On certain twin buildings over tree diagrams
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Abstract

We classify twin buildings over tree diagrams such that all rank 2 residues are either finite Moufang polygons but no octagons, or Moufang polygons associated to the groups PGL₃, PSp₄ or G₂. As a byproduct, we obtain some results on Moufang sets.

1 Introduction

Twin buildings generalize spherical buildings in a natural way and it is presumed in [12] that the methods used in the classification of the spherical buildings can be applied to classify the 2-spherical (all $m_{ij}$ are finite) twin buildings as well. In loc. cit. it is shown that the foundation of a 2-spherical twin building (i.e. its local structure) is Moufang (see Section 6.1. in loc. cit.) and it is conjectured that almost all Moufang foundations can be realized as local structures of twin buildings (see Conjecture 2 in loc. cit.).

The purpose of this note is to classify all finite Moufang foundations over ‘tree diagrams’ and to verify the validity of the conjecture mentioned above for those foundations which have no octagons as rank 2 residues.

Using [10], [12] and [5] one obtains the following theorem as a consequence of our results:

---
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Main Theorem. Let $M$ be a Coxeter diagram over a finite set $I$ satisfying $m_{ij} < 8$ whose underlying graph is a connected tree and let $B$ be a twin building of type $M$ such that $B$ has no rank 2 residue associated to $\text{PSp}_4(2)$, $G_2(2)$ or $G_2(3)$.

Suppose $B$ satisfies one of the following:

(i) There exists a field $k$ such that each rank 2 residue is associated to $\text{PGL}_2(k) \times \text{PGL}_2(k)$, $\text{PGL}_3(k)$, $\text{PSp}_4(k)$ or $G_2(k)$.

(ii) All rank 1 residues are finite.

Then $B$ is known.

In order to establish the uniqueness in parts (i) and (ii), one uses [12] and [5].

The twin buildings arising in part (i) are precisely those which correspond to Kac-Moody groups of adjoint type over $k$, which are associated to the appropriate generalized Cartan matrices. The existence of these twin buildings follows from [10] and [12].

The buildings arising in part (ii) can be obtained by twisting twin buildings which are associated to Kac-Moody groups over finite fields. The existence of these twin buildings will be established in Section 5.

The classification of the finite Moufang foundations over ‘tree diagrams’ relies on the fact that each finite Moufang polygon satisfies a certain property (Ind) (see Proposition 2); the fact that each finite polygon and each polygon associated to a split algebraic group over any field has the property (Ind) is proved in Section 2. In order to construct buildings over tree diagrams whose local structure is isomorphic to a given finite Moufang foundation we extend the theory of Steinberg twists to certain Kac-Moody groups over fields (see Section 4); starting with a Moufang foundation $\mathcal{F}$ over a tree diagram we will construct a twin building $B$ and an automorphism $\gamma$ of $B$ such that the fixed point structure of $\gamma$ in $B$ is a twin building whose local structure is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}$.

Remark. If the Coxeter diagram $M$ is simply laced, then our main result is already an immediate consequence of [12], Section 6.5 and [5].

As described above, the present paper can be seen as a contribution to the classification program which is outlined in [12]. Most of the concepts occurring in this note are already contained in loc. cit., to which we refer the reader for the notation and definitions used here and for further information about twin buildings.

2 Moufang sets induced from Moufang polygons

We adopt the definitions from [12] 4.4:

A Moufang set is a system $(X, (U_x)_{x \in X})$ consisting of a set $X$ and a family of groups of permutations of $X$ indexed by $X$ itself and satisfying the following conditions:

\begin{enumerate}[(MoS1)]
\item $U_x$ fixes $x$ and is simply transitive on $X \setminus \{x\}$.
\item In the full permutation group of $X$, each $U_x$ normalizes the set of subgroups $\{U_y \mid y \in X\}$.
\end{enumerate}
The point \( x \) in (MoS1) is called the center of \( U_x \). The group \( U_x \) shall be called a root group. And the elements of \( U_x \) are often called root elations.

Let \((X, (U_x)_{x \in X}), (Y, (V_y)_{y \in Y})\) be Moufang sets. A mapping \( \alpha : X \to Y \) is an isomorphism between the Moufang sets \((X, (U_x)_{x \in X})\) and \((Y, (V_y)_{y \in Y})\), if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) \( \alpha \) is a bijection from \( X \) onto \( Y \);

(ii) For each \( x \in X \) the mapping \( \pi \to \alpha \circ \pi \circ \alpha^{-1} \) defines an isomorphism from \( U_x \) onto \( U_{\alpha(x)} \).

Let \( n \geq 3 \), let \( \Gamma \) be a generalized Moufang \( n \)-gon and let \( \mathcal{B} \) be the associated building of rank 2. Let \( P \) be a panel of \( \mathcal{B} \), let \( x \) be a chamber in \( P \) and let \( \phi \) be a root containing \( x \) such that \( P \) is on the boundary of \( \phi \). Then the root group \( U_{\phi} \) fixes \( x \) and it acts regularly on \( P \setminus \{x\} \); it is a fact that this action does not depend on the choice of the root \( \phi \). This shows in particular that the panels of a Moufang polygon carry an induced structure of a Moufang set. We denote that Moufang set by \( U_P \).

Throughout this note we will be interested in Moufang polygons \( \Gamma \) which have the following property:

(Ind) The full automorphism group of \( \Gamma \) induces on each panel the full group of automorphisms of \( U_P \).

It seems that there are very few Moufang polygons which satisfy (Ind). This will be made clear in the remainder of this section. Our main goal is to prove the following result.

**Proposition 1**

(i) Let \( k \) be a field and let \( \Gamma \) be the polygon associated to the group \( \text{PGL}_3(k), \text{PSp}_4(k) \) or \( G_2(k) \). Then \( \Gamma \) has the property (Ind).

(ii) Let \( \Gamma \) be a finite Moufang polygon. Then \( \Gamma \) has the property (Ind).

This will follow from lemmas 1 and 3 below. However, in order to provide a more solid reference for these things, we will prove more results than we will use in this paper. For instance, we note that Moufang polygons which are not projective planes have two kinds of panels. For some Moufang polygons, (Ind) is satisfied only for one kind of panels (see Lemma 4).

Since the remainder of this section deals with generalized polygons, we adopt some of the notation of the theory of these objects. Let \( \Gamma \) be a generalized \( n \)-gon, \( n \geq 3 \). For a point or a line \( P \), we denote by \( \Gamma(P) \) the set of elements of \( \Gamma \) incident with \( P \). An axial collineation of \( \Gamma \) is an automorphism of \( \Gamma \) fixing all points and lines at distance \( \leq n/2 \) from some fixed line \( L \), which is called the axis of the collineation.

**Lemma 1** Let \( \Gamma \) be a finite Moufang polygon. Then \( \Gamma \) has property (Ind).

Let \( P \) be a panel (a point or a line) of any finite Moufang polygon \( \Gamma \). Let \( \alpha \) be an automorphism of \( U_P \). Then, by definition, \( \alpha \) induces by conjugation in the full symmetric group of \( \Gamma(P) \) an automorphism of the group generated by the root
elations. All these groups are well-known: they are $\operatorname{PSL}_2(q)$, $\operatorname{PSU}_3(q)$ or $\operatorname{Sz}(q)$, for appropriate prime powers $q$. The automorphism groups are respectively the groups $\operatorname{PGL}_2(q)$, $\operatorname{PGU}_3(q)$ and $\operatorname{Sz}(q)$ extended by the field automorphisms of respectively $\operatorname{GF}(q)$, $\operatorname{GF}(q^2)$ and $\operatorname{GF}(q)$. But these are also the stabilizers, modulo the kernel, of $\Gamma(P)$ in the full group of automorphisms of $\Gamma$. The lemma is proved. 

**Lemma 2** A Moufang projective plane $\Gamma$ satisfies (Ind) if and only if it is a desarguesian plane over a skew field $k$ admitting no anti-automorphism. In particular, all pappian planes satisfy (Ind); no non-desarguesian Moufang plane satisfies (Ind).

**Proof.** First let $\Gamma$ be a desarguesian projective plane coordinatized by the skew field $k$, i.e., $\Gamma = \operatorname{PG}(2, k)$ can be defined — up to duality — as follows. The set of points is the set of classes of triples $(x, y, z)k^\times = \{ (xr, yr, zr) : r \in k^\times \}$, where $k^\times = k \setminus \{0\}$; the set of lines likewise consists of the classes of triples $k^\times[A, B, C]$; a point $(x, y, z)k^\times$ is incident with a line $k^\times[A, B, C]$ if and only if $Az + By + Cz = 0$. The points of the line $L := k^\times[0, 0, 1]$ form a Moufang set $\mathcal{U}_L$ with respect to the translations (elations) induced on $L$. It is well known (and, in fact, easily calculated) that a generic element of the root group with center $(1, 0, 0)k^\times$ can be written as

$$
\phi_X : \Gamma(L) \to \Gamma(L) : \begin{cases} (x, 1, 0)k^\times \mapsto (x + X, 1, 0)k^\times, \\ (1, 0, 0)k^\times \mapsto (1, 0, 0)k^\times, 
\end{cases}
$$

with $X \in k$. Similarly, one can write a generic element of the root group with center $(0, 1, 0)k^\times$ as

$$
\theta_Y : \Gamma(L) \to \Gamma(L) : \begin{cases} (x, 1, 0)k^\times \mapsto ((x^{-1} + Y^{-1})^{-1}, 1, 0)k^\times, \\ (-Y, 1, 0)k^\times \mapsto (1, 0, 0)k^\times, \\ (1, 0, 0)k^\times \mapsto (Y, 1, 0)k^\times, 
\end{cases}
$$

with $Y \in k^\times$ (the identity is obtained by symbolically putting $Y = \infty$ with $\infty^{-1} = 0$ and $(\infty, 1, 0) = (1, 0, 0)$). Note that the same root groups are obtained by the opposite skew field $k^{\text{opp}}$. The group $\operatorname{PGL}_2(k)$, which acts on $\Gamma(L)$ as a subgroup of $\operatorname{PGL}_3(k)$ (the group of projective linear transformations of $\Gamma$), is of course an automorphism group of $\mathcal{U}_L$. Note that here the group $\operatorname{PGL}_2(k)$ is the group of all similarities of the projective line $\Gamma(L)$; a general element is of the form

$$
\Gamma(L) \to \Gamma(L) : (x, y, 0)k^\times \mapsto (ax + b, cy + d, 0)k^\times,
$$

with $a, b, c, d \in k$, $ac^{-1} - bd^{-1} \neq 0$. This group clearly acts triply transitively on $\Gamma(L)$. Hence, if $\sigma$ is an arbitrary automorphism of $\mathcal{U}_L$, we may assume that it fixes the points $(1, 0, 0)k^\times$, $(0, 1, 0)k^\times$ and $(1, 1, 0)k^\times$. Consequently $\sigma$ stabilizes the root groups with center $(1, 0, 0)k^\times$ and $(0, 1, 0)k^\times$, respectively, and we can view $\sigma$ as a permutation of the skew field $k$ via the identification $x \mapsto (x, 1, 0)$ (by abuse of language, we denote that permutation also by $\sigma$). This readily implies that $\phi_X$ is mapped by conjugation onto $\phi_{\sigma(X)}$; hence $\sigma(a + X) = \sigma(a) + \sigma(X)$ and $\sigma$ is additive. Also, $\theta_1$ is mapped by conjugation onto itself, hence $\sigma((1 + x^{-1})^{-1}) = (1 + (\sigma(x))^{-1})^{-1}$. By a result of Hua [1], this implies that $\sigma$ is either an automorphism or an anti-automorphism. Conversely, every automorphism or anti-automorphism
of $k$ clearly gives rise to an automorphism of $\mathcal{U}_L$. Hence we have determined all automorphisms of $\mathcal{U}_L$. In fact, this result is well-known, see Tits [8], 8.10.

Now we show that the automorphisms of $\mathcal{U}_L$ which correspond to proper anti-automorphisms of $k$ (i.e., anti-automorphisms which are not automorphisms) are not induced by $\Gamma$. Indeed, let $\Psi$ be a collineation of $\Gamma$ stabilizing $L$, $(1,0,0)k^\times$, $(0,1,0)k^\times$ and $(1,1,0)k^\times$ and such that $\Psi((x,y,0)k^\times)=(\sigma(x),\sigma(y),0)k^\times$, with $\sigma$ a proper anti-automorphism of $k$. By composing with a suitable axial collineation of $\Gamma$ (with axis $L$), we may assume that $\Psi$ fixes the points $(0,0,1)k^\times$ and $(1,1,1)k^\times$. Now, $\Psi$ takes the point $(1,y,0)k^\times$, $y \in k^\times$, to the point $(y^{-\sigma},1,0)k^\times$. Using the projection from the point $(0,-1,1)k^\times$ onto the line $k^\times[0,1,0]$, we deduce that $\Psi$ maps $(1,0,z)k^\times$ to $(\sigma(z^{-1}),0,1)k^\times$, $z \in k^\times$. The intersection of the lines through $(0,0,1)k^\times$ and $(1,y,0)k^\times$, and through $(0,1,0)k^\times$ and $(1,0,z)k^\times$, respectively, is the point $(1,y,z)k^\times$. Applying $\Psi$, we see that $(1,y,z)k^\times$ is mapped onto $(1,\sigma(y),\sigma(z))k^\times$. Similarly, $(y^{-1},1,zy^{-1})k^\times$ is mapped onto
\[(\sigma(y^{-1}),1,\sigma(zy^{-1}))k^\times=(\sigma(y)^{-1},1,\sigma(y^{-1}\sigma(z)))k^\times=(1,\sigma(y),\sigma(zy^{-1}))k^\times,\]
which implies that $zy=yz$, for all $z,y \in k^\times$, a contradiction (since this means that $\sigma$ is an automorphism). This shows the lemma for desarguesian planes.

Similarly, one shows that, if an alternative division ring contains an anti-automorphism (its “standard involution”), hence the lemma is completely proved. $
$

Any Moufang set isomorphic to $\mathcal{U}_L$ in the above proof will be called a projective line over $k$. In the remainder, we will only use this notion for $k$ a commutative field.

**Lemma 3** Let $\Gamma$ be a Moufang polygon associated to the symplectic group $\text{PSp}_4(k)$, Dickson’s group $G_2(k)$, or $\text{PGL}_3(k)$, for some commutative field $k$, then $\Gamma$ has property (Ind).

**Proof.** The assertion has been proved for $\text{PGL}_3(k)$ in the previous lemma. So we may assume that $\Gamma$ is the symplectic quadrangle (case $\text{PSp}_4(k)$), or the split Cayley hexagon (case $G_2(k)$). Let $P$ be a panel of $\Gamma$. Then $\mathcal{U}_P$ is isomorphic to a projective line over a (commutative) field $k$. Let $G$ be the automorphism group of $\mathcal{U}_P$ inherited from Aut $\Gamma$. First we claim that $G$ acts triply transitively on $\Gamma(p)$. Indeed, this follows immediately from [14], 4.5.10(ii) ($\text{PSp}_4(k)$) and 4.5.11 ($G_2(k)$).

Now we remark that every field automorphism of $k$ induces a collineation in $\Gamma$ via its standard embedding in $\text{PG}(3,k)$ (symplectic quadrangle) or in $\text{PG}(6,k)$ (split Cayley hexagon). Indeed, for instance the split Cayley hexagon has a representation in $\text{PG}(6,k)$ such that its points are all points of the quadric with equation $X_0X_4+X_1X_5+X_2X_6=X_3^2$ and its lines are all lines whose grassmannian coordinates satisfy six linear equations with coefficients 0, 1 or $-1$ (see [6]). Hence applying a field automorphism to the coordinates in $\text{PG}(6,k)$ induces a collineation of the hexagon. Such a collineation can be chosen to stabilize $P$ and at least three chambers containing $p$. It follows that the full automorphism group of $\mathcal{U}_P$ is induced by $\Gamma$.

The lemma is proved. $
$
Lemma 4 Let $\Gamma$ be the Moufang octagon associated to the Ree group $\mathbf{2}F_4(k, \tau)$, with $k$ a perfect field of characteristic 2 in which the Frobenius automorphism has the square root $\tau$. Let $P$ be a panel for which $\mathcal{U}_P$ is induced by multiple roots (or equivalently, for which the group generated by the root elations is a Suzuki group over $k$). Then the automorphism group of $\mathcal{U}_P$ is induced by $\text{Aut} \Gamma$.

Proof. The root groups of Moufang set $\mathcal{U}_P$ correspond to the normal regular subgroups of the parabolic subgroups of the Suzuki group $\text{Sz}(k, \tau)$ over $k$ and with respect to $\tau$, and we may identify $\Gamma(P)$ with a Suzuki-Tits ovoid in $\text{PG}(3, k)$.

Let $\Psi$ be an automorphism of $\mathcal{U}_P$. By the double transitivity, we may assume that $\Psi$ fixes two given points $x$ and $y$ of the Suzuki-Tits ovoid. By [9], we may identify the elements of $(P)$ with the pairs $(a, b) \in k^2$ (identifying $x$ with $(0, 0)$) and the root group with center $y$ defines an operation $(a, b)(c, d) = (a+c, b+d+c\tau(a))$ (this is the image of $(c, d)$ under the root elation with center $y$ mapping $x = (0, 0)$ to $(a, b)$).

Now $\Psi$ acts by conjugation as an automorphism of the root group with center $y$. Hence it stabilizes the set of elements of order 2. This set clearly consists of all root elations mapping $x$ to $(0, b), b \in k$. This implies that $\Psi$, as a permutation of the Suzuki-Tits ovoid (viewed as an inversive plane) $\Gamma(P)$, stabilizes the block through $x$ and $y$ with corner $x$ (with the terminology of [13]; this corner is called le “nœud” in Tits [7]). Consequently, every automorphism of $\mathcal{U}_P$ stabilizes the corresponding Suzuki-Tits ovoid as an STI-plane in the sense of loc. cit.). Since by loc. cit., the symplectic quadrangle over $k$, and consequently the projective space $\text{PG}(3, k)$, can be defined only in terms of the elements, the geometric structure implied by the corners, and the incidence relation of the corresponding Suzuki-Tits ovoid, $\Psi$ induces an automorphism of $\text{PG}(3, k)$. Hence $\Psi$ acts as a projective semi-linear transformation of $\text{PG}(3, k)$. If $\Psi$ acts as a projective linear transformation, then it is generated by root elements (it belongs to $\text{Sz}(k, \tau)$) and consequently induced by $\Gamma$. If it is not a projective linear transformation, then, it is induced by a field automorphism $\sigma$ (which centralizes $\tau$). Considering a suitable coordinate representation of $\Gamma$, see e.g. [2], we see that $\Psi$ is induced by letting $\sigma$ act on the coordinates of the elements, hence $\Psi$ is induced by $\Gamma$.

The previous lemmas are the most general things that can be proved on condition (Ind). For other Moufang polygons, the specific properties of the underlying skew field will be important, in particular, the structure of the automorphism and duality groups of this skew field. For instance, if $\Gamma$ is the Moufang octagon associated to the Ree group $\mathbf{2}F_4(k, \tau)$, and $P$ is a panel such that $\mathcal{U}_P$ is the projective line over $k$, then every field automorphism of $k$, viewed as an automorphism of $\mathcal{U}_P$, and which is induced by $\Gamma$, must commute with $\tau$. Hence if there is some field automorphism which does not commute with $\tau$, then $\Gamma$ does not satisfy (Ind); if every field automorphism commutes with $\tau$, then $\Gamma$ does satisfy (Ind).

3 Moufang foundations

Let $I$ be a set and let $M$ be a Coxeter diagram over $I$; for each subset $J$ of $I$ we let $M_J$ denote the restriction of $M$ onto the set $J$ and $I'$ denotes the set of all subsets of $I$ which have cardinality 2.

A foundation of type $M$ is a triple $((B_J)_{J \in I'}, (e_J)_{J \in I'}, (\theta_{jik})_{j \neq i \neq k \in I})$ such that
(i) $\mathcal{B}_J$ is a building of type $M_J$ and $c_J$ is a chamber of $\mathcal{B}_J$ for each $J \in I'$.

(ii) $\theta_{jik}$ is a bijection from the $i$-panel of $c_{\{i,j\}}$ onto the $i$-panel of $c_{\{i,k\}}$ which maps $c_{\{i,j\}}$ on $c_{\{i,k\}}$ and $\theta_{jil} \circ \theta_{jik} = \theta_{jil}$ for all $i, j, k, l \in I$ satisfying $i \notin \{j, k, l\}$.

Suppose now that $M$ is 2-spherical (i.e. all $m_{ij}$ are finite) and that $M$ has no isolated nodes. A foundation $\mathcal{F} = ((\mathcal{B}_J)_{J \in I'}, (c_J)_{J \in I'}, (\theta_{jik})_{J \notin \{i, k\}})$ will be called a Moufang foundation if the following holds:

(MoF1) If $J \in I'$ is such that $M_J$ is irreducible, then $\mathcal{B}_J$ is a Moufang building.

(MoF2) If $j \neq i \neq k$ are elements of $I$ such that $M_{\{i,j\}}$ and $M_{\{i,k\}}$ are irreducible, then $\theta_{jik}$ is an isomorphism between the Moufang sets which are induced from $\mathcal{B}_{\{i,j\}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\{i,k\}}$ respectively.

The following proposition is obtained by an easy induction on the cardinality of $I$:

Proposition 2 Suppose that $M$ is a 2-spherical Coxeter diagram over a set $I$ whose graph is a tree and let $\mathcal{F} = ((\mathcal{B}_J)_{J \in I'}, (c_J)_{J \in I'}, (\theta_{jik})_{J \notin \{i, k\}})$ be a Moufang foundation of type $M$. If $\mathcal{B}_J$ satisfies the condition (Ind) for all $J \in I'$ for which $M_J$ is irreducible, then $\mathcal{F}$ is uniquely determined by $\mathcal{B}(J)_{J \in I'}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a building of type $M$ and let $c$ be a chamber of $\mathcal{B}$. Then the union of all rank 2 residues of $\mathcal{B}$ which contain $c$ provides a foundation $\mathcal{F}_c$ in a canonical way. A foundation $\mathcal{F}$ of type $M$ will be called integrated in the building $\mathcal{B}$ if there is a chamber $c$ in $\mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_c$. A foundation $\mathcal{F}$ of type $M$ will be called integrated if there exists a twin building $\mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathcal{F}$ is integrated in a ‘half’ of $\mathcal{B}$; in this case we will also say that $\mathcal{F}$ is integrated in the twin building $\mathcal{B}$.

4 Steinberg twists of Kac-Moody twin buildings

We start with a general remark about Moufang twin buildings: Let $I$ be a finite set of cardinality at least 2 and let $M$ be a Coxeter diagram over $I$ having no isolated nodes. Suppose that $\mathcal{B}$ is a twin building of type $M$ which satisfies the Moufang property. Then the root groups are uniquely determined in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{B})$ by the combinatorial structure of $\mathcal{B}$; in particular: the group $G(\mathcal{B})$ generated by all root groups is a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{B})$. Hence each automorphism $\gamma$ of $\mathcal{B}$ induces an automorphism $\gamma^*$ on $G(\mathcal{B})$.

In the following we let $I$ be a set and $A = (A_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ be a generalized Cartan matrix over $I$ such that $A_{ij}A_{ji} \leq 3$ for any two distinct $i, j \in I$ and such that the associated Coxeter diagram $\Gamma$ has no irreducible component of rank smaller than 3. Given a field $k$, then we let $\mathcal{B}_A(k)$ denote the twin building associated to Kac-Moody group of adjoint type over $k$ which corresponds to $A$ (cf. [10], [12]). The building $\mathcal{B}_A(k)$ is Moufang and the root groups are all isomorphic to the additive group of $k$ (which will be denoted by $k^+$ in the following).

Proposition 3 Let $k$ be a field of cardinality at least 4 and let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_A(k)$. Let $\Sigma$ be a twin apartment of $\mathcal{B}$, let $c$ be a chamber contained in $\Sigma$; for $i \in I$ let $\alpha_i$ denote the root of $\Sigma$ which contains $c$ and which has the $i$-panel of $c$ on its boundary and let $U_i$ denote the corresponding root group.
(i) There exists a system of isomorphisms \((x_i : k^+ \rightarrow U_i)_{i \in I}\) with the following property: If \(\pi\) is a permutation of \(I\) such that \(A_{x_i\pi} = A_{ij}\) for all \(i, j \in I\) and if \(\sigma\) is an automorphism of \(k\), then there exists a unique automorphism \(\gamma\) of \(B\) fixing \(c\) and stabilizing \(\Sigma\) such that \((x_i(t))^{\gamma} = x_i(t^\sigma)\) for all \(i \in I, t \in k\).

(ii) Let \((x_i)_{i \in I}, \pi, \sigma,\) and \(\gamma\) be as above, let \(\hat{I}\) be the set of orbits of \(\langle \pi \rangle\) in \(I\) and suppose that the restriction of \(M\) onto each orbit is spherical. Then \(\hat{B} := C_B(\gamma)\) is a thick Moufang twin building.

Note about the proof. The local structure of the twin building \(\mathcal{B}_A(k)\) is obtained from the commutation relations in the group \(\text{St}_A(k)\) (as defined in [10]). This provides the isomorphisms \((x_i)_{i \in I}\). Now one applies the main result of [5] (here the condition \(|k| \geq 4\) comes in!) in order to construct the automorphism \(\gamma\) with the required properties; its uniqueness follows by the Rigidity Theorem for twin buildings (Théorème 1 in [11]).

Part (ii) of the previous proposition is well-known in the spherical case and a proof of it can be extracted — for instance — from [4]. The arguments generalize to the situation of twin buildings without much change.

5 Finite Moufang foundations over tree diagrams

In the following \(Z_l\) denotes the cyclic group of order \(l\) for each natural number \(l\).

Let \(M\) be a Coxeter diagram over a set \(I\) satisfying \(m_{ij} < 8\) and such that its graph is a connected tree. Let \(\mathcal{F} = ((\mathcal{B}_j)_{j \in I}, (c_j)_{j \in I}, (\theta_{ijk})_{j \neq k \neq l \in I})\) be a finite Moufang foundation of type \(M\). For each \(i \in I\) we have a Moufang set \(\mathcal{M}_i = (X_i, (U_x)_{x \in X_i})\) and the condition \(m_{ij} \neq 8\) implies that there is a prime \(p_i\) and a natural number \(k_i\) such that the Moufang set \(\mathcal{M}_i\) is associated to a group \(\text{PSL}_2(p_i^{k_i})\) or \(\text{PSU}_3(p_i^{k_i}/2)\). As the graph of \(M\) is connected it follows that \(p_i = p_j\) for all \(i, j \in I\); in the following we denote this prime by \(p\).

From basic facts about finite Moufang polygons and Proposition 2 it follows that the foundation \(\mathcal{F}\) is uniquely determined by the following data:

\((i)\) the Moufang sets \(\mathcal{M}_i;\)

\((ii)\) the tree underlying \(M\) (i.e., all \((i, j) \in I \times I\) such that \(m_{ij} > 2\));

\((iii)\) the Dynkin diagram (in other words: the Cartan matrix) of \(\mathcal{B}_{(ij)}\) for all distinct \(i, j \in I\) which satisfy \(|X_i| = |X_j|\).

Given these data, we put \(K = \text{lcm}\{k_i \mid i \in I\}\) and \(G = Z_K\). For \(i \in I\) we set \(l_i = K/k_i\) and \(G_i = G/Z_{l_i}\). Let \(\hat{I}\) denote the set of all pairs \((i, C)\) consisting of an element \(i \in I\) and a coset \(C \in G_i\. We are going to define now a generalized Cartan matrix over the set \(\hat{I}\): We put \(A_{\hat{i}, \hat{j}} = 2\) for all \(\hat{i} \in \hat{I}\).

If \(i, j \in I\) are such that \(m_{ij} = 2\), then we put \(A_{(i, C)(j, D)} = A_{(j, D)(i, C)} = 0\) for all \(C \in G_i, D \in G_j\).

If \(i, j \in I\) are such that \(m_{ij} > 2\) and \(|X_i| = |X_j|\) then we set \(A_{(i, C)(j, D)} = A_{ij}\) if \(C = D\) and \(A_{(i, C)(j, D)} = 0\) in the remaining cases.
If $i, j \in I$ are such that $m_{ij} > 2$ and $|X_i| > |X_j|$ then we set $A_{i,(C)(j,D)} = A_{(j,D)(i,C)} = -1$ if $C$ is contained in $D$ and we set $A_{i,(C)(j,D)} = A_{(j,D)(i,C)} = 0$ in the remaining cases.

If $M_i$ is associated to $L_2(p^{k_i})$ then we put $A_{i,(C)(i,D)} = A_{(j,D)(i,C)} = 0$ if $C \neq D$.

If $M_i$ is associated to $U_3(p^{k_i}/2)$ then we put $A_{i,(C)(i,D)} = A_{(j,D)(i,C)} = -1$ if $C^{-1}D$ has order 2 in $G_i$; we put $A_{i,(C)(i,D)} = A_{(j,D)(i,C)} = 0$ if the order of $C^{-1}D$ is bigger than 2.

The group $Z_K$ has a permutation representation $\Pi : Z_K \to \text{Sym}(\tilde{I})$ via $(i,C)^{\pi(z)} := (i,Cz)$ for all $z \in Z_K$; it is easily verified that $A_{i,j}^{\pi(z)} = A_{i,j}$ for all $\tilde{i}, \tilde{j} \in \tilde{I}, z \in Z_K$. In the following let $z_0$ be a generator of $Z_K$ and set $\pi = \Pi(z_0)$.

Let $k$ be the Galois field $\text{GF}(p^K)$ of order $p^K$, let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(k)$ be the Frobenius automorphism of $k$ and let $\mathcal{B} = B_A(k)$. Suppose first that $|k| \leq 3$, then it is readily verified that the foundation $\mathcal{F}$ is integrated in $\mathcal{B}$.

Suppose now that $|k| \geq 4$: Let $\Sigma, c, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$ be as in Proposition 3 and let $(x_i : \tilde{k} \to U_{\alpha_i})_{i \in \tilde{I}}$ be as asserted in part (i) of Proposition 3; By part (i) of Proposition 3 we obtain an automorphism $\gamma$ of $\mathcal{B}$ which is associated to the pair $(\pi, \sigma)$; We put $\mathcal{B} := C_\mathcal{B}(\gamma)$. The set of orbits of $\pi$ in $\tilde{I}$ can be identified with the set $I$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the Coxeter diagram over $I$ which is associated to the generalized Cartan matrix $A$; by considering the action of $\gamma$ on $\mathcal{M}$ it follows from [3] that the Coxeter diagram associated to $\mathcal{B}$ is precisely $\mathcal{M}$.

Let $i \neq j \in I$. In order to determine the \{i, j\}-residue of $c$ in $\mathcal{B}$ we have to determine the set of fixed points in the $i \cup j$-residue of $c$ in $\mathcal{B}$. It is straightforward to check, that this fixed point set is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}_{\{i,j\}}$.

We illustrate this at an example: Let $i \neq j \in I$ be such that $\mathcal{B}_{\{i,j\}}$ is a generalized hexagon associated to a group $^3D_4$ and let us assume that $k_j = 3k_i$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{ij}$ be the $i \cup j$-residue of $\mathcal{B}$ which contains $c$. Then the Coxeter diagram of $\mathcal{B}_{ij}$ is the product of $k_i$ copies of the diagram $D_4$ and $\mathcal{B}_{ij}$ is associated to the direct product of $k_i$ copies of the Chevalley group $D_4(p^{k_i})$. The fixed point structure of $\gamma^{k_i}$ in $\mathcal{B}_{ij}$ — call it $\mathcal{B}_{ij}'$ — is the building associated to the direct product of $k_i$ copies of the Chevalley group $D_4(p^{k_i})$. Now $\gamma^{k_i}$ acts on $\mathcal{B}_{ij}'$ as an automorphism of order 3 and its fixed point structure — call it $\mathcal{B}_{ij}''$ — is isomorphic to the building associated to the product of $k_i$ copies of the group $^3D_4(p^{k_i})$. Finally, $\gamma$ acts on $\mathcal{B}_{ij}''$ as an automorphism of order $k_i$ which permutes the components of its Coxeter diagram regularly. Thus the fixed point structure of $\gamma$ in the building $\mathcal{B}_{ij}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}_{\{i,j\}}$.

We obtain the following proposition:

**Proposition 4** Let $M$ be a Coxeter matrix satisfying $m_{ij} < 8$ for all $i, j \in I$ and whose underlying graph is a tree. Then each finite Moufang foundation of type $M$ is integrated in a twin building.
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