

Generalized quadrangles with an ovoid that is translation with respect to opposite flags

By

ALAN OFFER, KOEN THAS and HENDRIK VAN MALDEGHEM

Abstract. The article [6] contains the result that if a finite generalized quadrangle Γ of order s has an ovoid \mathcal{O} that is translation with respect to two opposite flags, but not with respect to any two non-opposite flags, then Γ is self-polar and \mathcal{O} is the set of absolute points of a polarity. In particular, if Γ is the classical generalized quadrangle $Q(4, q)$ then \mathcal{O} is a Suzuki-Tits ovoid. In this article, we remove the need to assume that Γ is $Q(4, q)$ in order to conclude that \mathcal{O} is a Suzuki-Tits ovoid by showing that the initial assumptions in fact imply that Γ is $Q(4, q)$. At the same time, we also relax the requirement that Γ have order s .

1. Introduction. Generalized quadrangles are incidence geometries in which there are neither digons nor triangles, but through any two elements there is a quadrangle. These belong to the class of geometries known as generalized polygons, which includes projective planes as generalized triangles. Here we are concerned with finite generalized quadrangles, and just as a finite projective plane has an order, a finite generalized quadrangle has an order which is a pair (s, t) of integers. This is often abbreviated to s when $s = t$. In this paper, we are particularly interested in the classical finite generalized quadrangle $Q(4, q)$, which has order q .

An ovoid \mathcal{O} of a generalized quadrangle Γ is a set of points such that on each line of Γ there is exactly one point of \mathcal{O} . One way of obtaining an ovoid is as the set of absolute points of a polarity. In the context of $Q(4, q)$, such an ovoid is called a Suzuki-Tits ovoid.

Translation ovoids are essentially those that possess a certain amount of symmetry. There are two notions: that of being translation with respect to a point and that of being translation with respect to a flag. The former notion is the stronger as it is equivalent to being translation with respect to every flag containing that point. Ovoids of $Q(4, q)$ that are translation with respect to a point have drawn a lot of attention for their correspondence to translation generalized quadrangles, semifield flocks and semifield planes. Here we shall need only the weaker symmetry condition given by the latter notion.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 51E12, 20B25, 05B25.

The second author is a Postdoctoral Fellow of the Fund for Scientific Research—Flanders (Belgium).

In this work, our objective has been to show that if a finite generalized quadrangle Γ has an ovoid \mathcal{O} that is translation with respect to two opposite flags but not with respect to two non-opposite flags, then Γ is $Q(4, q)$ and \mathcal{O} is a Suzuki-Tits ovoid. However, we stop a little short of this by requiring that the order (s, t) of Γ be such that t is even or $s = t$. This improves on the result [6, Theorem 5.3] which asserts that \mathcal{O} arises from a polarity and which applies only when $s = t$.

2. Background.

2.1. Generalized quadrangles. A *flag* in a geometry of points and lines is a pair $\{p, L\}$ consisting of an incident point p and line L . A *generalized quadrangle* Γ is a geometry of points and lines in which (i) every point is on at least three lines and every line passes through at least three points, (ii) there exists a point and a line that are not incident with each other, and (iii) given any point p and line L that are not incident with each other, there is a unique flag $\{q, M\}$ with p on M and q on L . The point q is the *projection* of p onto L , denoted here by $q = p \triangleright L$, and the line M is the *projection* of L onto p , denoted by $M = L \triangleright p$. In addition, if p and L are incident then we put $p \triangleright L = p$ and $L \triangleright p = L$. The following details can be found in [8].

A generalized quadrangle Γ is *finite* if it has finitely many points. In this case, there are integers $s, t > 1$ such that every line has exactly $s + 1$ points and every point is on exactly $t + 1$ lines. The ordered pair (s, t) is the *order* of Γ and the inequalities of Higman [4] assert that $s \leq t^2$ and $t \leq s^2$. When $s = t$, it is customary to just say that Γ has order s .

Subquadrangles. Let Γ be a finite generalized quadrangle of order (s, t) . A *subquadrangle* Γ' of Γ is a generalized quadrangle whose point and line sets are subsets of those of Γ and whose incidence relation is induced by that of Γ . As Γ is finite, so too is Γ' which then also has an order (s', t') , with $s', t' > 1$. If $\Gamma' \neq \Gamma$ then it is a *proper* subquadrangle of Γ .

Let Γ' be a proper subquadrangle of Γ of order (s', t) . Then $s' \leq t < s$. If $s' = t$, then $s = t^2$ and every point of Γ that is not a point of Γ' lies on a unique line of Γ' (see [8, §2.2]).

Ovoids and spreads. Let Γ be a finite generalized quadrangle of order (s, t) . Two points of Γ are *opposite* when they are not collinear, and two lines are *opposite* when they are not concurrent. Two flags $\{p, L\}$ and $\{q, M\}$ are *opposite* if their points p and q are opposite and their lines L and M are opposite.

An *ovoid* of Γ is a set \mathcal{O} of points such that (i) the points of \mathcal{O} are pairwise opposite, (ii) every line of Γ contains a point of \mathcal{O} and (iii) $|\mathcal{O}| = st + 1$. In fact, any two of these conditions imply the third. Dually, a *spread* is a set \mathcal{S} of lines satisfying any two, and therefore all three, of: (i) the lines of \mathcal{S} are pairwise opposite, (ii) every point of Γ is on a line of \mathcal{S} and (iii) $|\mathcal{S}| = st + 1$.

One source of ovoids and spreads is polarities. A *polarity* of Γ is an involutory incidence preserving map that interchanges the points and lines of Γ . Given a polarity ρ , an element

u of Γ is *absolute* if it is incident with its image u^ρ . The sets of absolute points and absolute lines form an ovoid and a spread, respectively (see [8, 1.8.2]).

Hence Γ has both an ovoid and a spread if it has a polarity. On the other hand, Γ does not admit an ovoid if its order is (s, s^2) , and dually, it does not admit a spread if its order is (t^2, t) (see [8, 1.8.3]).

Translation ovoids. Translation ovoids are ovoids that have a certain amount of symmetry. As mentioned in the introduction, there are two notions and it is the weaker of being translation with respect to a flag that concerns us here.

Let Γ be a finite generalized quadrangle of order (s, t) and let \mathcal{O} be an ovoid of Γ . Let $p \in \mathcal{O}$ and let L be a line incident with p . Let $G_{\{p,L\}}$ be the set of all collineations of Γ that fix p linewise, fix L pointwise and stabilize the ovoid \mathcal{O} . For each point $z \neq p$ on L , let V_z be the set of t points of $\mathcal{O} \setminus \{p\}$ collinear with z . Notice that the s sets V_z partition $\mathcal{O} \setminus \{p\}$.

An action of a group G on a set X is *semiregular* if $G_x = \{1\}$ for all $x \in X$. In particular, it is regular if and only if it is transitive and semiregular. By [8, 2.4.1], the group of all collineations of Γ that fix p linewise and L pointwise is semiregular on the set of points opposite p . It follows that the group $G_{\{p,L\}}$ is semiregular on each of the sets V_z .

The ovoid \mathcal{O} is *translation with respect to the flag* $\{p, L\}$ if the group $G_{\{p,L\}}$ acts transitively (and hence regularly) on one (and hence all) of the sets V_z , or equivalently, if $|G_{\{p,L\}}| = t$. This group $G_{\{p,L\}}$ is then called the *associated group* with respect to the flag $\{p, L\}$.

The generalized quadrangle $Q(4, q)$. The generalized quadrangle $Q(4, q)$, which has order q , is the geometry of points and lines on a non-degenerate quadric \mathcal{P}_4 in $\text{PG}(4, q)$. If \mathcal{E}_3 is an elliptic hyperplane section of \mathcal{P}_4 then the points of \mathcal{E}_3 form an ovoid of $Q(4, q)$. Thus $Q(4, q)$ admits ovoids for all q . By [11] however, it admits spreads only if $q = 2^h$, which is when it is self-dual and isomorphic to the symplectic generalized quadrangle $W(q)$ (see [8, 3.2.1]). From that paper comes the following characterization.

Theorem 2.1 (Thas [11]). *Let Γ be a finite generalized quadrangle of order s and let \mathcal{O} be an ovoid of Γ . Suppose that for any three distinct points $a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}$ there is a point w of Γ that is collinear with all of a, b and c . Then Γ is isomorphic to $Q(4, 2^h)$, for some h .*

Finally, when $q = 2^{2e+1}$ for some $e \geq 0$, the generalized quadrangle $Q(4, q)$ admits polarities and the ovoids and spreads that arise as a result are known as *Suzuki-Tits* ovoids and spreads, for the Suzuki group $\text{Sz}(q)$ acts as an automorphism group and the existence of polarities was shown by Tits [12, §5].

2.2. The Suzuki groups. Here we present the necessary facts about the Suzuki groups $\text{Sz}(q)$ and the inversive planes belonging to them. These groups were first identified by Suzuki in [9]. The properties used here are taken from [5, Chapter IV] and [10]. For the

relationship with inversive planes, we refer the reader to [5, §26]. As the parameter here is always q , for brevity we shall mostly just write Sz for $Sz(q)$.

The Suzuki group $Sz(q)$ exists for $q = 2^{2e+1} \geq 2$, its order is $(q^2 + 1)q^2(q - 1)$ and it has a natural 2-transitive action on a set Ω of size $q^2 + 1$ in which only the identity leaves three distinct points fixed. The group $Sz(2)$ is sharply 2-transitive in its natural action. In the following, it is always assumed that $q > 2$.

Let a be a point in Ω . The stabilizer Sz_a is transitive but not regular on $\Omega \setminus \{a\}$ and only the identity in Sz_a leaves two distinct points of this set fixed. Such groups are called *Frobenius groups* as Frobenius provided a structure theorem for them (see [13, Theorem 5.1]). From that theorem, we have $Sz_a = PSz_{a,b}$ where $P \trianglelefteq Sz_a$ is a regular normal subgroup and $b \neq a$. Consequently, P is the unique Sylow 2-subgroup of Sz_a .

Let $Z = Z(P)$ be the centre of P . Then $Z \trianglelefteq Sz_a$ and $|Z| = q$. The non-trivial elements of Z are precisely the involutions in P and so they are all of the involutions in Sz_a since P is the unique Sylow 2-subgroup of Sz_a . Each subgroup $Sz_{a,b}$, and so also the larger group Sz_a , acts transitively on $Z \setminus \{1\}$ by conjugation.

An *inversive plane* is an incidence structure, whose elements are usually called points and circles, with the property (among others) that for every three distinct points there is a unique circle containing them. Each finite inversive plane has an order n , such that there are $n + 1$ points on each circle and $n^2 + 1$ points in total. These are discussed in [1, Chapter 6] and in [5, §25], although in the latter they are called *Möbius planes*.

For each $q = 2^{2e+1} > 2$, there is a unique inversive plane \mathcal{M} of order q (up to isomorphism) that admits $Sz(q)$ as an automorphism group. Furthermore, the action of $Sz(q)$ on the point set Ω of \mathcal{M} is its usual 2-transitive action.

Let \mathcal{C} be a circle in \mathcal{M} . The stabilizer $Sz_{\mathcal{C}}$ has order $q(q - 1)$. Let Q be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $Sz_{\mathcal{C}}$, let P be a Sylow 2-subgroup of Sz containing Q , and let a be the unique point that is fixed by P . Since P is semiregular on $\Omega \setminus \{a\}$, so too is Q . Together with $|Q| = q$ and $|\mathcal{C}| = q + 1$, we see that $a \in \mathcal{C}$ and Q is regular on $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{a\}$.

The group $Sz_{\mathcal{C}}$ is not transitive on \mathcal{C} since $|Sz_{\mathcal{C}}|$ is not divisible by $|\mathcal{C}|$, so from the transitivity of Q on $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{a\}$, it follows that every element of $Sz_{\mathcal{C}}$ leaves the point a fixed. Thus $Sz_{\mathcal{C}} \leq Sz_a$. Now $Sz_{\mathcal{C},b} \leq Sz_{a,b}$ for $b \neq a$ in \mathcal{C} , but both of these groups have order $q - 1$, so we conclude that $Sz_{\mathcal{C},b} = Sz_{a,b}$.

Now $Sz_{\mathcal{C}}$ is transitive but not regular on $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{a\}$ and only the identity fixes two points of this set. Thus $Sz_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a Frobenius group and so $Q \trianglelefteq Sz_{\mathcal{C}}$. In particular, Q is normalized by $Sz_{\mathcal{C},b} = Sz_{a,b}$. Since $Q \leq Sz_a$ has even order, it contains some involution that belongs to Sz_a and so also to $Z = Z(P)$. Since $Sz_{a,b}$ is transitive on $Z \setminus \{1\}$, we have $Z \leq Q$, and as these groups both have order q , it follows that $Z = Q$.

In summary, let \mathcal{C} be a circle in \mathcal{M} . Then:

- the stabilizer $Sz_{\mathcal{C}}$ has a unique Sylow 2-subgroup Q ;
- $|Q| = q$;
- there is a point $a \in \mathcal{C}$ such that Q fixes a and is regular on $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{a\}$;
- $Q = Z(P)$, where P is the unique Sylow 2-subgroup of Sz_a ;
- the non-trivial elements of Q are precisely the involutions in Sz_a ;
- Sz_a acts transitively on $Q \setminus \{1\}$.

In addition, we will use the following classification due to Hering.

Theorem 2.2 (Hering [3]). *Let G be a transitive permutation group on a set Ω , where $|\Omega| > 1$, and let $\alpha \in \Omega$. Suppose G_α has a normal subgroup Q of even order that is semiregular on $\Omega \setminus \{\alpha\}$. Then $\langle Q^G \rangle$ either has a transitive normal subgroup of odd order, or acts 2-transitively on Ω as one of the groups $SL(2, 2^h)$, $PSU(3, 2^h)$, for some h , or $Sz(2^{2e+1})$, for some $e \geq 1$, in its usual 2-transitive representation.*

3. The theorem. Let Γ be a finite generalized quadrangle with order (s, t) and let \mathcal{O} be an ovoid in Γ that is translation with respect to two opposite flags $\mathcal{F} = \{u, U\}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \{v, V\}$, where $u, v \in \mathcal{O}$. Let $G = \langle G_{\{u, U\}}, G_{\{v, V\}} \rangle$ be the group generated by the associated groups with respect to each of the flags \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} . Suppose that the flags in the union $\mathcal{F}^G \cup \mathcal{G}^G$ of orbits are pairwise opposite.

Given these definitions and assumptions, we can state [6, Theorem 5.3] as follows.

Theorem 3.1 (Offer and Van Maldeghem [6]). *If $s = t$ then G is transitive on \mathcal{O} and the flags of \mathcal{F}^G determine an ovoid and a spread that arise from a polarity.*

This is actually a slightly stronger statement than the original theorem, but the proof is the same except for a small redefinition of the set \mathcal{S} in the second half of the proof (let \mathcal{S} be the set of lines in the flags of \mathcal{F}^G rather than the set of all lines that belong to a flag with respect to which \mathcal{O} is translation).

A corollary of this theorem is that if $\Gamma \cong Q(4, q)$ then \mathcal{O} is a Suzuki-Tits ovoid. However, as the theorem stands, so much structure is deduced without making this additional assumption that one is led to wonder if perhaps Γ must be $Q(4, q)$. This leads us to the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.2 (Main Theorem). *Let Γ be a finite generalized quadrangle of order (s, t) and let \mathcal{O} be an ovoid of Γ such that the conditions described above are satisfied. If $s = t$ or t is even then $\Gamma \cong Q(4, 2^{2e+1})$, for some $e \geq 0$, and \mathcal{O} is a Suzuki-Tits ovoid.*

In the case that $s = t$, we already know from Theorem 3.1 that Γ admits a polarity, which implies that t is even (see [8, 1.8.2]). Thus to prove our theorem, we need only address the case that t is even. We proceed in three steps, represented by Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3. First we show that G is transitive on \mathcal{O} , then that $G \cong Sz(q)$ and finally that $\Gamma \cong Q(4, q)$. We remark that the first lemma is proved without the assumption that t is even.

Let $\mathcal{O}^* = u^G \cup v^G$ and $\mathcal{S} = U^G \cup V^G$, so \mathcal{O}^* and \mathcal{S} are the sets of points and lines, respectively, in the flags of $\mathcal{F}^G \cup \mathcal{G}^G$. As the flags in $\mathcal{F}^G \cup \mathcal{G}^G$ are pairwise opposite, for each point $a \in \mathcal{O}^*$ there is a unique line $L \in \mathcal{S}$ incident with it, and conversely, for each line $L \in \mathcal{S}$ there is a unique point $a \in \mathcal{O}^*$ on L . Represent this relationship between such a point a and line L by $L = a^\rho$ and $a = L^\rho$.

For each $a \in \mathcal{O}^*$, there is a $g \in G$ such that either $a = u^g$ or $a = v^g$. The ovoid \mathcal{O} is then translation with respect to the flag $\{a, L\}$, where $L = a^\rho$, and the associated group $G_{\{a, L\}}$ is correspondingly either $(G_{\{u, U\}})^g$ or $(G_{\{v, V\}})^g$. As it is uniquely determined by either a or L , we shall simply write $H(a)$ or $H(L)$ for the associated group $G_{\{a, L\}}$ with respect

to the flag $\{a, L\}$. Thus, for instance, $G = \langle H(u), H(v) \rangle$. Notice that by the uniqueness of a^ρ , the stabilizer G_a fixes a^ρ , and so $H(a) \leq G_a$ since $H(a)$ fixes a linewise and a^ρ pointwise.

Lemma 3.1. *The group G is transitive on \mathcal{O} . In particular, $\mathcal{O}^* = \mathcal{O}$ and the set \mathcal{S} is a spread of Γ . In addition, $t|s$ and $s < t^2$.*

Proof. Let Γ^* be the incidence structure whose point and line sets are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &= \{a \triangleright L \mid a \in \mathcal{O}^* \text{ and } L \in \mathcal{S}\} \\ \mathcal{L} &= \{L \triangleright a \mid a \in \mathcal{O}^* \text{ and } L \in \mathcal{S}\} \end{aligned}$$

and whose incidence is inherited from that of Γ .

First we show that Γ^* is a subquadrangle of Γ and then that $\Gamma^* = \Gamma$. Thence $\mathcal{O}^* = \mathcal{O}$ and this has at most two orbits under G , namely u^G and v^G . Finally, we demonstrate that each of these orbits contains more than half the points of \mathcal{O} and so prove the proposition. The additional facts that $t|s$ and $s < t^2$ will arise along the way.

Notice that $\mathcal{O}^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ as $a = a \triangleright a^\rho$ and $L = L \triangleright L^\rho$ for $a \in \mathcal{O}^*$ and $L \in \mathcal{S}$. Let $\mathcal{P}^* = \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{O}^*$ and $\mathcal{L}^* = \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{S}$.

Consider a point $p \in \mathcal{P}^*$. Then there is a point $a \in \mathcal{O}^*$ and a line $L \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $p = a \triangleright L$. Let $K \neq L$ be a line through p and let b be the unique point of \mathcal{O} on K . As the ovoid \mathcal{O} is translation with respect to the flag $\{L^\rho, L\}$, there is a collineation in the associated group $H(L)$ that maps a to b . Hence $b \in \mathcal{O}^*$ and so $K = L \triangleright b \in \mathcal{L}$. Thus every line of Γ through a point $p \in \mathcal{P}^*$ is a line of Γ^* .

Consider now a line $L \in \mathcal{S}$. Let s' be the number of points of \mathcal{P}^* on L , so together with the point L^ρ , there are exactly $s' + 1$ points on L in Γ^* . By the previous paragraph, for each point $p \in \mathcal{P}^*$ on L , all of the t lines $K \neq L$ through p belong to \mathcal{L} and so the unique point of \mathcal{O} on each such line K belongs to \mathcal{O}^* . Conversely, if $a \in \mathcal{O}^*$ is not on L then $a \triangleright L$ is one of the points of \mathcal{P}^* on L . Thus there are precisely $s't + 1$ points in \mathcal{O}^* . As $|\mathcal{O}^*|$ is independent of the line L , it follows that every line of \mathcal{S} is incident with the same number $s' + 1$ of points of \mathcal{P} .

Now consider a point $a \in \mathcal{O}^*$. Let the t lines of Γ distinct from a^ρ through a be L_1, L_2, \dots, L_t . For each $i = 1, \dots, t$, let s_i be the number of points of \mathcal{P}^* on L_i . As each point of \mathcal{P}^* lies on a line of \mathcal{S} , and for each line $K \in \mathcal{S}$ distinct from a^ρ there is a unique line L_i through a that is concurrent with K , it follows that $1 + \sum_1^t s_i = |\mathcal{S}| = |\mathcal{O}^*| = s't + 1$.

Consider one of the lines L_i . As there are s_i points of \mathcal{P}^* on L_i and every line of Γ through each of these points belongs to \mathcal{L} , and each of these lines is incident with a unique and distinct point of \mathcal{O}^* , there are $1 + s_it$ points of \mathcal{O}^* that are collinear with some point of \mathcal{P}^* on L_i . Hence $1 + s_it \leq |\mathcal{O}^*| = 1 + s't$. It follows that $0 \leq s_i \leq s'$ and hence $s_1 = s_2 = \dots = s_t = s'$. Consequently, every line of Γ through a point $a \in \mathcal{O}^*$ is also a line of Γ^* and every point of \mathcal{O}^* is collinear with a point of \mathcal{P} on L_i . Also, given a line $M \in \mathcal{L}^*$, we may choose the point a above to be the unique point of \mathcal{O}^* on M , so then $M = L_i$, for some i , and M has exactly $1 + s_i = 1 + s'$ points of \mathcal{P} on it.

Thus Γ^* is an incidence structure in which there are exactly $1+t$ lines through each point and $1+s'$ points on each line. For Γ^* to be a generalized quadrangle, we need $p \triangleright L \in \mathcal{P}$ and $L \triangleright p \in \mathcal{L}$ for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}$. The latter of these, that $L \triangleright p \in \mathcal{L}$, follows from the fact that all $1+t$ lines of Γ through p belong to \mathcal{L} . For the former, let a be the unique point of \mathcal{O}^* on $L \triangleright p$. Then $p \triangleright L = a \triangleright L$, which is in \mathcal{P} by the previous paragraph where we saw that every point of \mathcal{O}^* is collinear with a point of \mathcal{P} on L .

Thus Γ^* is a generalized quadrangle with order (s', t) or it is a dual grid if $s' = 1$ (see [8, p1]). Also, as the points in \mathcal{P} are all on lines of \mathcal{S} whose elements are pairwise opposite, the set \mathcal{S} is a spread of Γ^* . Similarly, \mathcal{O}^* is an ovoid. As a consequence, we have $s' < t^2$ since generalized quadrangles of order (t^2, t) do not admit spreads. In order to show that $\Gamma^* = \Gamma$ and $\mathcal{O}^* = \mathcal{O}$, we have only to show that $s' = s$. To this end, we first show that $t|s'$. Notice that the claims that $t|s$ and $s < t^2$ will follow once we have shown that $s' = s$.

Let $a \in \mathcal{O}^*$ and let $L \neq a^\rho$ be a line through a . Let $\mathcal{B} = \{K \in \mathcal{S} \mid K \neq a^\rho \text{ and } K \text{ meets } L\}$ be the set of lines K in \mathcal{S} that meet L in a point of \mathcal{P}^* . There are exactly s' points of \mathcal{P}^* on L and each of these is on a unique line of \mathcal{S} . Thus $|\mathcal{B}| = s'$. Let $K \in \mathcal{B}$ and $g \in H(a)$. Then $a^\rho \neq K^g \in \mathcal{S}$ and K^g meets L since L is fixed by g . Thus $H(a)$ fixes \mathcal{B} . Finally, since $H(a)$ is semiregular on $\mathcal{S} \setminus \{a^\rho\}$, it is semiregular on \mathcal{B} and so the order $|H(a)|$ divides $|\mathcal{B}|$. Hence $t|s'$ and so Γ^* is a generalized quadrangle and not a dual grid.

We now rely heavily on the material in Section 2.1.

If $s' < s$ then $s' \leq t < s$. Since $t|s'$, we then have $s' = t$ and hence $s = t^2$. So Γ^* has order t and Γ has order (t^2, t) , and consequently, every point of $\mathcal{O} \setminus \mathcal{O}^*$ lies on a line of Γ^* . Let $a \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \mathcal{O}^*$ and let $L \in \mathcal{L}$ be such a line through a . As \mathcal{O}^* is an ovoid of Γ^* , there is a point $b \in \mathcal{O}^*$ on L . But then a and b are distinct collinear points of \mathcal{O} , contrary to \mathcal{O} being an ovoid of Γ . Therefore we cannot have $s' < s$ after all. It follows that $s' = s$ and so $\Gamma^* = \Gamma$ and $\mathcal{O}^* = \mathcal{O}$.

All that remains is to show that G is transitive on \mathcal{O} .

Let $L \notin \mathcal{S}$ be a line through the point u of \mathcal{O} . Let $w \in \mathcal{O}$ be an ovoid point whose corresponding spread line w^ρ is not concurrent with L . Letting $K \in \mathcal{S}$ be the unique spread line passing through the point $w \triangleright L$, there is a collineation $g \in H(K)$ such that $u^g = w$. As there are $(st+1) - (s+1) = s(t-1)$ choices for the point w , the size of the orbit of u under G is at least $s(t-1) + 1 = \frac{1}{2}(st+1) + \frac{1}{2}(s(t-2) + 1) > \frac{1}{2}(st+1) = \frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{O}|$. Similarly, the size of the orbit v^G is also greater than $\frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{O}|$, and so it follows that G is transitive on \mathcal{O} , as required. \square

In view of Lemma 3.1, through each point $a \in \mathcal{O}$ there is a line $a^\rho \in \mathcal{S}$ and the ovoid \mathcal{O} is translation with respect to the flag $\{a, a^\rho\}$ with associated group $H(a)$.

Lemma 3.2. *If $t > 2$ is even then $G \cong \text{Sz}(q)$, where $q = 2^{2e+1}$ for some $e \geq 1$, and its action on \mathcal{O} is the usual 2-transitive representation of $\text{Sz}(q)$.*

Proof. First we show that G acts primitively on \mathcal{O} . Then we show that G does not have a transitive normal subgroup of odd order and that it cannot act on \mathcal{O} as either of the groups $\text{SL}(2, 2^h)$ or $\text{PSU}(3, 2^h)$ in its usual 2-transitive representation. Finally, we apply the theorem of Hering [3] to arrive at the desired result.

As G is transitive by Lemma 3.1, primitivity is equivalent to the stabilizer G_u being a maximal subgroup (see [13, Theorem 8.2]). Let $g \in G \setminus G_u$ and consider the subgroup $K = \langle G_u, g \rangle$. Let $G_0 = \langle H(u), H(u^g) \rangle$. Substituting u^g for v in the foregoing, we have from Lemma 3.1 that G_0 is transitive on \mathcal{O} . Hence $H(v) \leq G_0$ and so $G \leq G_0 \leq K \leq G$. Thus $K = G$. As $g \in G \setminus G_u$ was chosen arbitrarily, it follows that G_u is maximal and therefore G is primitive.

Now we show that G does not have a transitive normal subgroup of odd order by first supposing that it does and then arriving at a contradiction. Suppose then that $M \trianglelefteq G$ is transitive and $|M|$ is odd. Choose $N \leq M$, $N \neq 1$, to be a minimal normal subgroup of G . As $|N|$ is odd, N is solvable by the Feit-Thompson Odd Order Theorem [2]. By [13, Theorem 11.5], a solvable minimal normal subgroup of a primitive group is regular, so N is regular. Thus $G = NG_u$ and for each $g \in G$ there is a unique $n \in N$ and $\bar{g} \in G_u$ such that $g = n\bar{g}$. As $H(u) \trianglelefteq G_u$, we have $NH(u) \trianglelefteq G$, and by the transitivity of N , we have $H(v) \trianglelefteq NH(u)$. Hence $G = \langle H(u), H(v) \rangle \trianglelefteq NH(u)$ and so $G = NH(u)$. By the uniqueness of the element $\bar{g} \in G_u$ for each $g \in G$, we conclude that $H(u) = G_u$. We will arrive at our intended contradiction by exhibiting a collineation $g \in G_u$ that does not fix the point u linewise and so is not an element of $H(u)$. The reader is advised that one's sketch can become quite large while following the next step carefully.

Let K and L be lines through u , distinct from U and distinct from each other. Let $w \neq u$ be a point on K , let $A \in \mathcal{S}$ be the unique spread line passing through w , let $z = A^\rho \triangleright L$, and let $C \in \mathcal{S}$ be the unique spread line passing through z . As $t > 2$, there is a line D through w that is distinct from K , A and $C \triangleright w$. Let $E = D \triangleright z$ and let $f = z \triangleright D$ be the common point on the lines D and E . Let $x \in \mathcal{O}$ be the unique ovoid point on the line D . As u and x are distinct points collinear with the common point w on A , there is a non-trivial collineation $\alpha \in H(A)$ that maps u to x . This α fixes the line $J = A^\rho z$, but as $H(A)$ is semiregular on $\mathcal{S} \setminus \{A\}$, it does not fix the line C and so neither does it fix the point z on C . Hence $x \triangleright J = u^\alpha \triangleright J = (u \triangleright J)^\alpha = z^\alpha \neq z = f \triangleright J$. Thus $x \neq f$. Let $y \in \mathcal{O}$ be the unique ovoid point on the line E . Then also $y \neq f$. Finally, let B be the line of \mathcal{S} through f . Now there is a $\beta \in H(B)$ such that $x^\beta = y$ and a $\gamma \in H(C)$ such that $y^\gamma = u$. The collineation $g = \alpha\beta\gamma$ is then in the stabilizer G_u . Furthermore, $K^g = K^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = D^{\beta\gamma} = E^\gamma = L \neq K$ and so $g \notin H(u)$. Thus we have arrived at the desired contradiction and we conclude that G does not have a transitive normal subgroup of odd order.

Now we show that G is neither $\text{SL}(2, 2^h)$ nor $\text{PSU}(3, 2^h)$ in its usual 2-transitive representation.

First, notice that the stabilizer $G_{u,v}$ fixes the point $w = v \triangleright U$. As there are other points of \mathcal{O} collinear with w and there are also points of \mathcal{O} that are not collinear with w , it follows that $G_{u,v}$ is not transitive on $\mathcal{O} \setminus \{u, v\}$. Hence G is not 3-transitive and so it is not the group $\text{SL}(2, 2^h)$ with its usual 2-transitive action, since for even q we have $\text{SL}(2, q) \cong \text{PSL}(2, q) \cong \text{PGL}(2, q)$ and the last of these is sharply 3-transitive (for any q). Suppose then that $G \cong \text{PSU}(3, q)$ with its usual 2-transitive action. Then $st + 1 = |\mathcal{O}| = q^3 + 1$ and $G_{u,v}$ has two orbits of size 1, one of size $q - 1$ and the remainder all have size $(q^2 - 1)/(q + 1, 3)$ (see the end of Section 1 in [7]). In addition to u and v , there are precisely $t - 1$ other points of \mathcal{O} collinear with w and these are partitioned into orbits under $G_{u,v}$. As the size of each of these orbits is divisible by $q - 1$, we have $q - 1 | t - 1$. Since $s < t^2$ by Lemma 3.1, we

have $q^3 = st < t^3$, which implies that $q < t$, and so $st = q^3 < qt^2$. Thus $s/t < q$, where s/t is an integer by Lemma 3.1. Next, $q^3 - 1 = st - 1 = (s/t - 1)t^2 + (t^2 - 1)$. Since $q - 1 | t - 1$, the numbers $q - 1$ and t^2 are coprime and we conclude that $q - 1 | s/t - 1$. Together with $s/t < q$, we then have $s = t$. Hence $q^3 = t^2$ so $q = z^2$ and $t = z^3$ for some integer $z > 1$. Now $q - 1 | t - 1$ becomes $z^2 - 1 | z^3 - 1$ and this implies that $z + 1 | z(z + 1) + 1$, which is absurd. Therefore G is not the group $\text{PSU}(3, q)$ with its usual 2-transitive action.

Finally, since $|H(u)| = t$ is even, the group $H(u)$ is a normal subgroup of G_u of even order that is semiregular on $\mathcal{O} \setminus \{u\}$. Thus we may apply Theorem 2.2. Notice that the group $\langle Q^G \rangle$ in the statement of that theorem is here $\langle H(u)^G \rangle = \langle H(u), H(v) \rangle = G$. In view of what we have shown above about G , we conclude that $G \cong \text{Sz}(q)$, where $q = 2^{2e+1}$ for some $e \geq 1$, and that its action on \mathcal{O} is the usual 2-transitive representation of $\text{Sz}(q)$. \square

Having established the nature of the group G and its action on \mathcal{O} , we are now in a position to determine the structure of the generalized quadrangle Γ . With this, we complete the proof of the Main Theorem.

Lemma 3.3. *If t is even then $\Gamma \cong Q(4, 2^{2e+1})$, for some $e \geq 0$, and \mathcal{O} is a Suzuki-Tits ovoid.*

Proof. To begin, consider the case that $t = 2$. Then $s = 2$ by Lemma 3.1, so Γ has order 2. The result now follows from the fact that up to isomorphism there is a unique generalized quadrangle of order 2 and it, in turn, has a unique ovoid up to isomorphism (see [8, §6.1]).

Suppose then that $t > 2$. By Lemma 3.2, $G \cong \text{Sz}(q)$ with $q = 2^{2e+1}$ for some $e \geq 1$, and its action on \mathcal{O} is the usual 2-transitive action of $\text{Sz}(q)$. Hence $|\mathcal{O}| = q^2 + 1$ and we can endow \mathcal{O} with the structure of an inversive plane \mathcal{M} in such a way that the action of G on \mathcal{O} is as an automorphism group of \mathcal{M} .

Let $a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}$ be three distinct points of \mathcal{O} . Let \mathcal{C} be the unique circle of \mathcal{M} containing a, b and c , let Q be the unique Sylow 2-subgroup of $G_{\mathcal{C}}$ and let w be the point in \mathcal{C} that is fixed by Q . As $|H(w)| = t$ is even and $H(w) \leq G_w$, the associated group $H(w)$ contains an involution that belongs to G_w and so also to Q . Since $H(w) \leq G_w$ and G_w is transitive on $Q \setminus \{1\}$, it follows that $Q \leq H(w)$. Taking the orders of these groups, we have $q \leq t$. But $st + 1 = |\mathcal{O}| = q^2 + 1$ and $t \leq s$ by Lemma 3.1, so we conclude that $s = t = q$. Hence $Q = H(w)$. As Q is transitive on $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{w\}$ and $H(w)$ leaves the line w^ρ fixed pointwise, it follows that the points of \mathcal{C} are collinear with a common point on w^ρ . In particular, the three points a, b and c are collinear with a common point. As these points were chosen arbitrarily, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that the generalized quadrangle Γ is isomorphic to $Q(4, q)$. Finally, the ovoid \mathcal{O} is a Suzuki-Tits ovoid since it arises from a polarity by Theorem 3.1. \square

References

- [1] P. DEMBOWSKI, Finite Geometries. Ergebnisse Math. Grenzgeb. **44**. Berlin 1968.
- [2] W. FEIT and J. G. THOMPSON, Solvability of groups of odd order. Pacific J. Math. **13**, 775–1029 (1963).
- [3] C. HERING, On subgroups with trivial normalizer intersection. J. Algebra **20**, 622–629 (1972).

- [4] D. HIGMAN, Partial geometries, generalized quadrangles and strongly regular graphs. In: *Atti del Convegno di Geometria Combinatoria e sua Applicazioni*. Perugia, pp. 265–293, 1971.
- [5] H. LÜNEBURG, *Translation Planes*. New York 1980.
- [6] A. OFFER and H. VAN MALDEGHEM, Spreads and ovoids translation with respect to disjoint flags. In: *Proceedings of the Third Pythagorean Conference, Rhodes, Greece, 2003*, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **32**, 351–367 (2004).
- [7] M. O’NAN, Automorphisms of unitary block designs. *J. Algebra* **20**, 495–511 (1972).
- [8] S. E. PAYNE and J. A. THAS, *Finite Generalized Quadrangles*. *Res. Notes Math.* **110**. London 1984.
- [9] M. SUZUKI, A new type of simple groups of finite order. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **46**, 868–870 (1960).
- [10] M. SUZUKI, On a class of doubly transitive groups. *Ann. of Math.* **76**(2), 105–145 (1962).
- [11] J. A. THAS, On 4-gonal configurations. *Geom. Dedicata* **2**, 317–326 (1973).
- [12] J. TITS, Les groupes simples de Suzuki et de Ree. *Sém. Bourbaki* **210**, 1960/61.
- [13] H. WIELANDT, *Finite Permutation Groups*. New York 1964.

Received: 14 May 2004

A. Offer, K. Thas and H. Van Maldeghem
Vakgroep Zuivere Wiskunde en Computeralgebra
Gebouw S22
Galglaan 2
B-9000 Gent
Belgium
aoffer@cage.ugent.be
kthas@cage.ugent.be
hvm@cage.ugent.be