# A characterization of the finite Veronesean by intersection properties

J. Schillewaert, J.A. Thas and H. Van Maldeghem

AMS subject classification: 51E20, 51A45

**Abstract.** A combinatorial characterization of the Veronese variety of all quadrics in  $\mathbf{PG}(n,q)$  by means of its intersection properties with respect to subspaces is obtained. The result relies on a similar combinatorial result on the Veronesean of all conics in the plane  $\mathbf{PG}(2,q)$  by Ferri [2], Hirschfeld and Thas [4], and Thas and Van Maldeghem [9], and a structural characterization of the quadric Veronesean by Thas and Van Maldeghem [8].

Keywords: quadric Veronesean, intersection numbers.

#### 1. Introduction

An important branch in combinatorics is the characterization of algebraically defined objects in a combinatorial way. In several situations, it might occur that one has information about the intersection numbers with subspaces of a certain point set  $\mathcal{K}$ , but no substantial structural information. In such cases, characterization results classifying the possible structures having these properties can be very useful. In this paper, we characterize the finite Veronese variety by means of such intersection properties and some structural information. For the smallest Veronesean, the conic, this was already done (in the odd case) by Segre, in his celebrated characterization of conics ("every set of q+1 points in  $\mathbf{PG}(2,q)$ , q odd, no three of which are collinear, is a conic") [5]. This was in fact the starting point of this kind of results. For the Veronese surface of all conics in  $\mathbf{PG}(2,q)$ , it was already done by Ferri [2], Hirschfeld and Thas [4], and Thas and Van Maldeghem [9].

**Definition 1.1.** The Veronese variety  $\mathcal{V}_n^{2^n}$  of all quadrics of  $\mathbf{PG}(n,q)$ ,  $n \geq 1$  is the variety  $\mathcal{V}_n^{2^n} = \{p(x_0^2, x_1^2, \cdots, x_n^2, x_0x_1, x_0x_2, \cdots, x_{n-1}x_n) \mid | (x_0, \cdots, x_n) \text{ is a point of } \mathbf{PG}(n,q)\}$  of  $\mathbf{PG}(\frac{n(n+3)}{2}, q)$ ; this variety has dimension n and order  $2^n$ . The natural number n is called the index of  $\mathcal{V}_n^{2^n}$ .

For the basic properties of Veroneseans we refer to [4].

The image of an arbitrary hyperplane of  $\mathbf{PG}(n,q)$  under the Veronesean map is a quadric Veronesean  $\mathcal{V}_{n-1}^{2^{n-1}}$ , and the subspace generated by it has dimension  $N_{n-1} = \frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{2}$ . Such a subspace is called

The research of the first author takes place within the project "Linear codes and cryptography" of the Fund for Scientific Research Flanders (FWO-Vlaanderen) (Project nr. G.0317.06), and is supported by the Interuniversitary Attraction Poles Programme-Belgian State-Belgian Science Policy: project P6/26-Bcrypt.

a  $\mathcal{V}_{n-1}$ -subspace. In particular for n=2, the  $\mathcal{V}_1$ -subspaces are called *conic planes*. The image of a line of  $\mathbf{PG}(n,q)$  is a plane conic, and if q is even, then the set of nuclei of all such conics is the Grassmanian of the lines of  $\mathbf{PG}(n,q)$  and hence generates a subspace of dimension  $\frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{2}$ , which we call the *nucleus subspace* of  $\mathcal{V}_n^{2^n}$ , see [8].

One can also consider the Veronesean from a matrix point of view.

**Theorem 1.2.** The quadric Veronesean  $V_n^{2^n}$  of  $\mathbf{PG}(n,q)$  consists of all points  $p(y_{0,0},\dots,y_{n,n},y_{0,1},\dots,y_{n-1,n})$  of  $\mathbf{PG}(\frac{n(n+3)}{2},q)$  for which  $[y_{ij}]$ , with  $y_{i,j}=y_{j,i}$  for  $i\neq j$ , is a symmetric matrix of rank 1.

For  $\mathcal{V}_n^{2^n}$ , one can define a so-called *tangent space* at each point, and these tangent spaces have nice intersection properties. These can be used to characterize them.

**Definition 1.3.** The tangent space of  $\mathcal{V}_n^{2^n}$  at  $p \in \mathcal{V}_n^{2^n}$  is the union of the tangent lines at p of the conics on  $\mathcal{V}_n^{2^n}$  containing p (for q = 2 one considers the conics which are the images of the lines of  $\mathbf{PG}(n,2)$ ).

The set of tangent spaces can also be described algebraically, as shown in the following example for q odd.

**Example 1.4.** Starting with PG(2,q), the mapping  $\zeta : PG(2,q) \to PG(5,q)$  with

$$\zeta(x_0, x_1, x_2) = (x_0^2, x_1^2, x_2^2, x_0 x_1, x_0 x_2, x_1 x_2)$$

defines the quadric Veronesean  $\mathcal{V}_2^4$ .

If p = (a, b, c), one can define a plane D(p) which has the following representation

$$D(p) = \{(ax_0, bx_1, cx_2, ax_1 + bx_0, ax_2 + cx_0, bx_2 + cx_1) \mid |x_0, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q\} .$$

This set  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $q^2 + q + 1$  planes in  $\mathbf{PG}(5,q)$  has the following properties:

- (P1) Each two of these planes intersect in a point.
- (P2) Each three of these planes have an empty intersection.

If q is odd, then D(p) is the tangent plane to  $\mathcal{V}_2^4$  at p.

In 1958, Tallini [6] (see also [4]) showed that every set of  $q^2 + q + 1$  planes in  $\mathbf{PG}(5, q)$ , q odd, for which (P1) and (P2) hold, must be isomorphic to the set  $\mathcal{F}$  of Example 1.4. Furthermore, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.5.** If q is odd, then PG(5,q) admits a polarity which maps the set of all conic planes of  $\mathcal{V}_2^4$  onto the set of all tangent planes of  $\mathcal{V}_2^4$ .

This allows to state a dual version of Tallini's result.

**Theorem 1.6.** If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a set of  $q^2 + q + 1$  planes of  $\mathbf{PG}(5,q)$ , q odd, with the following properties

- (i) There is no point belonging to all elements of  $\mathcal{L}$ .
- (ii) Any two distinct elements of  $\mathcal{L}$  have exactly one point in common.
- (iii) Any three distinct elements of  $\mathcal{L}$  generate  $\mathbf{PG}(5,q)$ .

Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is the set of all conic planes of a Veronesean  $\mathcal{V}_2^4$ .

This result was generalized in [8] to the following characterization of the set of  $\mathcal{V}_{n-1}$ -subspaces of a finite quadric Veronesean  $\mathcal{V}_n^{2^n}$ .

**Theorem 1.7.** ([8]) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a set of  $\frac{q^{n+1}-1}{q-1}$  subspaces of dimension  $\frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{2}$  in  $\mathbf{PG}(N=\frac{n(n+3)}{2},q), n \geq 1$ 2, with the following properties:

- (VS1) Each two members of  $\mathcal{F}$  generate a hyperplane of  $\mathbf{PG}(N,q)$ .
- (VS2) Each three elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  generate  $\mathbf{PG}(N,q)$ .
- (VS3) No point is contained in every member of  $\mathcal{F}$ .
- (VS4) The intersection of any nonempty collection of members of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a subspace of dimension  $N_i =$  $\frac{i(i+3)}{2} \text{ for some } i \in \{-1,0,1,\cdots,n-1\}.$ (VS5) There exist 3 members  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3 \text{ of } \mathcal{F} \text{ with } \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 = \Omega_2 \cap \Omega_3 = \Omega_3 \cap \Omega_1.$

Then either  $\mathcal{F}$  is the set of  $\mathcal{V}_{n-1}$ -subspaces of a quadric Veronesean  $\mathcal{V}_n^{2^n}$  in  $\mathbf{PG}(n,q)$  or q is even, there are two members  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in \mathcal{F}$  with the property that no other member of  $\mathcal{F}$  contains  $\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$ , and there is a unique subspace  $\Omega$  of dimension  $\frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{2}$  such that  $\mathcal{F} \cup \{\Omega\}$  is the set of  $\mathcal{V}_{n-1}$ -subspaces together with the nucleus subspace of a quadric Veronesean  $\mathcal{V}_n^{2^n}$ . In particular, if n=2, then the statement holds under the weaker hypothesis of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfying (VS1), (VS2), (VS3) and (VS5).

For n=2 one can classify all examples that do not satisfy (VS5) by a result of [1], and the only possibilities are q=2 and q=4. This classification remains open for  $n\geq 3$ , although an infinite class of examples is known for q = 2, see [8].

In particular for n=2, this result generalizes Theorem 25.2.14 of [4] to q even, and allows to generalize Theorem 25.3.14 of [4] to q even, and so one obtains

**Theorem 1.8.** ([7]) If K is a set of k points of PG(5,q),  $q \neq 2,4$ , which satisfies the following conditions

- (i)  $|\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}| = 1$ , q+1, 2q+1 for every hyperplane  $\Pi_4$  of  $\mathbf{PG}(5,q)$  and there exists a hyperplane  $\Pi_4$  for which  $|\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}| = 2q + 1$ .
- (ii) Any plane of  $\mathbf{PG}(5,q)$  with four points in K has at least q+1 points in K.

Then K is the point set of a Veronesean  $\mathcal{V}_2^4$ .

A theorem by Zanella [10] gives an upper bound for the intersection of k-dimensional subspaces with the quadric Veronese variety, so for the intersections  $\Pi_k \cap \mathcal{V}_n$ .

**Theorem 1.9.** ([10]) Consider the Veronese variety defined by the mapping

$$\zeta: \mathbf{PG}(n,q) \to \mathbf{PG}(\frac{n(n+3)}{2},q),$$

$$(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \to (x_0^2, x_1^2, \dots, x_{n-1}x_n).$$

If k, a are natural numbers such that  $k+1 \leq \frac{(a+3)(a+2)}{2}$ , then the intersections  $\Pi_k \cap \mathcal{V}_n$  contain at

$$\frac{q^{a+1}-1}{a-1}+q^{k-\frac{(a+2)(a+1)}{2}}$$

points.

Applying this for small dimensions yields the upper bounds q+1, q+2, 2q+1 and  $q^2+q+1$  for k=2, k=3, k=4 and k=5 respectively.

A result of the second and third author [9] of this paper characterizes Veronese varieties in terms of ovals.

**Theorem 1.10.** Let X be a set of points in  $\Pi := \mathbf{PG}(M,q), M > 2$ , spanning  $\Pi$ , and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a collection of planes such that for any  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$ , the intersection  $X \cap \pi$  is an oval in  $\pi$ . For  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $x \in X \cap \pi$ , we denote by  $T_x(\pi)$  the tangent line to  $X \cap \pi$  at x in  $\pi$ . We assume the following three properties.

- (U) Any two points  $x, y \in X$  lie in a unique member of  $\mathcal{P}$  which we denote by [x, y].
- (NE) If  $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\pi_1 \cap \pi_2$  is non-empty then  $\pi_1 \cap \pi_2 \subset X$ .
- (TP) If  $x \in X$  and  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $x \notin \pi$ , then each of the lines  $T_x([x,y])$ ,  $y \in X \cap \pi$ , is contained in a plane of  $\Pi$ , denoted by  $T(x,\pi)$ .

Then there exists a natural number  $n \geq 2$  (called the index of X), a projective space  $\Pi' := \mathbf{PG}(\frac{n(n+3)}{2}, q)$  containing  $\Pi$ , a subspace R of  $\Pi'$  skew to  $\Pi$ , and a quadric Veronesean  $\mathcal{V}_n$  of index n in  $\Pi'$ , with  $R \cap \mathcal{V}_n = \emptyset$ , such that X is the (bijective) projection of  $\mathcal{V}_n$  from R onto  $\Pi$ . The subspace R can be empty, in which case X is projectively equivalent to  $\mathcal{V}_n$ .

To conclude this introduction, we define k-arcs in  $\mathbf{PG}(3,q)$ .

**Definition 1.11.** A k-arc of PG(3,q),  $k \ge 4$  is a set of k points, no 4 of which are coplanar.

By Theorem 21.2.4 and Theorem 21.3.8 of [3], we have the following

**Theorem 1.12.** *If*  $q \ge 4$ , then  $k \le q + 1$ .

# 2. First characterization

We want to use the following set of conditions to characterize the quadric Veronesean. Consider a set  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\frac{q^{n+1}-1}{q-1}$  points spanning  $\mathbf{PG}(\frac{n(n+3)}{2},q)$ , with  $n \geq 2$ , such that the following conditions are satisfied.

- (P) If a plane intersects K in more than three points then it contains exactly q+1 points of K. Furthermore, any two points  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  of K are contained in a plane containing q+1 points of K.
- (S) If a 3-space  $\Pi_3$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in more than 4 points then there are four points of  $\mathcal{K}$  contained in a plane of  $\Pi_3$ . In particular, by (P), this implies that if  $|\Pi_3 \cap \mathcal{K}| > 4$ , then  $|\Pi_3 \cap \mathcal{K}| \ge q + 1$ .
- (V) If a 5-space  $\Pi_5$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in more than 2q+2 points then it intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in exactly  $q^2+q+1$  points.

**Definition 2.1.** Planes intersecting K in q+1 points and 5-spaces intersecting K in  $q^2+q+1$  points will be called big planes and big 5-spaces respectively.

Assume  $q \geq 5$  in the following.

We will prove the following main theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** If  $q \geq 5$ , then the set K is the point set of the Veronese variety of all quadrics of  $\mathbf{PG}(n,q)$ .

## Remark.

A counterexample for q = 2, n > 2, to the previous theorem is given by removing one point of a Veronese variety and replacing it by a point in the projective space which corresponds with a matrix of maximal rank, using the correspondence of Theorem 1.2.

A counterexample for q=3, n=2, is given by the point set formed by the points of an elliptic quadric  $\mathcal{E}$  lying in a space  $\Pi_3 \subset \mathbf{PG}(5,3)$  and 3 points on a line  $L \subset \mathbf{PG}(5,3)$  which does not intersect  $\Pi_3$ .

First of all we have to prove that these conditions are well-chosen, meaning the object we want to characterize satisfies them.

**Theorem 2.3.** The Conditions (P), (S) and (V) above hold for the Veronesean  $\mathcal{V}_n^{2^n}$ .

Proof. For Condition (P), we cannot use Lemma 25.3.1 of [4] directly, since we don't know a priori that every plane containing more than three points of  $\mathcal{V}_n^{2^n}$  is contained in a 5-space intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in a  $\mathcal{V}_2^4$  but a slight adaptation of the argument works. Suppose that the plane  $\pi$  contains at least four distinct points  $q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4$  of  $\mathcal{V}_n^{2^n}$ . By Corollary 1 of Theorem 25.1.9 of [4], the points  $q_i, q_j$ , with  $i \neq j$ , are contained in a unique conic of  $\mathcal{V}_n^{2^n}$ . Let C', in the plane  $\pi'$ , be the conic defined by  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ , and let C'', in the plane  $\pi''$ , be the conic defined by  $q_2$  and  $q_3$ . Suppose that  $C' \neq C''$ . By Theorem 1.9 the conic planes  $\pi'$  and  $\pi''$  generate a 4-space  $\Pi_4$  such that  $|\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}| \leq 2q + 1$ . But besides the 2q + 1 points in  $C' \cup C''$ , the point  $q_4$  would also be contained in this 4-space, a contradiction. Hence  $|\pi \cap \mathcal{K}| \geq q + 1$  and by Theorem 1.9,  $|\pi \cap \mathcal{K}| = q + 1$ . Conditions (S) and (V) can be proved using a coordinatization and checking the different possibilities for the position of the inverse images of the points in  $\mathbf{PG}(n,q)$ .

We prove some upper bounds on the number of points of K contained in low-dimensional spaces.

**Lemma 2.4.** If n > 2, every 4-space contains at most 2q + 2 points of K.

*Proof.* Let  $\Pi$  be a 4-space. By Condition (V), it follows directly that  $|\Pi \cap \mathcal{K}| \leq q^2 + q + 1$  and clearly  $|\Pi \cap \mathcal{K}| = q^2 + q + 1$  also yields a contradiction.

Suppose that  $2q+2 < |\Pi \cap \mathcal{K}| < q^2+q+1$ . Again by Condition (V), every 5-space through  $\Pi$  contains exactly  $q^2+q+1$  points of  $\mathcal{K}$ . The number of 5-spaces through a fixed 4-space in  $\mathbf{PG}(\frac{n(n+3)}{2},q)$  is equal to  $\frac{q^{\frac{n(n+3)}{2}-4}-1}{q-1}$ . Hence, we get at least

$$\frac{q^{\frac{n(n+3)}{2}-4}-1}{q-1}+2q+2>\frac{q^{n+1}-1}{q-1}$$

points in K, a contradiction for n > 2.

**Lemma 2.5.** Any line l meets K in at most 2 points. Hence, a plane  $\pi$  with  $|\pi \cap K| = q+1$  intersects K in an oval.

Proof. First suppose that  $|l \cap \mathcal{K}| = 3$ . If n > 2, then consider 3 planes  $\pi_1$ ,  $\pi_2$ ,  $\pi_3$  through l containing more than 3 points of  $\mathcal{K}$  and hence by Condition (P) q + 1 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then  $\dim \langle \pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3 \rangle \leq 4$ . For q > 5, this yields a contradiction by Lemma 2.4. If q = 5, then consider a 3-space  $\Pi_3$  through l containing at least 9 points of  $\mathcal{K}$  inside a big 5-space  $\Pi_5$ . But then considering all 4-spaces through  $\Pi_3$  inside  $\Pi_5$ , by Lemma 2.4, we get at most  $6 \cdot 3 + 9 = 27$  points in  $\Pi_5 \cap \mathcal{K}$ , a contradiction.

If n=2 then we get the following equation for the number  $\alpha$  of planes through l which contain exactly q+1 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ :

$$\alpha(q-2) + 3 = q^2 + q + 1.$$

This yields a contradiction if  $q \ge 5$ . Next, suppose that  $|l \cap \mathcal{K}| = x$ , with 3 < x < q + 1. Consider all planes through l. Then clearly, we get too many points for our set  $\mathcal{K}$ , a contradiction. Finally, if  $|l \cap \mathcal{K}| = q + 1$ , we also get a contradiction as planes can contain at most q + 1 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ .

The previous lemma allows us for n=2 to prove the same upper bound as in Lemma 2.4.

**Lemma 2.6.** Every 4-space intersects K in at most 2q + 2 points. Hence, every 3-space contained in a big 5-space intersects K in at most q + 3 points.

*Proof.* For n > 2 this is Lemma 2.4. Next let n = 2.

Suppose there exists a 3-space  $\Pi_3$  which contains two planes  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  which intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  in ovals  $\mathcal{O}_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2$  respectively which have two points  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  in common. Consider two points  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , different from  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , which lie on  $\mathcal{O}_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2$  respectively. Then there are at most 4 planes through the line  $\langle r_1, r_2 \rangle$  which are not (q+1)-planes, namely the planes containing either the point  $p_1$  or  $p_2$  or those which intersect  $\pi_i$  in a tangent line to  $\mathcal{O}_i$  at  $r_i$  for i=1 or i=2.

Hence, we get at least

$$2 + (q-3)(q-1) + 4 = q^2 - 4q + 9$$

points in  $\Pi_3 \cap \mathcal{K}$ .

The bound above is already sufficient for the remainder of the proof if q > 5. But since we now know there is a point p in  $\Pi_3 \cap \mathcal{K}$  not contained in  $\mathcal{O}_1 \cup \mathcal{O}_2$  we can consider all planes through the line  $\langle p, p_1 \rangle$  inside  $\Pi_3$ . In this case, we get at most three exceptions, namely the plane containing  $p_2$  and those which intersect  $\pi_i$  in a tangent line to  $\mathcal{O}_i$  at  $p_1$ . Hence we get at least

$$2+3+(q-2)(q-1) = q^2 - 3q + 7$$

points in  $\Pi_3 \cap \mathcal{K}$ .

If one would carry out this argument a bit more carefully one can get up to  $q^2 + 1$  points in  $\Pi_3 \cap \mathcal{K}$ , and hence this intersection is an ovoid. However this does not shorten the reasonings made in the remainder of this proof.

Hence if there are three such 3-spaces we distinguish the following cases.

Case (i): Any two of them only intersect in a line. Then the union of the 3-spaces contains at least  $3(q^2 - 3q + 7) - 3 \cdot 2$  points of  $\mathcal{K}$ , a contradiction since  $q \geq 5$ .

Case (ii): There are two of them which intersect in a plane. Then we get a 4-space  $\Pi_4$  containing at least  $2(q^2-3q+7)-(q+1)=2q^2-7q+13$  points of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Consider a point p in  $\mathcal{K}$  not contained in  $\Pi_4$ . Through p and any point r in  $\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}$  there passes an oval of  $\mathcal{K}$  by Condition (P). If none of these ovals have two points of  $\mathcal{K}$  in common, we get too many points, a contradiction. If two of these ovals have two points of  $\mathcal{K}$  in common then the 3-space spanned by these two ovals contains at least  $q^2-3q+7$  points of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Hence, we get at least

$$2q^2 - 7q + 13 + q^2 - 3q + 7 - (q+1)$$

points in K, a contradiction since  $q \geq 5$ .

If there are exactly one or two such 3-spaces we consider a 4-space  $\Pi_4$  containing such a 3-space  $\Pi_3$  and a point p in  $\mathcal{K}$  not contained in  $\Pi_4$ . Through p and each point r in  $\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}$  there passes an oval by Condition (P). For each such point r we choose exactly one such oval. If we have two ovals of  $\mathcal{K}$  through p and a point r of  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $\Pi_4$ , then these two ovals define a 3-space  $\Pi'_3$  containing at least  $q^2 - 3q + 7$  points of  $\mathcal{K}$ , and then the line rp lies in at most q + 1 planes of the solid containing an oval of  $\Pi'_3 \cap \mathcal{K}$ . If there are more than q + 1 ovals through p sharing two points of  $\mathcal{K}$ , then there would be another 3-space  $\Pi''_3$  through p sharing at least  $q^2 - 3q + 7$  points with  $\mathcal{K}$ . Now  $\Pi''_3$  and  $\Pi''_3$  are different from the solid  $\Pi_3$  in  $\Pi_4$  sharing at least  $q^2 - 3q + 7$  points of  $\mathcal{K}$ . But this contradicts the assumption that there are no three such solids. Hence we clearly get too many points in  $\mathcal{K}$ , a contradiction.

Now consider a 4-space  $\Pi_4$  which intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in x points. Consider a point p of  $\mathcal{K}$  not in  $\Pi_4$ . By Condition (P) through every 2 points of  $\mathcal{K}$  there passes an oval of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Consider all ovals through p and a point r of  $\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}$ . Any two of these ovals can intersect in at most one point. Since any oval

through p intersects  $\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}$  in at most 2 points, we get at least  $\frac{x}{2}$  ovals, which all contain q-2 points in  $\Pi_5 \cap \mathcal{K}$  different from p and the x points in  $\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}$ . Hence we get the following equation,

$$\frac{x}{2}(q-2) + x + 1 \le q^2 + q + 1.$$

This yields  $x \leq 2q + 2$ .

Consider a 3-space  $\Pi_3$  in a big 5-space  $\Pi_5$  which intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in q+3+y points. Since all 4-spaces through  $\Pi_3$  inside  $\Pi_5$  intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  in at most 2q+2 points we get the following inequality

$$(q+1)(q-1-y) + q + 3 + y \ge q^2 + q + 1.$$

This implies  $y \leq 0$ .

Now we are able to lower the bound of Lemma 2.6.

**Lemma 2.7.** (i) Every 4-space  $\Pi_4$  intersecting K in more than q+1 points contains a plane which intersects K in an oval.

(ii) Every 4-space  $\Pi_4$  contains at most 2q+1 points of K.

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that  $|\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}| > q + 1$ . Since  $q \ge 5$ , by a result on arcs, namely Theorem 27.6.3 of [4], there are 5 points which are contained in a 3-space. By Condition (S), it follows that there are 4 of them which are contained in a plane  $\pi$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.5,  $\pi$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in an oval.

(ii) Suppose that  $|\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}| = 2q + 2$ . Consider a plane  $\pi$  in  $\Pi_4$  intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in an oval  $\mathcal{O}$ . Such a plane always exists by (i).

Consider 2 points a and b in  $\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}$ , but not in  $\pi$ , such that  $\langle a, b \rangle \cap \pi = \emptyset$ . Note that this is always possible, since at most q+3 points of  $\mathcal{K}$  are contained in a 3-space by Lemma 2.6.

Consider a third point c in  $(\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}) \setminus \pi$ , and let p be the intersection point of  $\pi$  and  $\pi' = \langle a, b, c \rangle$ .

We distinguish the following cases.

Case (i):  $p \in \mathcal{O}$ .

Since  $\pi'$  contains at least 4 points of  $\mathcal{K}$  it contains at least q+1 points of  $\mathcal{K}$  by Condition (P). The planes  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  both intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  in an oval,  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{O}'$ . Denote the remaining point in  $\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}$  by p'. Consider a plane  $\pi''$  spanned by p' and two points a' and b' belonging to  $\mathcal{O}\setminus\{p\}$ . The planes  $\pi'$  and  $\pi''$  intersect in a point r. If r belongs to  $\mathcal{K}$  then  $\pi''$  contains at least 4 and hence, by Condition (P), q+1 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ . If r does not belong to  $\mathcal{K}$  we may assume it is not the nucleus of  $\mathcal{O}'$ , otherwise we can restart the reasoning with two other points of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Then the 3-space spanned by  $\pi''$  and a bisecant to  $\mathcal{O}'$  through r, but not through p, contains at least 5 and hence by Condition (S) at least q+1 points. Since  $q \geq 5$ , in both cases we get more than 2q+2 points in  $\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}$ , a contradiction by Lemma 2.6.

Case (ii):  $p \notin \mathcal{O}$ .

(ii.A) First of all, we assume that not all points in  $\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}$  are contained in  $\pi \cup \pi'$ . Since not all points in  $\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}$  are contained in  $\pi \cup \pi'$ , we may assume that p is not the nucleus of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Indeed, if p would be the nucleus of  $\mathcal{O}$  we consider a point c' of  $\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}$  not in  $\pi' \cup \pi$  and the plane  $\pi'' = \langle a, b, c' \rangle$  which then intersects  $\pi$  in a point p', with p' not the nucleus of  $\mathcal{O}$ . So in that case we continue the reasonings with  $\pi''$  instead of  $\pi'$ . Consider two secants of  $\mathcal{O}$  through p, say l and l'. The 3-spaces  $\langle \pi', l \rangle$  and  $\langle \pi', l' \rangle$  both contain at least 5 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ , hence they both contain a plane intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in an oval. These planes have to coincide, since also  $\pi$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in an oval, otherwise we get too many points in  $\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}$ . Hence, the plane  $\pi'$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in an oval  $\mathcal{O}'$ . This yields a contradiction with the assumption at the beginning of this paragraph. Note that as a byproduct we proved that

if a 4-space contains at least q+5 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ , it contains two planes which intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  in an oval, hence  $|\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}| \geq 2q+1$ . Indeed we only used 4 points a,b,c and c' in  $\mathcal{K}$  but not in  $\pi$  to find the second oval  $\mathcal{O}'$ . Furthermore, these ovals can have at most one point in common, otherwise they only span a 3-space, but a 3-space inside  $\Pi_5$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in at most q+3 points by Lemma 2.6.

(ii.B) Next, we may suppose that  $\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}$  is a union of two ovals  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{O}'$  contained in planes  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  which intersect in a point p.

(ii.B.1) If p is the nucleus of neither  $\mathcal{O}$  nor of  $\mathcal{O}'$ , then consider a secant t of  $\mathcal{O}$  and a secant t' of  $\mathcal{O}'$  through p. The plane spanned by t and t' contains 4 and hence q+1 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ , and so  $|\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}| > 2q+2$ , a contradiction.

(ii.B.2) If p is the nucleus of  $\mathcal{O}$ , but not the nucleus of  $\mathcal{O}'$ , then consider a 5-space  $\Pi_5$  containing  $\Pi_4$  which intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $q^2+q+1$  elements. Since p is not the nucleus of  $\mathcal{O}'$ , there is a secant l' of  $\mathcal{O}'$  through p. Hence, by Lemma 2.6, the 3-space  $\Pi_3$  spanned by  $\mathcal{O}$  and l' contains exactly q+3 elements of  $\mathcal{K}$ . It follows that exactly one of the 4-spaces containing  $\Pi_3$  in  $\Pi_5$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in 2q+1 points, while all the other 4-spaces through  $\Pi_3$  in  $\Pi_5$  contain 2q+2 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ .

By the foregoing there is a 4-space  $\Pi'_4 \neq \Pi_4$  containing  $\Pi_3$  inside  $\Pi_5$  which intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in 2q+2 elements on two ovals  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{O}''$ , where the planes of  $\mathcal{O}'$  and  $\mathcal{O}''$  intersect in l'. Hence the 3-space  $\Pi'_3$  spanned by  $\mathcal{O}'$  and  $\mathcal{O}''$  contains at least 2q elements of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Consider all 4-spaces through  $\Pi'_3$  inside  $\Pi_5$ . By Lemma 2.6 we get at most 2q+2(q+1)=4q+2 points in  $\Pi_5\cap\mathcal{K}$ , a contradiction since  $q\geq 5$ .

(ii.B.3) Finally, if p is the nucleus of both  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{O}'$ , then consider a 3-space  $\Pi_3$  spanned by  $\mathcal{O}$  and a tangent l to  $\mathcal{O}'$  through p. Consider a big 5-space  $\Pi_5$  through  $\Pi_4$ . Consider all 4-spaces through  $\Pi_3$  inside  $\Pi_5$ . No 4-space through  $\Pi_3$  inside  $\Pi_5$  different from  $\Pi_4$  can intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  in 2q+2 points as well. Indeed, by Case (i) and the previous subcases of Case (ii) such a 4-space  $\Pi'_4$  again has to intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  in two ovals  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{O}''$ , contained in planes  $\pi$  and  $\pi''$  respectively. The planes  $\pi'$  and  $\pi''$  have to intersect in the tangent line l, and p again has to be the nucleus of both the ovals  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{O}''$ .

But then the 3-space  $\Pi_3''$  spanned by  $\mathcal{O}'$  and  $\mathcal{O}''$  contains at least 2q+1 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Consider all 4-spaces through  $\Pi_3''$  inside  $\Pi_5$ . Then by Lemma 2.6  $|\Pi \cap \mathcal{K}| \leq 2q+1+q+1 = 3q+2$ , a contradiction since  $q \geq 5$ .

Consider now all 4-spaces through  $\Pi_3$  inside  $\Pi_5$ . Exactly one of them intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in 2q+2 points by the previous and all the others intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  in at most 2q+1 points. Hence, by an easy inspection, there is exactly one 4-space through  $\Pi_3$  in  $\Pi_5$  containing exactly 2q points of  $\mathcal{K}$ , but this yields a contradiction by the remark made at the end of Case (ii.A).

**Remark.** A 4-space intersecting K in 2q+1 points will be called a big 4-space.

**Lemma 2.8.** (i) Inside a big 5-space  $\Pi_5$  all 3-spaces contain at most q+2 points. Furthermore, all 4-spaces inside  $\Pi_5$  through a 3-space intersecting K in q+2 points are big ones.

(ii) A big 4-space  $\Pi_4$  contained in a big 5-space  $\Pi_5$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in two ovals  $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$  with  $\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2 = \{P\}, P \in \mathcal{K}$ .

Proof. (i) Suppose a 3-space  $\Pi_3$  of the big 5-space  $\Pi_5$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in q+2+x points, with  $x \geq 0$ . Then considering all 4-spaces in  $\Pi_5$  through  $\Pi_3$ , we get at most  $(2q+1-(q+2+x))(q+1)+q+2+x=q^2+q+1-xq$  points in  $\Pi_5 \cap \mathcal{K}$  by Lemma 2.7, a contradiction if x>0. The second part follows directly if x=0.

(ii) By (i) of Lemma 2.7 there is a plane  $\pi$  in  $\Pi_4$  which intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in an oval. We claim we can find a second plane in  $\Pi_4$  which intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in an oval. Take 3 points contained in  $\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}$  not lying in  $\pi$ .

These points span a plane  $\pi'$ . The space  $\langle \pi, \pi' \rangle$  is a 4-space, otherwise we get a 3-space intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in more than q+2 points, contradicting (i).

If  $\pi'$  contains exactly 3 points of  $\mathcal{K}$  then consider all 3-spaces through  $\pi'$  in  $\Pi_4$ . If none of them contains at least 5 points of  $\mathcal{K}$  we get at most q+1+3=q+4 points in  $\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}$ , a contradiction. So there is a 3-space  $\Pi_3$  through  $\pi'$  in  $\Pi_4$  containing more than 4 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ , hence by Conditions (P) and (S) we find a plane  $\pi''$  containing q+1 points of  $\mathcal{K}$  inside  $\Pi_3$ . Clearly  $\pi$  and  $\pi''$  are different since  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  span a 4-space.

If the two different planes  $\pi$  and  $\pi''$  which intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  in an oval intersect in a point, then we are done by Lemma 2.7. Suppose that  $\pi$  and  $\pi''$  intersect in a line. Then the 3-space  $\Pi'_3 = \langle \pi, \pi'' \rangle$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in more than q+2 points, contradicting (i).

**Lemma 2.9.** Every 4-space contained in a big 5-space  $\Pi_5$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in 1, q+1 or 2q+1 points and each such big 5-space contains at least one 4-space intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in exactly 2q+1 points. Hence, each big 5-space intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in a  $\mathcal{V}_2^4$ .

*Proof.* Denote the number of points belonging to  $\mathcal{K}$  contained in a 4-space  $\Pi_i \subset \Pi_5$  by  $x_i$ ; here  $\Pi_5$  is a big 5-space. In the following sum and all the others below, i runs over all 4-spaces  $\Pi_i$  contained in  $\Pi_5$ . We have

$$\sum_{i} (x_i - 1)(x_i - (q+1))(x_i - (2q+1)) = 0.$$
(1)

Indeed, by a standard counting technique counting in two different ways respectively the number of pairs  $(p,\Pi)$  in  $\Pi_5$ , where  $p \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $\Pi$  is a 4-space in  $\Pi_5$ , the number of triples  $(p_1,p_2,\Pi), p_1 \neq p_2 \in \Pi \cap \mathcal{K}$  and  $\Pi$  a 4-space in  $\Pi_5$ , and the quadruples  $(p_1,p_2,p_3,\Pi), p_i \in \Pi \cap \mathcal{K}$ , where the points  $p_i$  are all distinct and  $\Pi$  is a 4-space in  $\Pi_5$  yields

$$\sum_{i} x_{i} = \frac{\left(q^{2} + q + 1\right)\left(q^{5} - 1\right)}{q - 1},\tag{2}$$

$$\sum_{i} x_i(x_i - 1) = \frac{\left(q^2 + q + 1\right)\left(q^2 + q\right)\left(q^4 - 1\right)}{q - 1},\tag{3}$$

$$\sum_{i} x_{i}(x_{i}-1)(x_{i}-2) = \frac{\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)\left(q^{2}+q\right)\left(q^{2}+q-1\right)\left(q^{3}-1\right)}{q-1}.$$
 (4)

Now Equations (2), (3) and (4) together lead to Equation (1).

If a 4-space  $\Pi_4$  inside a big 5-space contains more than q+1 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ , then it is a big one. Indeed, by Lemma 2.7 there is a plane in  $\Pi_4$  intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in an oval. Hence we can find a 3-space in  $\Pi_4$  which intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in q+2 points. The claim now follows from (i) of Lemma 2.8.

Suppose  $|\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}| = x$ ,  $\Pi_4 \subset \Pi_5$ , with  $4 \leq x < q + 1$ . Let  $\Pi_3$  be a 3-space containing 4 points  $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4$  in  $\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}$ . Hence, by Condition (S),  $|\Pi_3 \cap \mathcal{K}| = 4$ . Consider all 4-spaces through  $\Pi_3$  inside  $\Pi_5$ . If there are less than 4 big ones among them, we get less than

$$3(2q-3) + (q-2)(q-3) + 4 = q^2 + q + 1$$

points in  $\Pi_5 \cap \mathcal{K}$ , a contradiction.

By (ii) of Lemma 2.8, in each of the at least 4 big 4-spaces inside  $\Pi_5$  containing  $\Pi_3$  the points  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$ ,  $p_4$  are contained in 2 ovals. Hence either there is an oval containing 3 of them, which yields a contradiction, or there is a pair  $p_i$ ,  $p_j$  contained in two different ovals. But the latter yields a contradiction by (i) of Lemma 2.8.

Suppose now that  $|\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}| = 3$ . Consider a 3-space  $\Pi_3$  in  $\Pi_4$  containing the 3 points  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$  of  $\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}$  and all 4-spaces inside  $\Pi_5$  containing  $\Pi_3$ . By the previous arguments these intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  in 3, q+1 or 2q+1 points. Denote the number of them intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in q+1 and 2q+1 points by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively. This yields the following equation

$$\alpha(q-2) + \beta(2q-2) + 3 = q^2 + q + 1.$$

We deduce that  $\alpha$  is a multiple of q-1. If  $\alpha=q-1$ , then we get at most (q-1)(q-2)+2q+1 points in  $\Pi_5 \cap \mathcal{K}$ , a contradiction.

Hence, we find that  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = \frac{q+2}{2}$ . This already yields a contradiction if q is odd. As q > 2, the points  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$  are contained in 2 ovals in each of the at least 3 different big 4-spaces of  $\Pi_5$  containing  $\Pi_3$  by (ii) of Lemma 2.8. This yields a contradiction.

Finally, suppose that  $|\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}| = 2$ . Consider a 3-space  $\Pi_3$  in  $\Pi_4$  containing the 2 points  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  of  $\Pi_4 \cap \mathcal{K}$  and all 4-spaces inside  $\Pi_5$  containing  $\Pi_3$ . By the previous these all intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  in 2, q+1 or 2q+1 points. Denote the number of them intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in q+1 and 2q+1 points by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively. This yields the following equation,

$$\alpha(q-1) + \beta(2q-1) + 2 = q^2 + q + 1.$$

This yields that  $\beta - 1$  is a multiple of q - 1. If  $\beta = 1$ , we get at most  $(q - 1)(q - 1) + 2q + 1 = q^2 + 2$  points of  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $\Pi_5$ , a contradiction. If  $\beta = q$ , we get exactly  $2q^2 - q + 2$  points in  $\Pi_5 \cap \mathcal{K}$ , also a contradiction.

In the previous paragraphs we proved that if a 4-space contains at least 2 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ , then it contains at least q+1 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ . By (i) of Lemma 2.8 and (ii) of Lemma 2.7 the only possibilities in this case are q+1 and 2q+1. By Equation (1), this implies that there are no 4-spaces which have an empty intersection with  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Hence, every 4-space contained in  $\Pi_5$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in 1, q+1 or 2q+1 points.

We prove there is a 4-space contained in  $\Pi_5$  which intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in 2q+1 points. If this is not the case, then consider a 3-space in  $\Pi_5$  containing x>1 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ . We get the following equality:

$$(q+1)(q+1-x) + x = q^2 + q + 1,$$

hence x = 1, a contradiction.

Hence by Theorem 1.8,  $\Pi_5$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in a Veronese variety  $\mathcal{V}_2^4$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** The set K is a Veronese variety  $V_n^{2^n}$ .

*Proof.* We check the conditions of Theorem 1.10. The set  $\mathcal{P}$  consists of all planes intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in an oval.

Any two points of  $\mathcal{K}$  are contained in at least one oval of  $\mathcal{K}$  by Condition (P) and Lemma 2.5. If two points  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  are contained in two ovals, namely  $\mathcal{O}_1$  in the plane  $\pi_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2$  in the plane  $\pi_2$ , then these ovals span a 3-space  $\Pi_3$  containing too many points of  $\mathcal{K}$ , a contradiction. Indeed, consider a point r on the intersection line l of  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  which is not the nucleus of  $\mathcal{O}_1$  neither of  $\mathcal{O}_2$  and two bisecants  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  through r to  $\mathcal{O}_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2$  respectively. Then the plane spanned by  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  contains at least 4 points of  $\mathcal{K}$  and hence by Condition (P) q+1 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ . In this way we get at least  $2q+q-3=3q-3\geq 2q+2$  (since  $q\geq 5$ ) points in  $\Pi_3\cap\mathcal{K}$ , a contradiction by Lemma 2.6. Hence, Property (U) is proved.

To prove Property (NE), consider two planes  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  which intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  in an oval. If  $\pi_1 \cap \pi_2$  is a point then the property follows directly from Lemma 2.7. If  $\pi_1 \cap \pi_2$  is a line then we get a 3-space  $\Pi_3$ 

containing at least and so exactly 2q + 1 points. But then there are 4-spaces through  $\Pi_3$  containing more than 2q + 1 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ , a contradiction.

For Property (TP), take a point p not contained in a plane  $\pi$  which intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in an oval  $\mathcal{O}_1$ . Consider two points r and s on  $\mathcal{O}_1$  and the ovals  $\mathcal{O}_2$  and  $\mathcal{O}_3$  which are uniquely determined by p and r, and p and s respectively. The point set of the ovals  $\mathcal{O}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_2$  and  $\mathcal{O}_3$  are contained in a 5-space  $\Pi_5$  intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in more than 2q+2 points. Hence, by Lemma 2.9  $\Pi_5 \cap \mathcal{K}$  is a Veronesean  $\mathcal{V}_2^4$ . Take an arbitrary point t on  $\mathcal{O}_1$  and consider the oval determined by p and t. Since  $\Pi_5 \cap \mathcal{K}$  is a  $\mathcal{V}_2^4$ , this oval is contained in  $\Pi_5$ . For each of these ovals there is a tangent at p to these ovals. By Lemma 25.4.2 of [4] the union of these tangents forms a plane.

# 3. Second characterization

In this section, we show that for n > 2, we can replace the set of conditions of Section 2 by the following set of conditions. Furthermore, we provide a counterexample for the case n = 2.

Consider a set K of  $\frac{q^{n+1}-1}{q-1}$  points spanning  $\mathbf{PG}(\frac{n(n+3)}{2},q)$ , with n>2, such that

- (P') If  $\pi$  is a plane then the intersection  $\pi \cap \mathcal{K}$  contains at most q+1 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ .
- (S') If a 3-space  $\Pi_3$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in more than 4 points, then  $|\Pi_3 \cap \mathcal{K}| \geq q+1$  and  $\Pi_3 \cap \mathcal{K}$  is not a (q+1)-arc.
- (V') If a 5-space  $\Pi_5$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in more than 2q+2 points then it intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in exactly  $q^2+q+1$  points. Furthermore, any two points  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  are contained in a 5-space containing  $q^2+q+1$  points of  $\mathcal{K}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Every 4-space contains at most 2q + 2 points of K. Hence, a 3-space contained in a big 5-space contains at most q + 3 points of K.

*Proof.* Exactly the same as the proof of Lemma 2.6 using Condition (V'), since we only used there that part of Condition (V).

**Lemma 3.2.** For n > 2, q > 7, if a plane  $\pi$  contains at least 4 points of K, then it contains exactly q + 1 points of K.

*Proof.* First suppose that  $4 < |\pi \cap \mathcal{K}| < q+1$ . Then all 3-spaces through  $\pi$  contain at least q+1 points. This yields at least  $\frac{q^{\frac{n(n+3)}{2}-2}-1}{q-1}$  points for the set  $\mathcal{K}$ , a contradiction since n>2.

Next, suppose that  $|\pi \cap \mathcal{K}| = 4$ . Consider points a, b and c of  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $\langle \pi, a \rangle$ ,  $\langle \pi, b \rangle$  and  $\langle \pi, c \rangle$  are three different 3-spaces. By Condition (S') each of these three 3-spaces intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in at least q+1 points. Then the space  $\langle \pi, a, b, c \rangle$  contains at least 3(q-3)+4 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Hence, since q > 7, by Lemma 3.1 and Condition (V') it is a big 5-space  $\Pi_5$ .

By Lemma 3.1, a 3-space  $\Pi_3$  in  $\Pi_5$  contains at most q+3 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ .

From the previous paragraph it follows that we get the following inequality for the number x of 3-spaces  $\Pi_3^i$  through  $\pi$  inside the big 5-space  $\Pi_5$  containing at least q+1 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ .

$$x(q-1) + 4 \ge q^2 + q + 1.$$

Hence we get  $x \ge q+2-\frac{1}{q-1}$ , this implies  $x \ge q+2$  if q>2.

Now consider a 3-space  $\Pi_3''$  containing  $\pi$  and at least q+1 points of  $\mathcal{K}$  which is not contained in  $\Pi_5$  and consider also the 6-space  $\Pi_6 = \langle \Pi_5, \Pi_3'' \rangle$ . Take one fixed 3-space  $\Pi_3^1$  and consider the 5-spaces  $\langle \Pi_3^1, \Pi_3'', \Pi_3^i \rangle$  with  $i \neq 1$ . Each of these 5-spaces intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in more than 2q+2 points since q > 7 and hence is a big 5-space. It follows that  $\Pi_6 \cap \mathcal{K}$  contains at least  $(q+1)(q^2-q-1)+2q+2=q^3+1$  points.

Repeating this reasoning yields inductively the following recursion formula for the number of points  $\phi_{k+1}$  in  $\Pi_{k+1} \cap \mathcal{K}$  where  $\tilde{\Pi}_3$  is a 3-space containing  $\pi$  and at least q+1 points of  $\mathcal{K}$  which is not contained in  $\Pi_k$  and where  $\Pi_{k+1} = \langle \Pi_k, \tilde{\Pi}_3 \rangle$ , where  $\phi_5 = q^2 + q + 1$ .

$$\phi_{k+1} \ge \left(\frac{\phi_k - 4}{q - 1} - 1\right)(q^2 - q - 1) + 2q + 2. \tag{5}$$

We will adapt the recursion formula to a recursion formula for numbers  $\psi_k$  such that  $\psi_k \leq \phi_k$  for all k > 5.

First we rewrite the recursion formula for  $\phi_k$  as follows.

$$\phi_{k+1} = (\phi_k - q - 3) \frac{q^2 - q - 1}{q - 1} + 2q + 2.$$

Since  $\frac{q^2-q-1}{q-1}>q-1$  if q>2 we get after a little calculation

$$\phi_{k+1} > (q-1)\phi_k - q^2 + 5.$$

Since  $\phi_5 = q^2 + q + 1$  we can even write for all integers  $k \ge 5$ 

$$\phi_{k+1} > (q-2)\phi_k$$
.

Now we set  $\psi_5 = \phi_5$  and  $\psi_{k+1} = (q-2)\psi_k$ . Hence we get  $\psi_N = (q-2)^{N-5}(q^2+q+1)$  for all  $N \ge 5$ . This yields the following inequality

$$(q-2)^{\frac{n(n+3)}{2}-5}(q^2+q+1) \le \frac{q^{n+1}-1}{q-1}.$$

This is an equality if n=2 and the left hand side increases faster than the right hand side if n increases, hence this yields a contradiction for n>2.

The remaining cases are q = 5 and q = 7. First we prove a lemma for q = 5.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let q = 5, n > 2, and consider a plane  $\pi$  which intersects K in 4 points. If inside a big 5-space  $\Pi_5$  there is a 4-space  $\Pi_4$  through  $\pi$  intersecting K in 12 points then there are no 4-spaces through  $\pi$  inside  $\Pi_5$  intersecting K in 11 or 10 points.

*Proof.* Suppose the contrary and consider a 6-space  $\Pi_6$  containing  $\Pi_5$  which intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in more than 31 points. Such a 6-space always exists otherwise we don't get enough points for the set  $\mathcal{K}$ .

If  $\Pi_5$  contains a 4-space  $\Pi'_4$  through  $\pi$  intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in 11 points, then consider all 5-spaces through  $\Pi_4$  and  $\Pi'_4$  inside  $\Pi_6$ . By Conditions (S') and (V') the only ones which yield extra points are big 5-spaces. For take a 5-space with an extra point p, then we have at least two extra points. Namely, the 3-space  $\langle \pi, p \rangle$  contains more than 4 points of  $\mathcal{K}$  and hence by Condition (S') at least 6 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Denote the number of big 5-spaces inside  $\Pi_6$  through  $\Pi_4$  and  $\Pi'_4$  by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively. We get the following equation

$$19\alpha + 12 = 20\beta + 11$$

If we rewrite this as  $1+19(\alpha-\beta)=\beta$ , then clearly the only solution with  $1 \le \alpha$ ,  $\beta \le 6$  is  $\alpha=\beta=1$ , a contradiction since  $\Pi_6$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in more than 31 points.

If there is a 4-space  $\Pi'_4$  in  $\Pi_5$  through  $\pi$  intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in 10 points then 5-spaces through  $\Pi'_4$  inside  $\Pi_6$  which yield extra points intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  either in 12 or in 31 points by Condition (S') and Condition (V'). Denote the number of big 5-spaces through  $\Pi_4$  inside  $\Pi_6$  by x, the number of 5-spaces of  $\Pi_6$  through  $\Pi'_4$  intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in 12 points by y and the number of big 5-spaces through  $\Pi'_4$  inside  $\Pi_6$  by z. Then the following equation is obtained

$$19x + 12 = 2y + 21z + 10$$
, with  $x \ge 1$  and  $x, y, z \le 6$ .

The only solution is x=z=1 and y=0, a contradiction since  $\Pi_6$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in more than 31 points.

**Lemma 3.4.** For q=5 or q=7 and n>2, a plane  $\pi$  intersecting K in exactly 4 points is never contained in a big 5-space  $\Pi_5$ .

*Proof.* Case (a) q = 5:

Assume  $\pi$  is contained in a big 5-space  $\Pi_5$ . First of all, a 3-space in  $\Pi_5$  contains at most 8 points of  $\mathcal{K}$  by Lemma 3.1.

Project  $\Pi_5$  from  $\pi$  onto a plane  $\pi'$  which is skew to  $\pi$  in  $\Pi_5$ . For the 3-spaces through  $\pi$  which contain 6, 7 or 8 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ , the projection in  $\pi'$  is given weight 2, 3 or 4 respectively.

First suppose there is a 3-space  $\Pi_3$  in  $\Pi_5$  which contains  $\pi$  and which intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in 8 points. Then five 4-spaces through  $\Pi_3$  in  $\Pi_5$  intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  in 12 points and one 4-space through  $\Pi_3$  in  $\Pi_5$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in 11 points. But this yields a contradiction by Lemma 3.3.

Hence, from now on, we may assume that each 3-space through  $\pi$  inside a big 5-space which contains more than 4 points of  $\mathcal{K}$  contains 6 or 7 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Hence if we denote the number of 3-spaces through  $\pi$  inside  $\Pi_5$  which intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  in 6 points by  $\alpha$  and those which intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  in 7 points by  $\beta$ , we get the following equation,

$$4 + 2\alpha + 3\beta = 31.$$

The rest of the proof is case-by-case analysis.

(A) 
$$\beta \geq 7$$
:

In this case we have a set  $\mathcal{P}$  of at least 7 points with weight 3 in  $\pi'$ . Since an oval in  $\mathbf{PG}(2,5)$  contains at most 6 points, three points of  $\mathcal{P}$  will be collinear. But this implies that the 4-space spanned by the line L containing them and  $\pi$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in more than 12 points, a contradiction by Lemma 3.1.

(B) 
$$\alpha = 6, \ \beta = 5$$
:

Consider a point p of weight 3 in  $\pi'$  and all lines  $L_1, \dots, L_6$  through it. On four of these lines, say  $L_1, \dots, L_4$  we have exactly one other point which has weight 3 otherwise we get a 4-space with more than 12 points. If none of  $L_1, \dots, L_4$  contains a point of weight 2 then the six points of weight 2 have to be distributed over the remaining two lines through p, a contradiction since then we get a 4-space intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in more than 12 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ , a contradiction by Lemma 3.1. Hence we have already found a 4-space through  $\pi$  inside  $\Pi_5$  which intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in 12 points. By Lemma 3.3, this implies that no 4-space through  $\pi$  inside  $\Pi_5$  can intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  in 10 or in 11 points. Now consider a point of weight 2 in  $\pi'$  and all lines through it. Then the 5 points of weight 3 are distributed over these lines as 2+2+1, as 2+1+1+1 or as 1+1+1+1+1. The latter two possibilities clearly yield a 4-space intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in more than 12 points, a contradiction by Lemma 3.1. Namely, in the last case for instance, since no

4-space inside  $\Pi_5$  is allowed to intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  in exactly 11 points, all points of weight two are contained in one line through p in  $\pi'$ . The other case is similar.

But if it is always the 2+2+1 possibility then through each point of weight 2 there passes a line L containing 4 points of weight 2, which yields a contradiction.

(C) 
$$\alpha = 9, \beta = 3$$
:

Consider a point p of weight 3 in  $\pi'$  and all lines through it. On two of these lines,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , we have exactly one other point which has weight 3 otherwise we get a 4-space with more than 12 points. If there is no 4-space through  $\pi$  in  $\Pi_5$  which intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in 12 points, then the 9 points of weight 2 have to be distributed over the remaining 4 lines, which again yields a too big 4-space. Hence there is a 4-space inside  $\Pi_5$  intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in 12 points, implying no 4-spaces through  $\pi$  inside  $\Pi_5$  are allowed to intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  in 10 or in 11 points by Lemma 3.3. This is impossible.

(D) 
$$\alpha = 12, \beta = 1$$
:

Denote the 3-space through  $\pi$  inside  $\Pi_5$  which intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in 7 points by  $\Pi_3$ . We have a set  $\mathcal{P}$  of 13 points of weight 2 and 3 in  $\pi'$ . We claim that there has to be a line L containing 4 points of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Indeed, consider an arbitrary point p contained in  $\mathcal{P}$  and all lines through it. If there is no line which intersects  $\mathcal{P}$  in 4 points then all lines of  $\pi'$  through p intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  in 3 points. Since p was arbitrary this implies that all lines in  $\pi'$  intersect  $\mathcal{P}$  in 0 or 3 points. But consider now a point r in  $\pi'$  not contained in  $\mathcal{P}$  and all lines through it. Then we get a contradiction, since 3 does not divide 13. So we may assume that there is a line L in  $\pi'$  which intersects  $\mathcal{P}$  in 4 points.

Hence, the 4-space spanned by L and  $\pi$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in 12 points. It has to be contained in another big 5-space otherwise we don't get enough points in  $\mathcal{K}$ . There again there has to be at least one 3-space, say  $\Pi'_3$ , through  $\pi$  which intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in 7 points.

But now consider a space  $\hat{\Pi}$  spanned by  $\Pi_3$ ,  $\Pi'_3$  and another 3-space through  $\pi$  which contains at least 6 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Then  $\hat{\Pi}$  is certainly contained in a big 5-space, otherwise we don't have enough points in the set  $\mathcal{K}$ . But this is a contradiction since in any big 5-space we already excluded all cases with  $\beta > 1$ .

Case (b) 
$$q = 7$$
:

Assume the plane  $\pi$  is contained in a big 5-space  $\Pi_5$ . First of all, a 3-space in  $\Pi_5$  contains at most 10 points of  $\mathcal{K}$  by Lemma 3.1.

Project  $\Pi_5$  from  $\pi$  onto a plane  $\pi'$  which is skew to  $\pi$  in  $\Pi_5$ . For the 3-spaces through  $\pi$  which contain 8, 9 or 10 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ , the projection in  $\pi'$  is given weight 4, 5 or 6 respectively. Denote this set of points by  $\mathcal{P}$ .

First suppose there is a 3-space  $\Pi_3$  in  $\Pi_5$  which contains  $\pi$  and which intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in 10 points. Then seven 4-spaces through  $\Pi_3$  in  $\Pi_5$  intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  in 16 points and one 4-space through  $\Pi_3$  in  $\Pi_5$  intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in 15 points. Consider all lines through the point p in  $\pi'$  corresponding with  $\Pi_3$ . There is exactly one line through p which contains one point p' of weight 5.

This implies that inside a big 5-space through  $\Pi_3$  there is exactly one 3-space  $\Pi'_3$ , namely the one which corresponds with p', which contains  $\pi$  and which intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in 9 points.

But a 4-space  $\Pi_4$  through  $\Pi_3$  intersecting  $\mathcal{K}$  in 16 points has to be contained in at least one other big 5-space  $\tilde{\Pi}_5$ . Inside  $\tilde{\Pi}_5$  we also find a 3-space  $\tilde{\Pi}_3$  which contains  $\pi$  and which intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in 9 points. But now the big 5-space spanned by  $\Pi_3, \Pi'_3$  and  $\tilde{\Pi}_3$  contains  $\Pi_3$  and two 3-spaces which contain  $\pi$  and which intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  in 9 points, a contradiction by the previous paragraph.

Hence, from now on, we may assume that each 3-space through  $\pi$  inside a big 5-space through  $\pi$  which contains more than 4 points of  $\mathcal{K}$  contains 8 or 9 points of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Hence if we denote the number

of 3-spaces through  $\pi$  inside  $\Pi_5$  which intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  in 8 points by  $\alpha$  and those which intersect  $\mathcal{K}$  in 9 points by  $\beta$ , we get the following equation,

$$4 + 4\alpha + 5\beta = 57.$$

Remark that inside  $\pi'$  each line through a point of weight 5 can contain at most one other point of weight 4 or 5 otherwise we get a 4-space which intersects  $\mathcal{K}$  in more than 16 points. Hence  $|\mathcal{P}| = \alpha + \beta \le 1 + 8 \cdot 1 = 9$ .

The only solutions of the above equation for  $(\alpha, \beta)$  are the pairs (12, 1), (7, 5) and (2, 9), which yields a contradiction by the previous paragraph.

**Remark.** The method of proof of the above theorem can also be used for the general case. However, Lemma 3.2 directly excludes all planes containing 4 points of  $\mathcal{K}$  for q > 7.

**Lemma 3.5.** Any line l meets K in at most 2 points. Hence, a plane  $\pi$  with  $|\pi \cap K| = q+1$  intersects K in an oval.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.5; use Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2.

**Theorem 3.6.** If  $q \ge 5$  and n > 2, then the set K is the point set of the Veronese variety of all quadrics of  $\mathbf{PG}(n,q)$ .

*Proof.* We check Conditions (P), (S) and (V) of Theorem 2.2. Conditions (S) and (V) are implied by Condition (S') and Theorem 1.12 and by Condition (V') respectively. The first part of Condition (P) was proved in Lemma 3.2 for q > 7.

Furthermore, for q=5 and q=7 we proved the first part of Condition (P) for all planes which are contained in a big 5-space. In fact, we did only use Condition (P) for these planes in our first characterization.

The second part of Condition (P), namely that every 2 points of  $\mathcal{K}$  are contained in an oval of  $\mathcal{K}$ , is never used to obtain Lemma 2.9 if n > 2. If n = 2, we did use Condition (P) for the proof of Lemma 2.6. Since every two points are contained in a big 5-space  $\Pi_5$  by Condition (V), and since  $\Pi_5 \cap \mathcal{K}$  is a Veronese surface  $\mathcal{V}_2^4$  by Lemma 2.9 the second part of Condition (P) is proved. The proof is finished by Theorem 2.2.

The counterexample for the case n=2 is the following. Consider in  $\mathbf{PG}(5,q)$  a point p on an ovoid  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\mathbf{PG}(3,q)$  and a tangent line L to  $\mathcal{O}$  at p. Furthermore, consider a second 3-space  $\Pi'_3$  intersecting  $\Pi_3$  exactly in L and containing an oval  $\mathcal{O}'$  which intersects L in p. Then the set  $\mathcal{O} \cup \mathcal{O}'$  fulfills Conditions (P'), (S') and (V') but it is not a Veronesean  $\mathcal{V}_2^4$ .

### References

- [1] A. Del Fra, On d-dimensional dual hyperovals, Geom. Dedicata, 79, (2000), 157–178.
- [2] O. FERRI, Su di una caratterizzazione grafica della superficie di Veronese di un  $S_{5,q}$ ,  $Atti\ Accad.\ Naz.\ Lincei\ Rend.$ , 61 (1976), 603–610.
- [3] J. W. P. Hirschfeld. Finite projective spaces of three dimensions, Second edition. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1985, 316pp.

- [4] J. W. P. Hirschfeld and J. A. Thas, General Galois Geometries, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [5] B. SEGRE, Ovals in a finite projective plane, Canad. J. Math., 7 (1955), 414-416.
- [6] G. TALLINI. Una proprietà grafica caratteristica della superficie di Veronese negli spazi finiti. I, II. Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat. (8), 24:19–23, 135–138, 1958.
- [7] J. A. Thas and H. Van Maldeghem, On Ferri's characterization of the finite quadric Veronesean  $V_2^4$ , J. Combin. Theory Ser. A., 2 (2005), 217–221.
- [8] J. A. Thas and H. Van Maldeghem, Characterizations of the finite quadric Veroneseans  $\mathcal{V}_n^{2^n}$ , Quart. J. Math., **55** (2004), 99–113.
- [9] J. A. Thas and H. Van Maldeghem, Classification of finite Veronesean caps, European J. Combin., 25 (2004), 275-285.
- [10] C. ZANELLA, Linear sections of the finite Veronese varieties and authentication systems defined using geometry, Des. Codes Cryptogr., 13 (1998), 199-212.
- J. Schillewaert, J.A. Thas and H. Van Maldeghem Department of Pure Mathematics and Computer Algebra, Ghent University Krijgslaan 281-S22, B-9000 Gent, Belgium e-mail: {jschille, jat, hvm}@cage.ugent.be