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#### Abstract

In this paper, we classify all generalized quadrangles weakly embedded in projective space of degree $>2$. More exactly, given a (possibly infinite) generalized quadrangle $\Gamma=(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \mathbf{I})$ and a map $\pi$ from $\mathcal{P}$ (respectively $\mathcal{L}$ ) to the set of points (respectively lines) of a projective space $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K}), \mathbb{K}$ some skewfield, $d \geq 2$ (but not necessarily finite), such that (i) $\pi$ is injective on points, (ii) if $x \in \mathcal{P}$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}$ with $x \mathbf{I} L$, then $x^{\pi}$ is incident with $L^{\pi}$ in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$, (iii) the set of points $\left\{x^{\pi} \mid x \in \mathcal{P}\right\}$ generates $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$, (iv) if $x, y \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $y^{\pi}$ is contained in the subspace of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$ generated by the set $\left\{z^{\pi} \mid z\right.$ is collinear with $x$ in $\left.\Gamma\right\}$, then $y$ is collinear with $x$ in $\Gamma$, $(v)$ there exists a line of $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$ not in the image of $\pi$ and which meets $\Gamma$ in at least 3 points, then we show that $\Gamma$ is a Moufang quadrangle and we can explicitly describe the weak embedding of $\Gamma$ in $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$.


## 1 Introduction

Weakly embedded polar spaces were introduced by Lefèvre-Percsy, see e.g. [4] (although she had a stronger notion of weak embedding, but it was proved to be equivalent with the present one by Thas \& Van Maldeghem [11]). In the same paper, she proves that the number of points of a weakly embedded polar space $\Gamma$ on a secant line (i.e., a

[^0]line of the projective space not belonging to the polar space and meeting $\Gamma$ in at least two points) is a constant (and hence does not depend on that line). Following Thas \& Van Maldeghem [10], we call this constant the degree of the weak embedding. In [3], Lefèvre-Percsy classifies the finite weakly embedded generalized quadrangles (which are the non-degenerate polar spaces of rank 2 ) in $\mathbf{P G}(3, q)$. All those weak embeddings have automatically degree $>2$. In Thas \& Van Maldeghem [11], all weakly embedded quadrangles in finite projective space are classified. In the present paper, we extend the results of these papers to the infinite case, on the condition that the weak embedding has degree $>2$. Notice that, when the weak embedding is a full embedding, i.e., every point in $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$ of every line of the quadrangle is also a point of the quadrangle, then the embedding is one of the known ones by Dienst [2] (the result is that only the classical Moufang quadrangles turn up with their natural embedding in a (possibly degenerate) polarity, see Tits [15]). Hence, our Main Result is also a partial generalization of Dienst's result. There is yet no hope of further generalization to degree 2 by the methods we use in this paper.
Note that results of Steinbach [7] and Thas \& Van Maldeghem [10] treat the same kind of question for polar spaces with some additional conditions. In all cases, the assumptions imply that the polar space is classical. In the present paper, we hypothesize an arbitrary quadrangle and prove that it must belong to the class of so-called Moufang quadrangles. Then we have to treat several classes (amongst them the classical cases). An alternative approach not using the classification of Moufang quadrangles is developed in Steinbach [8], though only a partial answer is given there.
So the eventual determination of all weakly embedded quadrangles of degree $>2$ requires some knowledge about the classification of Moufang quadrangles. We will introduce notation and repeat some known results in the next section.

## 2 Definitions and Notation

A generalized quadrangle $\Gamma=(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \mathbf{I})$ is a point-line incidence geometry (where $\mathcal{P}$ is the set of points and $\mathcal{L}$ the set of lines) satisfying the following two axioms:
(i) Each point is incident with $t+1$ lines; each line is incident with $s+1$ points; two distinct points are never incident with two distinct lines (here $s, t \geq 1$, possibly infinite).
(ii) If $x$ is a point and $L$ is a line not incident with $x$, then there is a unique pair $(y, M) \in \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{L}$ for which $x \mathbf{I} M \mathbf{I} y \mathbf{I}$.

The pair $(s, t)$ is usually called the order of $\Gamma$. If $s, t>1$, then the quadrangle is said to be thick. Furthermore, we use standard terminology such as collinear points, concurrent
lines, etc. Also, there is a duality for generalized quadrangles: every statement has a dual, i.e., if one interchanges the names point and line (and the numbers $s$ and $t$ ), then a (usually new) statement is obtained. The dual of $\Gamma$ is denoted by $\Gamma^{D}$. Further, the line $M$ (respectively the point $y$ ) of (ii) is called the projection of $L$ onto $x$ (respectively of $x$ onto $L$ ).

Generalized quadrangles were introduced by Tits in [12]. For more information, we refer to the monograph of Payne \& Thas [6], to Thas [9], or Van Maldeghem [19] (in the latter also the infinite case is covered).
There is no hope of classifying all generalized quadrangles (the situation is more or less the same as for projective planes), as there are (many variations of) free constructions of such geometries, see e.g. Tits [14]. Nevertheless, if one imposes some extra conditions, then classification is possible. Two such conditions are related to our Main Result, namely, the Moufang condition, and the condition of being weakly embedded in a projective space.

### 2.1 Moufang quadrangles

Let $\Gamma=(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \mathbf{I})$ be a thick generalized quadrangle. We denote by $\Gamma(a)$ the set of elements of $\Gamma$ incident with the element $a$ (point or line). A point-elation is an automorphism of $\Gamma$ fixing the set $\Gamma(x) \cup \Gamma(y) \cup \Gamma(L)$ elementwise, where $x, y, x \neq y$, are two collinear points incident with, say, the line $L$. Such a collineation is also called an $(x, L, y)$-elation. If for some line $M \mathbf{I} x, M \neq L$, the group of all $(x, L, y)$-elations acts transitively on $\Gamma(M) \backslash\{x\}$, then we say that $(x, L, y)$ is a Moufang path. Dually, one defines line-elations and Moufang paths $(L, x, M)$. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{P}, L, M \in \mathcal{L}$. If the paths $(x, L, y)$, for all choices of $x \mathbf{I} L \mathbf{I} y, x \neq y$ (respectively the paths $(L, x, M)$ for all choices of $L \mathbf{I} x \mathbf{I} M$, $L \neq M$ ) are Moufang paths, then we say that $\Gamma$ is a half-Moufang quadrangle and that all point-elation groups (respectively line-elation groups) act transitively. If all paths ( $x, L, y$ ) and all paths $(L, x, M)$ are Moufang paths, then we say that $\Gamma$ is a Moufang quadrangle.
For fixed $x, y, L$ as above, the group of all $(x, L, y)$-elations of a Moufang quadrangle is also called a root group. Let $x \mathbf{I} L \mathbf{I} y \mathbf{I} M \mathbf{I} z \mathbf{I} N$, with $x \neq y \neq z, L \neq M \neq N$. Let $U_{1}$ respectively $U_{2}, U_{3}, U_{4}$ be the group of all ( $x, L, y$ )-elations, respectively ( $L, y, M$ )elations, ( $y, M, z$ )-elations, $(M, z, N)$-elations in a Moufang quadrangle $\Gamma$. By Tits [17], the following situations can occur, up to duality.
(i) $\left[U_{1}, U_{3}\right]=\left[U_{2}, U_{4}\right]=\{1\}$. Then we call the corresponding quadrangle a mixed quadrangle.
(ii) $\left[U_{1}, U_{3}\right]=\{1\}$ and $\left[U_{2}, U_{4}\right]=U_{3}$. Then we say that the quadrangle is strictly of type $C_{2}$.
(iii) $\left[U_{2}, U_{4}\right]=V_{3} \subset U_{3}$, with $\{1\} \neq V_{3} \neq U_{3}$. These quadrangles are called Moufang quadrangles of type $B C_{2}$.

It also follows from Tits [17] that the Moufang quadrangles $\Gamma$ of type $B C_{2}$ have subquadrangles strictly of type $C_{2}$ such that the groups $U_{2}$ respectively $U_{4}$ in these quadrangles coincide with each other (with the above notation), and such that the group $U_{3}$ of the subquadrangle is equal to the group $V_{3}$ of $\Gamma$. We say that $\Gamma$ extends that subquadrangle $\Gamma^{\prime}$. Note that in that case $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is an ideal subquadrangle of $\Gamma$, i.e., all lines of $\Gamma$ incident with a point of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ belong to $\Gamma^{\prime}$ as well. Dually, one defines a full subquadrangle.
The standard examples of Moufang quadrangles are the classical quadrangles, i.e. generalized quadrangles corresponding with $(\sigma, \epsilon)$-hermitian or pseudo-quadratic forms (both called $\sigma$-quadratic forms in Tits [17]), see Tits $[15, \S 8]$. When $\sigma \neq 1$, then we will call such a quadrangle a hermitian quadrangle; when $\sigma=1$, then we have an orthogonal quadrangle. The duality class is fixed by requiring that the points of the quadrangle correspond with the 1-dimensional singular subspaces of the corresponding form.

When $\Gamma$ is an orthogonal quadrangle or a hermitian quadrangle, we may assume that $\Gamma$ is associated to a (left) vector space $W$ over some skewfield $\mathbb{L}$ and to one of the following forms:
(a) a pseudo-quadratic form $q$ on $W$,
(b) a $(\sigma, \epsilon)$-hermitian form $f$ on $W$ with $\Lambda_{\text {min }}:=\left\{c-\epsilon c^{\sigma} \mid c \in \mathbb{L}\right\}=\left\{c \in \mathbb{L} \mid \epsilon c^{\sigma}=\right.$ $-c\}=: \Lambda_{\text {max }}$.

The assumption in (b) on $\mathbb{L}, \sigma, \epsilon$ is harmless; in the case where it is not satisfied (which may only happen when $\mathbb{L}$ is a non-perfect field of characteristic 2 or a non-commutative skewfield of characteristic 2 ) we pass to an isomorphic quadrangle associated to a pseudoquadratic form, see Cohen [1, (3.23), (3.27)]. For example, from the symplectic quadrangle in characteristic $2(\operatorname{dim} W=4, f$ an alternating form) we pass to an isomorphic quadrangle associated to an ordinary quadratic form on a vector space of dimension $4+\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} \mathbb{L}$.

The mixed quadrangles are certain subquadrangles of orthogonal quadrangles defined over a (non-perfect) field of characteristic 2, see (6.1.1). In fact, orthogonal quadrangles are either strictly of type $C_{2}$, or isomorphic to mixed quadrangles. Hermitian quadrangles in vector spaces of dimension 4 are strictly of type $C_{2}$, the other hermitian quadrangles are Moufang quadrangles of type $B C_{2}$ extending hermitian quadrangles in vector spaces of dimension 4. Finally, exceptional quadrangles are Moufang quadrangles (not related to $\sigma$-quadratic forms) of type $B C_{2}$ extending orthogonal quadrangles which are not mixed ones. For all these properties, we refer to Tits [17].
An orthogonal quadrangle in a vector space of dimension $2 n$ will be called a $D_{n}$-quadrangle. Over the quadratic closure of the base field, it is part of a building of type $D_{n}$. Note that
by Tits [17], the exceptional Moufang quadrangles of type $E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}$ contain ideal $D_{n^{-}}$ quadrangles (and that fixes their duality class for the rest of the paper) for $n=5,6,8$, respectively of type $E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}$. Finally, the exceptional Moufang quadrangles of type $F_{4}$ contain as full and as ideal subquadrangles orthogonal quadrangles with the property that the "anisotropic part" of the ( $\sigma, \epsilon$ )-hermitian form $f$ is degenerate, see for instance Van Maldeghem [19](5.5.5), or Tits \& Weiss [18].

Let $\Gamma$ be a generalized quadrangle and $p$ a point in $\Gamma$. If a collineation fixes every point collinear with $p$, then we call that collineation a central collineation or a central elation. Dually, one defines an axial elation or axial collineation. Every Moufang quadrangle contains, up to duality, non-trivial central elations. This can easily be deduced from the main result of Tits [16].

### 2.2 Weak embedding of quadrangles

Let $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ be some $d$-dimensional projective space $d \geq 2$ (but not necessarily finite), $\mathbb{K}$ any skewfield. Let $\Gamma$ be a generalized quadrangle with point set $\mathcal{P}$, line set $\mathcal{L}$ and incidence relation $\mathbf{I}$. Then we say that $\Gamma$ is weakly embedded in $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$ if there exists a map $\pi$ from $\mathcal{P}$ (respectively $\mathcal{L}$ ) to the set of points (respectively lines) of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$, such that the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $\pi$ is injective on points,
(ii) if $x \in \mathcal{P}$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}$ with $x \mathbf{I} L$, then $x^{\pi}$ is incident with $L^{\pi}$ in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$,
(iii) the set of points $\left\{x^{\pi} \mid x \in \mathcal{P}\right\}$ generates $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$,
(iv) if $x, y \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $y^{\pi}$ is contained in the subspace of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ generated by the set $\left\{z^{\pi} \mid z\right.$ is collinear with $x$ in $\left.\Gamma\right\}$, then $y$ is collinear with $x$ in $\Gamma$.

The map $\pi$ is called the weak embedding. It will sometimes be convenient to see a weak embedding as an injective morphism from the point-line geometry $\Gamma$ to the geometry of 1 - and 2-dimensional subspaces of a vector space (and to write $\pi(x)$ instead of $x^{\pi}$ for a point $x$ ). Also, for a given weak embedding $\pi$, we will denote by $\Gamma^{\pi}$ the quadrangle whose points and lines are the images under $\pi$ of the points and lines of $\Gamma$. The quadrangle $\Gamma^{\pi}$ is a subgeometry of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$.
Let $\pi$ be a weak embedding of $\Gamma$. A line of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ which intersects the set of points of $\Gamma^{\pi}$ in at least two elements, and which is not a line of $\Gamma^{\pi}$, is called a secant line. It has been shown by Lefèvre-Percsy [4] that the number of points of $\Gamma^{\pi}$ on a secant line is a constant, and we call that constant the degree. In this paper, we will mainly be concerned with weakly embedded quadrangles of degree $>2$.

A full embedding $\pi$ of a generalized quadrangle $\Gamma$ in $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$ is a weak embedding such that all points of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ on a line of $\Gamma^{\pi}$ are also points of $\Gamma^{\pi}$.

## 3 Main Result

In order to state our Main Result, we need a couple of definitions.
Let $\mathbb{L}^{\prime}$ be a quaternion skewfield, and let $\mathbb{L}$ be its center. Let $\sigma$ be the standard (anti-)involution in $\mathbb{L}^{\prime}$. The set of points of $\mathbf{P G}\left(3, \mathbb{L}^{\prime}\right)$ whose coordinates $\left(X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right)$ satisfy the relation

$$
X_{0}^{\sigma} X_{1}-X_{1}^{\sigma} X_{0}+X_{2}^{\sigma} X_{3}-X_{3}^{\sigma} X_{2}=0
$$

together with all lines of $\operatorname{PG}\left(3, \mathbb{L}^{\prime}\right)$ contained in that set, constitutes a hermitian quadrangle $\Gamma$, which we call the quaternion quadrangle over $\mathbb{L}$. The points of a line are parametrized by the skewfield $\mathbb{L}^{\prime} \cup\{\infty\}$. We may write $\mathbb{L}^{\prime}=\mathbb{L}+\mathbb{L} x+\mathbb{L} y+\mathbb{L} x y$ in a standard way. Then there is an ideal subquadrangle for which the points on some line are parametrized by $\mathbb{L}+\mathbb{L} x+\mathbb{L} y \cup\{\infty\}$. We call such a subquadrangle a special subquadrangle of $\Gamma$ and the corresponding weak embedding a standard weak embedding.

We now state our Main Result.
Main Result. Let $\Gamma$ be a thick generalized quadrangle weakly embedded of degree $>2$ in the projective space $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K}), d \geq 2$ (but not necessarily finite), $\mathbb{K}$ some skewfield. Then $\Gamma$ is a Moufang quadrangle. Up to isomorphism, $\Gamma$ is one of the following:
(1) $\Gamma$ is an orthogonal quadrangle or a hermitian quadrangle as in Subsection 2.1 and the weak embedding is induced by a semi-linear mapping (see below).
(2) $\Gamma$ is a quaternion quadrangle and the composition of some automorphism of $\Gamma$ and the weak embedding is induced by a semi-linear mapping (see (5.4.2)).
(3) $\Gamma$ is a mixed quadrangle and an explicit description of the weak embedding can be given (see Section 6).
(4) $\Gamma$ is a special subquadrangle of some quaternion quadrangle and the weak embedding is a standard weak embedding of $\Gamma$ in a subspace $\mathbf{P G}(3, \mathbb{D})$, where $\mathbb{D}$ is a quaternion subskewfield inside $\mathbb{K}$.

In particular this means that $\Gamma$ can never be the dual of a hermitian quadrangle, nor can $\Gamma$ be isomorphic or dual to an exceptional quadrangle.

In the case where $\Gamma$ is an orthogonal quadrangle or a hermitian quadrangle weakly embedded (of degree $>2$ ) in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$, let $\Gamma$ be associated to a (left) vector space $W$ over
some skewfield $\mathbb{L}$ and to a pseudo-quadratic form $q$ on $W$ or to a ( $\sigma, \epsilon$ )-hermitian form $f$ on $W$ such that $\Lambda_{\min }=\Lambda_{\text {max }}$. Further, let $V$ be a (left) vector space over $K$ such that $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K}) \simeq \mathbf{P G}(V)$. Apart from the exceptions mentioned in the case (2) of the Main Result, there exists an embedding $\alpha: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and a (with respect to $\alpha$ ) semi-linear mapping $\varphi: W \rightarrow V$ such that $\pi(\mathbb{L} w)=\mathbb{K} \varphi(w)$ for all points $\mathbb{L} w$ of $\Gamma$ (which means that the weak embedding $\pi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{P G}(V)$ is induced by a semi-linear mapping). In particular, $\Gamma$ is fully embedded in the projective space $\operatorname{PG}(\varphi(W))$, where $\varphi(W)$ is a vector space over the subskewfield $\mathbb{L}^{\alpha}$ of $\mathbb{K}$.

In the case where $\Gamma$ is a dual orthogonal quadrangle (but not a mixed quadrangle) weakly embedded of degree $>2$ in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$, let $\Gamma^{D}$ have a standard embedding in a $d^{\prime}$-dimensional projective space. Then $d=3$ and we have the following four possibilities: $d^{\prime}=4$ and $\Gamma$ is a symplectic quadrangle, $d^{\prime}=5$ and $\Gamma$ is a hermitian quadrangle, $d^{\prime}=7$ and $\Gamma$ is a quaternion quadrangle and, finally, $d^{\prime}=6$ and $\Gamma$ is a special subquadrangle of some quaternion quadrangle.
We emphasize the fact that the Main Result is a gluing together of several independent results which are usually stronger than stated above; for instance once we reduced the general case to the Moufang case, we must treat classical quadrangles, but at the same time, we handle classical polar spaces and degree 2.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we reduce the problem to Moufang quadrangles. In Section 5 we classify the weakly embedded orthogonal and hermitian polar spaces. We remark that also the degree 2 weak embeddings are included here, and that we consider the more general case of polar spaces, since this does not make the proof more difficult or longer. In fact, it suffices to generalize the results of Steinbach [7] and that is also the way we will state the result. The general idea is to prove here that the weak embedding is full over some subfield, and indeed this is always true except if the polar space is the unique generalized quadrangle of order $(2,2)$. For this exceptional weak embedding, we refer to Thas \& Van Maldeghem [11]. Section 6 deals with the mixed quadrangles. Again the more general case of degree $\geq 2$ is treated. Next, in Section 7, we classify all weak embeddings of degree $>2$ of dual hermitian and dual orthogonal Moufang quadrangles, where the special subquadrangle of a quaternion quadrangle turns up. Finally, Section 8 takes care of the exceptional Moufang quadrangles.

We remark that the dual orthogonal and exceptional case could also be treated for degree 2 , since every weakly embedded quadrangle of degree 2 has regular lines (a line $L$ is called regular if for every line $M$ not meeting $L$, the two lines $L$ and $M$ are contained in a full subquadrangle with two lines per point). Hence dual orthogonal weakly embedded quadrangles of degree 2 are mixed quadrangles. Also, the exceptional Moufang quadrangles do not have regular lines nor regular points (as one can deduce from the commutation relations of these quadrangles). But we consider these non-existing theorems as minor remarks (since yet, we cannot reduce the classification of weakly embedded quadrangles
of degree 2 to the Moufang ones), and hence we do not insist on these results.

## 4 Reduction to Moufang quadrangles

The next lemma is for $d=3$ contained in Van Maldeghem [19].
4.0.1 Lemma. Let $\Gamma$ be a generalized quadrangle weakly embedded of degree $\delta>2$ in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$, for some skewfield $\mathbb{K}$. Then $\Gamma$ is a half-Moufang quadrangle. More precisely, all point-elation groups act transitively.

PROOF. To simplify notation, we identify $\Gamma$ with $\Gamma^{\pi}$ in this proof.
Let $p$ be any point of $\Gamma$ and let $x$ be any point of $\Gamma$ opposite $p$. Then it follows directly from Lefèvre-Percsy [4] that the group of central collineations of $\Gamma$ with center $p$ acts transitively on the set of points of $\Gamma$ on the line $p x$, except for $p$. Moreover, every such central collineation is induced by a perspectivity of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$. Now let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be two distinct lines of $\Gamma$ incident with $p$ and pick a point $y$ of $\Gamma$ on $L_{1}, y \neq p$, and pick two points $z, z^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$ on $L_{2}, z \neq p \neq z^{\prime}$. We establish a $\left(p, L_{1}, y\right)$-elation mapping $z$ to $z^{\prime}$. Let $L_{3}$ be any line of $\Gamma$ incident with $y, L_{3} \neq L_{1}$. Let $a$ be any point of $\Gamma$ on the secant $y z$, $y \neq a \neq z$. Also, let $z^{*}$ be the projection in $\Gamma$ of $z$ onto $L_{3}$. Since $y, z$ belong to the hyperplane spanned by the points of $\Gamma$ collinear in $\Gamma$ with $z^{*}$, we have $a \perp z^{*}$. Let $z^{* *}$ be the projection of $z^{\prime}$ onto $a z^{*}$, and let $y^{\prime}$ be the projection of $z^{* *}$ onto $L_{1}$. Since $a, z^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$ all lie in the plane spanned by $p, y, z$, and since $p$ is not collinear in $\Gamma$ with $z^{* *}$, we must have that $a, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}$ are collinear in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$. Now we consider the central collineation $\theta_{y}$ with center $y$ and mapping $z$ to $a$. Also, we have a central collineation $\theta_{y^{\prime}}$ with center $y^{\prime}$ and mapping $a$ to $z^{\prime}$. The collineation $\theta^{\prime}=\theta_{y} \theta_{y^{\prime}}$ fixes all points of $L_{1}$ and it also fixes the line $p z$. Moreover, it maps $z$ to $z^{\prime}$. Now we consider the action of $\theta^{\prime}$ on the elements of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$, and we still denote that extension by $\theta^{\prime}$. If we look at the restriction of $\theta^{\prime}$ to the projective plane $p y z$, then we see that it is the composition of two elations with axis $p y$; hence that restriction is an elation itself, clearly with center $p$ since $p z$ is fixed. Hence all lines of $\Gamma$ through $p$ inside the plane $p y z$ are fixed. Now suppose some line $L$ of $\Gamma$ through $p$ is not fixed by $\theta^{\prime}$. Then we consider the 4 -dimensional subspace $U$ of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ generated by $L, L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$. We look at the restriction $\theta^{\prime} \mid U$ of $\theta^{\prime}$ to $U$. Notice that $\Gamma^{\prime}=\Gamma \cap U$ is a generalized quadrangle weakly embedded of degree $\delta$ in $U$. Now we claim that we can choose a different point $a_{1}$ on the secant $y z$, i.e., $a_{1}$ is a point of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ on the line $y z$ and $z \neq a_{1} \neq y$ and $a \neq a_{1}$. Indeed, otherwise $\delta=3$ and hence, there is a unique line $L^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$ in the plane $L L_{1}$. Clearly $L^{\theta_{y}}=L^{\theta_{y^{\prime}}}=L^{\prime}$ and so $L$ is fixed under $\theta^{\prime}$. So we may assume that $a_{1}$ exists. We now replace $a$ in the previous reasoning by $a_{1}$ and obtain (with "corresponding" notation) a collineation $\theta_{1}^{\prime}=\left(\theta_{y}\right)_{1}\left(\theta_{y^{\prime \prime}}\right)_{1}$, where $y^{\prime \prime}$ is the intersection of $L_{1}$ and $a_{1} z^{\prime}$. Now we consider $\theta^{\prime \prime}=\theta^{\prime-1} \theta_{1}^{\prime}=\theta_{y^{\prime}}^{-1}\left[\left(\theta_{y}\right)^{-1}\left(\theta_{y}\right)_{1}\right]\left(\theta_{y^{\prime \prime}}\right)_{1}$. Since
both $\theta^{\prime}$ and $\theta_{1}^{\prime}$ induce translations with center $p$ on the projective line $p z$ mapping $z$ to $z^{\prime}$, it is clear that $\theta^{\prime \prime}$ induces the identity on the plane $p y z$. We now show that $\theta^{\prime \prime}$ does not fix all points of $\Gamma$ in $U$ collinear with $p$. Let, for any point $x$ of $\Gamma, \eta_{x}$ denote the tangent hyperplane in $x$. Suppose that $\eta_{y} \cap \eta_{p} \cap U=\eta_{y^{\prime}} \cap \eta_{p} \cap U$. Then $\theta^{\prime} \mid\left(U \cap \eta_{p}\right)$ would be an elation with axis $\eta_{y} \cap \eta_{p} \cap U$ and center $p$ (since $p z$ is fixed), hence also $L$ would be fixed, a contradiction. Denote $\eta_{y} \cap \eta_{p} \cap U$ by $\pi$; denote $\eta_{y^{\prime}} \cap \eta_{p} \cap U$ by $\pi^{\prime}$. We know $\pi \neq \pi^{\prime}$, but $\pi \cap \pi^{\prime}=L_{1}$. We look at the action of $\theta^{\prime \prime}$ on the plane $\pi$. Since both $\theta_{y}$ and $\left(\theta_{y}\right)_{1}$ induce the identity in $\pi$, this action is given by $\theta_{y^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(\theta_{y^{\prime \prime}}\right)_{1}$. Since $\pi \neq \pi^{\prime}, \theta_{y^{\prime}}$ induces a non-trivial elation in $\pi$ with axis $L_{1}$. On the other hand, $\left(\theta_{y^{\prime \prime}}\right)_{1}$ induces a (not necessarily non-trivial) elation in $\pi$ with axis $L_{1}$ and center $y^{\prime \prime} \neq y^{\prime}$. Hence $\theta^{\prime \prime}$ induces in $\pi$ a non-trivial elation with axis $L_{1}$. So not all points in $U$ collinear with $p$ in $\Gamma$ can be fixed by $\theta^{\prime \prime}$. Now we use a central elation with center $p$ to map $L_{3}^{\theta^{\prime \prime}}$ to $L_{3}$, and, composing with $\theta^{\prime \prime}$, we obtain a collineation $\theta^{*}$ that clearly fixes the 3 -space $L_{1} L_{2} L_{3}$ pointwise, but does not fix all points in $U$ collinear with $p$ in $\Gamma$. So $\theta^{*}$ is a non-trivial elation in $U$ with axis $L_{1} L_{2} L_{3}$ and some center $c$. Clearly $\theta^{*}$ maps $L$ onto a line in the plane $c L$ (note that $c$ is not on $L$ otherwise $L$ is preserved by $\theta^{*}$ and hence also every point on $L$, hence $\theta^{*}$ is trivial, a contradiction), and so $c \in \eta_{p}$. Similarly, $c \in \eta_{y}$ and $c \in \eta_{y^{\prime}}$. Hence $c \in \pi \cap \pi^{\prime}=L_{1}$. But similarly also $c \in \eta_{z}$ and this implies that $c=p$, contradicting an earlier remark that $c$ does not lie on $L$.

Hence we have shown that $\theta^{\prime}$ fixes all lines through $p$. Now suppose that $\theta_{y^{\prime}}$ maps $L_{3}$ to $L_{3}^{\prime}$. If we denote by $z^{\prime \prime}$ the projection of $z$ onto $L_{3}^{\prime}$, then similarly as above, one shows that $p, z^{\prime \prime}$ and $z^{*}$ are collinear (in $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$ ). Hence there exists a central collineation $\theta_{p}$ with center $p$ mapping $z^{\prime \prime}$ to $z^{*}$. The collineation $\theta=\theta^{\prime} \theta_{p}$ fixes all lines through $p$, all points on $L_{1}$ and it maps $z$ to $z^{\prime}$. Similarly as above, one shows that it also fixes all lines through $y$.

This shows the result.
4.0.2 Lemma. Let $\Gamma$ be a generalized quadrangle weakly embedded of degree $\delta>2$ in $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$, for some skewfield $\mathbb{K}$. Then $\Gamma$ is a Moufang quadrangle and the little projective group of $\Gamma$ is induced by $\operatorname{PSL}(d, \mathbb{K})$.

PROOF. Let $L_{1} \mathbf{I} p \mathbf{I} L_{2}$, with $L_{1}, L_{2}$ lines of $\Gamma$ and $p$ a point of $\Gamma$. Let $a$ and $b$ be two points on $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ respectively with $a \neq p \neq b$. Let $q, q^{\prime}, q \neq p \neq q^{\prime}$, be two points of $\Gamma$ collinear with both $a$ and $b$. We show that there is an ( $L_{1}, p, L_{2}$ )-elation mapping $q$ to $q^{\prime}$. Together with the preceding lemma, this will imply the result. For this, let $z$ be any point on $L_{2}, p \neq z \neq b$ (and $z$ exists by the thickness of $\Gamma$ ). Let $x$ be the projection of $z$ onto $a q^{\prime}$, and let $x^{\prime}$ be the projection of $x$ onto $b q$. Further, let $x^{\prime \prime}$ be the projection of $x^{\prime}$ onto $L_{1}$. Also, let $L$ be the projection of $x x^{\prime}$ onto $p$ and let $y$ be the intersection of $L$ and $x x^{\prime}$.

Now let $\theta_{1}$ be the ( $b, L_{2}, p$ )-elation mapping $q$ to $x^{\prime}$. This exists by the preceding lemma, and by the proof of the preceding lemma we have that $\theta_{1}$ induces on the projective line $L_{1}$ an elation mapping $a$ to $x^{\prime \prime}$. Furthermore, $\theta_{1}$ fixes $L_{2}$ pointwise.
Now let $\theta_{2}$ be the $(p, L, y)$-elation mapping $x^{\prime}$ to $x$. This again exists by the preceding lemma, and by the proof of the preceding lemma we have that $\theta_{2}$ induces on the projective line $L_{1}$ an elation mapping $x^{\prime \prime}$ to $a$. Hence $\theta_{1} \theta_{2}$ fixes $L_{1}$ pointwise. Furthermore, $\theta_{2}$ induces on the projective line $L_{2}$ an elation mapping $b$ to $z$.

Finally let $\theta_{3}$ be the ( $p, L_{1}, a$ )-elation mapping $x$ to $q^{\prime}$. By the proof of the preceding lemma we again have that $\theta_{3}$ induces on the projective line $L_{2}$ an elation mapping $z$ to $b$. Hence $\theta_{1} \theta_{2} \theta_{3}$ fixes $L_{2}$ pointwise. Furthermore, $\theta_{3}$ fixes $L_{1}$ pointwise, hence $\theta_{1} \theta_{2} \theta_{3}$ fixes $L_{1}$ pointwise.

Clearly $\theta_{1} \theta_{2} \theta_{3}$ fixes all lines through $p$, and it maps $q$ to $q^{\prime}$. So we obtain an ( $L_{1}, p, L_{2}$ )elation mapping $q$ to $q^{\prime}$. The lemma is proved.

So we have shown that, in order to prove the Main Result, we have to classify the weak embeddings of degree $>2$ of Moufang quadrangles. We will consider the different classes of Moufang quadrangles separately.

## 5 Orthogonal and hermitian quadrangles

In this section, we are concerned with polar spaces associated to a $(\sigma, \epsilon)$-hermitian form or a pseudo-quadratic form. The main purpose of Theorem (5.1.1) is about generalized quadrangles, but the generalization to polar spaces does not make the proof more difficult or longer. We generalize the result of Steinbach [7] to the case that $\operatorname{Rad}(W, f) \neq 0$, also including the case where $\operatorname{dim} W / \operatorname{Rad}(W, f)=4$.

### 5.1 Introduction and statement of the theorem

Before we can state Theorem (5.1.1) we need some preparations. Let $\mathbb{L}$ be a skewfield and $W$ be a (left) vector space over $\mathbb{L}$ endowed with a $(\sigma, \epsilon)$-hermitian form or a pseudoquadratic form $q$ (with associated ( $\sigma, \epsilon$ )-hermitian form $f$ ) in the sense of Tits [15, $\S 8$ ]. We may assume that $\epsilon= \pm 1$ and $\sigma^{2}=1$. We let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Rad}(W, f) & =\{w \in W \mid f(w, x)=0 \text { for all } x \in W\} \\
x^{\perp} & =\{w \in W \mid f(w, x)=0\} \text { for } x \in W \\
\Lambda:=\Lambda_{\text {min }} & =\left\{c-\epsilon c^{\sigma} \mid c \in \mathbb{L}\right\} \\
\Lambda_{\text {max }} & =\left\{c \in \mathbb{L} \mid \epsilon c^{\sigma}=-c\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A subspace $U$ of $W$ is called singular, if $f\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)=0$ resp. $q(u)=0$ for all $u, u^{\prime} \in U$. The 1-, 2- and 3 -dimensional subspaces of $W$ are called points, lines, planes respectively. The geometry $\mathcal{S}$ of singular subspaces of $W$ is usually called a classical polar space (that includes the ordinary non-degenerate and/or non-singular polar spaces). We say that $\mathcal{S}$ is the polar space associated with $W$ and $f$ resp. $q$, see Cohen [1, Section 3] for example.

Let $S$ be the set of singular points of $W$. For each subspace $U$ of $W$, we denote by $U \cap S$ the set of singular points in $U$. The subspace of a vector space which is spanned by a subset $M$ is denoted by $\langle M\rangle$. If $x, y \in V$ are singular with $f(x, y)=1$ then we call $(x, y)$ a hyperbolic pair and $\langle x, y\rangle$ a hyperbolic line. By a $4^{+}$-space we mean the orthogonal sum of two hyperbolic lines.

For skewfields $\mathbb{L}$ and $\mathbb{K}$, a mapping $\alpha: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is called an embedding (resp. an antiembedding) if $\alpha$ is injective, $\alpha$ respects addition and $(c d)^{\alpha}=c^{\alpha} d^{\alpha}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.(c d)^{\alpha}=d^{\alpha} c^{\alpha}\right)$ for $c, d \in \mathbb{L}$.

Weak embeddings of classical polar spaces in projective space are defined as for generalized quadrangles, see Subsection 2.2. In particular, there is an injective mapping $\pi$ from $S$ into the set of points of $V$. We set $\pi(U \cap S):=\{\pi(u) \mid u \in U \cap S\}$ for each subspace $U$ of $W$. If $N$ is a singular line of $W$, then $\langle\pi(N \cap S)\rangle$ is a line in $V$. If $x, y$ are singular points of $W$ with $\pi(y) \subseteq\left\langle\pi\left(x^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$, then $y \subseteq x^{\perp}$.

We prove the following result:
5.1.1 Theorem. Let $\mathbb{L}$ and $\mathbb{K}$ be skewfields and let $W$ be a vector space over $\mathbb{L}$. We assume that there is either a $(\sigma, \epsilon)$-hermitian form $f$ on $W$ such that $\Lambda_{\text {min }}=\Lambda_{\text {max }}$ or a pseudo-quadratic form $q$ on $W$ with corresponding $(\sigma, \epsilon)$-hermitian form $f$. We suppose $W=U \perp \operatorname{Rad}(W, f)$ with $U$ containing singular lines. Further, let $V$ be a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$.

We exclude the following special cases: (1) $\operatorname{dim} W=4$ and $q$ is an (ordinary) quadratic form (this case corresponds to non-thick quadrangles) or (2) $\operatorname{dim} W=4$ and $\mathbb{L}$ is a quaternion skewfield or (3) the quadrangle is isomorphic to the symplectic quadrangle over $\mathbf{G F}(2)$.
If $\pi$ is a weak embedding of the associated polar space $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathrm{PG}(V)$, then there exists an embedding $\alpha: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and a semi-linear (with respect to $\alpha$ ) mapping $\varphi: W \rightarrow V$ such that $\pi(\mathbb{L} x)=\mathbb{K} \varphi(x)$ for all $0 \neq x \in W$, $x$ singular (i. e. $\pi$ is induced by a semi-linear mapping).

The condition on $U$ above just means that $\mathcal{S}$ always contains generalized quadrangles as subgeometries. Theorem (5.1.1) shows that $\mathcal{S}$ is fully embedded in the projective space $\operatorname{PG}(\varphi(W))$, where $\varphi(W)$ is a vector space over the subskewfield $\mathbb{L}^{\alpha}$ of $\mathbb{K}$. Different as in Steinbach [7], here the semi-linear mapping $\varphi: W \rightarrow V$ is not necessarily injective.

Theorem (5.1.1) does not require finite dimension or rank, commutative fields or nondegeneracy of forms.
The idea of the proof is to apply the result in Steinbach [7] to the mapping $\pi$ restricted to $U \cap S$ in the case that $\operatorname{dim} U \geq 5$. Hence there exists an embedding $\alpha: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and an injective semi-linear mapping $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$ with $\pi(\mathbb{L} w)=\mathbb{K} \varphi(w)$ for all $0 \neq w \in U$, $w$ singular. We extend $\varphi$ to $W$ as follows: Let $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ be a hyperbolic pair in $U$. If $0 \neq r \in \operatorname{Rad}(W, f)$ and $q_{r} \in \mathbb{L}$ with $\epsilon q_{r}{ }^{\sigma}=-q_{r}$ resp. $q_{r}+\Lambda=q(r)$ (depending on whether there is a $(\sigma, \epsilon)$-hermitian form or a pseudo-quadratic form on $W$ ) then there exists a unique $r^{\prime} \in\left\langle\pi\left(\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$ such that $\pi\left(q_{r} x_{1}-y_{1}+r\right)=\left\langle q_{r}{ }^{\alpha} \varphi\left(x_{1}\right)-\varphi\left(y_{1}\right)+r^{\prime}\right\rangle$. We extend $\varphi$ to $W$ by $\varphi(u+r):=\varphi(u)+r^{\prime}$, if $u \in U, 0 \neq r \in \operatorname{Rad}(W, f)$ and $r^{\prime}$ as above. Then $\varphi$ is semi-linear with respect to $\alpha$ and satisfies $\pi(\mathbb{L} w)=\mathbb{K} \varphi(w)$ for $0 \neq w \in W, w$ singular.
If $\operatorname{dim} U=4$, then we first have to construct a semi-linear mapping $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$ which induces $\pi$. Here the cases excluded in Theorem (5.1.1) play a special role, see the introduction to Subsection 5.4.

### 5.2 General lemmas

5.2.1 Lemma. Let $a, b, c$ be singular points, such that $\langle a, b\rangle$ is a singular line with $\langle a, b\rangle \cap$ $\operatorname{Rad}(W, f)=0$ and $c \nsubseteq b^{\perp}$, and set $E:=\langle a, b, c\rangle$. Then $\langle\pi(E \cap S)\rangle=\langle\pi(a), \pi(b), \pi(c)\rangle$.

PROOF. Let $E^{\prime}:=\langle\pi(E \cap S)\rangle$. We may write $E=\langle b, c\rangle \perp d$ for some singular point $d \subseteq\langle a, b\rangle$. Let $e$ be a singular point such that $Q:=\langle b, c\rangle \perp\langle d, e\rangle$ is a $4^{+}$-space. Then $E^{\prime}$ is properly contained in $\langle\pi(Q \cap S)\rangle$, since otherwise $\pi(e) \subseteq E^{\prime} \subseteq\left\langle\pi\left(d^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$ and $e \subseteq d^{\perp}$, a contradiction. Hence $E^{\prime}$ has dimension at most 3 by Steinbach [7, (2.4)]. Further, $\pi(a)$ is not contained in $\langle\pi(b), \pi(c)\rangle$, since otherwise $\pi(a) \subseteq\left\langle\pi\left(e^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$ and $d \subseteq\langle a, b\rangle \subseteq e^{\perp}$, a contradiction. Thus $E^{\prime}=\langle\pi(a), \pi(b), \pi(c)\rangle$.
5.2.2 Lemma. If $a, b$ are singular points in $W$ with $H:=\langle a, b\rangle$ a hyperbolic line, then $\langle\pi(H \cap S)\rangle=\langle\pi(a), \pi(b)\rangle$.

PROOF. Since the line $\langle\pi(a), \pi(b)\rangle$ is contained in $\langle\pi(H \cap S)\rangle$, we have to show that $\langle\pi(H \cap S)\rangle$ is a line. Let $H=\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle \subseteq\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle \perp\left\langle x_{2}, y_{2}\right\rangle=: Q$ with $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ a hyperbolic pair $(i=1,2)$. With $E:=\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle$ and $E_{1}:=\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right\rangle$ we obtain that $\langle\pi(H \cap S)\rangle \subseteq$ $\langle\pi(E \cap S)\rangle \cap\left\langle\pi\left(E_{1} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$. By (5.2.1) $\langle\pi(E \cap S)\rangle$ and $\left\langle\pi\left(E_{1} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$ are different planes of $V$, hence the claim holds.
5.2.3 Lemma. Let $(x, y)$ be a hyperbolic pair in $W$ and $H=\langle x, y\rangle$. Then $\langle\pi(x), \pi(y)\rangle \cap$ $\left\langle\pi\left(H^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle=0$.

PROOF. Let $\pi(x)=\left\langle x^{\prime}\right\rangle, \pi(y)=\left\langle y^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and $c, d \in \mathbb{K}$ with $c x^{\prime}+d y^{\prime} \in\left\langle\pi\left(H^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$. If $c \neq 0$, then $x^{\prime} \in\left\langle\pi\left(H^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle+\pi(y) \subseteq\left\langle\pi\left(y^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$. This yields $x \in y^{\perp}$, a contradiction. Hence $c=0$ and similarly $d=0$.

### 5.3 The extension of the semi-linear mapping

Because of $W=U \perp \operatorname{Rad}(W, f)$ we have $\operatorname{Rad}(U, f)=0$. If $\operatorname{dim} U \geq 5$, then by SteinBACH [7] there exists a semi-linear mapping $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$ (with respect to the embedding $\alpha: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{K})$ such that $\pi(\mathbb{L} w)=\mathbb{K} \varphi(w)$ for $0 \neq w \in U, w$ singular. We suppose this to be true also in the case $\operatorname{dim} U=4$. This assumption will be justified in Subsection 5.4.
5.3.1 Lemma. Let $0 \neq r \in \operatorname{Rad}(W, f)$ and $q_{r} \in \mathbb{L}$ with $\epsilon q_{r}{ }^{\sigma}=-q_{r}$ resp. $q_{r}+\Lambda=$ $q(r)$. If $(x, y)$ is a hyperbolic pair in $U$ and $H:=\langle x, y\rangle$, then there exists a unique $r^{\prime} \in\left\langle\pi\left(H^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$ such that the following holds:
(a) We have $\pi\left(q_{r} x-y+r\right)=\left\langle q_{r}{ }^{\alpha} \varphi(x)-\varphi(y)+r^{\prime}\right\rangle$.
(b) We have $\pi\left(q_{r} \widetilde{x}-\widetilde{y}+r\right)=\left\langle q_{r}{ }^{\alpha} \varphi(\widetilde{x})-\varphi(\widetilde{y})+r^{\prime}\right\rangle$ for each hyperbolic pair $(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y})$ in $U \cap H^{\perp}$.
(c) We have $\pi(c x-y+r)=\left\langle c^{\alpha} \varphi(x)-\varphi(y)+r^{\prime}\right\rangle$ for each $c \in \mathbb{L}$ with $\epsilon c^{\sigma}=-c$ resp. $c+\Lambda=q(r)$. In particular, $r^{\prime}$ is independent of the choice of $q_{r}$.
(d) If $r$ is singular, then $\pi(r)=\left\langle r^{\prime}\right\rangle$.
(e) We have $\pi\left(q_{r} x-\widetilde{y}+r\right)=\left\langle q_{r}{ }^{\alpha} \varphi(x)-\varphi(\widetilde{y})+r^{\prime}\right\rangle$ for each hyperbolic pair $(x, \widetilde{y})$ in $U$.

PROOF. (a), (b) For $a:=q_{r} x-y+r, \widetilde{a}:=q_{r} \widetilde{x}-\tilde{y}+r$, we see that $a$ is contained in the singular line $\langle a-\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{a}\rangle$ with $a-\widetilde{a}=q_{r} x-y-q_{r} \widetilde{x}+\widetilde{y} \in U$. Since $\pi$ is injective on singular points, there exists $v \in V$ such that $\pi(\widetilde{a})=\langle v\rangle, \pi(a)=\left\langle q_{r}{ }^{\alpha} \varphi(x)-\varphi(y)-q_{r}{ }^{\alpha} \varphi(\widetilde{x})+\right.$ $\varphi(\widetilde{y})+v\rangle$. With $r^{\prime}:=-q_{r}{ }^{\alpha} \varphi(\widetilde{x})+\varphi(\widetilde{y})+v$ the existence of $r^{\prime}$ is clear. The uniqueness of $r^{\prime}$ follows with (5.2.3).
(c) Let $\widetilde{r} \in\left\langle\pi\left(H^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$ such that $\pi(c x-y+r)=\left\langle c^{\alpha} \varphi(x)-\varphi(y)+\widetilde{r}\right\rangle$. Since $c x-y+r \in$ $\left\langle q_{r} x-y+r, x\right\rangle$, there exist $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{K}$ with $c^{\alpha} \varphi(x)-\varphi(y)+\widetilde{r}=\lambda\left(q_{r}{ }^{\alpha} \varphi(x)-\varphi(y)+r^{\prime}\right)+\mu \varphi(x)$ by (5.2.2). Now (5.2.3) yields $\widetilde{r}=r^{\prime}$.
(d) If $r$ is singular, we may choose $q_{r}:=0$. Since $r$ is contained in the singular line $\langle-y+r, y\rangle$, there exist $v \in V, A \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\pi(r)=\langle v\rangle, v=-\varphi(y)+r^{\prime}+A \varphi(y)$. Because of $v \in\left\langle\pi\left(H^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$, (5.2.3) yields $v=r^{\prime}$.
(e) We first handle the case $y \neq \widetilde{y} \in y^{\perp}$. Let $\widetilde{H}=\langle x, \widetilde{y}\rangle, a:=q_{r} x-y+r$ and $\widetilde{a}:=q_{r} x-\widetilde{y}+r$. By (a) there exists $\widetilde{r} \in\left\langle\pi\left(\widetilde{H}^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$ with $\pi(\widetilde{a})=\left\langle q_{r}{ }^{\alpha} \varphi(x)-\varphi(\widetilde{y})+\widetilde{r}\right\rangle$. Since $\widetilde{a}$ is contained
in the singular line $\langle a, y-\widetilde{y}\rangle$, there exist $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $q_{r}{ }^{\alpha} \varphi(x)-\varphi(\widetilde{y})+\widetilde{r}=$ $\lambda\left(q_{r}{ }^{\alpha} \varphi(x)-\varphi(y)+r^{\prime}\right)+\mu \varphi(y-\widetilde{y})$. This yields $\lambda \varphi(y)-\varphi(\widetilde{y}) \in\left\langle\pi\left(x^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$ and $\lambda=1$, since $y-\widetilde{y} \in x^{\perp}$. Hence $\widetilde{r}-r^{\prime}=(\mu-1) \varphi(y-\widetilde{y})$.
Let $x^{*} \in U$ be singular such that $\left(x^{*}, y-\widetilde{y}\right)$ is a hyperbolic pair in $\widetilde{H}^{\perp}$ and set $H_{0}:=$ $\left\langle x^{*}, y-\widetilde{y}\right\rangle$. Then $\widetilde{r} \in\left\langle\pi\left(H_{0}{ }^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$. If $q_{r}=0$, then (d) yields $r^{\prime} \in \pi(r) \subseteq\left\langle\pi\left(x^{* \perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$, hence $(\mu-1) \varphi(y-\widetilde{y})=\widetilde{r}-r^{\prime} \in\left\langle\pi\left(x^{* \perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$ and $\mu=1$. So we may assume $q_{r} \neq 0$. Since $q_{r} x \in\left\langle q_{r} x^{*}-(y-\widetilde{y})+r, q_{r}\left(x^{*}-x\right)-(y-\widetilde{y})+r\right\rangle$, (b) yields that there exist $s, t \in \mathbb{K}$ with

$$
q_{r}{ }^{\alpha} \varphi(x)=s\left(q_{r}{ }^{\alpha} \varphi\left(x^{*}\right)-\varphi(y-\widetilde{y})+\widetilde{r}\right)+t\left(q_{r}{ }^{\alpha} \varphi\left(x^{*}-x\right)-\varphi(y-\widetilde{y})+r^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Now (5.2.3) for $H_{0}$ yields $\mu=1$ and $\widetilde{r}=r^{\prime}$.
If $\widetilde{y} \notin y^{\perp}$, then there exists $y^{*} \in U \cap y^{\perp} \cap \widetilde{y}^{\perp}$ such that $\left(x, y^{*}\right)$ is a hyperbolic pair. We may apply the first part of the proof twice and the result follows.
We extend the mapping $\varphi$ to $W=U \perp \operatorname{Rad}(W, f)$ as follows. Let $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ be a hyperbolic pair in $U$ and $H_{1}=\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle$. For $0 \neq r \in \operatorname{Rad}(W, f)$, we set $\varphi(r):=r^{\prime}$ with $r^{\prime}$ of (5.3.1). Further, let $\varphi(u+r)=\varphi(u)+\varphi(r)$ for $u \in U, r \in \operatorname{Rad}(W, f)$.
5.3.2 Lemma. The mapping $\varphi: W \rightarrow V$ defined above is semi-linear (with respect to $\alpha)$.

PROOF. First, we show that $\varphi: \operatorname{Rad}(W, f) \rightarrow V$ respects scalars. Let $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{L}$, $0 \neq r \in \operatorname{Rad}(W, f)$ and $q_{r} \in \mathbb{L}$ with $\epsilon q_{r}{ }^{\sigma}=-q_{r}$ respectively $q_{r}+\Lambda=q(r)$. Let $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ be a hyperbolic pair in $U \cap H_{1}{ }^{\perp}$. For $a:=q_{r} x_{2}-y_{2}+r$ and $z:=q_{r} c^{\sigma} x_{1}-c^{-1} y_{1}+r$, we see that $z$ is contained in the singular line $\langle a, z-a\rangle$. Hence by (5.3.1)(b), there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\pi(z)=\langle\varphi(a)+\lambda \varphi(z-a)\rangle$. Applying (5.2.3) for $\left\langle x_{2}, y_{2}\right\rangle$ yields $\lambda=1$. Further, $\pi(z)=\pi\left(c q_{r} c^{\sigma} x_{1}-y_{1}+c r\right)=\left\langle\left(c q_{r} c^{\sigma}\right)^{\alpha} \varphi\left(x_{1}\right)-\varphi\left(y_{1}\right)+\varphi(c r)\right\rangle ;$ hence $\varphi(c r)=c^{\alpha} \varphi(r)$.
Next, we show that $\varphi: \operatorname{Rad}(W, f) \rightarrow V$ respects addition. Let $r_{1}, r_{2} \in \operatorname{Rad}(W, f)$. We may assume $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{1}+r_{2} \neq 0$. Let $q_{r_{i}} \in \mathbb{L}$ with $\epsilon q_{r_{i}}{ }^{\sigma}=-q_{r_{i}}$ respectively $q_{r_{i}}+\Lambda=$ $q\left(r_{i}\right)(i=1,2)$. We set $a_{1}:=q_{r_{1}} x_{1}-y_{1}+r_{1}, a_{2}:=q_{r_{2}} x_{2}-y_{2}+r_{2}$. Then $\left(q_{r_{1}}-\right.$ $\left.q_{r_{2}}\right) x_{1}-y_{1}+r_{1}+r_{2} \in\left\langle x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{2}+a_{1}, a_{2}\right\rangle$. We apply (5.2.1) and (5.3.1)(b). Since $\varphi\left(r_{i}\right) \in\left\langle\pi\left(H_{1}{ }^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle \cap\left\langle\pi\left(H_{2}{ }^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$, we may compare coefficients by (5.2.3) and we obtain $\varphi\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)=\varphi\left(r_{1}\right)+\lambda \varphi\left(r_{2}\right)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ with $\lambda=1$ if $q_{r_{2}} \neq 0$. Similarly, $\left(q_{r_{2}}-q_{r_{1}}\right) x_{2}-y_{2}+r_{1}+r_{2} \in\left\langle x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{1}+a_{2}, a_{1}\right\rangle$ and $\varphi\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)=\mu \varphi\left(r_{1}\right)+\varphi\left(r_{2}\right)$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{K}$ with $\mu=1$ if $q_{r_{1}} \neq 0$. Hence we are left with the case $q_{r_{1}}=q_{r_{2}}=0$. Since we may assume $\left\langle r_{1}\right\rangle \neq\left\langle r_{2}\right\rangle$, the vectors $\varphi\left(r_{1}\right)$ and $\varphi\left(r_{2}\right)$ are linearly independent and $\lambda=\mu=1$. This yields the lemma.
5.3.3 Lemma. We have $\pi(\mathbb{L} w)=\mathbb{K} \varphi(w)$ for all $0 \neq w \in W$, $w$ singular.

PROOF. Let $0 \neq w \in W$ be singular, $w=u+r$ with $u \in U, r \in \operatorname{Rad}(W, f)$. We may assume $r \neq 0$. Let $q_{r} \in \mathbb{L}$ with $\epsilon q_{r}{ }^{\sigma}=-q_{r}$ respectively $q_{r}+\Lambda=q(r)$.
First, we assume $u \notin H_{1}=\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle$. Let $\langle x\rangle$ be a singular point in $U \cap H_{1}{ }^{\perp}$ with $f(x, u)=-1$. For $y:=q_{r} x-u$, we have $w=q_{r} x-y+r$ with $(x, y)$ a hyperbolic pair. We choose $\widetilde{y} \in U \cap H_{1}{ }^{\perp}$ such that $(x, \widetilde{y})$ is a hyperbolic pair. Then the definition of $\varphi(r)$ and (5.3.1)(b) for $(x, \widetilde{y}),(5.3 .1)(\mathrm{e})$ for $(x, y)$ yields $\pi(w)=\langle\varphi(w)\rangle$.
So we are left with the case $u \in H_{1}$. If $u=0$, then $w=r$ and $\pi(r)=\langle\varphi(r)\rangle$ by (5.3.1)(d). If $u=d x_{1}$ with $0 \neq d \in \mathbb{L}$, then we may choose $q_{r}=0$. Since $U$ contains singular lines, we obtain $\pi\left(q_{r}\left(-\left(\epsilon d^{\sigma}\right)^{-1}\right) y_{1}+d x_{1}+r\right)=\left\langle\varphi\left(d x_{1}\right)+\varphi(r)\right\rangle$ applying (5.3.1)(b) twice.
If finally $c u=d x_{1}-y_{1}$ with $c, d \in \mathbb{L}$, then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{L}$ such that $d=c q_{r} c^{\sigma}+\lambda=: q_{c r}$ with $\epsilon q_{c r}{ }^{\sigma}=-q_{c r}$ respectively $q(c r)=q_{c r}+\Lambda$. This yields $c w=q_{c r} x_{1}-y_{1}+c r$ and $\pi(c w)=\left\langle q_{c r}{ }^{\alpha} \varphi\left(x_{1}\right)-\varphi\left(y_{1}\right)+\varphi(c r)\right\rangle=\langle\varphi(c w)\rangle$.

### 5.4 The construction of a semi-linear mapping on a $4^{+}$-space

In this subsection, we assume that $W=U \perp \operatorname{Rad}(W, f)$ with $U$ a $4^{+}$-space. Our aim is to show that the weak embedding $\pi$ restricted to $U \cap S$ is induced by a semi-linear mapping. If $\mathbb{L}$ is a quaternion skewfield, we possibly have to apply an automorphism of the quadrangle first, see (5.4.2). The case where $q$ is not an (ordinary) quadratic form, may be handled as in Tits [15, (8.19.7)], using translations of a projective line. For quadratic forms, a $4^{+}$-space is just a grid, which does not supply enough structure. In this case, we assume that $\operatorname{Rad}(W, f) \neq 0$. By methods inspired by the first case, we construct a semi-linear mapping $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$ which induces $\pi$ (except for the case that the quadrangle is isomorphic to the symplectic quadrangle over $\mathbf{G F}(2)$, see the example in Thas \& Van Maldeghem [11]).
5.4.1 Remark. Let $\mathbb{L}$ be a quaternion skewfield with $\sigma$ its standard (anti-)involution. Then the center of $\mathbb{L}$ is $Z(\mathbb{L})=\left\{c+c^{\sigma} \mid c \in \mathbb{L}\right\}$. Let $U:=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \mid x_{i} \in \mathbb{L}\right\}$ and $q: U \rightarrow \mathbb{L} / \Lambda$ be the pseudo-quadratic form (with associated ( $\sigma,-1$ )-hermitian form $f$ ) defined by $q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=x_{1} x_{3}{ }^{\sigma}+x_{2} x_{4}{ }^{\sigma}+\Lambda$. The mapping $\delta$ with

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\langle(0,0,1,0)\rangle \delta & =\langle(0,0,1,0)\rangle, \\
\langle(0,0, a, 1)\rangle \delta & =\left\langle\left(0,0, a^{\sigma}, 1\right)\right\rangle, \\
\langle(0,1, b, m)\rangle \delta & =\left\langle\left(0,1, b^{\sigma}, m\right)\right\rangle, \\
\left\langle\left(1, a, l+a a^{\prime \sigma}, a^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \delta & =\left\langle\left(1, a^{\sigma}, l+a^{\sigma} a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \sigma}\right)\right\rangle
\end{array}
$$

for $a, b, a^{\prime} \in \mathbb{L}, l, m \in Z(\mathbb{L})=\Lambda$ yields an automorphism of the generalized quadrangle associated to $U$ and $q$. This automorphism is the one constructed in Tits [15, (8.15)] (for right vector spaces).
5.4.2 Lemma. We exclude the case that $q$ is an (ordinary) quadratic form. Let $\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle$ be a singular line in $U$ and let $x_{1}{ }^{\prime}, x_{2}{ }^{\prime} \in V$ such that $\pi\left(x_{1}\right)=\left\langle x_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle, \pi\left(x_{2}\right)=\left\langle x_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$, $\pi\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)=\left\langle x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+x_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$, We define $\alpha: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by $\pi\left(c x_{1}+x_{2}\right)=\left\langle\alpha(c) x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+x_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$ for $c \in \mathbb{L}$. Then one of the following holds:
(a) The mapping $\alpha$ is an embedding and there exists a semi-linear (with respect to $\alpha$ ) mapping $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$ such that $\pi(\mathbb{L} w)=\mathbb{K} \varphi(w)$ for $0 \neq w \in U$, $w$ singular.
(b) The mapping $\alpha$ is an anti-embedding, $\mathbb{L}$ is a quaternion skewfield, $\sigma$ is its standard (anti-)involution and there exists a semi-linear (with respect to $\alpha \sigma$ ) mapping $\varphi$ : $U \rightarrow V$ such that $\pi \delta(L w)=\mathbb{K} \varphi(w)$ for $0 \neq w \in U$, w singular, where $\delta$ is as in (5.4.1).

PROOF. The proof is similar to Tits [15, (8.19.7)].
In Lemma (5.4.9) below, we show that (5.4.2)(b) does not occur when $\operatorname{Rad}(W, f) \neq 0$. In the following, we handle the case that $q$ is a quadratic form and $\operatorname{Rad}(W, f) \neq 0$.
5.4.3 Notation. Let $q$ be a quadratic form. Let $U=\left\langle u_{1}, v_{1}\right\rangle \perp\left\langle u_{2}, v_{2}\right\rangle$ with $\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right)$ a hyperbolic pair $(i=1,2)$ and set $H_{i}:=\left\langle u_{i}, v_{i}\right\rangle(i=1,2)$. For $0 \neq r \in \operatorname{Rad}(W, f)$ with $q(r) \neq 0$ and

$$
a_{1}:=-q(r) u_{1}+v_{1}-r, \quad a_{2}:=-u_{2}-q(r) v_{2}+r,
$$

$\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}\right\rangle$ is a singular line. We choose $u_{1}{ }^{\prime}, v_{2}{ }^{\prime}, u_{2}{ }^{\prime}, v_{1}{ }^{\prime} \in V$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\pi\left(u_{1}\right) & =\left\langle u_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle, & & \\
\pi\left(v_{2}\right) & =\left\langle v_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle, & \pi\left(u_{1}+v_{2}\right)=\left\langle u_{1}^{\prime}+v_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle, \\
\pi\left(u_{2}\right) & =\left\langle u_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle, & \pi\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right)=\left\langle u_{1}^{\prime}+u_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle, \\
\pi\left(v_{1}\right)=\left\langle v_{1}^{\prime}\right\rangle, & \pi\left(u_{2}-v_{1}\right)=\left\langle u_{2}^{\prime}-v_{1}^{\prime}\right\rangle .
\end{array}
$$

Then $u_{1}{ }^{\prime}, u_{2}{ }^{\prime}, v_{1}{ }^{\prime}, v_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ are linearly independent by (5.2.3). For $c \in \mathbb{L}$, there exists a unique $c^{\prime} \in \mathbb{K}$ with $\pi\left(c u_{1}+u_{2}\right)=\left\langle c^{\prime} u_{1}{ }^{\prime}+u_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$. We set $q^{\prime}:=q(r)^{\prime}$.
5.4.4 Lemma. We use the notation of (5.4.3). Then the following holds:
(a) We have $\pi\left(v_{1}-c v_{2}\right)=\left\langle v_{1}{ }^{\prime}-c^{\prime} v_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$ for $c \in \mathbb{L}$.
(b) We have $\pi\left(c u_{1}+u_{2}-\left(v_{1}-c v_{2}\right)\right)=\left\langle c^{\prime} u_{1}{ }^{\prime}+u_{2}{ }^{\prime}-\left(v_{1}{ }^{\prime}-c^{\prime} v_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$ for $c \in \mathbb{L}$.
(c) There exists $r^{\prime} \in V$ such that

$$
\pi\left(a_{1}\right)=\left\langle-q^{\prime} u_{1}^{\prime}+v_{1}^{\prime}-r^{\prime}\right\rangle, \quad \pi\left(a_{2}\right)=\left\langle-u_{2}^{\prime}-q^{\prime} v_{2}^{\prime}+r^{\prime}\right\rangle .
$$

In particular, $r^{\prime} \in\left\langle\pi\left(H_{1}{ }^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle \cap\left\langle\pi\left(H_{2}{ }^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$.
(d) If $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon \in \mathbb{K}$ with $\alpha u_{1}{ }^{\prime}+\beta u_{2}{ }^{\prime}+\gamma v_{1}{ }^{\prime}+\delta v_{2}{ }^{\prime}+\epsilon r^{\prime}=0$, then $\alpha=\beta=\gamma=\delta=0$.

We set $a_{1}{ }^{\prime}:=-q^{\prime} u_{1}{ }^{\prime}+v_{1}{ }^{\prime}-r^{\prime}, a_{2}{ }^{\prime}:=-u_{2}{ }^{\prime}-q^{\prime} v_{2}{ }^{\prime}+r^{\prime}$.
PROOF. (a) For $c \in \mathbb{L}, z:=v_{1}-c v_{2}$ is contained in $\left\langle c u_{1}+u_{2}, c u_{1}+u_{2}-\left(v_{1}-c v_{2}\right)\right\rangle$ with $c u_{1}+u_{2}-\left(v_{1}-c v_{2}\right) \in\left\langle u_{1}+v_{2}, u_{2}-v_{1}\right\rangle$. Hence $\pi(z)$ is contained in $\left\langle c^{\prime} u_{1}{ }^{\prime}+u_{2}{ }^{\prime}, u_{1}{ }^{\prime}+\right.$ $\left.v_{2}{ }^{\prime}, u_{2}{ }^{\prime}-v_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and in $\left\langle v_{1}{ }^{\prime}, v_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$. Comparing coefficients yields (a).
(b) For $c \in \mathbb{L}, c u_{1}+u_{2}-\left(v_{1}-c v_{2}\right)$ is contained in the two singular lines $\left\langle c u_{1}+u_{2}, v_{1}-c v_{2}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle u_{1}+v_{2}, u_{2}-v_{1}\right\rangle$. We apply $\pi$ and obtain (b).
(c) For $a:=-\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)$, we have $a_{2} \in\left\langle a, a_{1}\right\rangle$ and hence $\pi\left(a_{2}\right) \subseteq\left\langle\pi(a), \pi\left(a_{1}\right)\right\rangle$. Since $\pi$ is injective on singular points, there exists $v \in V$ such that $\pi\left(a_{1}\right)=\langle v\rangle$ and $\pi\left(a_{2}\right)=$ $\left\langle-\left(q^{\prime} u_{1}{ }^{\prime}+u_{2}{ }^{\prime}-v_{1}{ }^{\prime}+q^{\prime} v_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right)-v\right\rangle$. The claim follows with $r^{\prime}:=-q^{\prime} u_{1}{ }^{\prime}+v_{1}{ }^{\prime}-v$.
(d) This follows from (5.2.3) and (c).
5.4.5 Lemma. We use the notation of (5.4.3) and (5.4.4). For $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{L}$, we have:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\pi\left(c u_{1}-q(r) c^{-1} v_{1}+r\right) & =\left\langle c^{\prime} u_{1}^{\prime}-q^{\prime} c^{\prime-1} v_{1}^{\prime}+r^{\prime}\right\rangle, \\
\pi\left(c u_{1}+a_{2}\right) & =\left\langle c^{\prime} u_{1}+a_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle, \\
\pi\left(u_{2}-q(r) c^{-1} v_{1}\right) & =\left\langle u_{2}^{\prime}-q^{\prime} c^{\prime-1} v_{1}^{\prime}\right\rangle, \\
\pi\left(u_{1}+q(r) c^{-1} v_{2}\right) & =\left\langle u_{1}^{\prime}+q^{\prime} c^{\prime-1} v_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle, \\
\pi\left((c-q(r)) u_{1}+a_{2}\right) & =\left\langle\left(c^{\prime}-q^{\prime}\right) u_{1}^{\prime}+a_{2}\right\rangle .
\end{array}
$$

PROOF. Because of $z:=c u_{1}-q(r) c^{-1} v_{1}+r \in\left\langle a_{2},\left(c u_{1}+u_{2}\right)-q(r) c^{-1}\left(v_{1}-c v_{2}\right)\right\rangle$ and $z \in H_{2}^{\perp}$, (5.2.3) yields the first claim. Since $c u_{1}+a_{2}$ is contained in the two singular lines $\left\langle-u_{2}+z, v_{1}-c v_{2}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle u_{1}, a_{2}\right\rangle,(5.4 .4)$ (d) yields the second one. Similarly, $u_{2}-q(r) c^{-1} v_{1} \in$ $\left\langle-q(r) v_{2}+z, c u_{1}+a_{2}\right\rangle$ and $u_{1}+q(r) c^{-1} v_{2} \in\left\langle u_{1}+u_{2}-q(r) c^{-1}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right), u_{2}-q(r) c^{-1} v_{1}\right\rangle$, so we may calculate the image points under $\pi$.
Since $\mathbb{L}$ is commutative, $w:=q(r) u_{1}+u_{2}-q(r) c^{-1}\left(v_{1}-q(r) v_{2}\right)$ is contained in $\left\langle q(r) u_{1}+\right.$ $\left.u_{2}, v_{1}-q(r) v_{2}\right\rangle$ and in $\left\langle u_{2}-q(r) c^{-1} v_{1}, u_{1}+q(r) c^{-1} v_{2}\right\rangle$. We obtain $\pi(w)=\left\langle q^{\prime} u_{1}{ }^{\prime}+u_{2}{ }^{\prime}-\right.$ $\left.q^{\prime} c^{\prime-1} v_{1}^{\prime}+q^{\prime} q^{\prime} c^{\prime-1} v_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle$, since $\mathbb{K}$ is not necessarily commutative, Finally, $(c-q(r)) u_{1}+a_{2} \in$ $\left\langle z, v_{2}, w\right\rangle$ and we may use (5.2.1).
5.4.6 Lemma. If $\mathbb{L} \neq \mathbf{G F}(2)$, then char $\mathbb{K}=2$ and $\pi\left((c+q(r)) u_{1}+a_{2}\right)=\left\langle\left(c^{\prime}+q^{\prime}\right) u_{1}{ }^{\prime}+\right.$ $\left.a_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$.

PROOF. By (5.4.5) we have $(c-q(r))^{\prime}=c^{\prime}-q^{\prime}$ for $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{L}$. Because of $q(r) \neq 0$, we have char $\mathbb{L}=2$. If $\mathbb{L} \neq \mathbf{G F}(2)$, then there exists $0, q \neq c \in \mathbb{L}$ and we obtain $c^{\prime}=((c-q(r))-q(r))^{\prime}=c^{\prime}-q^{\prime}-q^{\prime}$. This shows char $\mathbb{K}=2$. The second claim follows from (5.4.5).
5.4.7 Lemma. We assume that $q$ is a quadratic form and $\mathbb{L} \neq \mathbf{G F}(2)$. If there exists $r \in \operatorname{Rad}(W, f)$ with $q(r) \neq 0$, then there exists an embedding $\alpha: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and a semi-linear (with respect to $\alpha$ ) mapping $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$ such that $\pi(\mathbb{L} w)=\mathbb{K} \varphi(w)$ for all $0 \neq w \in U$, w singular.

PROOF. Let $L_{1}:=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle$ be a singular line in $U$ and choose $x_{1}{ }^{\prime}, x_{2}{ }^{\prime} \in V$ such that $\pi\left(x_{1}\right)=\left\langle x_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle, \pi\left(x_{2}\right)=\left\langle x_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle, \pi\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)=\left\langle x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+x_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$. By $P\left(L_{1}\right)$ we denote the set of points of $L_{1}$, and similarly for other lines. We define $\tau: \mathbb{L} \cup\{\infty\} \rightarrow P\left(L_{1}\right)$ by $\mu \mapsto\left\langle\mu x_{1}+x_{2}\right\rangle$ for $\mu \in \mathbb{L}, \infty \mapsto\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle$ and similarly $\tau^{\prime}: \mathbb{K} \cup\{\infty\} \rightarrow P\left(L_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)$, where $L_{1}{ }^{\prime}=\left\langle x_{1}{ }^{\prime}, x_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$. For $\alpha:=\tau^{\prime-1} \pi \tau: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, we obtain $\alpha(0)=0, \alpha(1)=1$ and $\alpha(\infty)=\infty$.
We denote by $\mathbf{P G L}_{2}(\mathbb{L})$ the set of all invertible mappings $\gamma: \mathbb{L} \cup\{\infty\} \rightarrow \mathbb{L} \cup\{\infty\}$, where $\gamma$ is of the form $\gamma: x \mapsto(x c+d)^{-1}(x a+b), x \in \mathbb{L} \cup\{\infty\}$ with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{L}$. The elements of $T:=\left\{\tau\left(\gamma \beta \gamma^{-1}\right) \tau^{-1} \mid \gamma \in \mathbf{P G L}_{2}(\mathbb{L})\right\}$, where $\beta: x \mapsto x+1$, are called translations of $P\left(L_{1}\right)$. Similarly, we define $T^{\prime}$ for $P\left(L_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)$.
Let $t \in T$ and let $\beta_{0}, \gamma \in \mathbf{P G L}_{2}(\mathbb{L})$ with $\beta_{0}: x \mapsto x+q(r), \gamma: x \mapsto(x c+d)^{-1}(x a+b)$, such that $t=\tau \gamma \beta_{0} \gamma^{-1} \tau^{-1}$. We set $u_{1}:=a x_{1}+c x_{2}, u_{2}:=b x_{1}+d x_{2}$. Then $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ is a basis of $L_{1}$ and we have $\tau \gamma: \mathbb{L} \cup\{\infty\} \rightarrow P\left(L_{1}\right), \quad \mu \mapsto\left\langle\mu u_{1}+u_{2}\right\rangle(\mu \in \mathbb{L}), \quad \infty \mapsto\left\langle u_{1}\right\rangle$. For $u_{1}{ }^{\prime}, u_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ as in (5.4.3), there are $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, d^{\prime} \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $u_{1}{ }^{\prime}=a^{\prime} x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+c^{\prime} x_{2}{ }^{\prime}, u_{2}{ }^{\prime}=b^{\prime} x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+d^{\prime} x_{2}{ }^{\prime}$. We set $\gamma^{\prime}: x \mapsto\left(x c^{\prime}+d^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(x a^{\prime}+b^{\prime}\right)$ and $\beta_{0}{ }^{\prime}: x \mapsto x+q^{\prime}$ for $x \in \mathbb{K} \cup\{\infty\}$.
We use the notation of (5.4.3), (5.4.4). Let $L_{2}:=\left\langle u_{1}, a_{2}\right\rangle, L_{2}{ }^{\prime}:=\left\langle u_{1}{ }^{\prime}, a_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$. We define $\rho_{1}: P\left(L_{1}\right) \rightarrow P\left(L_{2}\right)$ by $\rho_{1}:\left\langle c u_{1}+u_{2}\right\rangle \mapsto\left\langle(c+q(r)) u_{1}+a_{2}\right\rangle(c \in \mathbb{L}),\left\langle u_{1}\right\rangle \mapsto\left\langle u_{1}\right\rangle$ and $\rho_{2}: P\left(L_{2}\right) \rightarrow P\left(L_{1}\right)$ by $\rho_{2}:\left\langle c u_{1}+a_{2}\right\rangle \mapsto\left\langle c u_{1}+u_{2}\right\rangle(c \in \mathbb{L}),\left\langle u_{1}\right\rangle \mapsto\left\langle u_{1}\right\rangle$. Similarly, we define $\rho_{1}{ }^{\prime}: P\left(L_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow P\left(L_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ and $\rho_{2}{ }^{\prime}: P\left(L_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow P\left(L_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)$. Then we have $\pi \rho_{2}=\rho_{2}{ }^{\prime} \pi$ on $P\left(L_{2}\right)$ by (5.4.5) and $\pi \rho_{1}=\rho_{1}{ }^{\prime} \pi$ on $P\left(L_{1}\right)$ by (5.4.6). Hence $\pi \rho_{2} \rho_{1}=\rho_{2}{ }^{\prime} \rho_{1}{ }^{\prime} \pi$ on $P\left(L_{1}\right)$. For $t^{\prime}:=\left(\tau^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}\right) \beta_{0}{ }^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime-1} \tau^{\prime-1} \in T^{\prime}$, we have $t=\rho_{2} \rho_{1}, t^{\prime}=\rho_{2}{ }^{\prime} \rho_{1}{ }^{\prime}$. Hence $\pi t=t^{\prime} \pi$ on $P\left(L_{1}\right)$. Since $\mathbb{L}$ is commutative, we obtain that $\alpha$ is an embedding as in TiTs [15, (8.12.3)].
In (5.4.3) we use the hyperbolic pairs $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)(i=1,2)$, where $U=\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle \perp\left\langle x_{2}, y_{2}\right\rangle$. Then $\pi\left(c x_{1}+x_{2}\right)=\left\langle\alpha(c) x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+x_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle, \pi\left(y_{1}-c y_{2}\right)=\left\langle y_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\alpha(c) y_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$ by the definition of $\alpha$ and (5.4.4)(a). Since $q(r) \neq 0$ and $\alpha$ is an embedding, (5.4.5) yields $\pi\left(y_{1}+c x_{2}\right)=$ $\left\langle y_{1}{ }^{\prime}+\alpha(c) x_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and $\pi\left(y_{2}-c x_{1}\right)=\left\langle y_{2}{ }^{\prime}-\alpha(c) x_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$ for $c \in L$. If $z$ is a singular point in $U$, which is not contained in $\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle x_{1}, y_{2}\right\rangle$, then there exist $c, d \in \mathbb{L}$ such that $z=\left\langle c d x_{1}+y_{1}+c x_{2}-d y_{2}\right\rangle$. Hence $z \subseteq\left\langle d x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{1}-d y_{2}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle y_{1}+c x_{2}, y_{2}-c x_{1}\right\rangle$. We apply $\pi$ and obtain $\pi(z)=\left\langle\alpha(c) \alpha(d) x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+\alpha(c) x_{2}{ }^{\prime}+y_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\alpha(d) y_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$. The claim follows with $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$ defined by $\varphi\left(c_{1} x_{1}+c_{2} x_{2}+d_{1} y_{1}+d_{2} y_{2}\right)=\alpha\left(c_{1}\right) x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+\alpha\left(c_{2}\right) x_{2}{ }^{\prime}+\alpha\left(d_{1}\right) y_{1}{ }^{\prime}+\alpha\left(d_{2}\right) y_{2}{ }^{\prime}$.
5.4.8 Lemma. We assume that $q$ is a quadratic form. If there exists $0 \neq r \in \operatorname{Rad}(W, f)$ with $q(r)=0$, then there exists an embedding $\alpha: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and a semi-linear (with respect to $\alpha$ ) mapping $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$ such that $\pi(\mathbb{L} w)=\mathbb{K} \varphi(w)$ for all $0 \neq w \in U$, $w$ singular.

PROOF. : Let $r^{\prime} \in V$ such that $\pi(r)=\left\langle r^{\prime}\right\rangle$. Let $L_{1}:=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle$ be a singular line in $U$ and choose $x_{1}{ }^{\prime}, x_{2}{ }^{\prime} \in V$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\pi\left(x_{2}\right)=\left\langle x_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle, & \pi\left(x_{2}+r\right)=\left\langle x_{2}{ }^{\prime}+r^{\prime}\right\rangle, \\
\pi\left(x_{1}\right)=\left\langle x_{1}^{\prime}\right\rangle, & \pi\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)=\left\langle x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+x_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle .
\end{array}
$$

We define $\tau, \tau^{\prime}, \alpha, T, T^{\prime}$ as in the proof of (5.4.7). Let $t \in T$ and let $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbf{P G L}_{2}(\mathbb{L})$ with $\beta: x \mapsto x+1, \gamma: x \mapsto(x c+d)^{-1}(x a+b)$, such that $t=(\tau \gamma) \beta \gamma^{-1} \tau^{-1}$. For $u_{1}:=a x_{1}+c x_{2}$, $u_{2}:=b x_{1}+d x_{2}, \tau \gamma$ is as in the proof of (5.4.7). We let $L_{2}:=\left\langle u_{1}, u_{2}+r\right\rangle, L_{2}{ }^{\prime}=\left\langle\pi\left(L_{2} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$. For $\rho_{1}: P\left(L_{1}\right) \rightarrow P\left(L_{2}\right)$ defined by $z \mapsto\left\langle z, u_{1}+r\right\rangle \cap L_{2}$, we have

$$
\rho_{1}:\left\langle\mu u_{1}+u_{2}\right\rangle \mapsto\left\langle(\mu+1) u_{1}+u_{2}+r\right\rangle(\mu \in \mathbb{L}), \quad\left\langle u_{1}\right\rangle \mapsto\left\langle u_{1}\right\rangle .
$$

Similarly, for $\rho_{2}: P\left(L_{2}\right) \rightarrow P\left(L_{1}\right)$ defined by $z \mapsto\langle z, r\rangle \cap L_{1}$, we have

$$
\rho_{2}:\left\langle\mu u_{1}+u_{2}+r\right\rangle \mapsto\left\langle\mu u_{1}+u_{2}\right\rangle(\mu \in \mathbb{L}), \quad\left\langle u_{1}\right\rangle \mapsto\left\langle u_{1}\right\rangle .
$$

This yields $t=\rho_{2} \rho_{1}$. We choose $u_{1}{ }^{\prime}, u_{2}{ }^{\prime} \in V$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\pi\left(u_{2}\right)=\left\langle u_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle, & \\
\pi\left(u_{2}+r\right)=\left\langle u_{2}{ }^{\prime}+r^{\prime}\right\rangle, \\
\pi\left(u_{1}\right)=\left\langle u_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle, & \pi\left(u_{1}+r\right)=\left\langle u_{1}{ }^{\prime}+r^{\prime}\right\rangle .
\end{array}
$$

We define $\gamma^{\prime}$ as in the proof of (5.4.7) and $\rho_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \rho_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ similarly as $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$. With $\beta^{\prime}: x \mapsto x+1$ and $t^{\prime}:=\left(\tau^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}\right) \beta^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime-1} \tau^{\prime-1} \in T^{\prime}$ we obtain $t^{\prime}=\rho_{2}{ }^{\prime} \rho_{1}{ }^{\prime}$.
For $a \in P\left(L_{2}\right)$, we have $\pi \rho_{2}(a)=\pi\left(\langle a, r\rangle \cap L_{1}\right)=\left\langle\pi(a), r^{\prime}\right\rangle \cap L_{1}{ }^{\prime}=\rho_{2}{ }^{\prime} \pi(a)$ and similarly, $\pi \rho_{1}(z)=\rho_{1}{ }^{\prime} \pi(z)$ for $z \in P\left(L_{1}\right)$. This shows $\pi t=t^{\prime} \pi$ on $P\left(L_{1}\right)$. Now $\alpha$ is an embedding as in the proof of (5.4.7).
Let $U=\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle \perp\left\langle x_{2}, y_{2}\right\rangle$ with hyperbolic pairs $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)(i=1,2)$. We choose $y_{1}{ }^{\prime}, y_{2}{ }^{\prime} \in V$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\pi\left(y_{2}\right)=\left\langle y_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle, & \pi\left(y_{2}-r\right)=\left\langle y_{2}^{\prime}-r^{\prime}\right\rangle, \\
\pi\left(y_{1}\right)=\left\langle y_{1}^{\prime}\right\rangle, & \pi\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)=\left\langle y_{1}^{\prime}-y_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle .
\end{array}
$$

Since $r^{\prime} \neq 0, x_{1}{ }^{\prime}, x_{2}{ }^{\prime}, y_{1}{ }^{\prime}, y_{2}{ }^{\prime}, r^{\prime}$ are linearly independent as in (5.4.4)(d). Let $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{L}$. Because of $y_{2}-c x_{1} \in\left\langle c x_{1}+x_{2}, x_{2}+r, y_{2}-r\right\rangle$ and (5.2.1), we obtain $\pi\left(y_{2}-c x_{1}\right)=\left\langle y_{2}{ }^{\prime}-\right.$ $\left.\alpha(c) x_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$. Similarly, $y_{1}+c x_{2} \in\left\langle x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{1}-y_{2}, y_{2}-c x_{1}\right\rangle$ and $\pi\left(y_{1}+c x_{2}\right)=\left\langle y_{1}{ }^{\prime}+\alpha(c) x_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$. Further, $y_{1}-c y_{2} \in\left\langle y_{1}+c x_{2}, y_{2}-c x_{1}, c x_{1}+x_{2}\right\rangle$ and $\pi\left(y_{1}-c y_{2}\right)=\left\langle y_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\alpha(c) y_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$. We now finish the proof as in (5.4.7).
5.4.9 Lemma. If $\operatorname{dim} U=4$ and $\operatorname{Rad}(W, f) \neq 0$, then one of the following holds:
(a) There exists an embedding $\alpha: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and a semi-linear (with respect to $\alpha$ ) mapping $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$ such that $\pi(\mathbb{L} w)=\mathbb{K} \varphi(w)$ for all $0 \neq w \in U$, $w$ singular.
(b) We have $\mathbb{L}=\mathbf{G F}(2)$, $\operatorname{dim} W=5$ and $q$ is a quadratic form. The weak embedding is the so-called universal weak embedding of the symplectic quadrangle over $\mathbf{G F}(2)$ described in Thas \& Van Maldeghem [11].

PROOF. Let $U=\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle \perp\left\langle x_{2}, y_{2}\right\rangle$ with hyperbolic pairs $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)(i=1,2)$ and let $0 \neq r \in \operatorname{Rad}(W, f)$. We lead the assumption that the mapping $\alpha: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ defined in (5.4.2) is an anti-embedding to a contradiction. For $x \in\left\{x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2}\right\}$ let $x^{\prime}:=\varphi(x)$ with $\varphi$ of (5.4.2)(b). Let $q_{r} \in \mathbb{L}$ with $q_{r}+\Lambda=q(r)$. We first handle the case $q_{r} \neq 0$. For $a_{1}:=q_{r} x_{1}-y_{1}+r$ and $a_{2}:=q_{r}{ }^{\sigma} x_{2}-y_{2}+r$, there exists a unique $r^{\prime} \in\left\langle\pi\left(\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$ with $\pi\left(a_{1}\right)=\left\langle\alpha\left(q_{r}\right) x_{1}{ }^{\prime}-y_{1}{ }^{\prime}+r^{\prime}\right\rangle, \pi\left(a_{2}\right)=\left\langle\alpha\left(q_{r}{ }^{\sigma}\right) x_{2}{ }^{\prime}-y_{2}{ }^{\prime}+r^{\prime}\right\rangle$ as in (5.3.1). Let $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{L}$ and $z:=q_{r} c^{\sigma} x_{1}-c^{-1} y_{1}+r$. Because of $z \in\left\langle a_{2}, z-a_{2}\right\rangle$, there exist $z^{\prime} \in V, A, B \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\pi(z)=\left\langle z^{\prime}\right\rangle$ with

$$
z^{\prime}=A\left(\alpha\left(q_{r}{ }^{\sigma}\right) x_{2}^{\prime}-y_{2}^{\prime}+r^{\prime}\right)+B\left(\alpha\left(c^{\sigma}\right) \alpha\left(q_{r}\right) x_{1}^{\prime}-\alpha(c)^{-1} y_{1}^{\prime}-\alpha\left(c^{\sigma}\right) \alpha\left(q_{r}{ }^{\sigma}\right) \alpha\left(c^{-\sigma}\right) x_{2}{ }^{\prime}+y_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Since $z \in\left\langle x_{2}, y_{2}\right\rangle^{\perp}$, (5.2.3) yields $\alpha\left(q_{r}{ }^{\sigma}\right)=\alpha\left(c^{\sigma}\right) \alpha\left(q_{r}{ }^{\sigma}\right) \alpha\left(c^{-\sigma}\right)$. Hence $q_{r}=c q_{r} c^{-1}$ for $c \in \mathbb{L}$, i. e. $q_{r} \in Z(\mathbb{L})=\Lambda$ and $r$ is singular.
We now handle the case that $r$ is singular. Let $\pi(r)=\left\langle r^{\prime}\right\rangle$ with $\pi\left(x_{1}-r\right)=\left\langle x_{1}{ }^{\prime}-r^{\prime}\right\rangle$. For $0 \neq c, d \in \mathbb{L}$, we have $c x_{2}+d y_{1} \in\left\langle c x_{2}+r, d y_{1}-r\right\rangle$ with $c x_{2}+r \in\left\langle x_{1}+c x_{2}, x_{1}-r\right\rangle, d y_{1}-r \in$ $\left\langle d y_{1}-y_{2}, y_{2}-r\right\rangle, y_{2}-r \in\left\langle x_{1}-r, y_{2}-x_{1}\right\rangle$. This yields $\pi\left(c x_{2}+d y_{1}\right)=\left\langle\alpha(c) x_{2}{ }^{\prime}+\alpha(d) y_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$. Further, $\pi\left(x_{2}+c^{-1} d y_{1}\right)=\left\langle x_{2}{ }^{\prime}+\alpha\left(c^{-1} d\right) y_{1}\right\rangle$ by (5.4.2)(b). Hence $\alpha(c)^{-1} \alpha(d)=\alpha\left(c^{-1} d\right)=$ $\alpha(d) \alpha(c)^{-1}$ for $0 \neq c, d \in \mathbb{L}$ and $\alpha$ is an embedding, a contradiction.
By (5.4.2), (5.4.7), (5.4.8) we are left with the case where $q$ is a quadratic form, $\mathbb{L}=\mathbf{G F}(2)$ and $\operatorname{dim} W=5$ (recall that $U$ is a $4^{+}$-space). Hence the polar space associated to $W$ and $q$ is isomorphic to the symplectic quadrangle over $\mathbf{G F}(2)$. If char $\mathbb{K}=2$, then (a) holds as in the proof of (5.4.7). It is possible that char $\mathbb{K} \neq 2$. In this case the weak embedding $\pi$ is as described in Thas \& Van Maldeghem [11]. The proof of this can be taken over without notable change from loc. cit.

## 6 Mixed quadrangles

### 6.1 Introduction and statement of the Theorem

In this section, we show that every weak embedding of any mixed quadrangle $Q\left(\mathbb{L}^{\prime}, \mathbb{L}^{2} ; \Lambda^{\prime}, \Lambda^{2}\right)$ in a projective space is induced by an embedding $\alpha: \Lambda^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and a so-called semi-linear mapping $\varphi: \Lambda \rightarrow V$; for definitions see (6.1.3).
6.1.1 Definition of mixed quadrangles. Let $\mathbb{L}$ be a (commutative) field of characteristic 2 and let

$$
\mathbb{L}^{2} \subseteq \Lambda^{\prime} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^{\prime} \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{L}
$$

where $\mathbb{L}^{\prime}$ is a subfield of $\mathbb{L}, \Lambda$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{L}$ considered as vector space over $\mathbb{L}^{\prime}$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{L}^{\prime}$ considered as vector space over $\mathbb{L}^{2}$. We suppose that $\mathbb{L}$ respectively $\mathbb{L}^{\prime}$ are generated as rings by $\Lambda$ respectively $\Lambda^{\prime}$.
Let $W\left(\mathbb{L}^{\prime}\right)$ be the symplectic quadrangle associated to the vector space $M:=\mathbb{L}^{\prime} \times \mathbb{L}^{\prime} \times \mathbb{L}^{\prime} \times$ $\mathbb{L}^{\prime}$ and the symplectic form $b: M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{\prime}$ defined by $b(x, y)=x_{1} y_{2}+x_{2} y_{1}+x_{3} y_{4}+x_{4} y_{3}$ for $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right), y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right) \in M$.

Let $Q(E, f)$ be the orthogonal quadrangle associated to the vector space $E:=\mathbb{L}^{\prime} \times M$ with scalar multiplication $c\left(x_{0} ; x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right):=\left(c^{2} x_{0} ; c x_{1}, c x_{2}, c x_{3}, c x_{4}\right)$ for $c, x_{i} \in \mathbb{L}^{\prime}$ $(i=0, \ldots, 4)$ and the quadratic form $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{\prime}$ defined by $f\left(\left(x_{0} ; x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)\right)=$ $x_{0}+x_{1} x_{2}+x_{3} x_{4}$. The dimension of the subspace $\mathbb{L}^{\prime}$ in the first factor is the dimension of the field extension $\mathbb{L}^{\prime}: \mathbb{L}^{\prime 2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(E, f) \simeq W\left(\mathbb{L}^{\prime}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the isomorphism is induced by the projection of $E$ on the second factor, see COHEN [1, (3.27)].
Let $Q\left(E_{0}, f\right)$ be the subquadrangle $Q(E, f)$ belonging to the subspace $E_{0}:=\mathbb{L}^{2} \times M$ of $E$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(E_{0}, f\right) \simeq Q(\mathbb{L} \times M, q) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the latter is the orthogonal quadrangle associated to the vector space $\mathbb{L} \times M$ over $\mathbb{L}^{\prime}$ with usual scalar multiplication and the (non-degenerate) quadratic form $q: \mathbb{L} \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{\prime}$ defined by $q\left(\left(x_{0} ; x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)\right)=x_{0}{ }^{2}+x_{1} x_{2}+x_{3} x_{4}$ for $x_{0} \in \mathbb{L}, x_{i} \in \mathbb{L}^{\prime}(i=1, \ldots, 4)$. The isomorphism is induced by the bijective linear mapping $t: E_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{L} \times M$ with $t\left(\left(x_{0}^{2} ; x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)\right)=\left(x_{0} ; x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$.
Every point in the symplectic quadrangle $W\left(\mathbb{L}^{\prime}\right)$ is spanned by a vector of the following form

$$
(1,0,0,0), \quad(a, 0,1,0),(b, 0, k, 1),\left(l+a a^{\prime}, 1, a^{\prime}, a\right),
$$

where $a, b, a^{\prime}, k, l \in \mathbb{L}^{\prime}$.
Restricting to $a, b, a^{\prime} \in \Lambda^{\prime}, k, l \in \Lambda^{2}$ yields a generalized quadrangle, the so-called mixed quadrangle $Q\left(\mathbb{L}^{\prime}, \mathbb{L}^{2} ; \Lambda^{\prime}, \Lambda^{2}\right)$ first defined in Tits [13], see Van Maldeghem [19, (3.4.2)]. (We may assume that $\mathbb{L}$ is not perfect, since otherwise $\mathbb{L}^{2}=\Lambda^{\prime}=\mathbb{L}^{\prime}=\Lambda=\mathbb{L}$.)
The image of $Q\left(\mathbb{L}^{\prime}, \mathbb{L}^{2} ; \Lambda^{\prime}, \Lambda^{2}\right)$ in $Q(E, f)$ under the isomorphism in (1) is contained in $Q\left(E_{0}, f\right)$. The image in $Q(\mathbb{L} \times M, q)$ under the isomorphism in (2) yields the points spanned by vectors of the form

$$
(0 ; 1,0,0,0), \quad(0 ; a, 0,1,0), \quad\left(k ; b, 0, k^{2}, 1\right), \quad\left(l ; l^{2}+a a^{\prime}, 1, a^{\prime}, a\right),
$$

where $a, b, a^{\prime} \in \Lambda^{\prime}, k, l \in \Lambda$.
6.1.2 Notation. We always regard the mixed quadrangle $\mathcal{Q}:=Q\left(\mathbb{L}^{\prime}, \mathbb{L}^{2} ; \Lambda^{\prime}, \Lambda^{2}\right)$ as subquadrangle of $Q(\mathbb{L} \times M, q)$ as in (6.1.1). Let $S$ be the set of points of $\mathcal{Q}$ and $W:=$ $\mathbb{L} \times M$. For each subspace $U$ of $W$, we denote by $U \cap S$ the set of points of $\mathcal{Q}$, which are contained in $U$.

The 1-, 2- and 3-dimensional subspaces of $W$ are called points, lines, planes respectively. The subspace of a vector space which is spanned by a subset $X$ is denoted by $\langle X\rangle$. For each subspace $U$ of $W$, we let $U^{\perp}=\{w \in W \mid(w, u)=0$ for $u \in U\}$, where (, ) is the bilinear form associated to $q$. A subspace $U$ of $W$ is called singular, if $q(u)=0$ for $u \in U$. A hyperbolic line of $W$ is a line $\langle x, y\rangle$, where $x, y$ are singular points and $y \nsubseteq x^{\perp}$.
The lines of $\mathcal{Q}$ are the singular lines $\langle a, b\rangle$ of $W$, where $a$ and $b$ are points of $\mathcal{Q}$.
6.1.3 Definition. Let $\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}^{\prime}, \Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}$ be as in (6.1.1) and let $\mathbb{K}$ be a skewfield and $V$ be a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$. A mapping $\alpha: \Lambda^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is called an embedding, if $\alpha$ has the following properties:
(a) $\alpha$ is injective, $\alpha$ respects addition,
(b) $\alpha\left(l^{2} c\right)=\alpha\left(l^{2}\right) \alpha(c)$ for $l \in \mathbb{L}, c \in \Lambda^{\prime}$,
(c) $\alpha\left(c^{2}\right)=\alpha(c)^{2}$ for $c \in \Lambda^{\prime}$,
(d) $\alpha(c) \alpha(d)=\alpha(d) \alpha(c)$ for $c, d \in \Lambda^{\prime}$.

Let $\alpha: \Lambda^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be an embedding. A mapping $\varphi: \Lambda \rightarrow V$ is called a semi-linear mapping (with respect to $\alpha$ ), if $\varphi(l+k)=\varphi(l)+\varphi(k)$ and $\varphi(c l)=\alpha(c) \varphi(l)$ for $l, k \in \Lambda, c \in \Lambda^{\prime}$.
6.1.4 Remark. If $\alpha: \Lambda^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is an embedding, then we have $\alpha\left(c^{2} d\right)=\alpha(c)^{2} \alpha(d)$ and $\alpha\left(c^{-1}\right)=\alpha(c)^{-1}$ for $c, d \in \Lambda^{\prime}, c \neq 0$. When we regard $\Lambda^{\prime}$ as vector space over $\mathbb{L}^{2}$, then $\alpha: \Lambda^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is a semi-linear mapping (with respect to the embedding $\left.\alpha\right|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}: \mathbb{L}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ ).

Let $\varphi: \Lambda \rightarrow V$ be a semi-linear mapping (with respect to $\alpha$ ). If there exists some $l_{0} \in \Lambda$ with $\varphi\left(l_{0}\right) \neq 0$, then it is possible to extend $\alpha: \Lambda^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ to $\mathbb{L}^{\prime}=\left\langle\Lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle$ because of the equation $\varphi(c l)=\alpha(c) \varphi(l)$ for $c \in \Lambda^{\prime}, l \in \Lambda$. Then $\varphi: \Lambda \rightarrow V$ is a semi-linear mapping with respect to the embedding $\alpha: \mathbb{L}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$.

We prove the following result:
6.1.5 Theorem. We use the notation of (6.1.2) with $\mathbb{L} \neq \mathbf{G F}(2)$. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a skewfield and let $V$ be a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$. We assume that $\pi$ is a weak embedding of the mixed quadrangle $\mathcal{Q}:=Q\left(\mathbb{L}^{\prime}, \mathbb{L}^{2} ; \Lambda^{\prime}, \Lambda^{2}\right)$ into the projective space $\mathbf{P G}(V)$. Then there exists an
embedding $\alpha: \Lambda^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, a decomposition $V=V_{1} \times \mathbb{K}^{4}$, where $\mathbb{K}^{4}=\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}$, and a semi-linear mapping $\varphi: \Lambda \rightarrow V_{1}$ (in the sense of (6.1.3)) such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi\left(\mathbb{L}^{\prime}(0 ; 1,0,0,0)\right) & =\mathbb{K}(1,0,0,0), \\
\pi\left(\mathbb{L}^{\prime}(0 ; a, 0,1,0)\right) & =\mathbb{K}(\alpha(a), 0,1,0), \\
\pi\left(\mathbb{L}^{\prime}\left(k ; b, 0, k^{2}, 1\right)\right) & =\mathbb{K}\left(\varphi(k)+\left(\alpha(b), 0, \alpha\left(k^{2}\right), 1\right)\right), \\
\pi\left(\mathbb{L}^{\prime}\left(l ; l^{2}+a a^{\prime}, 1, a^{\prime}, a\right)\right) & =\mathbb{K}\left(\varphi(l)+\left(\alpha\left(l^{2}\right)+\alpha\left(a^{\prime}\right) \alpha(a), 1, \alpha\left(a^{\prime}\right), \alpha(a)\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $a, b, a^{\prime} \in \Lambda^{\prime}, k, l \in \Lambda$. Further, the subspace $\mathbb{K}^{4}$ of $V$ is unique and the basis of $\mathbb{K}^{4}$ used in the above description, $\alpha$ and $\varphi$ are unique up to scalar.
6.1.6 Remark. Note that, if the dimension of $V$ is equal to 4 , and if $\Lambda^{\prime}=\mathbb{L}^{\prime}$ (and hence $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is a field), then the weak embedding of $\mathcal{Q}$ into $\mathbf{P G}(V)$ is full over the subfield $\alpha\left(\mathbb{L}^{\prime}\right)$ of $\mathbb{K}$. We will use that result later on in the proof of Theorem 7.2.2.
6.1.7 Main idea of the proof. The idea of the proof of Theorem 6.1.5 is as follows: If $\mathbb{L}^{\prime} w$ is a point of $\mathcal{Q}$, then we often write $\pi(w)$ instead of $\pi\left(\mathbb{L}^{\prime} w\right)$. We set $\pi(U \cap S):=$ $\{\pi(u) \mid u \in U \cap S\}$ for each subspace $U$ of $W$. Let

$$
x_{1}=(0 ; 1,0,0,0), \quad y_{1}=(0 ; 0,1,0,0), x_{2}=(0 ; 0,0,1,0), \quad y_{2}=(0 ; 0,0,0,1) .
$$

We choose $x_{1}{ }^{\prime}, x_{2}{ }^{\prime} \in V$ such that

$$
\pi\left(x_{1}\right)=\left\langle x_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle, \quad \pi\left(x_{2}\right)=\left\langle x_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle, \quad \pi\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)=\left\langle x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+x_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle .
$$

For each $c \in \Lambda^{\prime}$ there exists a unique scalar $\alpha(c) \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\pi\left(c x_{1}+x_{2}\right)=\left\langle\alpha(c) x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+x_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$. This mapping $\alpha: \Lambda^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ yields the desired embedding. The semi-linear mapping $\varphi$ : $\Lambda \rightarrow V$ is defined by $\varphi(l) \in\left\langle\pi\left(\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$ and $\pi\left(\left(l ; l^{2}, 1,0,0\right)\right)=\left\langle\varphi(l)+\alpha\left(l^{2}\right) x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+y_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$. The proofs use some ideas of the description of orthogonal quadrangles weakly embedded in a projective space in Section 5.

### 6.2 The calculation of some image points

In this subsection, we deduce some first properties of the mapping $\alpha: \Lambda^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ defined in (6.1.7). The first two of the following lemmas and the properties of the weak embedding $\pi$ (see Subsection 2.2) are used throughout Section 6 for the calculation of images under $\pi$ of points of $\mathcal{Q}$. We must take care of the fact that at the beginning we do not know whether $\mathbb{K}$ is commutative or char $\mathbb{K}=2$.
6.2.1 Lemma. If $a, b$ are points of $\mathcal{Q}$ with $N=\langle a, b\rangle$ a line of $\mathcal{Q}$, then $\langle\pi(N \cap S)\rangle=$ $\langle\pi(a), \pi(b)\rangle$.

PROOF. Since $\pi$ is injective on singular points, we see that $\langle\pi(a), \pi(b)\rangle$ is a line which is contained in the line $\langle\pi(N \cap S)\rangle$.
6.2.2 Lemma. The following holds:
(a) If $x, y$ are points of $\mathcal{Q}$ such that $H=\langle x, y\rangle$ is a hyperbolic line of $W$, then $\langle\pi(x), \pi(y)\rangle \cap$ $\left\langle\pi\left(H^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle=0$.
(b) Let $H_{1}=\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle, H_{2}=\left\langle x_{2}, y_{2}\right\rangle$ be hyperbolic lines in $W$ with $H_{2} \subseteq H_{1}{ }^{\perp}$. For $z \in\left\{x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2}\right\}$, we choose $z^{\prime} \in V$ such that $\pi(z)=\left\langle z^{\prime}\right\rangle$. If $v \in\left\langle\pi\left(H_{1}{ }^{\perp} \cap\right.\right.$ $S)\rangle \cap\left\langle\pi\left(H_{2}{ }^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$ and $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{K}$ with $a x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+b y_{1}{ }^{\prime}+c x_{2}{ }^{\prime}+d y_{2}{ }^{\prime}=0$, then $a=b=c=d=0$.

PROOF. The proof of (a) is the same as in (5.2.3) and (a) implies (b).
6.2.3 Notation. Let the vectors $x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2}, x_{1}{ }^{\prime}, x_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ and the mapping $\alpha: \Lambda^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be as introduced in (6.1.7) and set $H_{1}=\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle, H_{2}=\left\langle x_{2}, y_{2}\right\rangle$. Let $0 \neq \lambda \in \Lambda^{\prime}$ be fixed throughout Subsection 6.2. We choose $y_{1}{ }^{\prime}, y_{2}{ }^{\prime} \in V$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\pi\left(y_{1}\right)=\left\langle y_{1}\right\rangle, & \pi\left(y_{1}-\lambda x_{2}\right)=\left\langle y_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\alpha(\lambda) x_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle, \\
\pi\left(y_{2}\right)=\left\langle y_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle, & \pi\left(\lambda x_{1}+y_{2}\right)=\left\langle\alpha(\lambda) x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+y_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle .
\end{array}
$$

Then $x_{1}{ }^{\prime}, y_{1}{ }^{\prime}, x_{2}{ }^{\prime}, y_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ are linearly independent by (6.2.2). For $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4} \in \mathbb{K}$, we write $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}\right):=c_{1} x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+c_{2} y_{1}{ }^{\prime}+c_{3} x_{2}{ }^{\prime}+c_{4} y_{2}{ }^{\prime}$.
6.2.4 Lemma. For $c \in \Lambda^{\prime}$, we have
(a) $\pi((0 ; 0,1,0,-c))=\left\langle\left(0,1,0,-\alpha(\lambda) \alpha(c) \alpha(\lambda)^{-1}\right)\right\rangle$,
(b) $\pi((0 ;-\lambda c, 1,-\lambda,-c))=\left\langle\left(-\alpha(\lambda) \alpha(c), 1,-\alpha(\lambda),-\alpha(\lambda) \alpha(c) \alpha(\lambda)^{-1}\right)\right\rangle$.

PROOF. We have $y_{1}-c y_{2} \in\left\langle c x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{1}-\lambda x_{2}-c\left(y_{2}+\lambda x_{1}\right)\right\rangle$. Hence there exists $A \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\pi\left(y_{1}-c y_{2}\right)$ is contained in $\left\langle y_{1}{ }^{\prime}, y_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and in $\left\langle\alpha(c) x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+x_{2}{ }^{\prime}, y_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\alpha(\lambda) x_{2}{ }^{\prime}-A\left(y_{2}{ }^{\prime}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\alpha(\lambda) x_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$. Comparing coefficients yields (a). For (b) we use that $-\lambda c x_{1}+y_{1}-\lambda x_{2}-c y_{2}$ is contained in $\left\langle c x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{1}-c y_{2}\right\rangle$ and in $\left\langle y_{1}-\lambda x_{2}, y_{2}+\lambda x_{1}\right\rangle$.
6.2.5 Lemma. There exists $r^{\prime} \in\left\langle\pi\left(H_{1}{ }^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle \cap\left\langle\pi\left(H_{2}{ }^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi\left(a_{1}\right)=\left\langle-\alpha(\lambda) r^{\prime}+\left(-\alpha(\lambda)^{2}, 1,0,0\right)\right\rangle, \quad \text { for } a_{1}:=\left(-\lambda ;-\lambda^{2}, 1,0,0\right), \\
& \pi\left(a_{2}\right)=\left\langle-r^{\prime}+(0,0,1,1)\right\rangle, \quad \text { for } a_{2}:=(-1 ; 0,0,1,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

PROOF. For $a:=\left(0 ;-\lambda^{2}, 1,-\lambda,-\lambda\right)$, we have $a_{1}=a+\lambda a_{2}$. Hence by (6.2.4)(b) there exists $v \in V$ such that $\pi\left(a_{2}\right)=\langle v\rangle, \pi\left(a_{1}\right)=\left\langle\left(-\alpha(\lambda)^{2}, 1,-\alpha(\lambda),-\alpha(\lambda)\right)+\alpha(\lambda) v\right\rangle$. The claim follows with $r^{\prime}:=(0,0,1,1)-v$.
6.2.6 Lemma. Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, r^{\prime}$ be as in (6.2.5). For $c \in \Lambda^{\prime}$, we have
(a) $\pi\left(\left(-c ;-c^{2}, 1,0,0\right)\right)=\left\langle-\alpha(\lambda) \alpha(c) \alpha(\lambda)^{-1} r^{\prime}+\left(-\alpha(\lambda) \alpha(c) \alpha(\lambda)^{-1} \alpha(c), 1,0,0\right)\right\rangle$,
(b) $\pi((1 ; c, 0,-1,-1))=\left\langle r^{\prime}+(\alpha(c), 0,-1,-1)\right\rangle$,
(c) $\pi((0 ; 0,1,-c, 0))=\left\langle\left(0,1,-\alpha(\lambda) \alpha(c) \alpha(\lambda)^{-1}, 0\right)\right\rangle$,
(d) $\pi((0 ; c, 0,0,1))=\left\langle\left(\alpha(\lambda) \alpha(c) \alpha(\lambda)^{-1}, 0,0,1\right)\right\rangle$.

PROOF. We may assume $c \neq 0$. Since $z:=\left(-c ;-c^{2}, 1,0,0\right)$ is contained in the line $\left\langle a_{2},-c\left(c x_{1}+x_{2}\right)+\left(y_{1}-c y_{2}\right)\right\rangle$ of $\mathcal{Q}$, there exist by (6.2.4), (6.2.5) $z^{\prime} \in V, A, B \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\pi(z)=\left\langle z^{\prime}\right\rangle, z^{\prime}=A \alpha(c)\left(x_{2}{ }^{\prime}+y_{2}{ }^{\prime}-r^{\prime}\right)-B\left(\alpha(c) x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+x_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right)+\left(y_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\alpha(\lambda) \alpha(c) \alpha(\lambda)^{-1} y_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right)$. We use (6.2.2)(a) for $H_{2}$ and (a) follows.

For (b), we use that $c x_{1}-a_{2}$ is contained in the line $\left\langle z+c x_{2}, y_{1}-c y_{2}\right\rangle$ of $\mathcal{Q}$; for (c) that $y_{1}-c x_{2} \in\left\langle c x_{1}-a_{2}, z+c y_{2}\right\rangle$; for (d) that $c x_{1}+y_{2} \in\left\langle c\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)-\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right), y_{1}-c x_{2}\right\rangle$.
6.2.7 Lemma. We have $\alpha(c) \alpha(\lambda)=\alpha(\lambda) \alpha(c)$ for $c \in \Lambda^{\prime}$.

PROOF. Since $y_{1}-c y_{2}$ is contained in the line $\left\langle c x_{1}+x_{2},-c\left(x_{1}+y_{2}\right)+\left(y_{1}-x_{2}\right)\right\rangle$ of $\mathcal{Q}$, there exist $A, B, C \in \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$
\left(0,1,0,-\alpha(\lambda) \alpha(c) \alpha(\lambda)^{-1}\right)=A(\alpha(c), 0,1,0)+B(C, 1,-1, C)
$$

by (6.2.4)(a) and (6.2.6)(c), (d) for scalar 1. Comparing coefficients yields the claim.
6.2.8 Lemma. Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, r^{\prime}$ be as in (6.2.5). For $0 \neq c \in \Lambda^{\prime}$, we have
(a) $\pi((0 ;-c \lambda, 1,-c,-\lambda))=\langle(-\alpha(c) \alpha(\lambda), 1,-\alpha(c),-\alpha(\lambda))\rangle$,
(b) $\pi((1 ; c-\lambda, 0,-1,-1))=\left\langle r^{\prime}+(\alpha(c)-\alpha(\lambda), 0,-1,-1)\right\rangle$.

PROOF. We apply (6.2.7), (6.2.6) and (6.2.4)(a). For (a), we use that $z:=-c \lambda x_{1}+$ $y_{1}-c x_{2}-\lambda y_{2}$ is contained in the two lines $\left\langle\lambda x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{1}-\lambda y_{2}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle c x_{1}+y_{2}, y_{1}-c x_{2}\right\rangle$ of $\mathcal{Q}$. For $z_{0}:=\left(-c ;-c^{2}, 1,0,0\right),(c-\lambda) x_{1}-a_{2}$ is contained in the line $\left\langle z, z_{0}+(c-\lambda) y_{2}\right\rangle$ of $\mathcal{Q}$.

### 6.3 The embedding $\alpha: \Lambda^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$

We use the notation of (6.2.3) with scalar $\lambda=1$.
6.3.1 Lemma. The mapping $\alpha: \Lambda^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ introduced in (6.1.7) respects addition. In particular char $\mathbb{K}=2$. Further, $\alpha(c) \alpha(\lambda)=\alpha(\lambda) \alpha(c)$ for $c, \lambda \in \Lambda^{\prime}$.

PROOF. By (6.2.7), (6.2.6)(c), (d) we have $\pi\left(c x_{1}+y_{2}\right)=\left\langle\alpha(c) x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+y_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle, \pi\left(y_{1}-c x_{2}\right)=$ $\left\langle y_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\alpha(c) x_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$ for $c \in \Lambda^{\prime}$. This shows that $y_{1}{ }^{\prime}, y_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ are such that we may apply the results of Subsection 6.2 for arbitrary $0 \neq \lambda \in \Lambda^{\prime}$. The last statement hence is (6.2.7). By (6.2.6)(b), (6.2.8)(b) we may calculate $\pi((1 ; c-\lambda, 0,-1,-1))$ in two ways, hence $\alpha(c-\lambda)=\alpha(c)-\alpha(\lambda)$ for $0 \neq c, \lambda \in \Lambda^{\prime}$. Let $0,1 \neq c \in \Lambda^{\prime}(c$ exists, since $\mathbb{L} \neq \mathbf{G F}(2))$. Then $\alpha(c)=\alpha((c-1)-1)=\alpha(c-1)-1=\alpha(c)-1-1$. Hence char $\mathbb{K}=2$ and $\alpha$ respects addition.
6.3.2 Lemma. We have $\alpha\left(\lambda^{2}\right)=\alpha(\lambda)^{2}$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda^{\prime}$.

PROOF. For $0 \neq \lambda \in \Lambda^{\prime}$, we have $z:=\left(\lambda ; 0,0, \lambda^{2}, 1\right) \in\left\langle\left(\lambda ; \lambda^{2}, 1,0,0\right),\left(0 ; \lambda^{2}, 1, \lambda^{2}, 1\right)\right\rangle$. Hence there exists $z^{\prime} \in V, A \in \mathbb{K}$, such that $\pi(z)=\left\langle z^{\prime}\right\rangle$,

$$
z^{\prime}=\alpha(\lambda) r^{\prime}+\left(\alpha(\lambda)^{2}, 1,0,0\right)+A\left(\alpha\left(\lambda^{2}\right), 1, \alpha\left(\lambda^{2}\right), 1\right)
$$

by (6.2.6)(a), (6.2.8). We apply (6.2.2)(a) for $H_{1}$ and obtain the claim.

### 6.4 The semi-linear mapping $\varphi: \Lambda \rightarrow V$

We use the notation of (6.2.3) with scalar $\lambda=1$.
6.4.1 Lemma. For $l \in \Lambda$, there exists a unique $\varphi(l) \in\left\langle\pi\left(H_{1}{ }^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$ with $\pi\left(\left(l ; l^{2}, 1,0,0\right)\right)=$ $\left\langle\varphi(l)+\left(\alpha\left(l^{2}\right), 1,0,0\right)\right\rangle$. Further, $\pi\left(\left(l ; 0,0, l^{2}, 1\right)\right)=\left\langle\varphi(l)+\left(0,0, \alpha\left(l^{2}\right), 1\right)\right\rangle$.

PROOF. For $z_{1}:=\left(l ; l^{2}, 1,0,0\right), z_{2}:=\left(l ; 0,0, l^{2}, 1\right)$, we see that $z_{1}$ is contained in the line $\left\langle z_{1}-z_{2}, z_{2}\right\rangle$ of $\mathcal{Q}$. Hence by (6.2.8)(a) there exists $v \in V$ such that $\pi\left(z_{2}\right)=\langle v\rangle$, $\pi\left(z_{1}\right)=\left\langle\left(\alpha\left(l^{2}\right), 1, \alpha\left(l^{2}\right), 1\right)+v\right\rangle$. With $\varphi(l):=\left(0,0, \alpha\left(l^{2}\right), 1\right)+v$ the existence of $\varphi(l)$ is clear. The uniqueness follows with (6.2.2), thus the claim.
6.4.2 Lemma. For $l \in \Lambda, c, d \in \Lambda^{\prime}$, we have $\alpha\left(l^{2} d\right)=\alpha\left(l^{2}\right) \alpha(d)$ and
(a) $\pi\left(\left(l ; c, 0, l^{2}, 1\right)\right)=\left\langle\varphi(l)+\left(\alpha(c), 0, \alpha\left(l^{2}\right), 1\right)\right\rangle$,
(b) $\pi\left(\left(l ; l^{2}, 1, c, 0\right)\right)=\left\langle\varphi(l)+\left(\alpha\left(l^{2}\right), 1, \alpha(c), 0\right)\right\rangle$,
(c) $\pi\left(\left(l ; l^{2}+c d, 1, c, d\right)\right)=\left\langle\varphi(l)+\left(\alpha\left(l^{2}\right)+\alpha(c) \alpha(d), 1, \alpha(c), \alpha(d)\right)\right\rangle$.

PROOF. We may assume $l \neq 0$. Since $\left(l ; c, 0, l^{2}, 1\right)$ is contained in the line

$$
\left\langle\left(l^{-1} ; l^{-2}, 1,0,0\right)+l^{-2} c(0 ; 0,0,0,1),\left(0 ; l^{-2} c+l^{-2}, 1,1, l^{-2} c+l^{-2}\right)\right\rangle
$$

of $\mathcal{Q}$, we obtain that $\left.\pi\left(l ; c, 0, l^{2}, 1\right)\right)=\left\langle\varphi(l)+\left(\alpha\left(l^{2}\right) \alpha\left(l^{-2} c\right), 0, \alpha\left(l^{2}\right), 1\right)\right\rangle$. Further, $\left(l ; l^{2}, 1, c, 0\right)$ is contained in the line $\left.\left\langle l ; c, 0, l^{2}, 1\right),\left(0 ; c+l^{2}, 1, c+l^{2}, 1\right)\right\rangle$ of $\mathcal{Q}$. This yields $\alpha\left(l^{2}\right) \alpha\left(l^{-2} c\right)=$ $\alpha(c)$ and (a), (b). For (c), we use that $\left(l ; l^{2}+c d, 1, c, d\right)$ is contained in the lines

$$
\left\langle\left(l ; l^{2}, 1, c, 0\right),(0 ; c, 0,0,1)\right\rangle \text { and }\left\langle\left(l ; l^{2} d+c, 0, l^{2}, 1\right)+\left(0 ;\left(l^{2}+c\right)(d+1), 1, l^{2}+c, d+1\right)\right\rangle
$$

of $\mathcal{Q}$. This yields $\alpha\left(l^{2} d\right)=\alpha\left(l^{2}\right) \alpha(d)$ and (c).
6.4.3 Remark. Since $\mathbb{L}=\langle\Lambda\rangle$, we may extend the result of (6.4.2) to $\alpha\left(l^{2} c\right)=\alpha\left(l^{2}\right) \alpha(c)$ for $l \in \mathbb{L}, c \in \Lambda^{\prime}$.
6.4.4 Lemma. For $l, k \in \Lambda, c \in \Lambda^{\prime}$, we have $\varphi(l+k)=\varphi(l)+\varphi(k)$ and $\varphi(c l)=\alpha(c) \varphi(l)$.

PROOF. The first claim follows from $\left(l+k ; l^{2}+k^{2}, 1,0,0\right) \in\left\langle\left(k ; 0,0, k^{2}, 1\right),\left(l ; l^{2}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.k^{2}, 1, k^{2}, 1\right)\right\rangle$ and the second one from $\left(c l ; c^{2} l^{2}, 1,0,0\right) \in\left\langle\left(l ; 0,0, l^{2}, 1\right),\left(0 ; c^{2} l^{2}, 1, c l^{2}, c\right)\right\rangle$, using (6.4.2).

### 6.4.5 Proof of Theorem 6.1.5

Let $\alpha: \Lambda^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \varphi: \Lambda \rightarrow V$ be the mappings introduced in (6.1.7). By (6.3.1), (6.3.2), (6.4.3) $\alpha$ is an embedding. Let $V_{1}$ be a complement of $\left\langle x_{1}{ }^{\prime}, y_{1}{ }^{\prime}, x_{2}{ }^{\prime}, y_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$ in $V$ which contains $\pi\left(\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle^{\perp} \cap S\right) \cap \pi\left(\left\langle x_{2}, y_{2}\right\rangle^{\perp} \cap S\right)$. By (6.4.4) $\varphi: \Lambda \rightarrow V_{1}$ is a semi-linear mapping in the sense of (6.1.3). The image points under $\pi$ are as stated in Theorem (6.1.5), see (6.4.2)(a), (c).

For the uniqueness of $\alpha$ and $\varphi$, we first observe that the subspace $\mathbb{K}^{4}$ in Theorem (6.1.5) is $\mathbb{K}^{4}=\left\langle\pi\left(x_{1}\right), \pi\left(y_{1}\right), \pi\left(x_{2}\right), \pi\left(y_{2}\right)\right\rangle$. Let $\mathcal{B}=\left\{\widetilde{x_{1}}, \widetilde{y_{1}}, \widetilde{x_{2}}, \widetilde{y_{2}}\right\}$ be a second basis of $\mathbb{K}^{4}$ and $\beta$ : $\Lambda^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be an embedding, $\psi: \lambda \rightarrow V$ be a semi-linear mapping such that the conclusion of Theorem (6.1.5) holds for $\mathcal{B}, \beta, \psi$. Since $\left\langle x_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle\widetilde{x_{1}}\right\rangle,\left\langle x_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle\widetilde{x_{2}}\right\rangle,\left\langle x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+x_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle\widetilde{x_{1}}+\widetilde{x_{2}}\right\rangle$, there exists $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\widetilde{x_{1}}=c x_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \widetilde{x_{2}}=c x_{2}{ }^{\prime}$. Similarly, $\widetilde{y_{1}}=c y_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \widetilde{y_{2}}=c y_{2}{ }^{\prime}$. Since $\left\langle\alpha(a) x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+x_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle\beta(a) \widetilde{x_{1}}+\widetilde{x_{2}}\right\rangle$, this implies that $\beta(a)=c \alpha(a) c^{-1}$ for $a \in \Lambda^{\prime}$. Since $\left\langle\varphi(l)+\alpha\left(l^{2}\right) x_{1}{ }^{\prime}+y_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle\psi(l)+\beta\left(l^{2}\right) \widetilde{x_{1}}+\widetilde{y_{1}}\right\rangle$ and $\varphi(l), \psi(l) \in\left\langle\pi\left(H_{1}{ }^{\perp} \cap S\right)\right\rangle$, we obtain $\psi(l)=c \varphi(l)$ for $l \in \Lambda$ by (6.2.2)(a). This proves the Theorem 6.1.5.

## 7 Dual hermitian and dual orthogonal quadrangles

### 7.1 Dual hermitian quadrangles

From now on, it will be convenient to identify a weakly embedded quadrangle $\Gamma$ with its image $\Gamma^{\pi}$ in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$. By abuse of language, we will say that $\Gamma$ is weakly embedded in $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$.
7.1.1 Lemma. Let $\Gamma$ be any generalized quadrangle weakly embedded of degree $>2$ in $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$, for some skewfield $\mathbb{K}$. Then $\Gamma$ admits non-trivial central line-elations.

PROOF. Let $p, q, q^{\prime}$ be three mutually opposite points of $\Gamma$ which are collinear in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$. According to Lefèvre-Percsy [4], there exists a central collineation with center $p$ mapping $q$ to $q^{\prime}$.
Lemma 7.1.1 implies that, if $\Gamma$ is a dual hermitian quadrangle weakly embedded of degree $>2$ in $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$, then the hermitian quadrangle $\Gamma^{D}$ admits non-trivial axial pointelations. Now consider the following description of the hermitian quadrangles, see Tits [17].
Let $V$ be a right vector space over some skewfield $\mathbb{K}$, let $g: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be a $(\sigma, 1)$-linear form for some anti-automorphism $\sigma$ of $\mathbb{K}$ whose square is the identity. Put

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{K}_{\sigma} & =\left\{t^{\sigma}-t: t \in \mathbb{K}\right\}, \\
q & : V \rightarrow \mathbb{K} / \mathbb{K}_{\sigma}: x \mapsto g(x, x)+\mathbb{K}_{\sigma}, \\
f & : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}:(x, y) \mapsto g(x, y)+g(y, x)^{\sigma}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

and suppose that $q$ is non-degenerate and has Witt index 2, cp. Tits [15], Section 8. We know that we can write $V$ as

$$
V=e_{-2} \mathbb{K} \bigoplus e_{-1} \mathbb{K} \bigoplus V_{0} \bigoplus e_{1} \mathbb{K} \bigoplus e_{2} \mathbb{K}
$$

such that

$$
q\left(x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{-2}^{\sigma} x_{2}+x_{-1}^{\sigma} x_{1}+q_{0}\left(x_{0}\right),
$$

with $x_{i} \in e_{i} \mathbb{K}, i=-2,-1,1,2$ and $x_{0} \in V_{0}$, and where $q_{0}$ is a non-degenerate anisotropic $\sigma$-quadratic form (so $q_{0}^{-1}(0)=0 \in V_{0}$ ).
Let $R_{1}=\mathbb{K}$ and put $R_{2}=\left\{\left(k_{0}, k_{1}\right) \in V_{0} \times \mathbb{K}: k_{1} \in-q_{0}\left(k_{0}\right)\right\}$. We define an addition in $R_{2}$, and a scalar multiplication as follows. For $\left(k_{0}, k_{1}\right),\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right) \in R_{2}$ and $a \in \mathbb{K}$, we put:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(k_{0}, k_{1}\right) \oplus\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right) & =\left(k_{0}+l_{0}, k_{1}+l_{1}-f\left(k_{0}, l_{0}\right)\right), \\
a \otimes\left(k_{0}, k_{1}\right) & =\left(k_{0} a, a^{\sigma} k_{1} a\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is straightforward to check that all these operations are well defined. Also, $R_{2}, \oplus$ is a group, not necessarily commutative. Now we can introduce intrinsic coordinates for the dual of the corresponding hermitian quadrangle $\Gamma$ (see Van Maldeghem [19]). The points of $\Gamma^{D}$ are the elements $(\infty),(a),(k, b)$, and $\left(a, l, a^{\prime}\right)$, where $a, b, a^{\prime} \in R_{1}, k, l \in R_{2}$ (with $k=\left(k_{0}, k_{1}\right)$ and $l=\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)$; we will assume this for every element of $R_{2}$ from now on) and $\infty$ is a new symbol; the lines of $\Gamma^{D}$ are the elements $[\infty],[k],[a, l]$ and $\left[k, b, k^{\prime}\right]$ with $a, l \in R_{1}$ and $k, l, k^{\prime} \in R_{2}$. Incidence is given by

$$
\left[k, b, k^{\prime}\right] \mathbf{I}(k, b) \mathbf{I}(k) \mathbf{I}(\infty) \mathbf{I}[\infty] \mathbf{I}(a) \mathbf{I}[a, l] \mathbf{I}\left(a, l, a^{\prime}\right),
$$

with obvious notation, and by $\left(a, l, a^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{I}\left[k, b, k^{\prime}\right]$ if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\left(k_{0}^{\prime}, k_{1}^{\prime}\right) & =\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right) \oplus\left(a^{\sigma} \otimes\left(k_{0}, k_{1}\right)\right) \oplus\left(0, a a^{\prime \sigma}-a^{\prime} a^{\sigma}\right), \\
b & =a^{\prime}-a k_{1}+f\left(l_{0}, k_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

One can easily check that the $((0),[\infty],(\infty))$-elation which maps $(0,0)$ to $(0, B)$ has the following action on the lines concurrent with $[\infty]$ :

$$
\left[a,\left(l_{0}, l_{1}\right)\right] \mapsto\left[a,\left(l_{0}, l_{1}-a B^{\sigma}+B a^{\sigma}\right)\right] .
$$

For an axial elation, we must have $-a B^{\sigma}+B a^{\sigma}=0$, for all $a \in R_{1}$ and this implies readily that $\sigma$ is the identity, a contradiction. Hence we have shown:
7.1.2 Lemma. No dual hermitian quadrangle is weakly embedded of degree $>2$ in projective space.

Note that the previous lemma is certainly false for degree 2 as there are orthogonal quadrangles which are the dual of certain hermitian quadrangles (and every orthogonal quadrangle has a standard embedding of degree 2 in some projective space).

### 7.2 Dual orthogonal quadrangles

7.2.1 Lemma. A generalized quadrangle $\Gamma$ which is weakly embedded in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ and for which there exists a line $L$ (of $\Gamma$ ) such that all points of $L$ in $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$ are also points of $\Gamma$ is fully embedded. In other words: if a weakly embedded quadrangle contains at least one full line, then all lines are full and the quadrangle is fully embedded.

PROOF. Suppose first that the degree of the weak embedding is equal to 2 . Let $L$ be a fully embedded line. Since $\Gamma$ is supposed to be thick, we may assume that there is some line $M$ of $\Gamma$ opposite $L$ which is not full. The space generated by $L$ and $M$ meets $\Gamma$ in
a weak quadrangle (a grid), and so $L$ and $M$ belong to a regulus $\mathcal{R}$. Every line in the opposite regulus belongs to $\Gamma$ because every point in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ on $L$ belongs to $\Gamma$. Hence also every point in $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$ on $M$ belongs to $\Gamma$.
Now suppose that the degree $\delta$ satisfies $\delta>2$. We may assume that there is a full line $L$ and a non-full line $M$ of $\Gamma$ meeting in a point $p$ of $\Gamma$. Let $N$ be a third line of $\Gamma$ in the plane $\alpha:=L M$ of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ (then $N$ necessarily contains $p$ ). Consider the group $U$ of root elations fixing every point on $N$ and fixing every line through $p$ and $q$, where $q$ is any point of $\Gamma$ on $N$ different from $p$. By Lemma 4.0.2, the group $U$ is a subgroup of $\mathbf{P S L}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$, and it acts simply-transitively on the points of $L$ distinct from $p$. Hence $U$ can be seen as the group of translations in $\pi$ with axis $N$ and center $p$. Hence it acts transitively on the points of $M$ distinct from $p$. Hence all these points belong to $\Gamma$, since at least one of them does.

By Section 6, we may assume that the dual orthogonal quadrangle is not a mixed quadrangle, i.e., the corresponding bilinear form $f_{0}$ (see TiTs [17]; in fact, in the description of the hermitian quadrangles in Subsection 7.1 above, we put $\sigma=1$ and then $f_{0}$ is the restriction of $f$ to $V_{0} \times V_{0}$ ) is not identical zero. Hence it follows that $f_{0}$ is surjective, so, with the usual notation, $\left[U_{1}, U_{3}\right]=U_{2}$, where $U_{2}$ is a root group of (central) lineelations. Now let $p$ be the center of the line-elations belonging to $U_{2}$; let $U_{1}$ be the set of all $\left(q_{1}, L_{1}, p\right)$-elations, and let $U_{3}$ be the set of all ( $p, L_{3}, q_{3}$ )-elations. Let $M$ be any line of $\Gamma$ through $q_{1}$. Let $x$ be the projection of $q_{3}$ onto $M$. We remark that both $U_{1}$ and $U_{3}$ preserve the 3-dimensional space $W$ generated by $M, L_{1}, L_{3}$. Hence also $U_{2}$ preserves $W$. Hence $\left\{q_{1}, q_{3}\right\}^{\perp}$ must be contained in $W$, which is clearly only possible when $W=\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$, hence $d=3$.

Definition. Let us call an orthogonal quadrangle of dimension $d^{\prime}$ if it has a standard embedding in $d^{\prime}$-dimensional projective space, and if it is not a mixed quadrangle.
7.2.2 Theorem. If $\Gamma^{D}$ is a $d^{\prime}$-dimensional orthogonal quadrangle, with $d^{\prime}=4,5,7$, and $\Gamma$ is weakly embedded of degree $>2$ in $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$, then $d=3$ and $\Gamma$ is a symplectic, hermitian or quaternion quadrangle (and hence fully embedded over some sub(skew)field of $\mathbb{K}$ ) by Section 5).

PROOF. If $d^{\prime}=4$, then $\Gamma$ is a symplectic quadrangle and the result follows.
Now let $d^{\prime}=5$. Let $q_{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=A x_{1}^{2}+B x_{1} x_{2}+C x_{2}^{2}$ be the associated quadratic form. Then we have as corresponding bilinear form $f_{0}\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right)=2 A x_{1} y_{1}+B\left(x_{1} y_{2}+\right.$ $\left.x_{2} y_{1}\right)+2 C x_{2} y_{2}$. Since $\Gamma$ is not a mixed quadrangle, $f_{0}$ is not identical 0 , which means that $B \neq 0$ in characteristic 2 . This implies that $q_{0}(x, 1)$ defines always a quadratic Galois extension $\mathbb{L}$ of the ground field $\mathbb{F}$ over which $\Gamma$ is defined. It is now easy to see that the 3dimensional hermitian quadrangle over $\mathbb{L}$ with as corresponding involutory automorphism the unique non-trivial element of the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{L} / \mathbb{F})$ is dual to $\Gamma$.

Note that, if $\Gamma$ is mixed in the previous paragraph, then $q_{0}(x, 1)$ defines an inseparable quadratic field extension $\mathbb{L}$ of $\mathbb{F}$ and we have $\mathbb{L}^{2} \subseteq \mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{L}$. Hence the weak embedding of $\Gamma\left(=\mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{F} ; \mathbb{L}, \mathbb{F}) \simeq \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbb{L}^{2}, \mathbb{F}^{2} ; \mathbb{L}^{2}, \mathbb{F}^{2}\right)\right.$ in the notation of $\left.(6.1 .1)\right)$ in $\mathrm{PG}(3, \mathbb{K})$ is by Remark (6.1.6) full over a subfield $\mathbb{L}^{\prime}$ of $\mathbb{K}$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{L}$.
Finally let $d^{\prime}=7$. Since $\Gamma$ is not mixed, $\Gamma^{D}$ contains a 5 -dimensional subquadrangle $\left(\Gamma^{*}\right)^{D}$ which is not a mixed quadrangle. Indeed, $\Gamma^{D}$ being mixed is equivalent with all points of $\Gamma^{D}$ being regular. So we may assume that, by transitivity, there is no regular point. This implies that there are points $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$ with $x_{i} \perp y_{j}$ if and only if $(i, j) \neq(3,3)$. The 5 -dimensional space (in the standard embedding of $\Gamma^{D}$ ) generated by these six points intersects $\Gamma^{D}$ in a 5 -dimensional subquadrangle which is not a mixed quadrangle. Every 4-dimensional subquadrangle of $\left(\Gamma^{*}\right)^{D}$ is the dual of a symplectic one. We fix such a 4-dimensional subquadrangle $\Gamma_{0}^{D}$. Then $\Gamma_{0}$ is a symplectic quadrangle which is fully embedded in some subspace $\mathbf{P G}(3, \mathbb{F})$ of $\mathbf{P G}(3, \mathbb{K})$ for some subfield $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{K}$ (see Section 5). Also, $\Gamma^{*}$ is fully embedded in some subspace $\operatorname{PG}\left(3, \mathbb{L}^{*}\right)$ for some subfield $\mathbb{L}^{*}$ of $\mathbb{K}$ and $\mathbb{L}^{*}$ is a quadratic Galois extension of $\mathbb{F}$. Let $\{1, x\}$ be a basis of $\mathbb{L}^{*}$ over $\mathbb{F}$. Let $\left(\Gamma^{* *}\right)^{D}$ be a second subquadrangle of $\Gamma^{D}$ containing $\Gamma_{0}^{D}$ and such that $\left(\Gamma^{* *}\right)^{D}$ is the intersection of $\Gamma^{D}$ with a 5 -dimensional projective subspace in its standard 7-dimensional orthogonal embedding. Then $\Gamma^{* *}$ is fully embedded in some subspace $\mathbf{P G}\left(3, \mathbb{L}^{* *}\right)$ of $\operatorname{PG}(3, \mathbb{K})$ over some subfield $\mathbb{L}^{* *}$, and $\mathbb{L}^{* *}$ is a quadratic (not necessarily Galois) extension of $\mathbb{F}$. Let $\{1, y\}$ be a basis of $\mathbb{L}^{* *}$ over $\mathbb{F}$.
We now show that $\mathbb{F}, x, y$ generate a non-commutative subskewfield $\mathbb{D}$ of $\mathbb{K}$ which is 4dimensional over $\mathbb{F}$. Hence $\mathbb{D}$ is a standard quaternion division algebra over $\mathbb{F}$.
We fix a line $L$ of $\operatorname{PG}(3, \mathbb{K})$ which is also a line of $\Gamma_{0}$. We can coordinatize $L$ with $\mathbb{K} \cup\{\infty\}$ in such a way that the points of $\Gamma_{0}$, respectively $\Gamma^{*}, \Gamma^{* *}$, on $L$ are coordinatized with $\mathbb{F} \cup\{\infty\}$, respectively $\mathbb{L}^{*} \cup\{\infty\}, \mathbb{L}^{* *} \cup\{\infty\}$. Now note that, if $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$ are the coordinates on $L$ of points of $\Gamma$, then also $a+b$ is the coordinate of a point on $L$ of $\Gamma$ (using the Moufang condition). Also, by considering suitable 5 -dimensional subquadrangles of $\Gamma^{D}$ containing $\Gamma_{0}^{D}$, one sees that $a^{-1}$ and also every element of $\mathbb{F} a+\mathbb{F} b$ corresponds with a point of $\Gamma$, and that every element of $\mathbb{F}$ commutes with $a$ (and hence $\mathbb{F}$ is in the center of $\mathbb{D})$. Moreover, $\mathbb{F}+\mathbb{F} a$ is a subfield of $\mathbb{K}$ and hence every element $a$ of $\mathbb{K}$ which corresponds with a coordinate of a point of $L$ belonging to $\Gamma$ is quadratic over $\mathbb{F}$, i.e., $a$ satisfies a quadratic equation with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}$. Now note that the formula

$$
\left(a^{-1}+\left(b^{-1}-a\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1}=a-a b a
$$

of Mendelsohn [5] is also true in the non-commutative case. Applied to $a=x$ and $b=y$, this shows that $x y x \in \mathbb{F}+\mathbb{F} x+\mathbb{F} y$. It is easily seen that the coefficient of $y$ is not equal to zero (otherwise $y^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}+\mathbb{F} x$ ), hence we can write $x y x \in \mathbb{F}+\mathbb{F} x+\mathbb{F}^{\times} y$, where $\mathbb{F}^{\times}=\mathbb{F} \backslash\{0\}$. We now show that $\mathbb{D}$ is equal to $\mathbb{F}+\mathbb{F} x+\mathbb{F} y+\mathbb{F} x y$. Suppose that $x$ satisfies $x^{2}-A x-B=0$, with $A \in \mathbb{F}$ and $B \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
y x=(y(x x)) x^{-1}=A y+B y x^{-1} \in \mathbb{F} y+\mathbb{F}^{\times} y x^{-1} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y=(x y x) x^{-1} \in \mathbb{F} x^{-1}+\mathbb{F}+\mathbb{F} y x^{-1}=\mathbb{F}+\mathbb{F} x+\mathbb{F}^{\times} y x^{-1} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining Equations (3) and (4), we see that $y x \in \mathbb{F}+\mathbb{F} x+\mathbb{F} y+\mathbb{F} x y$. It now follows easily that $\mathbb{D}=\mathbb{F}+\mathbb{F} x+\mathbb{F} y+\mathbb{F} x y$. Now we show that $x y \notin \mathbb{F}+\mathbb{F} x+\mathbb{F} y$. Suppose by way of contradiction that $x y=C+D x+E y$, with $C, D, E \in \mathbb{F}$. Multiplying this equation at the left with $x$, and substituting $A x+B$ for $x^{2}$, we obtain

$$
A x y+B y=(C+D A) x+D B+E x y,
$$

hence $(A-E) x y=D B+(C+D A) x-B y$. If $A=E$, then since $1, x, y$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}$ (otherwise $\Gamma^{*}=\Gamma^{* *}$ ), $B=0$, a contradiction to $x \notin \mathbb{F}$. Hence $A-E \neq 0$, and we have

$$
E=\frac{-B}{A-E},
$$

which implies $E^{2}=A E+B$. Hence the quadratic equation $u^{2}-A u-B=0$ in the unknown $u$ over the field $\mathbb{L}^{*}$ has two solutions in $\mathbb{F}$ and consequently $x \in \mathbb{F}$, a contradiction. Hence $\mathbb{D}$ is 4 -dimensional over $\mathbb{F}$. It remains to show that $\mathbb{D}$ is non-commutative. Suppose on the contrary that $\mathbb{D}$ is commutative. Then $x y=y x$. Multiplying both sides at the left with $x$, we see that $x^{2} y=A x y+B y=x y x \in \mathbb{F}+\mathbb{F} x+\mathbb{F} y$, hence $A=0$. So $x^{2} \in \mathbb{F}$. Similarly, $y^{2} \in \mathbb{F}$. By interchanging the roles of $y$ and $x+y$, we also have $(x+y)^{2} \in \mathbb{F}$. This implies $2 x y \in \mathbb{F}$, hence the characteristic of $F$ is equal to 2 . This means that $\mathbb{L}^{*}$ is a non-Galois extension of $\mathbb{F}$, a contradiction.
It is clear that the points of $L$ with coordinates in $\mathbb{F}+\mathbb{F} x+\mathbb{F} y \cup\{\infty\}$ are precisely the points on $L$ of a subquadrangle $\Gamma^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$ with $\Gamma^{\prime D}$ a 6 -dimensional subquadrangle of $\Gamma^{D}$ (this follows immediately from consideration of the subquadrangle generated by $\left(\Gamma^{*}\right)^{D}$ and the line $M$ of $\Gamma^{D}$ corresponding to the point with coordinate $y$, noting that every line of that subquadrangle which is incident with the point of $\Gamma^{D}$ corresponding with the line $L$ of $\Gamma$, can be obtained from the line corresponding with the coordinate 0 by applying an elation fixing the line corresponding with the coordinate $\infty$ and generated by the elations in $\left(\Gamma^{*}\right)^{D}$ and $\left.\left(\Gamma^{* *}\right)^{D}\right)$. Hence there exists a coordinate $z$ corresponding with a point of $\Gamma$ on $L$ with $z \notin \mathbb{F}+\mathbb{F} x+\mathbb{F} y$. If $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then it follows easily that the set of coordinates of points on $L$ belonging to $\Gamma$ is precisely $\mathbb{D} \cup\{\infty\}$. Suppose now $z \notin \mathbb{D}$. We seek a contradiction. Note that $\mathbb{F}, x, z$ generate a skewfield which is 4 -dimensional over its center $\mathbb{F}$, and similarly for $\mathbb{F}, y, z$. Consider the subskewfield $\mathbb{O}$ of $\mathbb{K}$ generated by $\mathbb{D}$ and $z$. We claim that $\mathbb{O}$ is equal to the subspace $\mathbb{S}$ over $\mathbb{F}$ with

$$
\mathbb{S}=\mathbb{F}+\mathbb{F} x+\mathbb{F} y+\mathbb{F} x y+\mathbb{F} z+\mathbb{F} x z+\mathbb{F} y z+\mathbb{F} x y z
$$

For this, we only have to show that $x \mathbb{S}=y \mathbb{S}=z \mathbb{S}=\mathbb{S} x=\mathbb{S} y=\mathbb{S} z$. Since $x^{2} y z=$ $(a x+B) y z$, we immediately have $x \mathbb{S}=\mathbb{S}$. Similarly for $\mathbb{S} z=\mathbb{S}$. For $y \mathbb{S}$, we note that
$y(x z)=(y x) z \in \mathbb{D} z \subseteq \mathbb{S}$ and also $y(x y z)=(y x y) z \in \mathbb{D} z$, hence $y \mathbb{S}=\mathbb{S}$. Similarly $\mathbb{S} y=\mathbb{S}$. For $\mathbb{S} x$, we have to show that $y z x \in \mathbb{S}$ and $x y z x \in \mathbb{S}$. But $y z x=y(z x) \in y \mathbb{S}=\mathbb{S}$ and $x y z x=x(y z x) \in x \mathbb{S}=\mathbb{S}$. Similarly for $z \mathbb{S}=\mathbb{S}$. Hence we have shown that $\mathbb{O}=\mathbb{S}$.
Similarly as above, one shows that $x y z \notin \mathbb{F}+\mathbb{F} x+\mathbb{F} y+\mathbb{F} x y+\mathbb{F} z+\mathbb{F} x z+\mathbb{F} y z$. Hence the dimension of $\mathbb{O}$ over $\mathbb{F}$ is equal to 8 . Since $\mathbb{O}$ is a skewfield, and $\mathbb{F}$ is easily seen to be the center of $\mathbb{O}$, this is a contradiction (the dimension should be a perfect square).
Hence we have proved that $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and so the set of all coordinates of points of $L$ in $\Gamma$ is equal to $\mathbb{D} \cup\{\infty\}$.
Now let $L^{\prime}$ be a line of $\Gamma_{0}$ opposite $L$, and let $M, M^{\prime}$ be the lines of $\Gamma_{0}$ concurrent with $L^{\prime}$ and meeting $L$ in the points with respective coordinates 0 and $\infty$. Then $L, M, L^{\prime}, M^{\prime}$ are the sides of an apartment of $\Gamma_{0}$. We can take as points of a reference system the intersections $L \cap M=e_{1}, M \cap L^{\prime}=e_{2}, L^{\prime} \cap M^{\prime}=e_{3}$ and $M^{\prime} \cap L=e_{4}$. We choose the unit point $e$ in the space $\operatorname{PG}(3, \mathbb{F})$ in which $\Gamma_{0}$ is fully embedded. Since the dual of every 5-dimensional subquadrangle of $\Gamma^{D}$ containing $\Gamma_{0}$ is fully embedded in some $\operatorname{PG}(3, \mathbb{L})$ over some subfield $\mathbb{L}$ containing $\mathbb{F}$ and such that $\operatorname{PG}(s, \mathbb{F})$ is contained in $\operatorname{PG}(3, \mathbb{L})$, we see that the points of $\Gamma$ on $L$ together with $e_{2}, e_{3}$ and $e$ generate a subspace $\operatorname{PG}(3, \mathbb{D})$ which contains all points of $\Gamma$ on the lines $L, L^{\prime}, M, M^{\prime}$. Let $p$ be an arbitrary point of $\Gamma$ not collinear with $e_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$. Let $N$ be the line of $\operatorname{PG}(3, \mathbb{K})$ meeting both $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ and incident with $p$. Put $L \cap N=\{q\}$ and $L^{\prime} \cap N=\left\{q^{\prime}\right\}$. Let $r$ respectively $r^{\prime}$ be the point on $L$ respectively $L^{\prime}$ collinear in $\Gamma$ with $p$. Let $q_{0}$ respectively $q_{0}^{\prime}$ be the point of $\Gamma$ on $L$ respectively $L^{\prime}$ collinear in $\Gamma$ with $r^{\prime}$ respectively $r$. Then clearly $p$ must lie in the planes $q_{0}, q_{0}^{\prime}, r$ and $q_{0}, q_{0}^{\prime}, r^{\prime}$. Hence $q_{0}, q_{0}^{\prime}$ and $p$ are collinear in PG( $\left.3, \mathbb{K}\right)$ and so $q_{0}=q$ and $q_{0}^{\prime}=q^{\prime}$. Similarly, $p$ lies on a line which meets both $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ in points of PG(3, $\left.\mathbb{D}\right)$. Hence $p$ lies in $\operatorname{PG}(3, \mathbb{D})$. It is now easily seen that all points of $\Gamma$ lie in $\operatorname{PG}(3, \mathbb{D})$ (by varying the points with coordinates 0 and $\infty$ on $L$ ) and hence $\Gamma$ is weakly embedded in $\operatorname{PG}(3, \mathbb{D})$. But it has at least one full line, namely, $L$. Hence it is fully embedded in $\operatorname{PG}(3, \mathbb{D})$. Clearly, $\Gamma$ is a quaternion quadrangle.
7.2.3 Theorem. If $\Gamma^{D}$ is a $d^{\prime}$-dimensional orthogonal quadrangle, with $d^{\prime} \geq 4$, and $\Gamma$ is weakly embedded of degree $>2$ in $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$, then $d=3$ and $d^{\prime} \leq 7$.

PROOF. We have already shown that $d=3$. Suppose now $d^{\prime}>7$. By taking a suitable subquadrangle, we may assume that $d^{\prime}=8$. Let $L$ be as in the proof of Theorem 7.2.2, and also choose $x$ and $y$ similarly. Since $d^{\prime}>7$, we can now find $z$ not belonging to $\mathbb{F}+\mathbb{F} x+\mathbb{F} y+\mathbb{F} x y$, where $\mathbb{F}$ is also defined similarly as in the previous proof. But, as in that proof, this leads to a contradiction (a skewfield of dimension 8 over its center).
The last case that remains is the case $d^{\prime}=6$. We use the notation of Section 3 .
7.2.4 Theorem. If $\Gamma^{D}$ is a 6 -dimensional orthogonal quadrangle over some field $\mathbb{F}$ and $\Gamma$ is weakly embedded of degree $>2$ in $\mathbf{P G}(d, \mathbb{K})$, then $d=3$ and $\Gamma$ is a standard embedding
in subspace $\mathbf{P G}(3, \mathbb{L})$ of a special subquadrangle of some quaternion quadrangle over $\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}$ a subfield of $\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{L}$ a quaternion skewfield over $\mathbb{F}$ inside $\mathbb{K}$, i.e., there exists a 7 -dimensional orthogonal (dual quaternion) quadrangle $\Gamma_{*}$ over $\mathbb{F}$ with corresponding quaternion skewfield $\mathbb{L}$ such that the points and lines of $\Gamma$ are points and lines of a full embedding of $\Gamma_{*}^{D}$ over a subskewfield $\mathbb{D}$ of $\mathbb{K}$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{L}$.

PROOF. We can copy the proof of Theorem 7.2.2, case $d^{\prime}=7$, up to the points where we obtain a 6 -dimensional subquadrangle $\Gamma^{\prime D}$, which coincides now with $\Gamma$. Also the last part of that proof can be copied: $\Gamma$ lies in $\operatorname{PG}(3, \mathbb{D})$, which is a subspace of $\operatorname{PG}(d, \mathbb{K})$ (implying $d=3$ ) over the subskewfield $\mathbb{D}$, which is a quaternion skewfield over $\mathbb{F}$. We take the same notation as in that last paragraph. If we have two collinear points $r$ and $r^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$ with $r$ on $L$ and $r^{\prime}$ on $L^{\prime}$, then a little calculation inside the dual of the orthogonal or mixed quadrangle defined by the 4 -dimensional orthogonal quadrangle $\Gamma_{0}$ and the line of $\Gamma^{D}$ corresponding with $r$ shows that, if $(1,0,0,1)$ and $(0,1,1,0)$ are two collinear points in $\Gamma$ (and we can always choose the coordinates as such), the coordinates ( $x_{1}, 0,0, x_{4}$ ) and $\left(0, x_{2}, x_{3}, 0\right)$ of $r$ and $r^{\prime}$ are related by (if $\left.x_{1} \neq 0 \neq x_{4}\right) x_{2}=x_{4}^{-\sigma}$ and $x_{3}=x_{1}^{-\sigma}$, where $\sigma$ is the identity in $\mathbb{F}$, and also in every field $\mathbb{F}(t)$ if $\mathbb{F}(t)$ is a non-Galois extension of $\mathbb{F}$; and where $\sigma$ is the unique non-trivial element of the Galois group of $\mathbb{F}(t)$ if the latter is a Galois extension of $\mathbb{F}$. For this calculation, see also Dienst [2], or Van Maldeghem [19]. But then one sees that $\sigma$ is the restriction of the standard involution in $\mathbb{D}$. Also, under the same assumptions, the coordinates $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0,0\right), x_{1} \neq 0 \neq x_{2}$, and ( $0,0, x_{3}, x_{4}$ ) of collinear points on $M$ respectively $M^{\prime}$ satisfy $x_{3}=x_{1}^{-\sigma}$ and $x_{4}=-x_{2}^{-\sigma}$. So if $p=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$ is a point in $\mathrm{PG}(3, \mathbb{D})$ of $\Gamma$, then, by the argument of the last paragraph of the proof of Theorem 7.2.2, and since $p$ lies on the lines determined by $\left(x_{1}, 0,0, x_{4}\right),\left(0, x_{2}, x_{3}, 0\right)$ respectively $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0,0\right),\left(0,0, x_{3}, x_{4}\right), p$ is in $\Gamma$ collinear with the points $\left(0, x_{4}^{-\sigma}, x_{1}^{-\sigma}, 0\right)$, $\left(x_{3}^{-\sigma}, 0,0, x_{2}^{-\sigma}\right),\left(0,0, x_{1}^{-\sigma},-x_{2}^{-\sigma}\right)$ and with $\left(x_{3}^{-\sigma},-x_{3}^{-\sigma}, 0,0\right)$. All points collinear with $p$ must lie in a plane. If we use $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right),\left(0, x_{4}^{-\sigma}, x_{1}^{-\sigma}, 0\right),\left(0,0, x_{1}^{-\sigma},-x_{2}^{-\sigma}\right)$ and $\left(x_{3}^{-\sigma},-x_{4}^{-\sigma}, 0,0\right)$ to express this, then we obtain after a short calculation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{\sigma} x_{3}-x_{3}^{\sigma} x_{1}+x_{2}^{\sigma} x_{4}-x_{4}^{\sigma} x_{2}=0, \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$ all different from 0 . But this relation is easily extended to the other cases (if only one coordinate is zero, e.g., $x_{3}=0$, then the above calculation still holds noting that $\left(0,0, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=\left(0,0,0, x_{4}\right)$ is collinear in $\Gamma$ with $(1,0,0,0)$; if at least two coordinates are zero, then either $p$ lies on $L \cup L^{\prime} \cup M \cup M^{\prime}$ and the result follows, or $p$ has some coordinates $\left(x_{1}, 0, x_{3}, 0\right)$ or ( $\left.0, x_{2}, 0, x_{4}\right)$. Assume for instance $p=\left(x_{1}, 0, x_{3}, 0\right)$. Then $p$ is collinear with $(0,1,0,0)$ in $\Gamma$, and hence there is some point $p^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, 0\right)$ of $\Gamma$ with $x_{2} \neq 0$. The assertion now follows by applying the previous results to $p^{\prime}$ ).
So we have shown that $\Gamma$ is a subquadrangle of the hermitian quadrangle defined by the equation $(*)$ above, which is clearly the quaternion quadrangle over $\mathbb{D}$. The points on the line $L$ are parametrized by $\mathbb{F}+\mathbb{F} x+\mathbb{F} y \cup\{\infty\}$ (with the notation of the previous proof). This completes the proof.

## 8 Exceptional Moufang quadrangles

### 8.1 Exceptional quadrangles of type $E_{i}, i=6,7,8$

The exceptional quadrangles will be treated as a class of Moufang quadrangles of type $B C_{2}$ extending the orthogonal quadrangles which are not mixed quadrangles. As such, our approach is independent of the classification of exceptional Moufang quadrangles, except that we will assume that there is no exceptional quadrangle extending an orthogonal quadrangle of dimension $d \leq 7$. Indeed, the classification implies that only orthogonal quadrangles of dimension $d \geq 8$ can be extended, see Tits \& Weiss [18]); for the types $E_{i}, u=6,7,8$, this is obvious, for type $F_{4}$, this follows from the observation that the ideal full subquadrangles are on a $C_{4}$-building, see Van Maldeghem [19], Appendix C.

Let $\Gamma$ be an exceptional Moufang quadrangle. Then $\Gamma$ contains an ideal orthogonal subquadrangle $\Gamma^{\prime}$ of dimension $d>7$. Since $\Gamma^{\prime D}$ is not weakly embedded of degree $>2$ in some projective space by the previous section, and since, if $\Gamma^{\prime D}$ is weakly embedded of degree 2 in some projective space, then obviously, all the lines of $\Gamma^{\prime D}$ are regular and thus $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is a mixed quadrangle (a contradiction), $\Gamma^{D}$ cannot be weakly embedded of degree $>2$ in some projective space. If $\Gamma$ is of type $F_{4}$, the dual argument implies that neither $\Gamma$ can be weakly embedded of degree $>2$ in some projective space. Also, since the point-elation groups of the exceptional quadrangles of type $E_{i}, i=6,7,8$, are non-commutative, $\Gamma$ cannot be weakly embedded of degree $>2$ in projective space (because the point-elation groups can be seen as groups of elations in projective planes with a fixed axis and fixed center, see above).
So we have shown:
8.1.1 Theorem. If $\Gamma$ is an exceptional Moufang quadrangle, then neither $\Gamma$ nor $\Gamma^{D}$ admits a weak embedding of degree $>2$ in some projective space.
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