

# Bi-differential calculi and bi-Hamiltonian systems

M. Crampin

Department of Applied Mathematics, The Open University,  
Walton Hall, Milton Keynes MK7 6AA, UK;

W. Sarlet

Department of Mathematical Physics and Astronomy,  
The University of Gent  
Krijgslaan 281, B-9000 Gent, Belgium

G. Thompson

Department of Mathematics, The University of Toledo,  
2801 W. Bancroft St., Toledo, Ohio 43606, USA.

March 14, 2000

In a recent paper in this journal [1] A. Dimakis and F. Müller-Hoissen have shown how to generate conservation laws in completely integrable systems by using a bi-differential calculus. In the concluding section of their paper they ask how their approach ‘is related to various other characterizations of completely integrable systems’, and mention the bi-Hamiltonian formalism as one of these other approaches. We will briefly discuss aspects of the relationship between their work and the bi-Hamiltonian formalism in the finite-dimensional case.

We will be concerned with bi-differential calculi over the exterior algebra  $\Omega(\mathcal{A}) = \wedge(M)$  on a manifold  $M$ , where  $\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(M)$  is the algebra of real-valued  $C^\infty$  functions on  $M$ , and where one of the derivations is the exterior derivative  $d$ . (Actually Dimakis and Müller-Hoissen denote the derivation which plays the role of the exterior derivative here by  $\delta$ ; we have thought it better to stick to the standard notation of differential geometry.) The second derivation  $\delta$ , which creates the bi-differential calculus, is required to be, like  $d$ , a derivation of degree 1 of the exterior algebra and to satisfy

$$\delta^2 = 0, \quad d\delta + \delta d = 0.$$

Our first observation is that, according to Frölicher-Nijenhuis theory, a derivation of degree 1 which (anti-)commutes with  $d$  (that is, a derivation of type  $d_*$  in the terminology of Frölicher and Nijenhuis) must be of the form  $\delta = d_R$  for some type  $(1, 1)$  tensor field

$R$  on  $M$ ; and that the necessary and sufficient condition for  $d_R$  to satisfy  $d_R^2 = 0$  is that the torsion, or Nijenhuis tensor, of  $R$  must be zero. Thus in this particular case, bi-differential calculi are in one-one correspondence with type  $(1, 1)$  tensor fields with vanishing torsion.

We will be concerned below mainly with the action of  $d_R$  on  $C^\infty(M)$ , for which we have the formula  $d_R f = R^*(df)$ , where we think of the tensor  $R$  as a homomorphism of the module of vector fields on  $M$ , and  $R^*$  as its adjoint acting on 1-forms. In fact a derivation  $\delta$  of type  $d_*$  is determined by its action on functions — the condition  $d\delta + \delta d = 0$  defines its action on  $s$ -forms for  $s \geq 1$  — and it is easy to see that if  $\delta$  is of degree 1 its action on functions must be given by  $\delta f = R^*(df)$  for some  $R$ .

The basic step in the construction of Dimakis and Müller-Hoissen is to define inductively a sequence of  $(s - 1)$ -forms  $\chi^{(m)}$ ,  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $s$  is an integer for which closed  $s$ -forms are exact, by the rule

$$d\chi^{(m+1)} = d_R\chi^{(m)}.$$

That this is possible follows from the commutation relation  $dd_R + d_R d = 0$ : we have, for  $m \geq 1$ ,

$$dd_R\chi^{(m)} = -d_R d\chi^{(m)} = -d_R^2\chi^{(m-1)} = 0,$$

so the scheme is consistent provided that  $dd_R\chi^{(0)} = -d_R d\chi^{(0)} = 0$ .

To make the correspondence with bi-Hamiltonian systems we suppose that  $M$  is a Poisson manifold, whose Poisson structure comes from a symplectic form  $\omega_0$ ; that  $R$  and  $\omega_0$  are such that for every pair of vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  on  $M$ ,

$$\omega_0(R(X), Y) = \omega_0(X, R(Y)),$$

so that  $\omega_1$ , defined by  $\omega_1(X, Y) = \omega_0(R(X), Y)$ , is a 2-form; and that  $d\omega_1 = 0$ . Then if we set, for  $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$ ,

$$\{f, g\}_1 = \omega_1(X_f, X_g)$$

where  $X_f$  is the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to  $f$  with respect to  $\omega_0$ , then  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_1$  is bilinear over  $\mathbb{R}$ , skew-symmetric, and satisfies the derivation property

$$\{f, gh\}_1 = g\{f, h\}_1 + \{f, g\}_1 h.$$

Furthermore, it follows from the vanishing of the torsion of  $R$ , together with the closure of  $\omega_1$ , that the Jacobi identity holds, so that  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_1$  is a second Poisson bracket on  $M$ , which is moreover compatible with  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_0$ , the Poisson bracket coming from  $\omega_0$ . Thus in such a case a bi-differential calculus endows  $M$  with a Poisson-Nijenhuis structure, that is, with a second Poisson bracket compatible with the first;  $R$  is the recursion tensor of the structure.

The construction of the  $\chi^{(m)}$ , in the case  $s = 1$ , translates into the terminology of Poisson brackets as follows. We assume that  $M$  is such that closed 1-forms are exact.

From the definition,

$$\{f, g\}_1 = \omega_1(X_f, X_g) = \omega_0(X_f, R(X_g)) = -R(X_g)f = -d_R f(X_g);$$

thus the inductive definition of the functions  $\chi^{(m)}$  can be expressed as follows:

$$\{\chi^{(m+1)}, \cdot\}_0 = \{\chi^{(m)}, \cdot\}_1.$$

It is easy to show that functions  $\chi^{(m)}$  so defined are in involution with respect to both Poisson brackets — we shall outline the proof of a more general result below.

Dimakis and Müller-Hoissen usually impose the initial condition that  $d\chi^{(0)} = 0$ . However, the scheme will also work with the less restrictive initial condition that  $dd_R\chi^{(0)} = -d_R d\chi^{(0)} = 0$ , as they remark and as we remarked above. We will show that, under sufficiently generic conditions, the sum of the eigenfunctions of  $R$  satisfies this condition.

Note first that if  $X$  is an eigenvectorfield of  $R$  with eigenfunction  $\lambda$ , and if  $X'$  is an eigenvectorfield of  $R$  with eigenfunction  $\lambda'$ , then from the symmetry condition on  $R$

$$(\lambda - \lambda')\omega_0(X, X') = 0.$$

It follows that  $R$  can have at most  $n$  functionally independent eigenfunctions, where  $\dim M = 2n$ . We consider the case in which  $R$  has  $n$  functionally independent eigenfunctions, the maximum number, such that where the eigenvalues are distinct each is doubly degenerate. It follows from the vanishing of the torsion of  $R$  that if the eigenfunctions are  $\lambda_a$ ,  $a = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and  $X_a$  is any eigenvectorfield corresponding to  $\lambda_a$ , then

$$X_a(\lambda_b) = 0, \quad b \neq a.$$

It is clear from dimensional considerations that the 2-dimensional eigendistribution corresponding to  $\lambda_a$  must contain a 1-dimensional subspace  $\langle Y_a \rangle$  such that  $Y_a(\lambda_a) = 0$ ; and we may therefore choose a (local) basis of vector fields  $\{Y_a, Z_a \mid a = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that for each  $a$ ,  $\langle Y_a, Z_a \rangle$  is the eigendistribution corresponding to  $\lambda_a$ ,  $Y_a(\lambda_a) = 0$ , and  $Z_a(\lambda_a) = 1$ . Now set

$$\chi^{(0)} = \sum_{a=1}^n \lambda_a.$$

Then for any eigenvectorfield  $X_a$ ,

$$d_R \chi^{(0)}(X_a) = \sum_{b=1}^n d\lambda_b(R(X_a)) = \lambda_a X_a(\lambda_a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } X_a = Y_a \\ \lambda_a & \text{if } X_a = Z_a. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$d_R \chi^{(0)} = \sum_{a=1}^n \lambda_a d\lambda_a = \frac{1}{2} d \left( \sum_{a=1}^n \lambda_a^2 \right).$$

The sequence of functions generated in this case can, without essential loss of generality, be taken to be the sums of the powers of the eigenfunctions of  $R$ , or equivalently the traces of the powers of  $R$ . We therefore recover the result that the traces of the powers of the recursion tensor of a Poisson-Nijenhuis structure are in involution with respect to both Poisson brackets. (The sequence of functions generated by the scheme of Dimakis and Müller-Hoissen is in principle infinite, but of course only the first  $n$  elements of the sequence are functionally independent.)

We can also give a simple example of what Dimakis and Müller-Hoissen call a gauged bi-differential calculus. In a gauged bi-differential calculus the derivations  $d$  and  $\delta$  are replaced by operators

$$D_d = d + A, \quad D_\delta = \delta + B,$$

where in general  $A$  and  $B$  are square matrices of 1-forms and the operators act on square matrices of forms. The operators have to satisfy the conditions

$$D_d^2 = D_\delta^2 = 0, \quad D_d D_\delta + D_\delta D_d = 0.$$

In our example the operators act on functions and  $D_d = d$ ; however,

$$D_\delta = d_R + df,$$

where  $d_R$  is the derivation of type  $d$  and degree 1 associated with the type  $(1, 1)$  tensor  $R$  as before, and  $f$  is a function whose properties are to be specified. It is easy to see that  $D_d D_\delta + D_\delta D_d = 0$  follows from the fact that  $dd_R + d_R d = 0$ . If we assume that  $R$  has zero torsion, so that  $d_R^2 = 0$ , then the condition that  $D_\delta^2 = 0$  reduces to  $d_R df = 0$ . If  $f$  satisfies this condition then we have a graded bi-differential calculus.

Following Dimakis and Müller-Hoissen we now have a new scheme for inductively generating a sequence of functions  $\chi^{(m)}$ ,  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ :

$$d\chi^{(m+1)} = d_R \chi^{(m)} + \chi^{(m)} df.$$

(The original scheme is of course obtained by setting  $f = 0$ .) The consistency of this scheme follows from the general theory in [1], but can easily be demonstrated directly; we require that

$$d(d_R \chi^{(m)} + \chi^{(m)} df) = -d_R d\chi^{(m)} + d\chi^{(m)} \wedge df = 0;$$

for  $m > 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -d_R(d_R \chi^{(m-1)} + \chi^{(m-1)} df) + d\chi^{(m)} \wedge df \\ & = (d\chi^{(m)} - d_R \chi^{(m-1)}) \wedge df - \chi^{(m-1)} d_R df = -\chi^{(m-1)} d_R df = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We shall take for initial function  $\chi^{(0)} = 1$ : then  $\chi^{(1)} = f$ , apart from a constant which will be ignored. We now show that the functions so generated are in involution with

respect to both Poisson brackets. The rule for generating  $\chi^{(m+1)}$ , when expressed in terms of Poisson brackets, and with  $f$  replaced by  $\chi^{(1)}$ , is

$$\{\chi^{(m+1)}, \cdot\}_0 = \{\chi^{(m)}, \cdot\}_1 + \chi^{(m)}\{\chi^{(1)}, \cdot\}_0.$$

Assume that  $\{\chi^{(i)}, \chi^{(j)}\}_0 = \{\chi^{(i)}, \chi^{(j)}\}_1 = 0$  for all  $i, j$  with  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ : we show that the same is true with  $m+1$  in place of  $m$ . First, for  $1 \leq i \leq m$

$$\{\chi^{(m+1)}, \chi^{(i)}\}_0 = \{\chi^{(m)}, \chi^{(i)}\}_1 + \chi^{(m)}\{\chi^{(1)}, \chi^{(i)}\}_0 = 0.$$

Then

$$0 = \{\chi^{(i+1)}, \chi^{(m+1)}\}_0 = \{\chi^{(i)}, \chi^{(m+1)}\}_1 + \chi^{(i)}\{\chi^{(1)}, \chi^{(m+1)}\}_0,$$

whence  $\{\chi^{(i)}, \chi^{(m+1)}\}_1 = 0$ .

Suppose that we take  $f$  to be the sum of the eigenfunctions of  $R$ , as before. It can then be shown that the functions so generated are the elementary symmetric polynomials in the eigenfunctions of  $R$ ; and these functions are again in involution with respect to both Poisson brackets.

The construction just described appears in a recent paper by Ibort, Magri and Marmo [2], which is concerned with the so-called Gelfand-Zakharevich bi-Hamiltonian systems and their application to the problem of the separation of variables in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for Hamiltonians of mechanical type. The proof that the functions  $\chi^{(m)}$  are the elementary symmetric polynomials in the eigenfunctions of  $R$  may be found there.

A paper containing, among other things, a more detailed discussion of the issues raised above is being prepared by the present authors.

## References

- [1] A. DIMAKIS AND F. MÜLLER-HOISSEN. Bi-differential calculi and integrable models. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **33** (2000), 957–974.
- [2] A. IBORT, F. MAGRI AND G. MARMO. Bihamiltonian structures and Stäckel separability. Preprint: University Carlos III, Madrid (1999).