

FNRS FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS MEETING – 4 JULY 2025  
JOINT WORK WITH G. DEBRUYNE AND J. VINDAS

# THE ASYMMETRIC BEURLING– SELBERG EXTREMAL PROBLEM

Frederik Broucke — [fabrouck.broucke@ugent.be](mailto:fabrouck.broucke@ugent.be)

## BEST APPROXIMATION

$$E_{2\pi} = \{G \text{ entire of exponential type } \leq 2\pi, \text{ real-valued on } \mathbb{R}\}$$
$$|G(z)| \leq C_\varepsilon e^{(2\pi+\varepsilon)|z|}.$$

# BEST APPROXIMATION

$$E_{2\pi} = \{G \text{ entire of exponential type } \leq 2\pi, \text{ real-valued on } \mathbb{R}\} \\ |G(z)| \leq C_\varepsilon e^{(2\pi+\varepsilon)|z|}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{s} \in L^1_{loc}$ . Goal: minimize

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathfrak{s}(x) - G(x)| dx, \quad G \in E_{2\pi}.$$

# BEST APPROXIMATION

$$E_{2\pi} = \{G \text{ entire of exponential type } \leq 2\pi, \text{ real-valued on } \mathbb{R}\} \\ |G(z)| \leq C_\varepsilon e^{(2\pi+\varepsilon)|z|}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{s} \in L^1_{loc}$ . Goal: minimize

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathfrak{s}(x) - G(x)| dx, \quad G \in E_{2\pi}.$$

If  $\varphi = \hat{\mathfrak{s}}|_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-2\pi, 2\pi]} \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-2\pi, 2\pi])$ , then equivalent to finding minimal extrapolation  $\hat{f} \in A(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$\text{minimize } \|g\|_{L^1} \text{ among all } g \in L^1 \text{ with } \hat{g}|_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-2\pi, 2\pi]} = \varphi.$$

$\hat{g}$  minimal extrapolation  $\iff G = \mathfrak{s} - g$  best approximation.

$$\mathfrak{s}(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(x)$$

$$\mathfrak{s}(x) = \operatorname{sgn} x = \begin{cases} 1 & x > 0, \\ 0 & x = 0, \\ -1 & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\mathfrak{s}(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(x)$$

$$\mathfrak{s}(x) = \operatorname{sgn} x = \begin{cases} 1 & x > 0, \\ 0 & x = 0, \\ -1 & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

In this case, the solution can be found by interpolating  $\operatorname{sgn} x$ :

$$F(z) = \frac{\sin(2\pi z)}{2\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \frac{\operatorname{sgn} k}{z - k} - \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(k + 1/2)}{z - (k + 1/2)} \right).$$

$$\mathfrak{s}(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(x)$$

$$\mathfrak{s}(x) = \operatorname{sgn} x = \begin{cases} 1 & x > 0, \\ 0 & x = 0, \\ -1 & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

In this case, the solution can be found by interpolating  $\operatorname{sgn} x$ :

$$F(z) = \frac{\sin(2\pi z)}{2\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \frac{\operatorname{sgn} k}{z - k} - \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(k + 1/2)}{z - (k + 1/2)} \right).$$

### Theorem

If  $G \in E_{2\pi}$ , then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\operatorname{sgn} x - G(x)| \, dx \geq 1/2,$$

with equality if and only if  $G = F$ .

## APPLICATION

Theorem (finite form Ingham–Karamata, Debruyne, Vindas, 2018)

Let  $\tau : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable with  $|\tau'(x)| \leq M$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}\{\tau; s\} = \int_0^\infty \tau(x)e^{-sx} dx$  converges for  $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$  and admits continuous extension to a segment  $[-i\lambda, i\lambda]$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ . Then

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(x)| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{M}{\lambda}.$$



## APPLICATION

Theorem (finite form Ingham–Karamata, Debruyne, Vindas, 2018)

Let  $\tau : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable with  $|\tau'(x)| \leq M$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}\{\tau; s\} = \int_0^\infty \tau(x)e^{-sx} dx$  converges for  $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$  and admits continuous extension to a segment  $[-i\lambda, i\lambda]$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ . Then

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(x)| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{M}{\lambda}.$$

Proof sketch:

W.l.o.g.  $\lambda = 2\pi$ .

$$\begin{aligned} 2\tau(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau(x+y) d\operatorname{sgn} y \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau(x+y) d(\operatorname{sgn} y - F(y)) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau(x+y) F'(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

## PROOF SKETCH CONTINUED

Integrating by parts, first term is

$$- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau'(x+y)(\operatorname{sgn} y - F(y)) \, dy, \quad |\dots| \leq \frac{M}{2}.$$

## PROOF SKETCH CONTINUED

Integrating by parts, first term is

$$- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau'(x+y)(\operatorname{sgn} y - F(y)) \, dy, \quad |\dots| \leq \frac{M}{2}.$$

Second term, change variables and consider for  $\sigma > 0$ :

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau(y) e^{-\sigma y} F'(y-x) \, dy = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}\{\tau, \sigma + it\} \widehat{F}'(t) e^{-ixt} \, dt.$$

## PROOF SKETCH CONTINUED

Integrating by parts, first term is

$$- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau'(x+y)(\operatorname{sgn} y - F(y)) \, dy, \quad |\dots| \leq \frac{M}{2}.$$

Second term, change variables and consider for  $\sigma > 0$ :

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau(y) e^{-\sigma y} F'(y-x) \, dy = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}\{\tau, \sigma + it\} \widehat{F}'(t) e^{-ixt} \, dt.$$

We have  $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{F}' \subseteq [-2\pi, 2\pi]$ , so letting  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ :

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau(y) F'(y-x) \, dy = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-2\pi}^{2\pi} \widehat{\tau}(t) \widehat{F}'(t) e^{-ixt} \, dt.$$

## PROOF SKETCH CONTINUED

Integrating by parts, first term is

$$- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau'(x+y)(\operatorname{sgn} y - F(y)) \, dy, \quad |\dots| \leq \frac{M}{2}.$$

Second term, change variables and consider for  $\sigma > 0$ :

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau(y) e^{-\sigma y} F'(y-x) \, dy = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}\{\tau, \sigma + it\} \widehat{F}'(t) e^{-ixt} \, dt.$$

We have  $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{F}' \subseteq [-2\pi, 2\pi]$ , so letting  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ :

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau(y) F'(y-x) \, dy = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-2\pi}^{2\pi} \widehat{\tau}(t) \widehat{F}'(t) e^{-ixt} \, dt.$$

By Riemann–Lebesgue, the above  $\rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

# BEURLING–SELBERG PROBLEM

Let  $\mathfrak{s} \in L^1_{loc}$ . Goal: minimize

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (G(x) - \mathfrak{s}(x)) \, dx, \quad G \in E_{2\pi}, \quad \mathfrak{s}(x) \leq G(x), \forall x.$$

# BEURLING–SELBERG PROBLEM

Let  $\mathfrak{s} \in L^1_{loc}$ . Goal: minimize

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (G(x) - \mathfrak{s}(x)) \, dx, \quad G \in E_{2\pi}, \quad \mathfrak{s}(x) \leq G(x), \forall x.$$

Solved by Beurling for  $\mathfrak{s}(x) = \operatorname{sgn} x$  in late 1930's,

“Popularized” by Selberg in 1970's, when  $\mathfrak{s} = \chi_{[a,b]}$  in connection with large sieve.

# BEURLING–SELBERG PROBLEM

Let  $\mathfrak{s} \in L^1_{loc}$ . Goal: minimize

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (G(x) - \mathfrak{s}(x)) \, dx, \quad G \in E_{2\pi}, \quad \mathfrak{s}(x) \leq G(x), \forall x.$$

Solved by Beurling for  $\mathfrak{s}(x) = \operatorname{sgn} x$  in late 1930's,

“Popularized” by Selberg in 1970's, when  $\mathfrak{s} = \chi_{[a,b]}$  in connection with large sieve.

Interpolating  $\operatorname{sgn} x$  and the derivative:

$$B(z) = \left( \frac{\sin(\pi z)}{\pi} \right)^2 \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{(z - n)^2} - \sum_{n < 0} \frac{1}{(z - n)^2} + \frac{2}{z} \right).$$



# BEURLING'S FUNCTION

## Theorem (Beurling)

*If  $G \in E_{2\pi}$  with  $G(x) \geq \operatorname{sgn} x$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (G(x) - \operatorname{sgn} x) \, dx \geq 1,$$

*with equality if and only if  $G = B$ .*

# BEURLING'S FUNCTION

## Theorem (Beurling)

*If  $G \in E_{2\pi}$  with  $G(x) \geq \operatorname{sgn} x$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (G(x) - \operatorname{sgn} x) dx \geq 1,$$

*with equality if and only if  $G = B$ .*

## Theorem (one-sided finite form Ingham–Karamata, Debruyne, Vindas, 2018)

*Let  $\tau : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable with  $\tau'(x) \leq M$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}\{\tau; s\} = \int_0^\infty \tau(x)e^{-sx} dx$  converges for  $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$  and admits continuous extension to a segment  $[-i\lambda, i\lambda]$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ . Then*

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(x)| \leq \pi \cdot \frac{M}{\lambda}.$$

# APPLICATION: MEAN VALUE THEOREM

## Theorem

Let  $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|\lambda_n - \lambda_m| \geq \delta$  if  $n \neq m$ . Then

$$\int_{T_0}^{T_0+T} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n e^{i\lambda_n t} \right|^2 dt = \left( T + \vartheta \frac{2\pi}{\delta} \right) \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2,$$

for some  $\vartheta \in [-1, 1]$ .

## PROOF MVT

Set

$$\varphi_{\delta}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ B\left(\frac{\delta}{2\pi}(t - T_0)\right) + B\left(\frac{\delta}{2\pi}(T_0 + T - t)\right) \right\}.$$

Then  $\varphi_{\delta}(t) \geq \chi(t)$ ,  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_{\delta}(t) dt = T + \frac{2\pi}{\delta}$ , and  $\text{supp } \widehat{\varphi_{\delta}} \subseteq [-\delta, \delta]$ .

## PROOF MVT

Set

$$\varphi_\delta(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ B\left(\frac{\delta}{2\pi}(t - T_0)\right) + B\left(\frac{\delta}{2\pi}(T_0 + T - t)\right) \right\}.$$

Then  $\varphi_\delta(t) \geq \chi(t)$ ,  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_\delta(t) dt = T + \frac{2\pi}{\delta}$ , and  $\text{supp } \widehat{\varphi}_\delta \subseteq [-\delta, \delta]$ .

$$\int_{T_0}^{T_0+T} |f(t)|^2 dt \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_\delta(t) |f(t)|^2 dt = \sum_{n,m} a_n \overline{a_m} \widehat{\varphi}_\delta(\lambda_m - \lambda_n).$$

Here,  $\widehat{\varphi}_\delta(\lambda_m - \lambda_n) = 0$  if  $n \neq m$ .

# PROOF MVT

Set

$$\varphi_{\delta}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ B\left(\frac{\delta}{2\pi}(t - T_0)\right) + B\left(\frac{\delta}{2\pi}(T_0 + T - t)\right) \right\}.$$

Then  $\varphi_{\delta}(t) \geq \chi(t)$ ,  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_{\delta}(t) dt = T + \frac{2\pi}{\delta}$ , and  $\text{supp } \widehat{\varphi}_{\delta} \subseteq [-\delta, \delta]$ .

$$\int_{T_0}^{T_0+T} |f(t)|^2 dt \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_{\delta}(t) |f(t)|^2 dt = \sum_{n,m} a_n \overline{a_m} \widehat{\varphi}_{\delta}(\lambda_m - \lambda_n).$$

Here,  $\widehat{\varphi}_{\delta}(\lambda_m - \lambda_n) = 0$  if  $n \neq m$ . To prove the reverse inequality, use the optimal minorant  $B_{-}(x)$ .

# FURTHER APPLICATIONS

Properties of  $B$  can be applied to obtain:

- Bohr's inequality
- Hilbert's inequality
- Erdős–Turán inequality
- Large sieve inequality
- Berry–Esseen inequality

## FURTHER APPLICATIONS

Properties of  $B$  can be applied to obtain:

- Bohr's inequality
- Hilbert's inequality
- Erdős–Turán inequality
- Large sieve inequality
- Berry–Esseen inequality

Solutions for other  $\mathfrak{s}(x)$ : applied in estimates for Riemann zeta  $\zeta(s)$ .



# ASYMMETRIC BEURLING–SELBERG

Let  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ . For  $g \in L^1$ , set

$$\mathcal{I}_\eta(g) = (1 - \eta) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_+(x) \, dx + \eta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_-(x) \, dx.$$

Here  $g_+(x) = \max\{g(x), 0\}$ ,  $g_-(x) = \max\{-g(x), 0\}$ .

# ASYMMETRIC BEURLING–SELBERG

Let  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ . For  $g \in L^1$ , set

$$\mathcal{I}_\eta(g) = (1 - \eta) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_+(x) \, dx + \eta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_-(x) \, dx.$$

Here  $g_+(x) = \max\{g(x), 0\}$ ,  $g_-(x) = \max\{-g(x), 0\}$ .

Let again  $s \in L^1_{loc}$ . We call the *asymmetric Beurling–Selberg problem* for  $s(x)$  the problem of minimizing  $\mathcal{I}_\eta(s - G)$  among  $G \in E_{2\pi}$ .

# ASYMMETRIC BEURLING–SELBERG

Let  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ . For  $g \in L^1$ , set

$$\mathcal{I}_\eta(g) = (1 - \eta) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_+(x) \, dx + \eta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_-(x) \, dx.$$

Here  $g_+(x) = \max\{g(x), 0\}$ ,  $g_-(x) = \max\{-g(x), 0\}$ .

Let again  $s \in L^1_{loc}$ . We call the *asymmetric Beurling–Selberg problem* for  $s(x)$  the problem of minimizing  $\mathcal{I}_\eta(s - G)$  among  $G \in E_{2\pi}$ .

Case  $\eta = 1/2$  corresponds to best approximation problem.

Limits  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  or  $1$  correspond to majorants or minorants (Beurling–Selberg problem)?

# GENERALITIES

Suppose there exists  $G \in E_{2\pi} \cap \mathcal{S}'$  with  $\mathfrak{s} - G \in L^1$ . Then

$$\varphi := \hat{\mathfrak{s}}|_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-2\pi, 2\pi]} \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-2\pi, 2\pi]).$$

# GENERALITIES

Suppose there exists  $G \in E_{2\pi} \cap \mathcal{S}'$  with  $\mathfrak{s} - G \in L^1$ . Then

$$\varphi := \hat{\mathfrak{s}}|_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-2\pi, 2\pi]} \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-2\pi, 2\pi]).$$

Equivalent problem: given  $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-2\pi, 2\pi])$ , minimize  $\mathcal{I}_\eta(g)$  among all  $g \in L^1$  with  $\hat{g} = \varphi$  on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus [-2\pi, 2\pi]$  ( $g = \mathfrak{s} - G$ ).

# GENERALITIES

Suppose there exists  $G \in E_{2\pi} \cap \mathcal{S}'$  with  $\mathfrak{s} - G \in L^1$ . Then

$$\varphi := \hat{\mathfrak{s}}|_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-2\pi, 2\pi]} \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-2\pi, 2\pi]).$$

Equivalent problem: given  $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-2\pi, 2\pi])$ , minimize  $\mathcal{I}_\eta(g)$  among all  $g \in L^1$  with  $\hat{g} = \varphi$  on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus [-2\pi, 2\pi]$  ( $g = \mathfrak{s} - G$ ).

Using compactness argument:

**Proposition (B., Debruyne, Vindas, 2025)**

*Let  $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-2\pi, 2\pi])$ . If there exists an extrapolation  $\hat{g}$ , then there exists an  $\eta$ -minimal extrapolation.*

## DUAL PROBLEM

For  $h \in L^\infty$ , set

$$\mathcal{I}_\eta^*(h) := \sup_{\substack{g \in L^1 \\ \mathcal{I}_\eta(g)=1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)h(x) \, dx = \max \left\{ \frac{\|h_+\|_\infty}{1-\eta}, \frac{\|h_-\|_\infty}{\eta} \right\}.$$

## DUAL PROBLEM

For  $h \in L^\infty$ , set

$$\mathcal{I}_\eta^*(h) := \sup_{\substack{g \in L^1 \\ \mathcal{I}_\eta(g)=1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)h(x) \, dx = \max \left\{ \frac{\|h_+\|_\infty}{1-\eta}, \frac{\|h_-\|_\infty}{\eta} \right\}.$$

Using Hahn–Banach:

Proposition (B., Debruyne, Vindas, 2025)

*Let  $f \in L^1$ . Then  $\widehat{f}$  is an  $\eta$ -minimal extrapolation of itself (i.e. of  $\widehat{f}|_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-2\pi, 2\pi]}$ ) if and only if there is  $\chi_0 \in L^\infty$  with*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_\eta^*(\chi_0) &= 1, \quad \text{supp } \widehat{\chi_0} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus (-2\pi, 2\pi), \\ \mathcal{I}_\eta(f) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)\chi_0(x) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$



# DUAL PROBLEM

Theorem (B., Debruyne, Vindas, 2025)

Let  $f \in L^1$ . Then

$$\min_{\substack{g \in L^1 \\ \hat{g}|_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-2\pi, 2\pi]} = \hat{f}|_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-2\pi, 2\pi]}}} \mathcal{I}_\eta(g) = \max_{\substack{\mathcal{I}_\eta^*(\chi_0) = 1 \\ \text{supp } \widehat{\chi_0} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus (-2\pi, 2\pi)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \chi_0(x) dx.$$

## OBSERVATIONS

If  $f$  only vanishes on a null set, then  $\mathcal{I}_\eta^*(\chi_0) = 1$  and  $\mathcal{I}_\eta(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)\chi_0(x) \, dx$  forces

$$\chi_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \eta & \text{if } f(x) > 0, \\ -\eta & \text{if } f(x) < 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{for almost every } x.$$

## OBSERVATIONS

If  $f$  only vanishes on a null set, then  $\mathcal{I}_\eta^*(\chi_0) = 1$  and  $\mathcal{I}_\eta(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)\chi_0(x) \, dx$  forces

$$\chi_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \eta & \text{if } f(x) > 0, \\ -\eta & \text{if } f(x) < 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{for almost every } x.$$

In this case,  $f$  is an  $\eta$ -minimal extrapolation  
 $\iff \operatorname{supp} \widehat{\chi_0} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus (-2\pi, 2\pi).$

## OBSERVATIONS

If  $f$  only vanishes on a null set, then  $\mathcal{I}_\eta^*(\chi_0) = 1$  and  $\mathcal{I}_\eta(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)\chi_0(x) dx$  forces

$$\chi_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \eta & \text{if } f(x) > 0, \\ -\eta & \text{if } f(x) < 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{for almost every } x.$$

In this case,  $f$  is an  $\eta$ -minimal extrapolation

$$\iff \operatorname{supp} \widehat{\chi_0} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus (-2\pi, 2\pi).$$

If  $\chi_0(x)$  is 1-periodic with zero mean, then  $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{\chi_0} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus (-2\pi, 2\pi)$ .

## GENERAL ANSATZ

Let  $\mathfrak{s} \in L^1_{loc}$ . We want to find the minimizer of  $\mathcal{I}_\eta(\mathfrak{s} - G)$  among  $G \in E_{2\pi}$ .

- Consider the dual problem. Anticipate that a solution is given by a translate of

$$\chi_\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \eta & \text{if } x \in [0, \eta) + \mathbb{Z}, \\ -\eta & \text{if } x \in [\eta, 1) + \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{I}_\eta^*(\chi_\eta) = 1$  and  $\text{supp } \widehat{\chi_\eta} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus (-2\pi, 2\pi)$ .

## GENERAL ANSATZ

Let  $\mathfrak{s} \in L^1_{loc}$ . We want to find the minimizer of  $\mathcal{I}_\eta(\mathfrak{s} - G)$  among  $G \in E_{2\pi}$ .

- Consider the dual problem. Anticipate that a solution is given by a translate of

$$\chi_\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \eta & \text{if } x \in [0, \eta) + \mathbb{Z}, \\ -\eta & \text{if } x \in [\eta, 1) + \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{I}_\eta^*(\chi_\eta) = 1$  and  $\text{supp } \widehat{\chi_\eta} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus (-2\pi, 2\pi)$ .

- Maximize

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_\eta(x+t) \mathfrak{s}(x) \, dx.$$

Suppose maximum is attained for  $t = t_0$ .

## GENERAL ANSATZ

Let  $\mathfrak{s} \in L^1_{loc}$ . We want to find the minimizer of  $\mathcal{I}_\eta(\mathfrak{s} - G)$  among  $G \in E_{2\pi}$ .

- Consider the dual problem. Anticipate that a solution is given by a translate of

$$\chi_\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \eta & \text{if } x \in [0, \eta) + \mathbb{Z}, \\ -\eta & \text{if } x \in [\eta, 1) + \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{I}_\eta^*(\chi_\eta) = 1$  and  $\text{supp } \widehat{\chi_\eta} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus (-2\pi, 2\pi)$ .

- Maximize

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_\eta(x+t) \mathfrak{s}(x) \, dx.$$

Suppose maximum is attained for  $t = t_0$ .

- Construct  $F \in E_{2\pi}$  by interpolating  $\mathfrak{s}$  at the points  $-t_0 + \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $-t_0 + \eta + \mathbb{Z}$ .

## GENERAL ANSATZ

Let  $\mathfrak{s} \in L^1_{loc}$ . We want to find the minimizer of  $\mathcal{I}_\eta(\mathfrak{s} - G)$  among  $G \in E_{2\pi}$ .

- Consider the dual problem. Anticipate that a solution is given by a translate of

$$\chi_\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \eta & \text{if } x \in [0, \eta) + \mathbb{Z}, \\ -\eta & \text{if } x \in [\eta, 1) + \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{I}_\eta^*(\chi_\eta) = 1$  and  $\text{supp } \widehat{\chi_\eta} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus (-2\pi, 2\pi)$ .

- Maximize

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_\eta(x+t) \mathfrak{s}(x) \, dx.$$

Suppose maximum is attained for  $t = t_0$ .

- Construct  $F \in E_{2\pi}$  by interpolating  $\mathfrak{s}$  at the points  $-t_0 + \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $-t_0 + \eta + \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Verify that a.e.

$$\mathfrak{s}(x) - F(x) \begin{cases} > 0 & \text{if } x \in (-t_0, -t_0 + \eta) + \mathbb{Z}, \\ < 0 & \text{if } x \in (-t_0 + \eta, -t_0 + 1) + \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$



## SOLUTION FOR $\mathfrak{s}(x) = \operatorname{sgn} x$

Following the above steps, we maximize

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{\eta}(x+t) \operatorname{sgn} x \, dx = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{\eta}^{(-1)}(x+t) \, d\operatorname{sgn} x = -2\chi_{\eta}^{(-1)}(t).$$

Here,  $\chi_{\eta}^{(-1)}$  is the primitive with mean zero. The maximum is attained at  $t = t_0 = 0$ .

## SOLUTION FOR $\mathfrak{s}(x) = \operatorname{sgn} x$

Following the above steps, we maximize

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{\eta}(x+t) \operatorname{sgn} x \, dx = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{\eta}^{(-1)}(x+t) \, d\operatorname{sgn} x = -2\chi_{\eta}^{(-1)}(t).$$

Here,  $\chi_{\eta}^{(-1)}$  is the primitive with mean zero. The maximum is attained at  $t = t_0 = 0$ . Hence we set

$$S_{0,\eta}(x) = -\frac{\sin(\pi x) \sin(\pi(x-\eta))}{\pi \sin(\pi \eta)},$$

$$F_{\eta}(z) = S_{0,\eta}(z) \left( \sum_{k \neq 0} \left( \frac{\operatorname{sgn} k}{z-k} - \frac{\operatorname{sgn} k}{z-(k+\eta)} \right) + \frac{1-2\eta}{z} - \frac{1}{z-\eta} \right).$$

## SOLUTION FOR $\mathfrak{s}(x) = \operatorname{sgn} x$

Following the above steps, we maximize

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{\eta}(x+t) \operatorname{sgn} x \, dx = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{\eta}^{(-1)}(x+t) \, d\operatorname{sgn} x = -2\chi_{\eta}^{(-1)}(t).$$

Here,  $\chi_{\eta}^{(-1)}$  is the primitive with mean zero. The maximum is attained at  $t = t_0 = 0$ . Hence we set

$$S_{0,\eta}(x) = -\frac{\sin(\pi x) \sin(\pi(x-\eta))}{\pi \sin(\pi \eta)},$$

$$F_{\eta}(z) = S_{0,\eta}(z) \left( \sum_{k \neq 0} \left( \frac{\operatorname{sgn} k}{z-k} - \frac{\operatorname{sgn} k}{z-(k+\eta)} \right) + \frac{1-2\eta}{z} - \frac{1}{z-\eta} \right).$$

Via Euler–Maclaurin summation, one may check that  $\operatorname{sgn} x - F_{\eta}(x)$  displays the correct sign pattern.

# UNIQUENESS

For  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a - b \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , we set  $S_{a,b}(x)$  trig polynomial vanishing at  $a + \mathbb{Z}, b + \mathbb{Z}$ , with derivative  $+1$  resp.  $-1$ :

$$S_{a,b}(x) = -\frac{\sin(\pi(x-a))\sin(\pi(x-b))}{\pi\sin(\pi(b-a))}.$$

# UNIQUENESS

For  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a - b \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , we set  $S_{a,b}(x)$  trig polynomial vanishing at  $a + \mathbb{Z}, b + \mathbb{Z}$ , with derivative  $+1$  resp.  $-1$ :

$$S_{a,b}(x) = -\frac{\sin(\pi(x-a)) \sin(\pi(x-b))}{\pi \sin(\pi(b-a))}.$$

## Theorem

If  $G \in E_{2\pi}$  with  $G|_{\mathbb{R}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$G(z) = S_{a,b}(z) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \frac{G(a+k)}{z - (a+k)} - \frac{G(b+k)}{z - (b+k)} \right).$$

# ASYMMETRIC IK

Theorem (Asymmetric finite form of Ingham–Karamata, B., Debruyne, Vindas, 2025)

Let  $\tau : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable with  $-N \leq \tau'(x) \leq M$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}\{\tau; s\} = \int_0^\infty \tau(x)e^{-sx} dx$  converges for  $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$  and admits continuous extension to a segment  $[-i\lambda, i\lambda]$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ . Then

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(x)| \leq \pi \cdot \frac{MN}{\lambda(M+N)}.$$

Moreover, the above constant is sharp.

# ASYMMETRIC IK

Theorem (Asymmetric finite form of Ingham–Karamata, B., Debruyne, Vindas, 2025)

*Let  $\tau : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable with  $-N \leq \tau'(x) \leq M$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}\{\tau; s\} = \int_0^\infty \tau(x)e^{-sx} dx$  converges for  $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$  and admits continuous extension to a segment  $[-i\lambda, i\lambda]$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ . Then*

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(x)| \leq \pi \cdot \frac{MN}{\lambda(M+N)}.$$

*Moreover, the above constant is sharp.*

The proof is as before, now utilizing  $F_\eta(x)$  with  $\eta = \frac{M}{M+N}$ .

# ASYMMETRIC IK

Theorem (Asymmetric finite form of Ingham–Karamata, B., Debruyne, Vindas, 2025)

Let  $\tau : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable with  $-N \leq \tau'(x) \leq M$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}\{\tau; s\} = \int_0^\infty \tau(x)e^{-sx} dx$  converges for  $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$  and admits continuous extension to a segment  $[-i\lambda, i\lambda]$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ . Then

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(x)| \leq \pi \cdot \frac{MN}{\lambda(M+N)}.$$

Moreover, the above constant is sharp.

The proof is as before, now utilizing  $F_\eta(x)$  with  $\eta = \frac{M}{M+N}$ .

Note that we recover the symmetric and one-sided forms by taking  $N = M$  and  $N \rightarrow \infty$  respectively ( $\eta = 1/2$  and  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ ).



## THE SIGNED POWERS

We also consider  $\mathfrak{s}(x) = x^n \operatorname{sgn} x / n!$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Same ansatz: maximize

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{\eta}(x+t) \frac{x^n \operatorname{sgn} x}{n!} dx.$$

## THE SIGNED POWERS

We also consider  $\mathfrak{s}(x) = x^n \operatorname{sgn} x / n!$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Same ansatz: maximize

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{\eta}(x+t) \frac{x^n \operatorname{sgn} x}{n!} dx.$$

The maximum is attained at  $t_0 = \frac{1+\eta}{2}$  if  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $t_0 = \frac{\eta}{2}$  if  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . In case  $n > 0$  even, the maximum is attained at a certain point related to Bernoulli functions, but having no explicit expression.

## THE SIGNED POWERS

We also consider  $\mathfrak{s}(x) = x^n \operatorname{sgn} x / n!$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Same ansatz: maximize

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{\eta}(x+t) \frac{x^n \operatorname{sgn} x}{n!} dx.$$

The maximum is attained at  $t_0 = \frac{1+\eta}{2}$  if  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $t_0 = \frac{\eta}{2}$  if  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . In case  $n > 0$  even, the maximum is attained at a certain point related to Bernoulli functions, but having no explicit expression.

The solution is given by interpolating  $\mathfrak{s}(x)$  at the points  $-t_0 + \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $-t_0 + \eta + \mathbb{Z}$ , and by prescribing the correct derivatives at  $z = 0$ :

$$F_{\eta,n}(z) = S_{-t_0, -t_0+\eta}(z) \frac{z^n}{n!} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(k-t_0)}{z-(k-t_0)} - \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(k+\eta-t_0)}{z-(k+\eta-t_0)} \right) + \frac{a_1}{z} + \cdots + \frac{a_n}{z^n} \right).$$

# ASYMMETRIC IK

## Theorem

Let  $\tau : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $n$  times differentiable with  $-N \leq \tau^{(n)}(x) \leq M$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}\{\tau; s\} = \int_0^\infty \tau(x)e^{-sx} dx$  converges for  $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$  and admits continuous extension to a segment  $[-i\lambda, i\lambda]$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ . Then there are sharp constants  $c_n(M, N)$ ,  $C_n(M, N)$  so that

$$-\frac{c_n(M, N)}{\lambda^n} \leq \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \tau(x) \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \tau(x) \leq \frac{C_n(M, N)}{\lambda^n}.$$

# ASYMMETRIC IK

## Theorem

Let  $\tau : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $n$  times differentiable with  $-N \leq \tau^{(n)}(x) \leq M$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}\{\tau; s\} = \int_0^\infty \tau(x)e^{-sx} dx$  converges for  $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$  and admits continuous extension to a segment  $[-i\lambda, i\lambda]$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ . Then there are sharp constants  $c_n(M, N)$ ,  $C_n(M, N)$  so that

$$-\frac{c_n(M, N)}{\lambda^n} \leq \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \tau(x) \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \tau(x) \leq \frac{C_n(M, N)}{\lambda^n}.$$

One always has  $c_n(M, N) = C_n(N, M)$ .

If  $n$  is even, then  $c_n(M, N) = C_n(M, N)$

## THE LIMIT CASE

It holds that

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} F_{\eta,0}(z) = B(z).$$

# THE LIMIT CASE

It holds that

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} F_{\eta,0}(z) = B(z).$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} F_{\eta,n}(z) = B_n(z),$$

where  $B_n(z)$  is the optimal majorant of  $x^n \operatorname{sgn} x / n!$ , first found by Littmann (2006).

## REFERENCES

- J. D. Vaaler, *Some extremal functions in Fourier analysis*, Bull. AMS **12** (1985), 183–216.
- H. S. Shapiro, *Topics in approximation theory*, Lecture notes in mathematics, vol 187, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- E. Carneiro, *A survey on Beurling-Selberg majorants and some consequences of the Riemann hypothesis*, Matemática Contemporânea **40** (2011), 149–172.



## REFERENCES

- J. D. Vaaler, *Some extremal functions in Fourier analysis*, Bull. AMS **12** (1985), 183–216.
- H. S. Shapiro, *Topics in approximation theory*, Lecture notes in mathematics, vol 187, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- E. Carneiro, *A survey on Beurling-Selberg majorants and some consequences of the Riemann hypothesis*, Matemática Contemporânea **40** (2011), 149–172.
- F. Broucke, G. Debruyne, J. Vindas, *The asymmetric Beurling–Selberg extremal problem*, preprint coming soon (hopefully).

# QUESTIONS?