

Some characterizations of Moufang generalized quadrangles

Fabienne Haot

Hendrik Van Maldeghem

Abstract

We prove the longstanding conjecture that the 3-Moufang condition for generalized quadrangles is equivalent to the Moufang condition. We mention some other characterizations of Moufang quadrangles that follow from this result. We also provide a short proof of Tent's recent result that every half Moufang quadrangle is necessarily a Moufang quadrangle.

Keywords: Moufang condition, generalized quadrangle, root groups.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 51E12

1 Introduction

Generalized quadrangles were introduced by Tits [12] as the natural incidence geometries related to the classical, algebraic and mixed groups of relative type B_2 . If we call these the *natural examples*, then Tits [13] conjectured that the only generalized quadrangles satisfying the so-called Moufang condition (see below for precise definitions) are the natural examples. In the finite case, this conjecture was known to be true by group-theoretic work of Fong & Seitz [5, 6]. A lot of alternative conditions have been established in the finite case to characterize the natural examples. Almost all of them were proved by reducing the conditions to the Moufang condition. This was done by applying some typical finiteness arguments (for instance, counting and finite group theory).

In the general case, the classification of all Moufang quadrangles was technically only finished in 1997, when a new and final class was discovered. The classification and its proof recently appeared in the monograph [16] by Tits & Weiss. Hence people want to know whether the characterization theorems of the finite case can be extended to the general case. There are four main global characterizations of all finite Moufang quadrangles that can be considered here. We quickly review the conditions.

1. *The automorphism group of the generalized quadrangle acts flag-transitively, the stabilizer B of a flag F acts transitively on the ordinary quadrangles containing F , and it contains a transitive normal nilpotent subgroup U .*

Such groups are usually called *groups with a split BN-pair of type B_2* . In the finite case, they were classified by Fong & Seitz [5, 6]. In the general case, Tent & Van Maldeghem [8] show that this condition implies the Moufang condition. The converse was already known to be true, see Tits [15].

2. *The half Moufang condition.*

In the finite case, Thas, Payne & Van Maldeghem [10] showed that this condition implies the Moufang condition. In the general case, Tent constructed a proof of this fact using a certain lemma. This lemma turned out to be wrong when Weiss found a counterexample (private communication; we will refer to that lemma below as the “wrong lemma”). However, the second author could repair Tent’s proof using an alternative argument (and this is contained in [7]). In fact, all that is needed to prove the general case is that argument and one observation by Tent. We show how this can be done at the end of the paper.

3. *The k -Moufang condition, $k \in \{2, 3\}$.*

In the finite case, Van Maldeghem, Thas & Payne [18] showed that 3-Moufang implies Moufang (for generalized quadrangles). Later on, the second author of the present paper [17] showed that the 2-Moufang condition is equivalent with the 3-Moufang condition for all generalized quadrangles. In the present paper, we will show that the 3-Moufang condition implies the Moufang condition for (not necessarily finite) generalized quadrangles.

For $k = 2$, there is also the notion of a half 2-Moufang condition. In the finite case, it has recently been proved by Thas & Van Maldeghem [11] that finite half 2-Moufang quadrangles are automatically Moufang. In the infinite case, this is still open. The proof in the finite case again heavily relies on finiteness techniques.

4. *The automorphism group of the generalized quadrangle acts distance-transitively on the point set of the quadrangle.*

In the finite case, this condition implies the Moufang condition, as has been showed by Buekenhout & Van Maldeghem [2] using the classification of finite simple groups, in particular the classification of primitive rank 3 groups. This result implies all previous characterizations in the finite case. There is no hope for a prove in the general case as there are free constructions of quadrangles with an automorphism group acting transitively on ordered ordinary quadrangles starting with a point, see [14] (cp. also 4.7.1 of [17]). In fact, the proof in the finite case does not give any information or insight.

As mentioned above, in this paper we will show that the 3-Moufang condition implies the Moufang condition for an arbitrary generalized quadrangle. This problem was open since 1992. We will also mention some corollaries, and give a short proof of the implication half Moufang to Moufang.

Finally, to conclude this introduction, we mention that all notions can be put in the general framework of generalized polygons. The situation there is, however, not so satisfying as in the case of quadrangles, although in the finite case, most characterizations can be generalized from quadrangles to polygons. In the infinite case there are only some sporadic and partial results.

2 Definitions, Notation and Results

A *generalized quadrangle of order* (s, t) , $s, t \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ is an incidence structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \mathbf{I})$ in which \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{B} are disjoint (non-empty) sets of objects called points and lines respectively, and for which \mathbf{I} is a symmetric point-line incidence relation satisfying the following properties:

- (i) Each point is incident with $t + 1$ lines ($t \geq 1$) and two distinct points are incident with at most one line.
- (ii) Each line is incident with $s + 1$ points ($s \geq 1$) and two distinct lines are incident with at most one point.
- (iii) If (x, L) is a non-incident point-line pair then there is a unique point-line pair (y, M) for which $xIMyIL$.

If $s, t \geq 2$ we call our geometry thick. Non-thick generalized quadrangles are rather trivial geometries, called *grids* and *dual grids*. From now on, we only consider thick generalized quadrangles.

We first remark that for generalized quadrangles we have the principle of *duality*. That means that, if we interchange the roles of the point set and the line set in a theorem, we obtain another theorem (which may or may not be different from the original theorem).

We will use the following terminology and notation. Let $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \mathbf{I})$ be a thick generalized quadrangle. In Condition (iii) the point y and the line M are called the *projection* of x onto L and of L onto x , respectively, and denoted by $\text{proj}_L x$ and $\text{proj}_x L$, respectively. Two points x, y incident with a common line are *collinear*, and two lines L, M incident with a common point are *concurrent*. We denote $x \perp y$ and $L \perp M$. If $x \neq y$, then the line incident with them is unique and denoted by xy ; similarly if $L \neq M$, then $L \cap M$ is the unique point incident with both. Two points or two lines which are not collinear

or concurrent, respectively, are called *opposite*. If S is a set of points or lines, then S^\perp denotes the set of points or lines collinear or concurrent with every point or line of S , respectively. A k -path, $k \geq 0$, is a sequence (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) of points and lines of \mathcal{S} such that $x_{i-1} \perp x_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, and such that $x_{i-1} \neq x_{i+1}$, $1 \leq i < k$. An incident point-line pair is a *flag*. A *subquadrangle* \mathcal{S}' of \mathcal{S} consists of a point set $\mathcal{P}' \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and a line set $\mathcal{L}' \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ such that, if we denote by \mathbf{I}' the restriction of \mathbf{I} to $\mathcal{P}' \cup \mathcal{L}'$, the structure $\mathcal{S}' = (\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}', \mathbf{I}')$ is a generalized quadrangle. The subquadrangle \mathcal{S}' is called *full (ideal)* if for every line $L' \in \mathcal{L}'$ (point $x' \in \mathcal{P}'$) all points (lines) of \mathcal{S} incident with L' (x') belong to \mathcal{S}' . An *apartment* is a set of 4 points and 4 lines which form a subquadrangle of order $(1, 1)$.

A *collineation* of \mathcal{S} is a permutation of $\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{L}$ inducing permutations of \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{L} , and preserving incidence and non-incidence. We denote by G a collineation group of \mathcal{S} . With regard to permutation groups, we always use right action and exponential notation (so the image of an element x under the collineation u is written as x^u). The commutator $[u, v]$ of two collineations is $u^{-1}v^{-1}uv$ and acts on x as $x^{[u,v]} = (((x^{u^{-1}})^{v^{-1}})^u)^v$. The conjugate u^v is equal to $v^{-1}uv$. We will always denote the identity by id , and for a group H , we denote $H^\times = H \setminus \{\text{id}\}$.

Consider a $(k-2)$ -path $C = (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})$, with $2 \leq k \leq n$, in the generalized quadrangle \mathcal{S} and let $x_0, x_k \in \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{L}$ be such that $\bar{C} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k)$ is a k -path. If the group $G^{[x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}]}$ of collineations fixing every element incident with an element of C acts transitively on the set of apartments containing the k -path \bar{C} , then C is called a *Moufang path (with respect to G)*. It easily checked that this is independent of the choice of x_0 and x_k . If every $(k-2)$ -path is a Moufang path, then \mathcal{S} is called a *k -Moufang quadrangle (with respect to G)*. Note that it is well known that, for $k \in \{3, 4\}$, the group $G^{[x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}]}$ generally acts semi-regularly on the set of apartments containing \bar{C} (see for instance 4.4.2 of [17]). A 4-Moufang quadrangle is also called a *Moufang quadrangle*.

For $k \in \{2, 4\}$, there are 2 kinds of $(k-2)$ -paths: those containing fewer lines than points, and those containing fewer points than lines. If all $(k-2)$ -paths of one type are Moufang paths, then we call \mathcal{S} *half k -Moufang*. A half 4-Moufang quadrangle is also called a *half Moufang quadrangle*.

We can now state our main result.

Main Result. *Every 3-Moufang generalized quadrangle with respect to some collineation group G is a Moufang generalized quadrangle with respect to G .*

We mention a few consequences.

Corollary 1 *Every 2-Moufang generalized quadrangle with respect to some collineation group G is a Moufang generalized quadrangle with respect to G .*

An *elation point* x of a generalized quadrangle \mathcal{S} is a point such that $G^{[x]}$ contains some subgroup H acting regularly on the set of points opposite x , where this time, G is the full collineation group. Dually, one defines an *elation line*.

Corollary 2 *A generalized quadrangle is a Moufang quadrangle if and only if it has at least two opposite elation points and two opposite elation lines.*

Let x, y be two opposite points of the generalized quadrangle \mathcal{S} . Then we say that \mathcal{S} is $\{x, y\}$ -transitive if, for some (and hence for every) line L incident with x the collineation group $G^{[x]} \cap G^{[y]}$ (where again G is the full collineation group) acts transitively on the set of points incident with L , but distinct from x and $\text{proj}_L y$. It is easy to see that this definition is symmetric in x and y . Dually, one defines $\{L, M\}$ -transitivity, for opposite lines L, M .

Corollary 3 *If a generalized quadrangle is $\{x, y\}$ -transitive, for all pairs of opposite points x, y , and $\{L, M\}$ -transitive, for all pairs of opposite lines L, M , then it is a Moufang quadrangle.*

We remark that not every Moufang quadrangle contains opposite points or lines a, b such that it is $\{a, b\}$ -transitive. But it is not so hard to deduce from the classification of Moufang quadrangles and the information on their collineation groups provided in [16] that exactly the ones of which the ‘‘root groups’’ are all parametrized by skew fields satisfy the hypotheses of the above corollary (the ‘‘root groups’’ are the groups $G^{[x_1, x_2, x_3]}$, for 2-paths (x_1, x_2, x_3)).

In the finite case, Thas [9] showed that $\{x, y\}$ -transitivity for all pairs of opposite points x, y implies the Moufang condition (and, up to duality, every finite Moufang quadrangle is $\{x, y\}$ -transitive, for all pairs of opposite points x, y). The above corollary is not yet as strong as this finite analogue, but it is the first in its kind valid for infinite generalized polygons.

In the next Section we prove our main result by showing that every 3-Moufang quadrangle is a half Moufang quadrangle. In Section 4 we prove the corollaries, and in Section 5, we provide a short proof of the fact that half Moufang quadrangles are Moufang quadrangles.

3 Proof of the Main result

In this section, we denote by $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I})$ a thick generalized quadrangle satisfying the 3-Moufang condition. We choose an arbitrary apartment Σ and put $\Sigma = \{x_0, X_1, x_2, \dots, x_6, X_7\}$, where we read the subscripts modulo 8, with $x_{2i} \mathcal{I} x_{2i+1}$, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z} \bmod 8$. As the notation suggests, we view the x_{2i} as points and the X_{2i+1} as lines.

Lemma 1 *The group G acts transitively on each type of 2-paths in \mathcal{S} .*

Proof. Since the 3-Moufang condition is self-dual, we may restrict to 2-paths containing two points and one line. Let x'_6 be an arbitrary point collinear with x_0 . Since \mathcal{S} is thick,

we may assume without loss of generality that x'_6 is not incident with X_1 . Hence, there is a unique apartment Σ' containing the points x'_6, x_0, x_2 and $\text{proj}_{X_3} x'_6$. By the 3-Moufang condition, there is a collineation $u \in G^{[x_1, x_2]}$ mapping Σ to Σ' , and hence x_6 to x'_6 . First of all, this implies that G is transitive on the point set \mathcal{P} of \mathcal{S} ; secondly, this shows that the stabilizer of the point x_0 acts transitively on the 2-paths containing x_0 and some further point.

The lemma is proved. \square

In fact, it is not hard to see that G acts transitively on each type of 4-paths of \mathcal{S} . This implies that everything we prove or assume for one 2- or 4-path automatically holds for every 2- or 4-path of the same type. For instance, if $G_{X_5}^{[x_2, X_3]}$ is abelian, then $G_{X_{2i+3}}^{[x_{2i}, X_{2i+1}]}$ is abelian for all i modulo 8. We will use things like that freely in the sequel.

Lemma 2 *Let $u \in G_{X_5}^{[x_2, X_3]}$ and suppose that u fixes some line X'_5 concurrent with X_3 , but not incident with x_2 or with x_4 . Then $u \in G^{[x_4]}$. Hence, by symmetry, if $x'_4 = X'_5 \cap X_3$, then also $u \in G^{[x'_4]}$.*

Proof. Let $w \in G^{[x_4, X_5]}$ be such that it maps X_1 to X'_5 (and so it maps x_2 to x'_4). Then $[u^{-1}, w]$ belongs to $G^{[X_3, x_4, X_5]}$ and fixes additionally X_1 . Hence $[u^{-1}, w] = \text{id}$. So $u = u^w$. But $u^w \in (G^{[x_2, X_3]})^w = G^{[x_2^w, X_3^w]} = G^{[x'_4, X_3]}$, hence u fixes all lines through x'_4 . Similarly, u also fixes all lines through x_4 .

The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 3 *Suppose that $U_3 := G_{X_5}^{[x_2, X_3]}$ is nonabelian. Then there exists a nontrivial element $u \in G_{X_5}^{[x_2, X_3]}$ fixing all lines concurrent with X_3 .*

Proof. Let $u_3, u'_3 \in U_3$ be arbitrary but such that $u = [u_3, u'_3]$ is nontrivial. This is possible by our assumption. Choose an arbitrary point $x'_2 \neq x_4$ incident with X_3 . Let $w \in G^{[x_4, X_5]}$ be such that it maps x_2 to x'_2 . Then u_3^w has the same action on the set of points incident with X_5 as u_3 . Hence $[u_3^w, u'_3]$ has the same action on the set of points incident with X_5 as $[u_3, u'_3]$. Moreover, $[u_3^w, u'_3] \in G_{x_5}^{[x_2, X_3]} \cap G_{X_5}^{[x'_2, X_3]}$. So, using the semi-regularity of the action of $G^{[x_2, X_3]}$ on the set of apartments containing X_1 and x_4 , we deduce that $u = [u_3^w, u'_3] \in G^{[x'_2]}$. We conclude that, since x'_2 was arbitrary, u fixes all lines concurrent with X_3 , except possibly those incident with x_4 . But Lemma 2 now guarantees that also all these lines are fixed.

The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 4 *If $\{X_3, X_7\}^{\perp\perp}$ contains at least three elements, then \mathcal{S} is half Moufang.*

Proof. Recall that $\{X_3, X_7\}^{\perp\perp}$ is the set of all lines concurrent with every line that is concurrent with both X_3 and X_7 . Since by assumption there are at least three such lines, we may choose one, say X'_7 , which is different from both X_3 and X_7 . Let $u \in G_{X_3}^{[x_0, X_1]}$ be arbitrary. Since u fixes X_3 and X_7 , it stabilizes $\{X_3, X_7\}^{\perp\perp}$ setwise. But X'_7 is the unique element of $\{X_3, X_7\}^{\perp\perp}$ incident with the point $X'_7 \cap X_1$, which is fixed by u . Hence u fixes X'_7 and Lemma 2 implies $u \in G^{[x_0, X_1, x_2]}$, from which we conclude that $G^{[x_0, X_1, x_2]}$ acts transitively on the set of apartments containing X_7, X_1 and X_3 .

Lemma 1 finishes the proof. \square

We can now finish the case that $U_3 := G_{X_5}^{[x_2, X_3]}$ is nonabelian. Indeed, let $u \in G_{X_5}^{[x_2, X_3]}$ be nontrivial and such that it fixes all lines concurrent with X_3 (see Lemma 3). Then $X'_7 := X_7^u$ is concurrent with every line that meets both X_3 and X_7 , and hence belongs to $\{X_3, X_7\}^{\perp\perp}$. Lemma 4 implies that \mathcal{S} is half Moufang, and hence a Moufang quadrangle by [7] (see also Section 5).

From now on we may assume that the groups $G_{X_5}^{[x_2, X_3]}$ and $G_{x_4}^{[X_1, x_2]}$ are abelian. Note that these groups act sharply transitively on the elements of \mathcal{S} incident with X_5 and x_4 , respectively, distinct from x_4 and X_3 , respectively. Also, it is clear that $[G_{X_5}^{[x_2, X_3]}, G_{X_1}^{[x_4, X_3]}] \leq G_{X_5}^{[x_2, X_3]} \cap G_{X_1}^{[x_4, X_3]}$, hence $G_{X_5}^{[x_2, X_3]}$ and $G_{X_1}^{[x_4, X_3]}$ normalize each other. About regular abelian groups normalizing each other, there is the following result (which is weak version of the “wrong lemma” mentioned in the introduction). The proof is an easy exercise, but we provide one for completeness’ sake.

Lemma 5 *Let (H, Ω) be a permutation representation and let $H_i \leq H$, $i = 1, 2$, be two abelian subgroups acting regularly on Ω . Suppose $[H_1, H_2] \leq H_1 \cap H_2$ (in other words, H_1 and H_2 normalize each other). Let $x \in \Omega$ be arbitrary, and suppose that $h_1 \in H_1$ and $h_2 \in H_2$ are such that $x^{h_1 h_2} = x$. Then $|x^{H_1 \cap H_2}| > 1$ and $y^{h_1 h_2} = y$, for all $y \in x^{H_1 \cap H_2}$.*

Proof. It is well known that, if $[H_1, H_2]$ is trivial, then $H_1 = H_2$ (as permutation groups acting on X), see for instance [4]. In this case, clearly $y^{h_1 h_2} = y$, for all $y \in \Omega$, since $h_1 = h_2^{-1}$. Hence we may assume that $[H_1, H_2]$ is nontrivial, and hence $H_1 \cap H_2$ is nontrivial.

Pick $y \in x^{H_1 \cap H_2}$ arbitrary, and let h_1 and h_2 be as in the statement of the lemma. Let $h \in H_1 \cap H_2$ be such that $x^h = y$. Then $y^{h_1 h_2} = x^{h h_1 h_2} = x^{h_1 h_2 h} = x^h = y$ (since h centralizes both H_1 and H_2).

The lemma is proved. \square

We now finish the proof of our main result.

We may assume that \mathcal{S} is not a half Moufang generalized quadrangle, hence, without loss of generality, there exists a nontrivial element $u \in G_{X_5}^{[x_2, X_3]} \setminus G_{X_1}^{[x_4, X_3]}$. Let Ω be the set of all

apartments containing X_1, X_3 and X_5 . Note that $\Sigma \in \Omega$. By the foregoing lemma there are an element $u' \in G_{X_1}^{[x_4, X_3]} \setminus G_{X_5}^{[x_2, X_3]}$, and a subset $\Omega' \subseteq \Omega$ containing Σ , with $|\Omega'| > 1$, such that $u^{-1}u'$ fixes all elements of Ω' .

If $\Omega' \neq \Omega$, then $u^{-1}u'$ fixes $\{X_3, X_7\}^\perp$ and at least three, but not all elements of $\{X_5, X_1\}^\perp$. We claim that all lines of $\{X_5, X_1\}^\perp$ that are fixed under $u^{-1}u'$ belong to $\{X_3, X_7\}^{\perp\perp}$. Indeed, if not, then let us consider a line $L \in \{X_5, X_1\}^\perp$ fixed under $u^{-1}u'$, but not belonging to $\{X_3, X_7\}^{\perp\perp}$. Put $L \cap X_1 = x'_0$. Hence there is a line Q in $\{X_3, X_7\}^\perp$ which is not concurrent with L . Thus L and Q are opposite, so x'_0 is not incident with Q . Since $u^{-1}u'$ fixes all elements of $\{X_3, X_7\}^\perp$, it fixes Q . Therefore $u^{-1}u'$ fixes the unique 3-path from x'_0 to Q . Consequently, $u^{-1}u'$ fixes at least three lines incident with x'_0 . This implies that it fixes a thick full subquadrangle, implying (by Proposition 1.8.1 of [17]) that all points incident with X_1 are fixed. This contradicts our assumption $\Omega' \neq \Omega$. The claim is proved. But now Lemma 4 shows that \mathcal{S} is a half Moufang quadrangle.

Hence we may assume that $u^{-1}u'$ fixes all lines X_1, X_3, X_5, X_7 pointwise, but does not act trivially on the set of lines incident with x_2 . It is more convenient to argue with the dual situation. Dually, we have a collineation w fixing all lines incident with the points x_0, x_2, x_4, x_6 , but not fixing all points of the line X_1 . In fact, we may re-name the points incident with X_1 in such a way that w maps x_0 onto x_2 , and w fixes all lines incident with some points x'_2 and x'_0 , with $x'_2 \perp X_1 \perp x'_0$. We now take an arbitrary element $v \in G_{X_3}^{[x_0, X_1]}$. Since we assume that \mathcal{S} is not half Moufang, we can choose v such that it does not fix all lines incident with x_2 . Now consider the commutator $[v, w]$. It certainly fixes all lines incident with x'_2 and those incident with x'_0 , and it fixes all points incident with X_1 . Now, v^{-1} does not fix all lines incident with x_2 . Also, w^{-1} maps the lines incident with x_2 onto the lines incident with x_0 . Finally, v fixes all these lines. So we see that $[v, w]$ does not fix all lines incident with x_2 . Lemma 2 implies that $[v, w]$ cannot fix any line incident with x_2 . But this contradicts $X_3^{[v, w]} = X_3^{w^{-1}vw} = ((X_3^{w^{-1}})^v)^w = X_3^{w^{-1}w} = X_3$.

The proof of the Main Result is complete.

4 Proof of the corollaries

Corollary 1 follows directly from the fact that 2-Moufang quadrangles are automatically 3-Moufang quadrangles (see [17]). Concerning Corollary 2, we first remark that any elation point x defines a Moufang 0-path (x) . If x, y are two opposite elation points, then all points that are opposite x or y are elation points (by transitivity). It is now easy to see that every point is an elation point. Similarly every line is an elation line. Consequently every 0-path is a Moufang 0-path and the quadrangle is 2-Moufang.

Now suppose \mathcal{S} satisfies the assumptions of Corollary 3. Let L be any line of \mathcal{S} . Let M and M' be two lines opposite L . If we show that there is a collineation $u \in G^{[L]}$

mapping M to M' , then (L) is a Moufang 0-path and, together with the dual argument, \mathcal{S} is 2-Moufang, proving the corollary.

If M is different from, concurrent with M' , then let x be the unique point incident with both M and M' . Remark that we may assume that there are at least 4 lines incident with a given point by [9] and [3]. Hence there is some line $N \notin \{M, M', \text{proj}_x L\}$ incident with x . The $\{L, N\}$ -transitivity implies that there is some collineation in $G^{[L]}$ mapping M to M' . If M is not concurrent with M' , then by a result of Cuypers stated in [1] (see also 1.7.15 of [17]), there is a sequence of ℓ lines $(M = M_1, M_2, \dots, M_\ell = M')$ such that $M_i \perp M_{i+1}$, for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1\}$, and all M_i are opposite L . The result is now clear.

5 Half Moufang quadrangles

In this section, we show that half Moufang quadrangles are always Moufang quadrangles. The proof we present differs from the one in [7] in that we almost exclusively use the argument of the second author that replaced the “wrong lemma” in [7]. We will indicate the place where we actually borrow an argument of [7].

First, let us mention the following well known result [8]. We keep the notation of Section 3 regarding the apartment Σ and its elements.

Lemma 6 *Let \mathcal{S} be a half Moufang quadrangle and suppose all 2-paths containing two lines satisfy the Moufang condition. Then \mathcal{S} is a Moufang quadrangle whenever the following condition is satisfied. Let $x \neq x_0$ be arbitrary on the line X_1 , and let $Y \neq X_1$ be arbitrary through x . Then the action of the collineation group $G^{[X_1, x, Y]}$ on the set of lines incident with x_0 does not depend on the choice of x, Y . \square*

Furthermore, we know from elementary group theory that, if K and K' are two groups acting regularly on a set Ω , and if K and K' centralize each other, then, without loss of generality, we may identify Ω with K such that the action of K on $\Omega = K$ is given by right multiplication. Moreover, $K' \cong K$, with the action of $h' \in K'$ on $\Omega = K$ given by left multiplication with h'^{-1} . We will denote that action of K' on Ω by K'^{opp} . We will also use this notation if both K and K' fix an element $\omega \in \Omega$ and act regularly on $\Omega \setminus \{\omega\}$.

We assume that \mathcal{S} is a half Moufang quadrangle and prove it is a Moufang quadrangle. We use the same notation as in Section 3, en as in Lemma 6 above (as it suffices to show that the conditions of that lemma are satisfied).

So we have to show that the action of $G^{[X_1, x, Y]}$ on the lines incident with x_0 does not depend on x, Y . This is clear if $x = x_2$, because the half Moufang conditions implies the existence of a collineation fixing all lines incident with x_0 and mapping X_3 to Y in this

case. So we may assume $x \neq x_2$, and Y concurrent with X_5 . Put $U_2 := G^{[X_1, x_2, X_3]}$ and $U'_2 := G^{[X_1, x, Y]}$. Let Ω be the set of all lines of \mathcal{S} incident with x_0 . For a subgroup H of the stabilizer of x_0 in \mathcal{S} , we denote by H_Ω the permutation group induced by H on Ω . We first show that $(U_2)_\Omega$ and $(U'_2)_\Omega$ normalize each other. We borrow the argument from [7]. Let $u_2 \in U_2$ and $u'_2 \in U'_2$ be arbitrary, and let $u_8 \in G^{[X_7, x_0, X_1]}$ be such that $X_3^{u'_2 u_8} = X_3$. Clearly $[u'_2 u_8, u_2] \in U_2$. But the action of $[u'_2 u_8, u_2]$ on Ω coincides clearly with the action of $[u'_2, u_2]$ on Ω , since u_8 acts trivially on Ω . Similarly, the action of $[u'_2, u_2]$ on Ω is the same as that of some element of U_2 on Ω . Hence the actions of U_2 and U'_2 on the set Ω normalize each other.

Put $U_6 := G^{[X_5, x_6, X_7]}$. The group $H := \langle U_6, U_2 \rangle$ fixes the set $\{x_4, x_0\}$ pointwise. Clearly U_6 and U_2 are conjugate in H . Also, it is clear that, given $u_2 \in U_2^\times$, there exists $u_6 \in U_6$ such that $U_6^{u_2 u_6} = U_2$. Hence if $(U_2)_\Omega \cap (U'_2)_\Omega$ is nontrivial, then we may take $u_2 \in U_2^\times$ such that its action on Ω coincides with an element u'_2 of U'_2^\times . We thus obtain $(U_2)_\Omega = (U_6^{u_2 u_6})_\Omega = (U_6^{u'_2 u_6})_\Omega = (U'_2)_\Omega$.

So we may assume that $(U_2)_\Omega$ and $(U'_2)_\Omega$ only share the identity (hence $|\Omega| > 4$). This immediately implies that $(U_2)_\Omega$ centralizes $(U'_2)_\Omega$. Since both U_2 and U'_2 act regularly on $\Omega \setminus \{X_1\}$, we obtain $(U'_2)_\Omega = (U_2)_\Omega^{\text{opp}}$.

Consider any line \tilde{X}_1 incident with x_0 , but different from X_1 and X_7 , and define \tilde{x}_2 and \tilde{X}_3 as $\tilde{X}_1 \tilde{I} \tilde{x}_2 \tilde{I} \tilde{X}_3 \tilde{I} x_4$. Put $\tilde{U}_2 = G^{\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{X}_3}$. Let $u_6 \in U_6^\times$ map X_1 to \tilde{X}_1 . We see that $(\tilde{U}_2)_\Omega$ is conjugate to $(U_2)_\Omega$ in $\langle (U_2)_\Omega, (U_6)_\Omega \rangle$ (via the action of u_6 , but also via any element of $\langle (U_2)_\Omega, (U_6)_\Omega \rangle$ mapping X_1 onto \tilde{X}_1). Similarly, $(U_2)_\Omega$ is the conjugate of $(U_6)_\Omega$ in $\langle (\tilde{U}_2)_\Omega, (U_6)_\Omega \rangle$ by each element of the latter mapping X_7 onto \tilde{X}_1 . Now define $\tilde{X}_1 \tilde{I} \tilde{x}'_2 \tilde{I} \tilde{X}'_3 \tilde{I} \text{proj}_{X_5} x$. Put $\tilde{U}'_2 = G^{\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{x}'_2, \tilde{X}'_3}$. Then, similarly as in the previous paragraph, either $(\tilde{U}'_2)_\Omega = (\tilde{U}_2)_\Omega$, or $(\tilde{U}'_2)_\Omega = (\tilde{U}_2)_\Omega^{\text{opp}}$. In the former case we see, similarly as above, that $(U_2)_\Omega^{\text{opp}}$ is conjugate to $(U_6)_\Omega$ in $\langle (\tilde{U}'_2)_\Omega, (U_6)_\Omega \rangle = \langle (\tilde{U}_2)_\Omega, (U_6)_\Omega \rangle$, implying by the observation above that $(U_2)_\Omega$ and $(U_2)_\Omega^{\text{opp}}$ are conjugate by any element of $\langle (\tilde{U}_2)_\Omega, (U_6)_\Omega \rangle$ fixing X_1 , clearly a contradiction (as we can choose the identity!). Hence $(\tilde{U}'_2)_\Omega = (\tilde{U}_2)_\Omega^{\text{opp}}$. This implies that $(U_6)_\Omega$ belongs to $\langle (U_2)_\Omega^{\text{opp}}, (\tilde{U}_2)_\Omega^{\text{opp}} \rangle$ and is in fact conjugate to $(U_2)_\Omega^{\text{opp}}$ by any element of $\langle (U_2)_\Omega^{\text{opp}}, (\tilde{U}_2)_\Omega^{\text{opp}} \rangle$ mapping X_1 onto X_7 .

Now we know that there are at least five lines incident with x_0 , so we may consider a line \bar{X}_7 incident with x_0 , not belonging to $\{X_1, X_7, \tilde{X}_1\}$. Define $\bar{X}_7 \bar{I} \bar{x}_6 \bar{I} \bar{X}_5 \bar{I} x_4$. We denote $\bar{U}_6 := G^{\bar{X}_7, \bar{x}_6, \bar{X}_5}$. We interchange the roles of X_7 and \bar{X}_7 , and at the same time of Y and $\bar{Y} := \text{proj}_x \text{proj}_{x_4} \bar{X}_7$. We obtain, as in the previous paragraph, and using the fact that $(U'_2)_\Omega$ does not depend on Y , but only on x , that $(\bar{U}_6)_\Omega$ belongs to $\langle (U_2)_\Omega^{\text{opp}}, (\tilde{U}_2)_\Omega^{\text{opp}} \rangle$ and is in fact conjugate to $(U_2)_\Omega^{\text{opp}}$ by any element of $\langle (U_2)_\Omega^{\text{opp}}, (\tilde{U}_2)_\Omega^{\text{opp}} \rangle$ mapping X_1 onto \bar{X}_7 . It is now clear that, combining this with the conclusion of the previous paragraph, the group $\langle (U_6)_\Omega, (\bar{U}_6)_\Omega \rangle$ contains $(U_2)_\Omega^{\text{opp}}$, conjugate to $(U_6)_\Omega$ by any element of $\langle (U_6)_\Omega, (\bar{U}_6)_\Omega \rangle$.

mapping X_7 to X_1 . But of course U_2 is conjugate to U_6 by a (unique) element of \bar{U}_6 , contradicting the fact that $(U_2)_\Omega \neq (U_2)_\Omega^{\text{opp}}$.

The assertion is proved.

References

- [1] A. E. Brouwer, The complement of a geometric hyperplane in a generalized quadrangle is usually connected, *Finite Geometry and Combinatorics*, Edited by F. De Clerck et al., Cambridge University Press, *London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser.* **191** (1993), 53 – 57.
- [2] F. Buekenhout and H. Van Maldeghem, Finite distance transitive generalized polygons, *Geom. Dedicata* **52** (1994), 41 – 51.
- [3] P. Cameron, Orbits of permutation groups on unordered sets. II. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **23** (1981), 249 – 264.
- [4] J. D. Dixon and B. Mortimer, *Permutation Groups*, Springer, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* **163**, 1996.
- [5] P. Fong and G. M. Seitz, Groups with a (B,N)-pair of rank 2, I, *Invent. Math.* **21** (1973), 1 – 57.
- [6] P. Fong and G. M. Seitz, Groups with a (B,N)-pair of rank 2, II, *Invent. Math.* **21** (1974), 191 – 239.
- [7] K. Tent, Half Moufang implies Moufang for generalized quadrangles, to appear in *J. Reine Angew. Math.*
- [8] K. Tent and H. Van Maldeghem, BN-pairs with affine or projective lines, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **544** (2002), 223 – 236.
- [9] J. A. Thas, The classification of all (x, y) -transitive generalized quadrangles, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **42** (1986), 154 – 157.
- [10] J. A. Thas, S. E. Payne and H. Van Maldeghem, Half Moufang implies Moufang for finite generalized quadrangles, *Invent. Math.* **105** (1991), 153 – 156.
- [11] K. Thas and H. Van Maldeghem, Geometrical characterizations of some Chevalley groups of rank 2, submitted.
- [12] J. Tits, Sur la trichotomie et certains groupes qui s'en déduisent, *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.* **2** (1959), 13 – 60.

- [13] J. Tits, Classification of buildings of spherical type and Moufang polygons: a survey, *Coll. Intern. Teorie Combin. Acc. Naz. Lincei, Roma 1973, Atti dei convegni Lincei* **17** (1976), 229 – 246.
- [14] J. Tits, Endliche Spiegelungsgruppen, die als Weylgruppen auftreten, *Invent. Math.* **43** (1977), 283 – 295.
- [15] J. Tits, Moufang polygons, I. Root data, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **1** (1994), 455 – 468.
- [16] J. Tits and R. Weiss, *Moufang Polygons*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 2002.
- [17] H. Van Maldeghem, *Generalized Polygons*, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, Boston, Berlin, *Monographs in Mathematics*, **93**, 1998.
- [18] H. Van Maldeghem, J. A. Thas and S. E. Payne, Desarguesian finite generalized quadrangles are classical or dual classical, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* **1** (1992), 299 – 305.

Address of the authors:

Ghent University,
Department of Pure Mathematics and Computer Algebra
Galglaan 2,
9000 Gent
BELGIUM.
fhaot@cage.rug.ac.be, hvm@cage.rug.ac.be.