sub-weak embedding of any non-singular symplectic polar space of rank at least 3 in $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$, where the field $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ over which the symplectic polarity is defined is perfect in the case that the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ is two and the secant lines of the embedded polar space $\Gamma$ contain exactly two points of $\Gamma$. This generalizes a result announced by Lefèvre-Percsy [5] more than ten years ago, but never published. We also show that every quadric defined over a subfield $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{K}$ extends uniquely to a quadric over the groundfield $\mathbb{K}$, except in a few well-known cases.


## 1 Introduction and Statement of the Results

In this paper we always assume that $\mathbb{K}$ and $\mathbb{F}$ are commutative fields. Any polar space considered in this paper is assumed to be non-degenerate (which means that no point of the polar space is collinear with all points of the polar space), unless explicitly mentioned otherwise.

[^0]A weak embedding of a point-line geometry $\Gamma$ with point set $\mathcal{S}$ in a projective space $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$ is a monomorphism $\theta$ of $\Gamma$ into the geometry of points and lines of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$ such that
(WE1) the set $\mathcal{S}^{\theta}$ generates $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$,
(WE2) for any point $x$ of $\Gamma$, the subspace generated by the set $X=\left\{y^{\theta} \| y \in \mathcal{S}\right.$ is collinear with $x\}$ meets $\mathcal{S}^{\theta}$ precisely in $X$,
(WE3) if for two lines $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ of $\Gamma$ the images $L_{1}^{\theta}$ and $L_{2}^{\theta}$ meet in some point $x$, then $x$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}^{\theta}$.

In such a case we say that the image $\Gamma^{\theta}$ of $\Gamma$ is weakly embedded in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$. A full embedding in $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$ is a weak embedding with the additional property that for every line $L$, all points of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ on the line $L^{\theta}$ have an inverse image under $\theta$.

Weak embeddings were introduced by Lefevre-Percsy [3, 5]; in these papers she announced the classification of all weakly embedded finite polar spaces (clearly the polar spaces are considered here as point-line geometries) having the additional property that there exists a line of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ which does not belong to $\Gamma^{\theta}$ and which meets $\mathcal{S}^{\theta}$ in at least three points. Only the case $d=3,|\mathbb{K}|<\infty$ and $\operatorname{rank}(\Gamma)=2$ was published [4]. The question arose again in connection with full embeddings of generalized hexagons (see Thas \& Van Maldeghem [7]) and a proof seemed desirable. In the present paper, we will first show that the condition (WE3) is superfluous and then classify all - finite and infinite - weakly embedded non-singular polar spaces of rank at least 3 of orthogonal, symplectic or unitary type, assuming that for the symplectic type the field $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ over which the symplectic polarity is defined is perfect in the case that $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ has characteristic two and no line of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$ which does not belong to $\Gamma^{\theta}$ intersects $\mathcal{S}^{\theta}$ in at least three points. The classification of all generalized quadrangles weakly embedded in finite projective space can be found in Thas \& Van Maldeghem [8].

We call a monomorphism $\theta$ from the point-line geometry of a polar space $\Gamma$ with point set $\mathcal{S}$ to the point-line geometry of a projective space $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ a sub-weak embedding if it satisfies conditions (WE1) and (WE2). Usually, we simply say that $\Gamma$ is weakly or sub-weakly embedded in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ without referring to $\theta$, that is, we identify the points and lines of $\Gamma$ with their images
in $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$. In such a case the set of all points of $\Gamma$ on a line $L$ of $\Gamma$ will be denoted by $L^{*}$.
If the polar space $\Gamma$ arises from a quadric it is called orthogonal, if it arises from a hermitian variety it is called unitary, and if it arises from a symplectic polarity it is called symplectic. In these cases $\Gamma$ is called non-singular either if the hermitian variety is non-singular, or if the symplectic polarity is nonsingular, or if the quadric is non-singular (in the sense that the quadric $Q$, as algebraic hypersurface, contains no singular point over the algebraic closure of the ground field over which $Q$ is defined); in the symplectic and hermitian case this is equivalent to assuming that the corresponding matrix is nonsingular. In the orthogonal case with characteristic not 2 , in the symplectic case and in the hermitian case, $\Gamma$ is non-singular if and only if it is nondegenerate; in the orthogonal case with characteristic 2 , non-singular implies non-degenerate, but when not every element of $\mathbb{K}$ is a square, and only then, a non-degenerate quadric may be singular.
Our main results read as follows.
Theorem 1 Let $\Gamma$ be a non-singular polar space of rank at least 3 arising from a quadric, a hermitian (unitary) variety or a symplectic polarity, where for $\Gamma$ symplectic the polarity is defined over a perfect field $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ in the case that $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ has characteristic two and the secant lines of $\Gamma$ contain exactly two points of $\Gamma$, and let $\Gamma$ be sub-weakly embedded in the projective space $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$. Then $\Gamma$ is fully embedded in some subspace $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{F})$ of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$, for some subfield $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{K}$.

If $\Gamma$ is finite, then it is automatically of one of the three types mentioned. Moreover, it is non-degenerate if and only if it is non-singular. Combining this with Thas \& Van Maldeghem [8], we have

Corollary 1 (i) Let $\Gamma$ be a non-degenerate polar space sub-weakly embedded in the finite projective space $\mathbf{P G}(d, q)$. Then $\Gamma$ is fully embedded in some subspace $\mathbf{P G}\left(d, q^{\prime}\right)$ of $\mathbf{P G}(d, q)$, for some subfield $\mathbf{G F}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ of $\mathbf{G F}(q)$, unless $\Gamma$ is the unique generalized quadrangle of order $(2,2)$ universally embedded in $\mathbf{P G}(4, q)$ with $q$ odd.
(ii) Let $\Gamma$ be a finite non-degenerate polar space of rank at least 3 sub-weakly embedded in the projective space $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$. Then $\Gamma$ is fully embedded in some subspace $\mathbf{P G}(d, q)$ of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$, for some subfield $\mathbf{G F}(q)$ of $\mathbb{K}$.

Our second main result might belong to folklore but we give a full proof here.
Theorem 2 (i) Let $Q$ be a non-degenerate non-empty quadric of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{F})$, $d \geq 2$, and let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field containing $\mathbb{F}$. Then in the corresponding extension $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$ of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{F})$ there exists a unique quadric containing $Q$, except if $d=2$ and $\mathbb{F} \in\{\mathbf{G F}(2), \mathbf{G F}(3)\}$, or $d=3, \mathbb{F}=\mathbf{G F}(2)$ and $Q$ is of elliptic type.
(ii) Let $\Gamma$ be a non-singular symplectic polar space defined by a symplectic polarity in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{F}), d \geq 3$, and let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field extending $\mathbb{F}$. Then in the corresponding extension $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$ of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{F})$, there exists a unique symplectic polarity whose corresponding polar space contains $\Gamma$.
(iii) Let $H$ be a non-singular non-empty hermitian variety of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$, $d \geq 2$, with associated $\mathbb{F}$-involution $\sigma$, and let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field containing $\mathbb{F}$ admitting a $\mathbb{K}$-involution $\tau$ the restriction of which to $\mathbb{F}$ is exactly $\sigma$. Then in the corresponding extension $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$ of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{F})$ there exists a unique hermitian variety with associated field involution $\tau$ and containing $H$.

Remark. It is now easy to extend Theorem 2 to the singular cases with at least one non-singular point over $\mathbb{F}$. Again the extension of the polar space $\Gamma$ is unique, except for $\Gamma$ orthogonal and $\mathbb{F} \in\{\mathbf{G F}(2), \mathbf{G F}(3)\}$.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

In the sequel, we adopt the notation $x^{\perp}$ for the set of all points collinear with the point $x$ in a polar space. After having coordinatized $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$, we denote by $e_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq d+1$, the point with coordinates ( $0, \ldots, 0,1,0 \ldots, 0$ ), where the 1 is in the $i$ th position. By generalizing this, we denote by $e_{J}$ the point with every coordinate equal to 0 except in each position belonging to the set $J, J \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, d+1\}$, where the coordinate equals 1 . We also remark that polar spaces are Shult spaces, i.e. for every point $x$ and every line $L, x^{\perp}$ contains either all points of $L$ or exactly one point of $L$ (we will call that property the Buekenhout-Shult axiom).
We prove Theorem 1 in a sequence of lemmas.
Lemma 1 If $L$ is a line of the sub-weakly embedded polar space $\Gamma$, then the only points of $\Gamma$ on $L$ are the points of $L^{*}$.

PROOF. Let $x$ be a point of $\Gamma$ on $L$ with $x \notin L^{*}$. By the Buekenhout-Shult axiom $L^{*}$ contains a point $y$ collinear with $x$. So the lines $x y$ and $L$ of $\Gamma$ coincide in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$, contradicting the fact that $\theta$ is a monomorphism.

Lemma 2 Every sub-weak embedding of a non-degenerate polar space is also a weak embedding.

PROOF. Let $\Gamma$ be a polar space sub-weakly embedded in $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$ for some field $\mathbb{K}$. Let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be two lines of $\Gamma$ meeting in a point $x$ of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ which does not belong to $\mathcal{S}$, the point set of $\Gamma$. If some point $y$ of $\Gamma$ is collinear with all points of $L_{1}^{*}$, then $y^{\perp}$ contains a triangle of the plane $L_{1} L_{2}$ of $\mathrm{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})\left(y^{\perp}\right.$ contains some point of $L_{2}^{*}$ by the Buekenhout-Shult axiom). Hence (WE2) implies that $y$ is collinear with all points of $L_{2}^{*}$. If we let $y$ vary on $L_{1}^{*}$, then we see that all points of $L_{1}^{*}$ are collinear with all points of $L_{2}^{*}$, in other words, $L_{1}^{*}$ and $L_{2}^{*}$ span a 3 -dimensional singular subspace $S$ of $\Gamma$. Since $\Gamma$ is non-degenerate, no point of $S$ is collinear with all other points of $\Gamma$, hence there exists a point $z$ of $\Gamma$ not collinear with all points of $S$. It is easily seen that $z^{\perp}$ meets $S$ in the point set of a plane $\pi$ of $\Gamma$. Since any two lines of $\Gamma$ in $\pi$ generate the plane $L_{1} L_{2}$, the points of $\pi$ span the plane $L_{1} L_{2}$ of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$. By (WE2), $z^{\perp}$ must contain all points of $S$ (since they all lie in $L_{1} L_{2}$ ), a contradiction.
Let $L$ be any line of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ containing at least two points of $\Gamma$ which are not collinear in $\Gamma$. Then we call $L$ a secant line. By Lemma 1, no secant line contains two collinear points. The following result is due to LefevrePercsy [3].

Lemma 3 The number of points of $\Gamma$ on a secant line is a constant.
We put that number equal to $\delta$ ( $\delta$ is possibly an infinite cardinal) and call it the degree of the embedding.
We now prepare the proof of the case $\delta=2$ by first proving a lemma which certainly belongs to folklore.
A kernel of a non-empty non-singular quadric in a projective space is any point belonging to every tangent hyperplane of the quadric. As the quadric is non-singular a kernel does not belong to the quadric. The subspace of all kernels is sometimes called the radical of the quadric.

Lemma 4 Every non-empty non-singular quadric has at most one kernel.

PROOF. Suppose that the non-singular non-empty quadric $\Gamma$ of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$ has a radical $V$ of dimension at least one. Extend $\Gamma$ over the algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ of $\mathbb{K}$ to the non-singular quadric $\bar{\Gamma}$. Then $\bar{\Gamma} \cap \bar{V}$, with $\bar{V}$ the corresponding extension of $V$, is a non-empty quadric. Let $x$ be a point of it. Every line $x p$ with $p \in \Gamma, p \neq x$, is a tangent line of $\bar{\Gamma}$ and all these lines generate the whole projective space $\mathbf{P G}(d, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$. This yields a contradiction as all tangent lines of $\bar{\Gamma}$ at $x$ lie in the tangent hyperplane of $\bar{\Gamma}$ at $x$.

Lemma 5 Let $\Gamma$ be a non-singular polar space of rank at least 3 arising from a quadric, a hermitian (unitary) variety or a symplectic polarity, where for $\Gamma$ symplectic the polarity is defined over a perfect field $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ in the characteristic two case, and let $\Gamma$ be sub-weakly embedded of degree 2 in the projective space $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$. Then $\Gamma$ is fully embedded in some subspace $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{F})$ of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$, for some subfield $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{K}$.

PROOF. We label the steps of the proof for future reference.
(a) Let $\Gamma$ be a non-singular orthogonal polar space sub-weakly embedded in $\mathrm{PG}(d, \mathbb{K}), d \geq 3$, and suppose that $\Gamma$ has rank at least 3 . We identify the points and lines of $\Gamma$ with the corresponding points and lines of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$. Let $\pi$ be any plane of $\Gamma$. Three non-concurrent lines of $\pi$ span a unique plane $\pi^{\prime}$ of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$. Any other line of $\pi$ meets these three lines in at least two points, hence we see that $\pi^{\prime}$ is uniquely determined by $\pi$; moreover, the points and lines of $\pi$ determine a unique subplane of $\pi^{\prime}$. Hence $\pi$ is isomorphic to a projective plane over some subfield $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{K}$. Moreover, since $\Gamma$ is residually connected (as a polar space or a building, see e.g. Buekenhout [1]), $\mathbb{F}$ is independent from $\pi$. Hence, if we coordinatize $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$, then every re-coordinatization by means of a linear transformation (so without using a field automorphism) which maps the points $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ and $e_{\{1,2,3\}}$ onto points of $\pi$, defines a subfield $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{K}$ which is independent of the choice of $\pi$ and where $\mathbb{F}$ is equal to the set of possible coordinates (in the new coordinate system) for points of $\pi$. This implies that the set of all points of $\Gamma$ on any line of $\Gamma$ is uniquely determined in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ by any three of its points; indeed, re-coordinatize so that these points become $e_{1}, e_{2}$ and
$e_{\{1,2\}}$, and then all points of the line are obtained by taking all linear combinations of the vectors $(1,0, \ldots, 0)$ and $(0,1,0, \ldots, 0)$ over $\mathbb{F}$. All this shows that not only the isomorphism type of $\mathbb{F}$ is fixed, but also the subfield $\mathbb{F}$ itself.
(b) Now consider a line $L_{1}$ of $\Gamma$ and a point $x_{1}$ of $\Gamma$ on it. Through $x_{1}$ there is a line $M_{1}$ of $\Gamma$ with the property that $L_{1}$ and $M_{1}$ are not in a common plane of $\Gamma$. Now we take a point $y_{1}$ of $\Gamma$ not collinear with $x_{1}$ and we consider the unique line $L_{2}$ of $\Gamma$ passing through $y_{1}$ and meeting $M_{1}$ in a point of $\Gamma$. Now we show that in $\Gamma$ no point on $L_{2}$ is collinear with all points of $L_{1}$. The point $x_{1}$ is not collinear with $y_{1}$, and as $L_{1}$ and $M_{1}$ are not in a common plane of $\Gamma$ the point $M_{1} \cap L_{2}$ is not collinear with all points of $L_{1}$. As $x_{1}$ is not collinear with $y_{1}$, it is not collinear with two distinct points of $L_{2}$; hence no point of $L_{2}$ different from $y_{1}$ and $M_{1} \cap L_{2}$ is collinear with all points of $L_{1}$. Similarly, in $\Gamma$ no point on $L_{1}$ is collinear with all points on $L_{2}$. If $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ would span a plane $L_{1} L_{2}$, then every point of $L_{2}$ is in the space spanned by $x^{\perp}$ for every $x \in L_{1}^{*}$, since there is at least one point of $x^{\perp}$ on $L_{2}^{*}$. So by (WE2) the point $x \in L_{1}^{*}$ is collinear with every point of $L_{2}^{*}$, a contradiction. Hence $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ generate a 3 -space $U$ of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$. In $\Gamma$ the lines $L_{1}, L_{2}$ and their points generate a polar space $\Omega ; \Omega$ corresponds to a hyperbolic quadric $Q_{3}^{+}$(of a 3 -space) on the non-singular quadric from which $\Gamma$ arises. The point set of $\Omega$ will also be denoted by $Q_{3}^{+}$, and the sets of lines of $\Omega$ corresponding to the reguli of $Q_{3}^{+}$will also be called the reguli of $\Omega$. Since all points of $\Omega$ lie on lines meeting both $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, we see that $\Omega$ is entirely contained in $U$. Let $M_{2} \neq M_{1}$ belong to the regulus of $\Omega$ defined by $M_{1}$. Put $x_{2}=L_{1} \cap M_{2}, x_{3}=L_{2} \cap M_{1}$ and $x_{4}=L_{2} \cap M_{2}$. Let $x_{5}$ be one further point of $\Omega$ not on one of the lines $L_{1}, L_{2}, M_{1}, M_{2}$ and let $L_{3}$, respectively $M_{3}$, be the line of $\Omega$ through $x_{5}$ and belonging to the regulus defined by $L_{1}$, respectively $M_{1}$. No four of the points $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\}$ are coplanar, so they determine a unique subspace $V$ of $U$ over $\mathbb{F}$.
(c) We claim that $\Omega$ is fully embedded in $V$, that is, we claim that all points of $\Omega$ are contained in $V$. Indeed, the points on $L_{1}$ in $V$ are uniquely determined by the three points $x_{1}, x_{2}$ and $M_{3} \cap L_{1}$. But as remarked above, these points are precisely all points of $\Gamma$ on $L_{1}$. Similarly for $L_{2}, M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$. Let $M_{4}$ be a line of $\Omega$ meeting $L_{1}, L_{2}$ in points of $\Omega$,
so of $V$, with $M_{1} \neq M_{4} \neq M_{2}$; then $M_{4}$ is a line of $V$. As $L_{3}$ is a line of $V$, also $L_{3} \cap M_{4}$ is a point of $V$. It follows that the points of $M_{4}$ in $V$ are exactly the points of $M_{4}$ in $\Omega$. Similarly, for any line $L_{4}$ of $\Omega$ meeting $M_{1}, M_{2}$ in points of $\Omega$, the points of $L_{4}$ in $V$ are exactly the points of $L_{4}$ in $\Omega$. If $y$ is any point of $\Omega$, then the line of $\Gamma$ through $y$ meeting $L_{1}, L_{2}$, respectively $M_{1}, M_{2}$, contains at least two points of $V$, and hence the intersection $y$ of these two lines also belongs to $V$. This shows our claim.
(d) Next we prove that no other point of $\Gamma$ belongs to $U$. Indeed, suppose the point $z$ of $\Gamma$ lies in $U$, but is not contained in $\Omega$. Then $z$ does not belong to $V$ since the unique line $M$ in $V$ through $z$ meeting both $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ contains three points of $\Gamma$, say $z, x_{1}, x_{4}$, hence belongs to $\Gamma$, contradicting the fact that $z$ does not belong to $\Omega$. In $\Gamma$ the points of $\Omega$ collinear with $z$ either are all the points of $\Omega$, or are the points of a point set $\mathcal{C}$ of $\Omega$ corresponding to a non-singular conic of the hyperbolic quadric $Q_{3}^{+}$, or are the points of $\Omega$ on two lines of $\Omega$, say $L_{1}$ and $M_{1}$. Noticing that for every point $y$ of $\Omega$, the space generated by $y^{\perp}$ in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ meets $U$ in a plane (by axiom (WE2)), we see that in the first case $z$ must lie in every plane containing two lines of $\Omega$. This yields a contradiction since these planes have no intersection point in $V$, hence neither in $U$. In the second case $z$ must lie in the planes tangent to $Q_{3}^{+}$at points of $\mathcal{C}$. These planes meet in at most one point, which lies in $V$, a contradiction. In the third case $z$ must lie in all planes of $V$ containing $L_{1}$ or $M_{1}$, hence $z=x_{1}$, a contradiction. This proves our claim.
(e) An orthogonal subspace of $\Gamma$ containing lines is called $s$-dimensional if the corresponding subquadric on the quadric from which $\Gamma$ arises generates an $(s+1)$-dimensional space. Now suppose that any ( $c-$ 1)-dimensional non-singular orthogonal subspace $\Omega^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$ containing lines is fully embedded in a $c$-dimensional projective subspace over $\mathbb{F}$ of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K}), 3 \leq c \leq d-1$. We show that, if $\Omega$ is a $c$-dimensional non-singular orthogonal subspace of $\Gamma$ containing lines, then $\Omega$ is fully embedded in some $(c+1)$-dimensional projective subspace $\mathbf{P G}(c+1, \mathbb{F})$ of $\operatorname{PG}(c+1, \mathbb{K})$. Since $\Omega$ is non-singular, it contains some $(c-1)$ dimensional non-singular orthogonal subspace $\Omega^{\prime}$ containing lines. By assumption $\Omega^{\prime}$ is contained in a $c$-dimensional projective space $V^{\prime}$ over
$\mathbb{F}$. Let $U^{\prime}$ be the extension of $V^{\prime}$ over $\mathbb{K}$. We first show that $U^{\prime}$ does not contain any point of $\Omega \backslash \Omega^{\prime}$. Let the point $x$ of $\Omega \backslash \Omega^{\prime}$ belong to $U^{\prime}$. Then $x^{\perp}$ and the point set of $\Omega^{\prime}$ intersect in a point set $Q^{\prime \prime}$ which corresponds to a non-singular subquadric of the quadric from which $\Gamma$ arises. By (WE2) $Q^{\prime \prime}$ is contained in a $(c-1)$-dimensional subspace $V^{\prime \prime}$ of $V^{\prime}$. Assume that $Q^{\prime \prime}$ does not generate $V^{\prime \prime}$. Then $\Omega^{\prime}$ contains a point $u$ of $V^{\prime \prime}$ not on $Q^{\prime \prime}$. Every line of $\Omega^{\prime}$ through $u$ contains a point of $x^{\perp}$, so every line of $\Omega^{\prime}$ through $u$ contains a point of $Q^{\prime \prime}$. Hence $V^{\prime \prime}$ contains all lines of $\Omega^{\prime}$ through $u$. Analogously, $V^{\prime \prime}$ contains all lines of $\Omega^{\prime}$ through $u^{\prime}$, with $u^{\prime} \neq u$ a second point of $\Omega^{\prime}$ in $V^{\prime \prime} \backslash Q^{\prime \prime}$. So the tangent hyperplanes of the point set of $\Omega^{\prime}$ at $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ coincide with $V^{\prime \prime}$, a contradiction. We conclude that $Q^{\prime \prime}$ generates $V^{\prime \prime}$. The extension of $V^{\prime \prime}$ over $\mathbb{K}$ will be denoted by $U^{\prime \prime}$. If $x \notin U^{\prime \prime}$, then $x^{\perp} \cap U^{\prime}$ spans $U^{\prime}$, hence by (WE2) all points of $\Omega^{\prime}$ are collinear with $x$, a contradiction. So $x \in U^{\prime \prime}$. Let $y$ be a point of $Q^{\prime \prime}$ and let $V_{y}^{\prime}$ be the tangent hyperplane of $\Omega^{\prime}$ at $y$; the extension of $V_{y}^{\prime}$ to $\mathbb{K}$ is denoted by $U_{y}^{\prime}$. If $x \notin U_{y}^{\prime}$, then the space generated by $x$ and $U_{y}^{\prime}$ is $U^{\prime}$, so by (WE2) $y^{\perp}$ contains all points of $\Omega^{\prime}$, a contradiction. Hence $x \in U_{y}^{\prime}$. Let $V_{y}^{\prime \prime}$ be the tangent hyperplane of $Q^{\prime \prime}$ at $y$, and let $U_{y}^{\prime \prime}$ be the extension of $V_{y}^{\prime \prime}$ to $\mathbb{K}$; then $V_{y}^{\prime \prime}=V_{y}^{\prime} \cap V^{\prime \prime}$ and $U_{y}^{\prime \prime}=U_{y}^{\prime} \cap U^{\prime \prime}$. As $x \in U^{\prime \prime}$, we have $x \in U_{y}^{\prime \prime}$ for every point $y$ of $Q^{\prime \prime}$. This implies that $x \in V^{\prime \prime}$ and that $x$ is the unique kernel of $Q^{\prime \prime}$ in $V^{\prime \prime}$. Since $Q^{\prime \prime}$ has a unique kernel, the dimension $c-1$ of the space generated by $Q^{\prime \prime}$ is even and the matrix defined by $Q^{\prime \prime}$ has rank equal to $c-1$. If $x$ is also kernel of $\Omega^{\prime}$, then as $c+1$ is even $\Omega^{\prime}$ admits at least a line $L$ of kernels. Over the algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ of $\mathbb{F}$ the extension $\bar{L}$ of $L$ contains a point $r$ of the extension $\bar{\Omega}^{\prime}$ of $\Omega^{\prime}$. The point $r$ is singular for $\bar{\Omega}^{\prime}$, hence $\Omega^{\prime}$ is singular, a contradiction. Consequently $x$ is not a kernel for $\Omega^{\prime}$. Hence there is a line $N$ of $V^{\prime}$ containing $x$ and two distinct points $y_{1}, y_{2}$ of $\Omega^{\prime}$. Since the degree of the weak embedding is equal to $2, N$ is a line of $\Gamma$, so $y_{1}=y_{2} \in Q^{\prime \prime}$, a contradiction. It follows that $U^{\prime}$ does not contain any point of $\Omega \backslash \Omega^{\prime}$.
(f) Let $x_{1}$ be any point of $\Omega \backslash \Omega^{\prime}$ and let $L_{1}$ be any line of $\Omega$ through $x_{1}$. Evidently, $L_{1}$ meets $\Omega^{\prime}$ in a unique point $y$. Let $L_{2}$ be any line of $\Omega^{\prime}$ such that $L_{1}, L_{2}$ and their points in $\Omega^{\prime}$ generate a polar space in $\Omega$ with as point set a hyperbolic quadric $Q=Q_{3}^{+}$. Take any point $x_{2} \neq x_{1}$ on $L_{1}^{*}$ with $x_{2} \neq y$. The space $V^{\prime}$ together with the two points $x_{1}, x_{2}$ defines a unique $(c+1)$-dimensional subspace $V$ over $\mathbb{F}$, which contains $x_{1}, x_{2}$
and $y$ and hence all points of $\Omega$ on $L_{1}$. Also, $V$ contains all points of $\Omega$ on $L_{2}$ and all points of the line of $\Omega^{\prime}$ containing $y$ and concurrent with $L_{2}$. Similarly as in (c), one now shows that $Q_{3}^{+}$is completely contained in a 3 -dimensional subspace over $\mathbb{F}$ which clearly belongs to $V$.
(g) We now show that all points of $\Omega$ belong to $V$. Let $z$ be any point of $\Omega \backslash \Omega^{\prime}$. First suppose that $z$ is not collinear with $y$. Consider a line $M_{1}$ on $\Omega^{\prime}$ through $y$ and such that $L_{1}$ and $M_{1}$ are not contained in a plane of $\Omega$. Let $L_{3}$ be the unique line of $\Omega$ through $z$ meeting $M_{1}$ in a point of $\Omega$. Then clearly $L_{1}$ and $L_{3}$ define a hyperbolic quadric $Q^{\prime}$ over $\mathbb{F}$ on $\Omega$. We show that the polar subspace of $\Omega$ with point set $Q^{\prime}$ has two different lines $M_{1}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime}$ in common with $\Omega^{\prime}$. If we identify the point set of $\Omega$ with a quadric in some $\operatorname{PG}(c+1, \mathbb{F})$, then the 3 -space of $Q^{\prime}$ and the hyperplane defined by $\Omega$ have a plane $\zeta$ in common, which intersects $Q^{\prime}$ in two distinct lines. Hence $Q^{\prime}$ has two different lines $M_{1}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime}$ in common with $\Omega^{\prime}$. Interchanging roles of $L_{2}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime}$, we now see that $z$ also belongs to the space $V$. Now suppose that the point $z$ of $\Omega \backslash \Omega^{\prime}, z \neq y$, is collinear with $y$. Let $L_{3}$ and $L_{4}$, with $L_{3} \neq y z \neq L_{4}$, be two distinct lines of $\Omega$ through $z$ for which $y L_{3}$ and $y L_{4}$ are not planes of $\Omega$. By the foregoing all points of $L_{3}^{*} \backslash\{z\}$ and $L_{4}^{*} \backslash\{z\}$ belong to $V$. Hence also the intersection of $L_{3}$ and $L_{4}$, that is $z$, belongs to $V$. So we conclude that each of the points of $\Omega$ belongs to $V$, and consequently $\Omega$ is fully embedded in the space $V$ over $\mathbb{F}$.
(h) Applying consecutively the previous paragraphs for $c=3,4, \ldots, d-1$, we finally obtain that $\Gamma$ is fully embedded in some $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$.
(i) Now let $\Gamma$ be a non-singular hermitian polar space sub-weakly embedded in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K}), d \geq 3$, and suppose that the degree is 2 . On the non-singular hermitian variety $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ from which $\Gamma$ arises we consider a non-singular hermitian variety $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$, where $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ generates a 3 -dimensional space. The corresponding point set on $\Gamma$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{H}$ and the corresponding polar subspace of $\Gamma$ by $\Omega$. Let $L, M$ be two nonintersecting lines of $\Omega$. In $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$, the lines $L$ and $M$ generate a 3-dimensional subspace $U=\mathbf{P G}(3, \mathbb{K})$, which contains all points of $\mathcal{H}$ ( $\Omega$ is generated by $L, M$ and their points in $\Omega$ ). Now consider two points $x$ and $y$ in $\mathcal{H}$ which are not collinear in $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{x}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{y}$ be the set of points of $\mathcal{H}$ collinear in $\mathcal{H}$ with $x$ and $y$ respectively. Clearly neither $\mathcal{H}_{x}$ nor $\mathcal{H}_{y}$ can be contained in a line of $U$. Also, by condition (WE2),
neither $\mathcal{H}_{x}$ nor $\mathcal{H}_{y}$ generates $U$. Hence $\mathcal{H}_{x}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{y}$ define unique planes $U_{x}$ and $U_{y}$ respectively. These planes meet in a unique line $N$ of $U$. Clearly $N$ contains all points of $\mathcal{H}$ collinear in $\Omega$ with both $x$ and $y$. Assume that $z$ is any point of $\Gamma$ on $N$. Further, let $u, v \in N \cap \mathcal{H}, u \neq v$. Then $z$ is collinear in $\Gamma$ with all points of $u^{\perp} \cap v^{\perp}$. Let $u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}, z^{\prime}$ be the points of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ which correspond to $u, v, z$ respectively. As $z^{\prime}$ is collinear in $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ with all points of $u^{\prime \perp} \cap v^{\prime \perp}$, it belongs to $\overline{\mathcal{H}} \cap u^{\prime} v^{\prime}=\mathcal{H}^{\prime} \cap u^{\prime} v^{\prime}$. Hence $z$ belongs to $\mathcal{H} \cap u v$. It follows that the set of all points of $\Gamma$ on $N$ corresponds to the point set $\mathcal{H} \cap u^{\prime} v^{\prime}=\mathcal{H}^{\prime} \cap u^{\prime} v^{\prime}$. As $N$ meets $\Gamma$ in more than 2 points, we are in contradiction with $\delta=2$.
(j) Finally let $\Gamma$ be a non-singular symplectic polar space sub-weakly embedded in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K}), d \geq 3$. Let $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ be the ground field over which the symplectic polarity $\zeta$ from which $\Gamma$ arises is defined.
If the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ is not two, then a similar proof as for the hermitian case leads to a contradiction; here the secant line $N$ will contain $\left|\mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right|+1$ points (note that the secant lines of $\Gamma$ correspond (bijectively) to the non-isotropic lines of the symplectic polarity $\zeta$ ).
If the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ is two, then $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ is perfect, hence $\Gamma$ is also orthogonal. Now it follows from (a) - (h) that $\Gamma$ is fully embedded in some $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{F})$.

The next lemma is a result similar to Theorem 1 for projective spaces. A sub-$n$-space of a projective space $\operatorname{PG}(n, \mathbb{K})$ is any space $\operatorname{PG}(n, \mathbb{F}), \mathbb{F}$ a subfield of $\mathbb{K}$, obtained from $\mathbf{P G}(n, \mathbb{K})$ by restricting coordinates to $\mathbb{F}$ (with respect to some coordinatization). Note that, for many fields $\mathbb{K}$ and positive integers $n$, there exist subsets $\mathcal{S}$ of the point set of $\operatorname{PG}(n, \mathbb{K})$ such that the linear space induced in $\mathcal{S}$ by the lines of $\operatorname{PG}(n, \mathbb{K})$ is the point-line space of a $\mathbf{P G}(m, \mathbb{F})$ with $m>n$. The following result gives a necessary and sufficient condition for such a structure to be a sub- $n$-space. These conditions are basically (WE1) and some analogue of (WE2).

Lemma 6 Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a generating set of points in the projective space $\mathbf{P G}(n, \mathbb{K})$, $\mathbb{K}$ a skewfield and let $\mathcal{L}$ be the collection of all intersections of size $>1$ of $\mathcal{S}$ with lines of $\operatorname{PG}(n, \mathbb{K})$. Suppose $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L})$ is the point-line space of some projective space $\mathbf{P G}(m, \mathbb{F})$, for some skewfield $\mathbb{F}$ and some positive integer $m$. Then $\mathbb{F}$ is a subfield of $\mathbb{K}, m=n$ and $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ are the point set and line set respectively of some sub-n-space $\mathbf{P G}(n, \mathbb{F})$ of $\mathbf{P G}(n, \mathbb{K})$ if and only if there
exists a dual basis of hyperplanes in $\mathbf{P G}(m, \mathbb{F})$ such that each element $H$ of that basis is contained in a hyperplane $H^{\prime}$ of $\mathrm{PG}(n, \mathbb{K})$ with $H^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{S}=H$.

PROOF. It is clear that the given condition is necessary. Now we show that it is also sufficient. If $m+1$ points of $\mathcal{S}$ generate $\mathrm{PG}(m, \mathbb{F})$, then by the condition that lines of $\mathbf{P G}(m, \mathbb{F})$ are line intersections of $\mathbf{P G}(n, \mathbb{K})$ with $\mathcal{S}$, these $m+1$ points must also span $\mathbf{P G}(n, \mathbb{K})$ (otherwise $\mathcal{S}$ is contained in some proper subspace of $\operatorname{PG}(n, \mathbb{K}))$. Hence $m \geq n$. Now let $\left\{H_{i}: i=\right.$ $0,1, \ldots, m-1, m\}$ be a collection of hyperplanes of $\operatorname{PG}(m, \mathbb{F})$ meeting the requirements of the lemma. Put $S_{i}=H_{0} \cap H_{1} \cap \ldots \cap H_{i}, i=0,1, \ldots, m$. Suppose that $S_{j}$ generates the same space as $S_{j+1}$ in $\operatorname{PG}(n, \mathbb{K})$ for some $j$, $0 \leq j \leq m-1$. Let $H_{i}$ be contained in the hyperplane $H_{i}^{\prime}$ (not necessarily unique at this point) of $\operatorname{PG}(n, \mathbb{K}), i=0,1, \ldots, m$. If $x$ is a point of $S_{j}$ not lying in $S_{j+1}\left(x\right.$ exists by the assumptions on $\left.H_{i}\right)$, then in $\operatorname{PG}(n, \mathbb{K}) x$ is not generated by the points of $H_{j+1}$, since $H_{j+1}^{\prime}$ meets $\mathcal{S}$ precisely in $H_{j+1}$. But $S_{j+1} \subseteq H_{j+1}$, hence in $\mathbf{P G}(n, \mathbb{K}) x$ is not generated by $S_{j+1}$, a contradiction. So $S_{j}$ generates a space in $\mathbf{P G}(n, \mathbb{K})$ which is strictly larger than $S_{j+1}$. That means that we have a chain of $m+1$ subspaces of $\operatorname{PG}(n, \mathbb{K})$ consecutively properly contained in each other and all contained in $H_{0}^{\prime}$; hence $n \geq m$. We conclude that $n=m$.

Now if we choose a basis of $\operatorname{PG}(n, \mathbb{F})$ (this is also a basis of $\operatorname{PG}(n, \mathbb{K})$ ), then is is clear that the corresponding coordinatization of $\operatorname{PG}(n, \mathbb{F})$ is the restriction of the coordinatization of $\operatorname{PG}(n, \mathbb{K})$ to the field $\mathbb{F}$. The result follows.

Lemma 7 Let $\Gamma$ be a non-singular polar space of rank at least 3 arising from a quadric, a symplectic polarity or a hermitian variety, and let $\Gamma$ be sub-weakly embedded of degree $\delta>2$ in the projective space $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$. Then $\Gamma$ is fully embedded in some subspace $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{F})$ of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$, for some subfield $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{K}$.

PROOF. Let $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ be the field underlying $\Gamma$.
(1) First, let the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ be odd and let $\Gamma$ be a non-singular symplectic polar space. By ( j ) in the proof of Lemma 5 , secant lines of $\Gamma$ correspond (bijectively) with non-isotropic lines of the symplectic polarity $\zeta$ from which $\Gamma$ arises. Now the space $\Omega$ with point set $\mathcal{S}$,
the point set of $\Gamma$, and line set $\left\{L^{*}: L\right.$ is a line of $\left.\Gamma\right\} \cup\{S \cap \mathcal{S}: S$ is the point set in $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$ of a secant line of $\Gamma\}$ is a projective space. Every hyperplane $H$ in that projective space $\Omega$ is the set of points of $\mathcal{S}$ collinear in $\Gamma$ with some fixed point $x$ of $\mathcal{S}$. It is easy to see that, as $\mathcal{S}$ is a generating set of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$, the hyperplane $H$ of $\Omega$ generates a hyperplane $H^{\prime}$ of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$. Now by (WE2) the assumptions of Lemma 6 are satisfied and the result follows.
Next, assume that the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ is two and let $\Gamma$ be a nonsingular symplectic polar space. Let $\zeta$ be again the symplectic polarity from which $\Gamma$ arises. If $\zeta$ is defined in $\operatorname{PG}\left(d^{\prime}, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$, then we consider a subspace $\mathbf{P G}\left(3, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$ of $\mathbf{P G}\left(d^{\prime}, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$ in which $\zeta$ induces a non-singular symplectic polarity $\eta$. The polar space defined by $\zeta$ is $\Gamma^{\prime}$, and the polar space defined by $\eta$ is $\Omega^{\prime}$. With $\Omega^{\prime}$ corresponds the polar subspace $\Omega$ of $\Gamma$. Let $L, M$ be two non-intersecting lines of $\Omega$ and let $L^{\prime}, M^{\prime}$ be the corresponding lines of $\Omega^{\prime}$. Let $x$ be a point of $\Omega$ on $L$ and $y$ a point of $\Omega$ on $M$, where $x$ and $y$ are not collinear in $\Omega$. The points of $\operatorname{PG}\left(3, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$ which correspond to $x, y$ are denoted by $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ respectively. As $\delta>2$ the line $x y$ contains a third point $z$ of $\Gamma$. As, by (WE2), $z$ is collinear in $\Gamma$ to all points of $x^{\perp} \cap y^{\perp}$, the corresponding point $z^{\prime}$ of $\mathbf{P G}\left(d^{\prime}, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$ is collinear in $\Gamma^{\prime}$ to all points of $x^{\prime \perp} \cap y^{\prime \perp}$. Hence $z^{\prime}$ belongs to the line $x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$, so belongs to $\Omega^{\prime}$. It follows that $z$ belongs to $\Omega$. As $\Omega^{\prime}$ is generated by $z^{\prime}, L^{\prime}, M^{\prime}$ and all points of $L^{\prime}$ and $M^{\prime}$, also $\Omega$ is generated by $z, L, M$ and all points of $L$ and $M$. Hence $\Omega$ is contained in a subspace $\operatorname{PG}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$. Then a similar argument as in (i) of Lemma 5 shows that the secant lines of $\Gamma$ correspond (bijectively) to the non-isotropic lines of $\zeta$. Now, analogously as in the odd characteristic case, the result follows.
(2) Now suppose that $\Gamma$ is of orthogonal type. Let $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be the image of a natural full embedding of $\Gamma$ in a projective space $\operatorname{PG}\left(d^{\prime}, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$ where the point set of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is a non-degenerate quadric $Q^{\prime}$ of $\operatorname{PG}\left(d^{\prime}, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$. Denote by $x^{\prime}$ the element of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ corresponding to any element $x$ of $\Gamma$. Let $M$ be a secant line in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$. Let $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ be three points of $\Gamma$ on $M$. Consider a point $r$ of $\Gamma$ collinear with both $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$. By (WE2) all points of $\Gamma$ on $M$ are collinear with $r$. If the lines $r^{\prime} p_{1}^{\prime}, r^{\prime} p_{2}^{\prime}, r^{\prime} p_{3}^{\prime}$ lie in a plane of $\mathbf{P G}\left(d^{\prime}, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$, then this must be a plane of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ and hence $M$ is a line of $\Gamma$, a contradiction. Consequently $r^{\prime}, p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}, p_{3}^{\prime}$ generate a 3 -dimensional subspace $\mathbf{P G}\left(3, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$ of $\mathbf{P G}\left(d^{\prime}, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\mathbf{P G}\left(4, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right) \supseteq \mathbf{P G}\left(3, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$ intersect
$Q^{\prime}$ in a non-singular quadric $Q_{1}^{\prime}$. Suppose the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ is not 2. Then there is a unique second point $s^{\prime}$ of $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ collinear with $p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}, p_{3}^{\prime}$. So $s$ is collinear with $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$. Since $s$ and $r$ are not collinear in $\Gamma, s$ is not in the plane $r p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}$ by (WE2). Let $N$ be a line of $\Gamma$ concurrent with $r p_{1}$ and $s p_{2}$ in $\Gamma$, but not incident with $r$ or $s$. The line $R$ of $\Gamma$ through $p_{3}$ meeting $N^{*}$ lies in the 3 -dimensional space $s r p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}$. By (WE2) $R$ is in the plane $p_{3} r s$. Let $w$ be the unique point of $R^{*}$ collinear with $p_{1}$; then $w$ is also collinear with $p_{2}$ (by (WE2)). Clearly $w^{\prime} \in Q_{1}^{\prime}$, a contradiction. Hence the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ is equal to 2 .

Let $p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}, p_{3}^{\prime}$ and $r^{\prime}$ be as above, and let $p_{1}^{\prime} p_{2}^{\prime} p_{3}^{\prime} \cap Q^{\prime}=C^{\prime}$; further let $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ be as above. Let $s^{\prime} \neq r^{\prime}$ be a point of $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ collinear with $p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}$ ( $s^{\prime}$ exists since $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ defines itself a polar space). By (WE2), $s^{\prime}$ is also collinear with $p_{3}^{\prime}$. As in the previous paragraph, we construct the line $R$ and the point $w$. Let $V^{\prime}$ be a line on $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ through $w^{\prime}$, not containing $p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}$. There is a line $L^{\prime}$ meeting $r^{\prime} p_{1}^{\prime}, s^{\prime} p_{2}^{\prime}$ and $V^{\prime}$, thus implying that $V$ belongs to the space $r s w p_{1} p_{2}=r s p_{1} p_{2}$. By (WE2), $V$ is contained in the plane $w p_{1} p_{2}$. Let $W$ be a line of $\Gamma$ containing $r$ and meeting $V^{*}$. Then $W$ is in the plane $r p_{1} p_{2} \neq w p_{1} p_{2}$, hence $V \cap W$ is on $M$. So $M$ contains all the points $x$ such that $x^{\prime}$ is on the conic $C^{\prime}$. Note that the kernel $k^{\prime}$ of $C^{\prime}$ coincides with the kernel of $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ (as all tangents $k^{\prime} r^{\prime}, k^{\prime} s^{\prime}$ and $k^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ with $p^{\prime} \in C^{\prime}$ generate the 4 -space of $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ ). We now show that for any point $x$ of $\Gamma$ on $M$, the point $x^{\prime}$ belongs to $C^{\prime}$. By (WE2), each point of $\Gamma$ on $M$ lies in $\left(\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}$. But $\left(\left\{p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}\right\}^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}$ is the intersection of $Q^{\prime}$ with either a line (and this happens if and only if $d^{\prime}$ is odd) or a plane $\pi$ (and this happens if and only if $d^{\prime}$ is even) containing the kernel $k^{\prime}$ of $Q^{\prime}$. The first case contradicts $\delta>2$, hence only the latter case occurs. But clearly $\pi$ must meet $Q^{\prime}$ in $C^{\prime}$ and our claim follows.

Note that the argument of the previous paragraph also shows that all points of every conic on $Q^{\prime}$ lying in a plane which contains the kernel $k^{\prime}$ of $Q^{\prime}$ correspond to the points of intersection of $\Gamma$ with some secant line $M$. Also, every two non-collinear points of $Q^{\prime}$ lie in such a unique plane. Projecting $\Gamma^{\prime}$ from the kernel $k^{\prime}$ onto some hyperplane $\operatorname{PG}\left(d^{\prime}-1, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$ not containing $k^{\prime}$, we obtain an embedding of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ into $\operatorname{PG}\left(d^{\prime}-1, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$ such that secant lines of $\Gamma$ correspond with secant lines of the image $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{PG}\left(d^{\prime}-1, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$. Note that if $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ is perfect, in particular when $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ is finite, then $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ is a non-singular symplectic space and the result
follows from the first part of the proof.
(3) Remark that in (1) and (2) the proof does not depend on the rank of $\Gamma$, as long as it is at least 2 .
From now on we use the fact that the rank of the orthogonal polar space $\Gamma$ is at least 3 . By the last part of (2) we may assume that the field $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ is not perfect. As in paragraph (a) of the proof of Lemma 5, one shows that any set $L^{*}$, with $L$ a line of $\Gamma$, is a subline of $L$ over a subfield $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{K}$ which is independent of $L$ (and clearly $\mathbb{F}$ is isomorphic to $\left.\mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$. We now proceed in the same style as in the proof of Lemma 5 , adapting the arguments to our present case $\delta>2$.
We denote by $x^{\prime \prime}$ the element of $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ in $\mathbf{P G}\left(d^{\prime}-1, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$ corresponding to any element $x$ of $\Gamma$ in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$. Let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be two lines of $\Gamma$ such that in $\mathbf{P G}\left(d^{\prime}, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right) L_{1}^{\prime}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime}$ span a 3 -space which intersects $Q^{\prime}$ in a non-singular quadric $Q^{+}$. Let $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ be the intersection of $Q^{\prime}$ with the 4dimensional subspace of $\mathbf{P G}\left(d^{\prime}, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$ generated by $L_{1}^{\prime}, L_{2}^{\prime}$ and the kernel $k^{\prime}$ of $Q^{\prime}$; note that $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ is non-singular. Let $\Omega$ be the polar subspace of $\Gamma$ which corresponds with the quadric $Q^{+}$. As in paragraphs (b) and (c) of the proof of Lemma 5 , one shows that $\Omega$ is fully embedded in a unique 3 -dimensional subspace $V$ over $\mathbb{F}$ of the 3 -dimensional subspace $U$ (over $\mathbb{K})$ of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$ generated by $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. Let $V^{\prime \prime}$ be the 3dimensional subspace of $\mathbf{P G}\left(d^{\prime}-1, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$ generated by $L_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ (where $L_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ are the respective projections of $L_{1}^{\prime}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime}$ ). Let $x^{\prime \prime}$ be any point of $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ in $V^{\prime \prime}$. Then $x^{\prime} \in Q_{1}^{\prime}$ and since $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ is non-singular, $x$ is not collinear with all points of $L_{i}^{*}, i=1,2$. Suppose $x^{\prime}$ does not lie on $Q^{+}$ and let $y$ be the unique point on $L_{1}$ collinear with $x$ in $\Gamma$. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}$ be two other points of $\Gamma$ on $L_{1}$. Let $L$ be the line of $\Gamma$ containing $y$ and concurrent with $L_{2}$. The lines $x^{\prime} y^{\prime}, L^{\prime}$ and $L_{1}^{\prime}$ define a cone on $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ and consequently there is a unique conic $C_{i}^{\prime}$ on that cone with kernel $k^{\prime}$ and containing $x^{\prime}$ and $x_{i}^{\prime}, i=1,2$. These conics correspond with the respective secant lines $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ of $\Gamma$. Hence $M_{i}, i=1,2$, contains $x_{i}$ and another point $y_{i}$ of $\Gamma$ on $L$. But $x_{i}, y_{i} \in V$, hence $M_{i}$ defines a line of $V, i=1,2$. Since $x$ is the intersection of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, it belongs to $V$. So we obtain a full embedding of the polar subspace of $\Gamma$ determined by $Q_{1}^{\prime}$.

Now let $z$ be any other point of $\Gamma$ contained in $U$. If $z$ belongs to $V$ then there is a unique line $M$ in $V$ meeting both $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ and containing $z$.

The extension of $M$ to $\mathbb{K}$ is a secant line of $\Gamma$ and hence it corresponds with a conic on $Q_{1}^{\prime}$; hence $z^{\prime}$ belongs to $Q_{1}^{\prime}$, a contradiction.
Suppose now $z \in U \backslash V$. Considering the polar subspace of $\Gamma$ generated by $L_{1}, L_{2}$ and their points in $\Gamma$, one shows as in paragraph (d) of the proof of Lemma 5 that $z \in V$, a contradiction. Hence the only points $x$ of $\Gamma$ in $U$ satisfy $x^{\prime} \in Q_{1}^{\prime}$.
As in paragraphs (e), (f), (g) and (h) of the proof of Lemma 5 we use an inductive argument. The assumption is that any $(2 c-1)$-dimensional non-singular orthogonal subspace $\Gamma_{1}$ of $\Gamma$, whose corresponding subspace $V_{1}^{\prime}$ in $\mathbf{P G}\left(d^{\prime}, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$ contains $k^{\prime}$, is fully embedded in a $(2 c-1)$ dimensional projective subspace $V_{1}$ over $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K}), 2 \leq c<\frac{d}{2}$. We want to show that every $(2 c+1)$-dimensional non-singular orthogonal subspace $\Gamma_{2}$ of $\Gamma$, whose corresponding subspace of $\mathbf{P G}\left(d^{\prime}, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$ contains $k^{\prime}$, is fully embedded in a $(2 c+1)$-dimensional projective subspace over $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$.
Let $\Gamma_{2}$ be a $(2 c+1)$-dimensional non-singular subspace of $\Gamma$, whose corresponding subspace $V_{2}^{\prime}$ of $P G\left(d^{\prime}, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$ contains $k^{\prime}, 2 \leq c<\frac{d}{2}$. Further, let $\Gamma_{1}$ be a $(2 c-1)$-dimensional non-singular subspace of $\Gamma_{2}$, whose point set corresponds to the set of all points of $\Gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ collinear to two given non-collinear points $u^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma_{2}^{\prime}$. Then the subspace $V_{1}^{\prime}$ of $\mathbf{P G}\left(d^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right)$ containing $\Gamma_{1}^{\prime}$, also contains the kernel $k^{\prime}$. Hence $\Gamma_{1}$ is fully embedded in a $(2 c-1)$-dimensional projective subspace $V_{1}$ over $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$.
First, suppose there is a point $x$ of $\Gamma_{2} \backslash \Gamma_{1}$ with the property that the subspace $V_{3}^{\prime}$ of $\mathbf{P G}\left(d^{\prime}, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$ generated by $V_{1}^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime}$ meets the point set of $\Gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ in a non-degenerate quadric $Q_{3}^{\prime}$, i.e. the singular point of $Q_{3}^{\prime}$ lies in a proper extension of $V_{3}^{\prime}$ over some extension field $\mathbb{F}_{1}$ of $\mathbb{F}$, but not in $V_{3}^{\prime}$ itself. Let $U_{1}$ be the extension of $V_{1}$ over $\mathbb{K}$. We first show that $U_{1}$ does not contain any point of $\Gamma_{3} \backslash \Gamma_{1}$, where $\Gamma_{3}$ is the polar subspace of $\Gamma$ which corresponds to $Q_{3}^{\prime}$. Let the point $z$ of $\Gamma_{3} \backslash \Gamma_{1}$ belong to $U_{1}$. Since $\Gamma_{3}$ is generated by $\Gamma_{1}$ and $z$, all points of $\Gamma_{3}$ belong to $U_{1}$. All points of $\Gamma_{1}$ are collinear with $u$. Since the point set of $\Gamma_{1}$ generates $U_{1}$, by (WE2) all points of $\Gamma_{3}$ are collinear with $u$. As $\Gamma_{3}$ is non-degenerate the point $u$ does not belong to $\Gamma_{3}$, and so the set of all points of $\Gamma_{3}$ collinear with $u$ is just the point set of $\Gamma_{1}$. This yields a contradiction. Consequently no point of $\Gamma_{3} \backslash \Gamma_{1}$ is contained in $U_{1}$. Similarly to parts
(f) and (g) of the proof of Lemma 5 we can now show that $\Gamma_{3}$ is fully embedded in a subspace $\mathbf{P G}(2 c, \mathbb{F})$ of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$. Let $\mathbf{P G}(2 c, \mathbb{K})$ be the extension of $\operatorname{PG}(2 c, \mathbb{F})$ over $\mathbb{K}$. Assume, by way of contradiction, that $\mathbf{P G}(2 c, \mathbb{K})$ contains a point $r$ of $\Gamma_{2} \backslash \Gamma_{3}$. Since $\Gamma_{2}$ is generated by $\Gamma_{3}$ and $r$, all points of $\Gamma_{2}$ belong to $\operatorname{PG}(2 c, \mathbb{K})$. Hence $u$ belongs to $\operatorname{PG}(2 c, \mathbb{K})$. By (WE2) the points $u$ and $v$ belong to the ( $2 c-1$ )-dimensional space $U_{1}$. Since $\Gamma_{2}$ is generated by $\Gamma_{1}, u$ and $v$, the polar space $\Gamma_{2}$ belongs to $U_{1}$. Hence $\Gamma_{3}$ belongs to $U_{1}$, a contradiction. Consequently no point of $\Gamma_{2} \backslash \Gamma_{3}$ is contained in $\mathbf{P G}(2 c, \mathbb{K})$. Similarly to parts (f) and (g) of the proof of Lemma 5 we now show that $\Gamma_{2}$ is fully embedded in a subspace $\mathbf{P G}(2 c+1, \mathbb{F})$ of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$.
Next, suppose that for each point $x$ of $\Gamma_{2} \backslash \Gamma_{1}$ the subspace $V_{3}^{\prime}$ of $\mathbf{P G}\left(d^{\prime}, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$ generated by $V_{1}^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime}$ meets the point set of $\Gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ in a degenerate quadric $Q_{3}^{\prime}$, that is, the singular point $y^{\prime}$ of $Q_{3}^{\prime}$ belongs to $V_{3}^{\prime}$. The set of all singular points $y^{\prime}$ is a non-singular conic $C^{\prime}$ with kernel $k^{\prime}$. Let $L^{\prime}$ be any line through $k^{\prime}$ in the plane $\pi^{\prime}$ of $C^{\prime}$. Then the $(2 c+1)$-dimensional space generated by $V_{1}^{\prime}$ and $L^{\prime}$ intersects the point set of $\Gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ in a degenerate quadric with singular point on $C^{\prime}$ and $L^{\prime}$. It follows that each line $L^{\prime}$ in $\pi^{\prime}$ through $k^{\prime}$ contains a point of $C^{\prime}$. Consequently the field $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ is perfect, a contradiction.

As in (h) of the proof of Lemma 5 , induction now shows that $d=d^{\prime}-1$ and that $\Gamma$ is fully embedded in a subspace $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$ of $\mathbf{P G}(d, K)$.
(4) Finally suppose that $\Gamma$ is a non-singular unitary polar space of rank at least 3 arising from some hermitian variety $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}=H\left(d^{\prime}, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$ in $\operatorname{PG}\left(d^{\prime}, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\sigma$ an involutory field automorphism of $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$. Again we can copy part (a) of the proof of Lemma 5. As in (b) of that proof we can choose two lines $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ of $\Gamma$ generating a 3 -space $U$ of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$. In $\Gamma$ the lines $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ and their points generate a nonsingular polar space $\Omega$ which corresponds to a hermitian surface $\mathcal{H}_{3}^{\prime}$ (of a 3 -space) on $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$. Now $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ (but not all their points) are contained in a polar subspace $\Omega_{0}$ corresponding to a symplectic space $W\left(3, \mathbb{F}_{\sigma}^{\prime}\right)$ in a 3 -dimensional subspace $\mathbf{P G}\left(3, \mathbb{F}_{\sigma}^{\prime}\right)$ of $\mathbf{P G}\left(d^{\prime}, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$ over the field $\mathbb{F}_{\sigma}^{\prime}$ which consists of all elements of $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ fixed by $\sigma$. By part (1) of this proof we know that there exists a subfield $\mathbb{F}_{\sigma}$ of $\mathbb{K}$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{\sigma}^{\prime}$ and a 3 -dimensional subspace $V_{\sigma}$ of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$ over $\mathbb{F}_{\sigma}$ such that $\Omega_{0}$ is fully embedded in $V_{\sigma}$. We also know that for any line $L$ of $\Gamma$ the set
$L^{*}$ is a projective subline of $L$ in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ over some field $\mathbb{F}$, which is independent of $L$. Evidently $\mathbb{F}$ contains $\mathbb{F}_{\sigma}$. Let $V$ be the extension of $V_{\sigma}$ over $\mathbb{F}$. Let $L$ be a line of $\Omega_{0}$ and let $x$ be a point on $L$ belonging to $\Omega \backslash \Omega_{0}$. Then clearly $x$ lies in $V$. We will show that every point $x$ of $\Omega$ lies on a line of $\Omega_{0}$.
Let $x$ be an arbitrary point of $\Omega \backslash \Omega_{0}$ and let $x^{\prime}$ be the corresponding point of $\mathcal{H}_{3}^{\prime}$. Since $\operatorname{PG}\left(3, \mathbb{F}_{\sigma}^{\prime}\right)$ is a Baer subspace of $\mathbf{P G}\left(3, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$, there is a unique line $L^{\prime}$ of $\mathbf{P G}\left(3, \mathbb{F}_{\sigma}^{\prime}\right)$ containing $x^{\prime}$. If $L^{\prime}$ were not a line of $W\left(3, \mathbb{F}_{\sigma}^{\prime}\right)$, then it would meet $\mathcal{H}_{3}^{\prime}$ in a subline of $L^{\prime}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{\sigma}^{\prime}$, hence $x^{\prime}$ would be a point of $\mathrm{PG}\left(3, \mathbb{F}_{\sigma}^{\prime}\right)$, a contradiction. So $L^{\prime}$ is a line of $\mathcal{H}_{3}^{\prime}$ (alternatively, this can be easily seen by considering the dual generalized quadrangle). The corresponding line $L$ of $\Omega$ is incident with $x$ and belongs to $\Omega_{0}$. Hence $\Omega$ is fully embedded in $V$ and $U$ is the extension of $V$ over $\mathbb{K}$.

Now we show that no other point of $\Gamma$ belongs to $U$. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that the point $z$ of $\Gamma$ lies in $U$ but is not contained in $\Omega$. Let $z^{\prime}$ be the corresponding point of $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$. If $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ is the set of all points of $\mathcal{H}_{3}^{\prime}$ collinear with $z^{\prime}$, then either $\mathcal{H}_{3}^{\prime}=\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$, or $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ is a non-singular hermitian curve, or $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ is a singular hermitian curve. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the corresponding point set of $\Omega$. First, let $\mathcal{H}_{3}^{\prime}=\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$. Noticing that for every point $y$ of $\Omega$, the space generated by $y^{\perp}$ in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ meets $U$ in a plane (by axiom (WE2)), we see that $z$ must lie in every plane containing two intersecting lines of $\Omega$. Hence the extensions over $\mathbb{K}$ of all tangent planes of the unitary polar space $\Omega$ (the point set of $\Omega$ is a hermitian variety of $V$ ) have a common point, clearly a contradiction. Hence $\mathcal{H}_{3}^{\prime} \neq \mathcal{T}^{\prime}$. Then, by (WE2), $\mathcal{T}$ and $z$ are contained in a common plane $\mathbf{P G}(2, \mathbb{K})$. Assume that $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ is a singular hermitian curve, with singular point $u^{\prime}$. Let $r^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}^{\prime} \backslash\left\{u^{\prime}\right\}$. As $r$ is collinear with $u$ and $z$ in $\Gamma$, by (WE2) it is collinear in $\Gamma$ with all points of $\mathcal{T}$, clearly a contradiction. Finally, let $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ be a non-singular hermitian curve. Let $s$ be any point of $\mathcal{T}$, and let $M_{1}, M_{2}$ be any two distinct lines of $\Omega$ through $s$. By (WE2) the lines $M_{1}, M_{2}, z s$ are contained in a common plane, which is the extension over $\mathbb{K}$ of the tangent plane of the unitary polar space $\Omega$ at $s$. Hence $z$ belongs to the extensions of all tangent planes of $\Omega$ at points of $\mathcal{T}$, so $z$ belongs to $V$. It follows that all tangent lines of the hermitian curve $\mathcal{T}$ concur at $z$, a contradiction. We conclude that the only points of $\Gamma$ in $U$ are the points of $\Omega$.

As in paragraphs (e), (f), (g) and (h) of the proof of Lemma 5 (and as in (3) of the present proof) we use an inductive argument. Let $\Gamma_{1}$ be the polar subspace of $\Gamma$ arising from a non-degenerate hermitian subvariety $\mathcal{H}_{1}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ containing lines, and obtained from $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ by intersecting it with a $c$-dimensional subspace $W_{1}^{\prime}$ of $\mathbf{P G}\left(d^{\prime}, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right), 3 \leq c<d^{\prime}$. Suppose that $\Gamma_{1}$ is fully embedded in a $c$-dimensional subspace $V_{1}$ over $\mathbb{F}$ of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$. Let $\Gamma_{2}$ be the polar subspace of $\Gamma$ arising from a non-degenerate hermitian subvariety $\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ obtained from $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ by intersecting it with a $(c+1)$-dimensional subspace $W_{2}^{\prime}$ of $\mathbf{P G}\left(d^{\prime}, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$ containing $W_{1}^{\prime}$. Then we will show that $\Gamma_{2}$ is fully embedded in some $(c+1)$-dimensional subspace $V_{2}$ over $\mathbb{F}$ of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$. Let $x$ be a point of $\Gamma_{2} \backslash \Gamma_{1}$. Let $U_{1}$ be the extension of $V_{1}$ over $\mathbb{K}$. Suppose by way of contradiction that $x$ belongs to $U_{1}$. The points of $\Gamma_{1}$ collinear with $x$ in $\Gamma_{2}$ form a point set $\mathcal{H}_{3}$ corresponding to a non-singular hermitian subvariety $\mathcal{H}_{3}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{1}^{\prime}$ obtained by intersecting $\mathcal{H}_{1}^{\prime}$ with a hyperplane of $W_{1}^{\prime}$. By (WE2), $x$ must belong to the extension over $\mathbb{K}$ of every hyperplane of $V_{1}$ tangent to $\Gamma_{1}$ at a point of $\mathcal{H}_{3}$. Also by (WE2), $x$ and $\mathcal{H}_{3}$ are contained in a common hyperplane $W_{3}$ of $U_{1}$. As the polar space with point set $\mathcal{H}_{1}^{\prime}$ is generated by $\mathcal{H}_{3}^{\prime}$ and any point of $\mathcal{H}_{1}^{\prime} \backslash \mathcal{H}_{3}^{\prime}$, also $\Gamma_{1}$ is generated by $\mathcal{H}_{3}$ and any point of $\Gamma_{1}$ not in $\mathcal{H}_{3}$. Hence $\mathcal{H}_{3}$ generates a hyplerplane $R_{3}$ of $V_{1}$. Clearly $W_{3}$ is the extension over $\mathbb{K}$ of the hyperplane $R_{3}$. It follows that the extensions over $\mathbb{K}$ of the tangent hyperplanes of $\Gamma_{1}$ at points of $\mathcal{H}_{3}$ intersect in a unique point which belongs to $V_{1} \backslash R_{3}$. Hence $x \notin W_{3}$, a contradiction. Consequently no point of $\Gamma_{2} \backslash \Gamma_{1}$ belongs to $U_{1}$. Let $L$ be any line of $\Gamma_{2} \backslash \Gamma_{1}$; then $L^{*}$ defines a projective subline over $\mathbb{F}$ and hence there is a unique $(c+1)$-dimensional subspace $V_{2}$ over $\mathbb{F}$ of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ containing $V_{1}$ and all elements of $L^{*}$. We now show that all points of $\Gamma_{2}$ are contained in $V_{2}$. Let $x$ be any point of $\Gamma_{2}$. Clearly we may assume that $x$ does not belong to $\Gamma_{1}$ nor to $L^{*}$.

In the sequel, we again denote the corresponding element in $\mathbf{P G}\left(d^{\prime}, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$ of an element $e$ of $\Gamma$ by $e^{\prime}$.

First suppose that $x$ is collinear in $\Gamma_{2}$ with a point $y \in L^{*}$ which does not belong to $\Gamma_{1}$. All points of the line $x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$ belong to $\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\prime}$ and hence there is a unique point $z^{\prime}$ of $x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{1}^{\prime}$. Let $w$ be the unique point of $\Gamma_{1}$ on $L^{*}$. The line $w z$ is either a line of $\Gamma_{1}$ or a secant line. In the first case the points of $\Gamma_{2}$ in the plane $x w z$ of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ form a projective subplane over $\mathbb{F}$ sharing all points of at least two lines with $V_{2}$. Hence
all points of that subplane belong to $V_{2}$ and so does $x$. In the second case let $u$ be any point of $\Gamma_{1}$ on $w z, w \neq u \neq z$ (this is possible by the assumption $\delta>2$ ). By Proposition 4 of Lefèvre-Perscy [5] the line $x u$ meets $L$ in a point of $\Gamma$. Hence both $x u$ and $x z$ are lines of $V_{2}$ and the result follows.

Now suppose that $x$ is not collinear in $\Gamma_{2}$ with an element of $L^{*}$ not belonging to $\Gamma_{1}$. By the Buekenhout-Shult axiom $x$ is collinear in $\Gamma_{2}$ with the unique point $w$ of $L^{*}$ in $\Gamma_{1}$. Let $y \in L^{*}, y \neq w$. It is easy to see that there is at most one point on the line $y^{\prime} w^{\prime}$ collinear in $\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\prime}$ to all points of $\mathcal{H}_{1}^{\prime}$ which are collinear to $x^{\prime}$ (since all such points belong to a secant line of $\mathcal{H}_{2}^{\prime}$ ). So there is a point $y_{1} \neq w$ on $L^{*}$ and a point $r$ of $\Gamma_{1}$ collinear with $y_{1}$ in $\Gamma_{2}$, but not collinear with $x$ in $\Gamma_{2}$. By the Buekenhout-Shult axiom, there exists a unique line $M$ of $\Gamma_{2}$ incident with $x$ and containing a point $s$ of $\Gamma_{2}$ on the line $r y_{1}$. By assumption $s \neq r$, so $s$ does not belong to $\Gamma_{1}$. By the previous paragraph, all points of $\Gamma$ on $r y_{1}$ belong to $V_{2}$. Interchanging the roles of $r y_{1}$ and $L$, we now see that $x$ belongs to $V_{2}$. We conclude that $\Gamma_{2}$ is fully embedded in a $(c+1)$-dimensional subspace over $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$. Applying this for $c=3,4, \ldots, d^{\prime}-1$, we finally obtain that $\Gamma$ is fully embedded in some $\mathbf{P G}\left(d^{\prime}, \mathbb{F}\right)$ from which immediately follows that $d^{\prime}=d$.

This completes the proof of the lemma.
The previous lemmas prove Theorem 1.

## Remarks.

1. When $\Gamma$ arises from a non-degenerate but singular quadric (and that can only happen if the characteristic of the ground field $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ is equal to 2), Theorem 1 is not valid. For example consider in $\operatorname{PG}\left(7, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ is a non-perfect field with characteristic 2 , the quadric $Q$ with equation

$$
X_{0}^{2}+X_{1}^{2}+X_{0} X_{1}+X_{2}^{2}+a X_{3}^{2}+X_{4}^{2}+X_{5}^{2}+X_{4} X_{5}+X_{6} X_{7}=0
$$

where $a \in \mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ is a non-square. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}^{\prime}$ and let $\mathbf{P G}(7, \mathbb{K})$ be the corresponding extension of $\mathbf{P G}\left(7, \mathbb{F}^{\prime}\right)$. The point $x(0,0, \sqrt{a}, 1,0,0,0,0)$ is the unique singular point of $Q$. If we project $Q$ from $x$ onto a hyperplane $\operatorname{PG}(6, \mathbb{K})$ of $\operatorname{PG}(7, \mathbb{K})$ which does not contain $x$, then we obtain a weakly embedded polar space which is not
fully embedded in any subspace $\mathbf{P G}(6, \mathbb{F})$, for any subfield $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{K}$. In a forthcoming paper, we will classify sub-weakly embedded singular polar spaces, degenerate or not, arising from quadrics, symplectic polarities or hermitian varieties.
2. When $\Gamma$ has $\delta=2$ and arises from a non-singular symplectic polar space of rank at least three over a non-perfect field of characteristic two, then Theorem 1 is not valid. We give an example. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field of characteristic two for which the subfield $\mathbb{F}$ of squares is not perfect. Then also $\mathbb{K}$ is not perfect. Now consider in $\operatorname{PG}(6, \mathbb{K})$ the set $\mathcal{S}$ of points $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}\right)$ with $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{5} \in \mathbb{F}, x_{6} \in \mathbb{K}$, and lying on the quadric $Q$ with equation

$$
X_{0} X_{3}+X_{1} X_{4}+X_{2} X_{5}=X_{6}^{2} .
$$

Then $\mathcal{S}$, provided with lines and planes induced by $Q$, is a polar space $\Gamma$ isomorphic to the non-singular symplectic polar space $W(5, \mathbb{F})$ in $\operatorname{PG}(5, \mathbb{F})$ by projecting $\mathcal{S}$ from ( $0,0,0,0,0,0,1$ ) into the subspace $U$ with equation $X_{6}=0$ over $\mathbb{F}$. Clearly $\Gamma$ is sub-weakly embedded in $\mathbf{P G}(6, \mathbb{K})$. Let $e_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq 5$, be the point of $\mathbf{P G}(6, \mathbb{K})$ with all coordinates 0 except the $(i+1)$ th coordinate, which is equal to 1 . Let $e$ be the point all coordinates of which are equal to 1 and let $e_{01}$ be the point with coordinates $(1,1,0,0,0,0,0)$. Then it is easy to see that the set $V$ of points of $\mathcal{S}$ on the lines $e_{i} e_{i+1}, i \in\{0,1, \ldots, 4\}$, on $e_{0} e_{5}$ and on $e e_{01}$ generates the subspace $\mathbf{P G}(6, \mathbb{F})$ of $\mathbf{P G}(6, \mathbb{K})$ consisting of all points with coordinates in $\mathbb{F}$. Hence, if $\mathcal{S}$ were fully embedded in a subspace of $\operatorname{PG}(6, \mathbb{K})$ over a subfield of $\mathbb{K}$, then this subspace would be $\operatorname{PG}(6, \mathbb{F})$. As $\mathcal{S}$ contains the point $\left(0,0,1,0,0, a^{2}, a\right), a \in \mathbb{K} \backslash \mathbb{F}$, which does not belong to $\operatorname{PG}(6, \mathbb{F})$, the polar space $\Gamma$ is not fully embedded in a subspace of $\mathbf{P G}(6, \mathbb{K})$.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 2

(i) First suppose that the non-degenerate quadric $Q$ does not contain lines. Since by assumption the points of $Q$ span $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$, we may assume that $e_{i}=(0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0)$, where the 1 is in the $i$ th position, lies on $Q$ for every $i$. The plane $e_{i} e_{j} e_{k}, 1 \leq i<j<k \leq d+1$, meets $Q$ in
a non-singular non-empty conic. Assume that the coefficient of $X_{\ell} X_{m}$ in a fixed equation for $Q$ over $\mathbb{F}$ is $a_{\ell m}=a_{m \ell}$. Let the quadric $Q^{\prime}$ of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$, with $\mathbb{K}$ an extension of $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$ the corresponding extension of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{F})$, contain $Q$. The coefficient of $X_{\ell} X_{m}$ in a fixed equation for $Q^{\prime}$ over $\mathbb{K}$ is denoted by $a_{\ell m}^{\prime}=a_{m \ell}^{\prime}$. If $|\mathbb{F}| \geq 4$, then, either $e_{i} e_{j} e_{k} \cap Q^{\prime}$ is a non-singular non-empty conic or the plane $e_{i} e_{j} e_{k}$ itself. As a non-singular non-empty conic is uniquely defined by any five of its points, we have $a_{\ell m}^{\prime}=c_{\{i, j, k\}} a_{\ell m}$ with $\ell, m \in\{i, j, k\}$ and $c_{\{i, j, k\}} \in \mathbb{K}$ (as $e_{i} e_{j} e_{k} \cap Q$ is non-singular we have $a_{\ell m} \neq 0$ ). By fixing $i$ and $j$ we see that $c_{\{i, j, k\}}=c_{\left\{i, j, k^{\prime}\right\}}$, for every $k, k^{\prime}$ and now it is easy to see that $c_{\{i, j, k\}}$ is a constant $c$; it is clear that $c \neq 0$, whence the result for $|\mathbb{F}| \geq 4$. Suppose now $|\mathbb{F}|=3$. As $Q$ does not contain lines we have $d \in\{2,3\}$. For $d=2$, there are indeed distinct conics in $\operatorname{PG}(2, \mathbb{K})$, where $\mathbb{K}$ is a field of characteristic 3 with $|\mathbb{K}|>3$, containing the four points of a conic in a subplane isomorphic with $\mathbf{P G}(2,3)$, and the same remark holds for $|\mathbb{F}|=2$ and $d=2$. If $d=3$ and $|\mathbb{F}|=3$, then a direct and straightforward computation shows that the ten points of $Q$ are on a unique quadric in every extension $\operatorname{PG}(3, \mathbb{K})$. For $|\mathbb{F}|=2$ and $d=3$, the five points of $Q$ are contained in several non-singular quadrics over every proper extension of $\mathbb{F}$. This completes the case where $Q$ does not contain lines.

Now suppose that $Q$ contains lines. Let $Q^{\prime}$ be a quadric in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ containing $Q$, with $\mathbb{K}$ an extension of $\mathbb{F}$ and $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ the corresponding extension of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$. Again we can assume that $e_{i} \in Q$ for all $i$. Let $a_{i j}=a_{j i}$ respectively $a_{i j}^{\prime}=a_{j i}^{\prime}$ be the coefficient of $X_{i} X_{j}$ in the equation of $Q$ respectively $Q^{\prime}$. The tangent hyperplane $U_{i}$ of $Q$ at $e_{i}$ is spanned by all lines through $e_{i}$ contained in $Q$. If $e_{i}$ is not singular for $Q^{\prime}$, then also the tangent hyperplane $U_{i}^{\prime}$ of $Q^{\prime}$ at $e_{i}$ is spanned by all lines through $e_{i}$ contained in $Q^{\prime}$; in such a case the hyperplane $U_{i}$ is necessarily a subhyperplane of $U_{i}^{\prime}$. The equation of $U_{i}$ is $\sum_{j} a_{i j} X_{j}=0$ (note that $a_{i i}=a_{i i}^{\prime}=0$ for all $i$ ). If $e_{i}$ is not singular for $Q^{\prime}$, then the equation of $U_{i}^{\prime}$ is $\sum_{j} a_{i j}^{\prime} X_{j}=0$; if $e_{i}$ is singular for $Q^{\prime}$, then $a_{i j}^{\prime}=0$ for all $j$. From the foregoing it follows that $a_{i j}^{\prime}=c_{i} a_{i j}$ for all $j$, with $c_{i} \in \mathbb{K}$. Hence if $a_{i j}=0$, then also $a_{i j}^{\prime}=0$. Now consider $1 \leq i<j \leq d+1$ and $1 \leq k<\ell \leq d+1$ with $\{i, j\} \cap\{k, \ell\}=\emptyset$ and suppose that $a_{i j} \neq 0 \neq a_{k \ell}$. From the preceding it immediately follows that if $a_{i k}$,
$a_{i \ell}, a_{j k}$ and $a_{j \ell}$ are not all zero, then

$$
\frac{a_{i j}^{\prime}}{a_{i j}}=\frac{a_{k \ell}^{\prime}}{a_{k \ell}}
$$

On the other hand, if $a_{i k}=a_{i \ell}=a_{j k}=a_{j \ell}=0$, then the same equality follows from considering the tangent hyperplane of $Q$ at the point $e_{i k}=(0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0)$, with the 1 in the $i$ th and the $k$ th position, from considering the tangent hyperplane of $Q^{\prime}$ at $e_{i k}$ if this point is not singular for $Q^{\prime}$ (if this point is singular for $Q^{\prime}$, then $a_{i j}^{\prime}=a_{k \ell}^{\prime}=0$ ), and from considering the coefficients of $X_{j}$ and $X_{\ell}$ in the equations of these hyperplanes. Now it immediately follows that $Q^{\prime}$ is uniquely determined by $Q$.
(ii) The proof is similar to the last part of $(i)$ and in fact it can be simplified a great deal because we can immediately use standard equations.
(iii) First suppose that the non-singular non-empty hermitian variety $H$ does not contain lines. Since the points of $H$ span $P G(d, \mathbb{F}), d \geq 2$, we may assume that $e_{i}=(0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0)$, where the 1 is in the $i$ th position, lies on $H$ for every $i$. The plane $e_{i} e_{j} e_{k}, 1 \leq i<j<k \leq d+1$, meets $H$ in a non-singular non-empty hermitian curve $C$. Assume that the coefficient of $X_{\ell} X_{m}^{\sigma}$ in a fixed equation for $H$ over $\mathbb{F}$ is $a_{\ell m}$. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field containing $\mathbb{F}$ admitting a $\mathbb{K}$-involution $\tau$ the restriction of which to $\mathbb{F}$ is $\sigma$, let $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ be the corresponding extension of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{F})$, and let the hermitian variety $H^{\prime}$ of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$ contain $H$. The coefficient of $X_{\ell} X_{m}^{\tau}$ in a fixed equation for $H^{\prime}$ over $\mathbb{K}$ is denoted by $a_{\ell m}^{\prime}$. The intersection of $C$ with the line $e_{i} e_{j}$ is determined by the equation $a_{i j} X_{i} X_{j}^{\sigma}+a_{j i} X_{j} X_{i}^{\sigma}=0$ (as $C$ is non-singular we have $a_{i j} \neq 0$ ). For each point of that intersection also the equation $a_{i j}^{\prime} X_{i} X_{j}^{\sigma}+a_{j i}^{\prime} X_{j} X_{i}^{\sigma}=0$ is satisfied. Let $(0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0, u, 0, \ldots, 0)$ be a point of $C \cap e_{i} e_{j}$ with $u \neq 0$. Then $a_{i j} u^{\sigma}+a_{j i} u=a_{i j}^{\prime} u^{\sigma}+a_{j i}^{\prime} u=0$. Hence

$$
\frac{a_{i j}^{\prime}}{a_{i j}}=\frac{a_{j i}^{\prime}}{a_{j i}}
$$

Let us now consider a point $(0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0, u, 0, \ldots, 0, v, 0, \ldots, 0)$ of $C \cap e_{i} e_{j} e_{k}$ with the $u$ as above and $v \neq 0$. Then $a_{i k} v^{\sigma}+a_{k i} v+$ $a_{j k} u v^{\sigma}+a_{k j} v u^{\sigma}=a_{i k}^{\prime} v^{\sigma}+a_{k i}^{\prime} v+a_{j k}^{\prime} u v^{\sigma}+a_{k j}^{\prime} v u^{\sigma}=0$. As

$$
\frac{a_{i k}^{\prime}}{a_{i k}}=\frac{a_{k i}^{\prime}}{a_{k i}} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{a_{j k}^{\prime}}{a_{j k}}=\frac{a_{k j}^{\prime}}{a_{k j}}
$$

we have

$$
a_{i k} v^{\sigma}+a_{k i} v+a_{j k} u v^{\sigma}+a_{k j} v u^{\sigma}=b\left(a_{i k} v^{\sigma}+a_{k i} v\right)+c\left(a_{j k} u v^{\sigma}+a_{k j} v u^{\sigma}\right)=0,
$$

with $b, c \in \mathbb{K}$. Assume, by way of contradiction, that

$$
\begin{cases}a_{i j} u^{\sigma}+a_{j i} u & =0 \\ a_{i k} v^{\sigma}+a_{k i} v & =0 \\ a_{j k} u v^{\sigma}+a_{k j} v u^{\sigma} & =0\end{cases}
$$

Then it readily follows that $a_{i j} a_{j k} a_{k i}+a_{j i} a_{i k} a_{k j}=0$. As $C$ is nonsingular, we have $a_{i j} a_{j k} a_{k i}+a_{j i} a_{i k} a_{k j} \neq 0$, a contradiction. Hence $a_{i k} v^{\sigma}+a_{k i} v$ and $a_{j k} u v^{\sigma}+a_{k j} v u^{\sigma}$ are not both zero, so that $b=c$. Hence

$$
\frac{a_{i k}^{\prime}}{a_{i k}}=\frac{a_{k i}^{\prime}}{a_{k i}}=\frac{a_{j k}^{\prime}}{a_{j k}}=\frac{a_{k j}^{\prime}}{a_{k j}} .
$$

Now it readily follows that $H^{\prime}$ is uniquely determined by $H$.
Now suppose that $H$ contains lines. If the line $e_{i} e_{j}, i \neq j$, does not belong to $H$, then as in the first part of (iii) we obtain

$$
\frac{a_{i j}^{\prime}}{a_{i j}}=\frac{a_{j i}^{\prime}}{a_{j i}} .
$$

If the line $e_{i} e_{j}, i \neq j$, belongs to $H$, then $a_{i j}=a_{j i}=a_{i j}^{\prime}=a_{j i}^{\prime}=0$. Now we proceed as in the second part of the proof of $(i)$.

Remark. In the finite case, any $\mathbf{G F}\left(q^{2}\right)$ contains a unique involution. But in the infinite case, examples arise where distinct choices for $\tau$ can be made. For instance, one can extend the unique involution $x \mapsto x^{q}$ of $\mathbf{G F}\left(q^{2}\right), q$ odd, to the involutions $\sum a_{i} t^{i} \mapsto \sum a_{i}^{q} t^{i}$ and $\sum a_{i} t^{i} \mapsto \sum a_{i}^{q}(-t)^{i}$ of $\mathbf{G F}\left(q^{2}\right)(t)$.
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