

Generalized functions, analytic representations, and applications to generalized prime number theory

Jasson Vindas

`jvindas@cage.Ugent.be`

Department of Mathematics
Ghent University

Clifford Analysis Seminar
Ghent, May 27, 2013

Sato's hyperfunctions

A useful idea in analysis is to study functions of a real variable via analytic functions. One looks for representations

$$f(x) = F(x + i0) - F(x - i0) := \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} F(x + iy) - F(x - iy), \quad (1)$$

with suitable interpretation of the limit.

Let $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ be the space of analytic functions on $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. In 1959 Sato introduced the so-called space of **hyperfunctions**

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) := \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}) / \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}).$$

So \mathcal{B} contains all objects “of the form”

$$f(x) = F(x + i0) - F(x - i0).$$

Most spaces occurring in functional analysis are embedded into the space of Sato hyperfunctions.

Sato's hyperfunctions

A useful idea in analysis is to study functions of a real variable via analytic functions. One looks for representations

$$f(x) = F(x + i0) - F(x - i0) := \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} F(x + iy) - F(x - iy), \quad (1)$$

with suitable interpretation of the limit.

Let $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ be the space of analytic functions on $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. In 1959 Sato introduced the so-called space of **hyperfunctions**

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) := \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}) / \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}).$$

So \mathcal{B} contains all objects “of the form”

$$f(x) = F(x + i0) - F(x - i0).$$

Most spaces occurring in functional analysis are embedded into the space of Sato hyperfunctions.

Sato's hyperfunctions

A useful idea in analysis is to study functions of a real variable via analytic functions. One looks for representations

$$f(x) = F(x + i0) - F(x - i0) := \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} F(x + iy) - F(x - iy), \quad (1)$$

with suitable interpretation of the limit.

Let $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ be the space of analytic functions on $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. In 1959 Sato introduced the so-called space of **hyperfunctions**

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) := \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}) / \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}).$$

So \mathcal{B} contains all objects “of the form”

$$f(x) = F(x + i0) - F(x - i0).$$

Most spaces occurring in functional analysis are embedded into the space of Sato hyperfunctions.

Analytic representation of distributions

Starting with the work of Köthe, many authors investigated the problem of representing distributions via analytic functions (Tillmann, Silva, ...). One has:

Theorem

Every distribution admits the representation

$$f(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} F(x + iy) - F(x - iy), \text{ in } \mathcal{D}', \quad (2)$$

where F is analytic except on \mathbb{R} and satisfies: for every compact $[a, b]$ there are constants $K, k > 0$ such that

$$|F(x + iy)| \leq \frac{K}{|y|^k}, \quad x \in [a, b], 0 < |y| < 1. \quad (3)$$

Conversely, if $F \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$ satisfies (3), then (2) exists.

Constructing analytic representations: Cauchy transform

Denoting as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}'}(\mathbb{C})$ the space of analytic functions on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the bounds

$$|F(x + iy)| \leq \frac{K}{|y|^k}, \quad x \in [a, b], 0 < |y| < 1.$$

we obtain $\mathcal{D}' \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}'}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}) / \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C})$.

How to find F ? The simplest way is via the Cauchy transform:

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\langle f(t), \frac{1}{t - z} \right\rangle, \quad \Im m z \neq 0.$$

The Cauchy transform is well-defined in various distribution spaces, e.g. if $f \in \mathcal{E}'$, namely a compactly supported distribution. Recall $f \in \mathcal{E}'$ is the dual of $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{C}^\infty$.

Constructing analytic representations: Cauchy transform

Denoting as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}'}(\mathbb{C})$ the space of analytic functions on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the bounds

$$|F(x + iy)| \leq \frac{K}{|y|^k}, \quad x \in [a, b], 0 < |y| < 1.$$

we obtain $\mathcal{D}' \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}'}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}) / \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C})$.

How to find F ? The simplest way is via the Cauchy transform:

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\langle f(t), \frac{1}{t - z} \right\rangle, \quad \Im z \neq 0.$$

The Cauchy transform is well-defined in various distribution spaces, e.g. if $f \in \mathcal{E}'$, namely a compactly supported distribution. Recall $f \in \mathcal{E}'$ is the dual of $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{C}^\infty$.

Constructing analytic representations: Fourier-Laplace transform

If $f \in \mathcal{S}'$, we can use the Fourier-Laplace transform representation. Decompose the Fourier transform $\hat{f} = \hat{f}_- + \hat{f}_+$, where \hat{f}_- and \hat{f}_+ have supports in $(-\infty, 0]$ and $[0, \infty)$. Then

$$F(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi} \langle \hat{f}_+(u), e^{izu} \rangle & \text{if } \Im m z > 0, \\ -\frac{1}{2\pi} \langle \hat{f}_-(u), e^{izu} \rangle & \text{if } \Im m z < 0. \end{cases}$$

In this case F satisfies the global bound

$$|F(x + iy)| \leq \frac{K(1 + |x| + |y|)^m}{|y|^k}, \quad y \neq 0. \quad (4)$$

Defining $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$, one can show that

$$\mathcal{S}' \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}) / \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$ is the space of polynomials

Constructing analytic representations: Fourier-Laplace transform

If $f \in \mathcal{S}'$, we can use the Fourier-Laplace transform representation. Decompose the Fourier transform $\hat{f} = \hat{f}_- + \hat{f}_+$, where \hat{f}_- and \hat{f}_+ have supports in $(-\infty, 0]$ and $[0, \infty)$. Then

$$F(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi} \langle \hat{f}_+(u), e^{izu} \rangle & \text{if } \Im z > 0, \\ -\frac{1}{2\pi} \langle \hat{f}_-(u), e^{izu} \rangle & \text{if } \Im z < 0. \end{cases}$$

In this case F satisfies the global bound

$$|F(x + iy)| \leq \frac{K(1 + |x| + |y|)^m}{|y|^k}, \quad y \neq 0. \quad (4)$$

Defining $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$, one can show that

$$\mathcal{S}' \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}) / \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$ is the space of polynomials

Constructing analytic representations: Fourier-Laplace transform

If $f \in \mathcal{S}'$, we can use the Fourier-Laplace transform representation. Decompose the Fourier transform $\hat{f} = \hat{f}_- + \hat{f}_+$, where \hat{f}_- and \hat{f}_+ have supports in $(-\infty, 0]$ and $[0, \infty)$. Then

$$F(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi} \langle \hat{f}_+(u), e^{izu} \rangle & \text{if } \Im z > 0, \\ -\frac{1}{2\pi} \langle \hat{f}_-(u), e^{izu} \rangle & \text{if } \Im z < 0. \end{cases}$$

In this case F satisfies the global bound

$$|F(x + iy)| \leq \frac{K(1 + |x| + |y|)^m}{|y|^k}, \quad y \neq 0. \quad (4)$$

Defining $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$, one can show that

$$\mathcal{S}' \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}) / \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C})$ is the space of polynomials

Hardy spaces

The classical Hardy space H^p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, is defined as the space of analytic functions on $\Im m z > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{0 < y \leq 1} \|F(\cdot + iy)\|_p < \infty. \quad (5)$$

A classical result tells us that for every $F \in H^p$,

$$f(x) := \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} F(x + iy)$$

exists a.e. and the limit relation also holds in L^p -norm (in the weak* sense for $p = \infty$). For $p < \infty$, the norm $\|f\|_p$ coincides with (5).

Hardy spaces

The classical Hardy space H^p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, is defined as the space of analytic functions on $\Im m z > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{0 < y \leq 1} \|F(\cdot + iy)\|_p < \infty. \quad (5)$$

A classical result tells us that for every $F \in H^p$,

$$f(x) := \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} F(x + iy)$$

exists a.e. and the limit relation also holds in L^p -norm (in the weak* sense for $p = \infty$). For $p < \infty$, the norm $\|f\|_p$ coincides with (5).

The space \mathcal{D}'_{L^p}

- $\mathcal{D}_{L^p} = \{\phi \in \mathcal{E} : \phi^{(n)} \in L^p, \forall n\}$.
- \mathcal{D}'_{L^p} is the dual of \mathcal{D}_{L^q} where $1/p + 1/q = 1$ (with a technical variant when $p = 1$).

The space \mathcal{D}'_{L^2} is easy to understand: $f \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^2}$ iff $\exists k$ such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(u)|^2 (1 + |u|)^k < \infty.$$

Theorem

A function $F(z)$, analytic in $\Re z > 0$, has boundary values

$$f(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} F(x + iy) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'_{L^p}$$

if there are K, k such that

$$\|F(\cdot + iy)\|_p \leq \frac{K}{y^k}, \quad 0 < y \leq 1.$$

The space \mathcal{D}'_{L^p}

- $\mathcal{D}_{L^p} = \{\phi \in \mathcal{E} : \phi^{(n)} \in L^p, \forall n\}$.
- \mathcal{D}'_{L^p} is the dual of \mathcal{D}_{L^q} where $1/p + 1/q = 1$ (with a technical variant when $p = 1$).

The space \mathcal{D}'_{L^2} is easy to understand: $f \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^2}$ iff $\exists k$ such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(u)|^2 (1 + |u|)^k < \infty.$$

Theorem

A function $F(z)$, analytic in $\Re z > 0$, has boundary values

$$f(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} F(x + iy) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'_{L^p}$$

if there are K, k such that

$$\|F(\cdot + iy)\|_p \leq \frac{K}{y^k}, \quad 0 < y \leq 1.$$

The space \mathcal{D}'_{L^p}

- $\mathcal{D}_{L^p} = \{\phi \in \mathcal{E} : \phi^{(n)} \in L^p, \forall n\}$.
- \mathcal{D}'_{L^p} is the dual of \mathcal{D}_{L^q} where $1/p + 1/q = 1$ (with a technical variant when $p = 1$).

The space \mathcal{D}'_{L^2} is easy to understand: $f \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^2}$ iff $\exists k$ such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(u)|^2 (1 + |u|)^k < \infty.$$

Theorem

A function $F(z)$, analytic in $\Im m z > 0$, has boundary values

$$f(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} F(x + iy) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'_{L^p}$$

if there are K, k such that

$$\|F(\cdot + iy)\|_p \leq \frac{K}{y^k}, \quad 0 < y \leq 1.$$

The space \mathcal{D}'_{L^p}

- $\mathcal{D}_{L^p} = \{\phi \in \mathcal{E} : \phi^{(n)} \in L^p, \forall n\}$.
- \mathcal{D}'_{L^p} is the dual of \mathcal{D}_{L^q} where $1/p + 1/q = 1$ (with a technical variant when $p = 1$).

The space \mathcal{D}'_{L^2} is easy to understand: $f \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^2}$ iff $\exists k$ such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(u)|^2 (1 + |u|)^k < \infty.$$

Theorem

A function $F(z)$, analytic in $\Im m z > 0$, has boundary values

$$f(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} F(x + iy) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'_{L^p}$$

if there are K, k such that

$$\|F(\cdot + iy)\|_p \leq \frac{K}{y^k}, \quad 0 < y \leq 1.$$

Boundary values: Summary

Let $F(z)$ be analytic on the half-plane $\Re z > 0$. Then

$$|F(x + iy)| \leq \frac{K}{y^k} \text{ (locally)} \implies F(x + i0) \in \mathcal{D}' .$$

$$|F(x + iy)| \leq \frac{K(1 + |x| + y)^m}{y^k} \implies F(x + i0) \in \mathcal{S}' .$$

$$\|F(\cdot + iy)\|_p \leq \frac{K}{y^k} \implies F(x + i0) \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^p} .$$

The class of real analytic functions over \mathbb{R} is characterized by

$$\sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f^{(p)}(x)| \leq h^p p!, \quad \text{for some } h = h_{a,b}.$$

Replace $p!$ by a sequence $\{M_p\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying (convexity):

$M_p^2 \leq M_{p-1} M_{p+1}$. Define $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\}} \subset \mathcal{E} (= C^\infty)$ as those functions such that

$$\sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f^{(p)}(x)| \leq h^p M_p, \quad \text{for some } h = h_{a,b}.$$

Example. $M_p = (p!)^s$ gives rise to the Gevrey classes.

Hadamard problem (1912): The class is called quasi-analytic if $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\}} \cap \mathcal{D} = \{0\}$. Find conditions over M_p for quasi-analyticity.

Theorem (Denjoy-Carleman, 1921, 1926)

The class $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\}}$ is quasi-analytic iff

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{M_{p-1}}{M_p} = \infty.$$

The class of real analytic functions over \mathbb{R} is characterized by

$$\sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f^{(p)}(x)| \leq h^p p!, \quad \text{for some } h = h_{a,b}.$$

Replace $p!$ by a sequence $\{M_p\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying (convexity):

$M_p^2 \leq M_{p-1} M_{p+1}$. Define $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\}} \subset \mathcal{E} (= C^\infty)$ as those functions such that

$$\sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f^{(p)}(x)| \leq h^p M_p, \quad \text{for some } h = h_{a,b}.$$

Example. $M_p = (p!)^s$ gives rise to the Gevrey classes.

Hadamard problem (1912): The class is called quasi-analytic if $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\}} \cap \mathcal{D} = \{0\}$. Find conditions over M_p for quasi-analyticity.

Theorem (Denjoy-Carleman, 1921, 1926)

The class $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\}}$ is quasi-analytic iff

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{M_{p-1}}{M_p} = \infty.$$

The class of real analytic functions over \mathbb{R} is characterized by

$$\sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f^{(p)}(x)| \leq h^p p!, \quad \text{for some } h = h_{a,b}.$$

Replace $p!$ by a sequence $\{M_p\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying (convexity):

$M_p^2 \leq M_{p-1} M_{p+1}$. Define $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\}} \subset \mathcal{E} (= C^\infty)$ as those functions such that

$$\sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f^{(p)}(x)| \leq h^p M_p, \quad \text{for some } h = h_{a,b}.$$

Example. $M_p = (p!)^s$ gives rise to the Gevrey classes.

Hadamard problem (1912): The class is called quasi-analytic if $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\}} \cap \mathcal{D} = \{0\}$. Find conditions over M_p for quasi-analyticity.

Theorem (Denjoy-Carleman, 1921, 1926)

The class $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\}}$ is quasi-analytic iff

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{M_{p-1}}{M_p} = \infty.$$

The class of real analytic functions over \mathbb{R} is characterized by

$$\sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f^{(p)}(x)| \leq h^p p!, \quad \text{for some } h = h_{a,b}.$$

Replace $p!$ by a sequence $\{M_p\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying (convexity):

$M_p^2 \leq M_{p-1} M_{p+1}$. Define $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\}} \subset \mathcal{E} (= C^\infty)$ as those functions such that

$$\sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f^{(p)}(x)| \leq h^p M_p, \quad \text{for some } h = h_{a,b}.$$

Example. $M_p = (p!)^s$ gives rise to the Gevrey classes.

Hadamard problem (1912): The class is called quasi-analytic if $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\}} \cap \mathcal{D} = \{0\}$. Find conditions over M_p for quasi-analyticity.

Theorem (Denjoy-Carleman, 1921, 1926)

The class $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\}}$ is quasi-analytic iff

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{M_{p-1}}{M_p} = \infty.$$

The class of real analytic functions over \mathbb{R} is characterized by

$$\sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f^{(p)}(x)| \leq h^p p!, \quad \text{for some } h = h_{a,b}.$$

Replace $p!$ by a sequence $\{M_p\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying (convexity):

$M_p^2 \leq M_{p-1} M_{p+1}$. Define $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\}} \subset \mathcal{E} (= C^\infty)$ as those functions such that

$$\sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f^{(p)}(x)| \leq h^p M_p, \quad \text{for some } h = h_{a,b}.$$

Example. $M_p = (p!)^s$ gives rise to the Gevrey classes.

Hadamard problem (1912): The class is called quasi-analytic if $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\}} \cap \mathcal{D} = \{0\}$. Find conditions over M_p for quasi-analyticity.

Theorem (Denjoy-Carleman, 1921, 1926)

The class $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\}}$ is quasi-analytic iff

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{M_{p-1}}{M_p} = \infty.$$

The sequence

We will work with the following conditions on $\{M_p\}_{p=0}^\infty$:

- (M.1) $M_p^2 \leq M_{p-1}M_{p+1}$ (logarithmic convexity)
- (M.2) $M_p \leq AH^p M_q M_{p-q}$ for $0 \leq q \leq p$ (stability under ultradifferential operators)
- (M.3') $\sum_{p=1}^\infty \frac{M_{p-1}}{M_p} < \infty$ (non-quasianalyticity)

The following two functions are useful:

$$M(\rho) = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \log \left(\frac{\rho^p M_0}{M_p} \right) \quad (\text{the associated function}).$$

$$M^*(\rho) = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \log \left(\frac{\rho^p M_0 p!}{M_p} \right).$$

The nonquasi-analyticity condition (M.3') is equivalent to:

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{M(x)}{x^2} dx < \infty.$$

The sequence

We will work with the following conditions on $\{M_p\}_{p=0}^\infty$:

- (M.1) $M_p^2 \leq M_{p-1}M_{p+1}$ (logarithmic convexity)
- (M.2) $M_p \leq AH^p M_q M_{p-q}$ for $0 \leq q \leq p$ (stability under ultradifferential operators)
- (M.3') $\sum_{p=1}^\infty \frac{M_{p-1}}{M_p} < \infty$ (non-quasianalyticity)

The following two functions are useful:

$$M(\rho) = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \log \left(\frac{\rho^p M_0}{M_p} \right) \quad (\text{the associated function}).$$

$$M^*(\rho) = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \log \left(\frac{\rho^p M_0 p!}{M_p} \right).$$

The nonquasi-analyticity condition (M.3') is equivalent to:

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{M(x)}{x^2} dx < \infty.$$

The sequence

We will work with the following conditions on $\{M_p\}_{p=0}^\infty$:

- (M.1) $M_p^2 \leq M_{p-1} M_{p+1}$ (logarithmic convexity)
- (M.2) $M_p \leq A H^p M_q M_{p-q}$ for $0 \leq q \leq p$ (stability under ultradifferential operators)
- (M.3') $\sum_{p=1}^\infty \frac{M_{p-1}}{M_p} < \infty$ (non-quasianalyticity)

The following two functions are useful:

$$M(\rho) = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \log \left(\frac{\rho^p M_0}{M_p} \right) \quad (\text{the associated function}).$$

$$M^*(\rho) = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \log \left(\frac{\rho^p M_0 p!}{M_p} \right).$$

The nonquasi-analyticity condition (M.3') is equivalent to:

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{M(x)}{x^2} dx < \infty.$$

Ultradistribution spaces

$$\mathcal{S}_h^{M_p} = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{S} : \sup_{x, \alpha, \beta} \frac{(1 + |x|)^\alpha |\phi^{(\beta)}(x)|}{h^{\alpha + \beta} M_\alpha M_\beta} < \infty \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{h, L^q}^{M_p} = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{D}_{L^q} : \sup_{\alpha} \frac{\|\phi^{(\alpha)}\|_q}{h^\alpha M_\alpha} < \infty \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{h, A}^{M_p} = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{D} : \sup_{x \in [-A, A], \alpha} \frac{|\phi^{(\alpha)}(x)|}{h^\alpha M_\alpha} < \infty \right\}.$$

Beurling-type spaces:

$$\mathcal{S}^{(M_p)} = \bigcap_{h > 0} \mathcal{S}_h^{(M_p)}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{L^q}^{(M_p)} = \bigcap_{h > 0} \mathcal{D}_h^{(M_p)}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{(M_p)} = \text{ind} \lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}_A^{(M_p)}, \quad \mathcal{D}_A^{(M_p)} = \bigcap_{h > 0} \mathcal{D}_{h, A}^{(M_p)}$$

Roumieu-type spaces: Replace intersections by unions, resulting spaces: $\mathcal{S}^{\{M_p\}}, \mathcal{D}_{L^q}^{\{M_p\}}, \mathcal{D}^{\{M_p\}}$.

Ultradistribution spaces

$$\mathcal{S}_h^{M_p} = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{S} : \sup_{x, \alpha, \beta} \frac{(1 + |x|)^\alpha |\phi^{(\beta)}(x)|}{h^{\alpha + \beta} M_\alpha M_\beta} < \infty \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{h, L^q}^{M_p} = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{D}_{L^q} : \sup_{\alpha} \frac{\|\phi^{(\alpha)}\|_q}{h^\alpha M_\alpha} < \infty \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{h, A}^{M_p} = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{D} : \sup_{x \in [-A, A], \alpha} \frac{|\phi^{(\alpha)}(x)|}{h^\alpha M_\alpha} < \infty \right\}.$$

Beurling-type spaces:

$$\mathcal{S}^{(M_p)} = \bigcap_{h > 0} \mathcal{S}_h^{(M_p)}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{L^q}^{(M_p)} = \bigcap_{h > 0} \mathcal{D}_h^{(M_p)}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{(M_p)} = \text{ind} \lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}_A^{(M_p)} \quad \mathcal{D}_A^{(M_p)} = \bigcap_{h > 0} \mathcal{D}_{h, A}^{(M_p)}$$

Roumieu-type spaces: Replace intersections by unions, resulting spaces: $\mathcal{S}^{\{M_p\}}, \mathcal{D}_{L^q}^{\{M_p\}}, \mathcal{D}^{\{M_p\}}$.

Ultradistribution spaces

$$\mathcal{S}_h^{M_p} = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{S} : \sup_{x, \alpha, \beta} \frac{(1 + |x|)^\alpha |\phi^{(\beta)}(x)|}{h^{\alpha + \beta} M_\alpha M_\beta} < \infty \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{h, L^q}^{M_p} = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{D}_{L^q} : \sup_{\alpha} \frac{\|\phi^{(\alpha)}\|_q}{h^\alpha M_\alpha} < \infty \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{h, A}^{M_p} = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{D} : \sup_{x \in [-A, A], \alpha} \frac{|\phi^{(\alpha)}(x)|}{h^\alpha M_\alpha} < \infty \right\}.$$

Beurling-type spaces:

$$\mathcal{S}^{(M_p)} = \bigcap_{h > 0} \mathcal{S}_h^{(M_p)}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{L^q}^{(M_p)} = \bigcap_{h > 0} \mathcal{D}_h^{(M_p)}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{(M_p)} = \text{ind} \lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}_A^{(M_p)} \quad \mathcal{D}_A^{(M_p)} = \bigcap_{h > 0} \mathcal{D}_{h, A}^{(M_p)}$$

Roumieu-type spaces: Replace intersections by unions, resulting spaces: $\mathcal{S}^{\{M_p\}}, \mathcal{D}_{L^q}^{\{M_p\}}, \mathcal{D}^{\{M_p\}}$.

Boundary values in ultradistribution spaces

Let $F(z)$ be analytic on $\Im m z > 0$. Assume (M.1), (M.2), (M.3).

- **Beurling case:**

$$(\forall A > 0)(\exists \lambda)(\exists K) \left(|F(x + iy)| \leq Ke^{M^* \left(\frac{\lambda}{y}\right)}, y < 1, |x| \leq A \right) \implies F(x + i0) \in \mathcal{D}'(M_p).$$

$$(\exists \lambda)(\exists K) \left(|F(x + iy)| \leq Ke^{M^* \left(\frac{\lambda}{y}\right)} e^{M(\lambda(|x|+y))} \right) \implies F(x + i0) \in \mathcal{S}'(M_p).$$

$$(\exists \lambda)(\exists K) \left(\|F(\cdot + iy)\|_q \leq Ke^{M^* \left(\frac{\lambda}{y}\right)}, 0 < y < 1 \right) \implies F(x + i0) \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^q}(M_p).$$

- **Roumieu case:** If one replaces $(\exists \lambda)$ by $(\forall \lambda)$, one obtains boundary values in the ultradistribution spaces $\mathcal{D}'\{M_p\}$, $\mathcal{S}'\{M_p\}$, and $\mathcal{D}'_{L^q}\{M_p\}$.

Boundary values in ultradistribution spaces

Let $F(z)$ be analytic on $\Im m z > 0$. Assume (M.1), (M.2), (M.3).

- **Beurling case:**

$$(\forall A > 0)(\exists \lambda)(\exists K) \left(|F(x + iy)| \leq Ke^{M^* \left(\frac{\lambda}{y}\right)}, y < 1, |x| \leq A \right) \implies F(x + i0) \in \mathcal{D}'^{(M_p)}$$

$$(\exists \lambda)(\exists K) \left(|F(x + iy)| \leq Ke^{M^* \left(\frac{\lambda}{y}\right)} e^{M(\lambda(|x|+y))} \right) \implies F(x + i0) \in \mathcal{S}'^{(M_p)}.$$

$$(\exists \lambda)(\exists K) \left(\|F(\cdot + iy)\|_q \leq Ke^{M^* \left(\frac{\lambda}{y}\right)}, 0 < y < 1 \right) \implies F(x + i0) \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^q}^{(M_p)}.$$

- **Roumieu case:** If one replaces $(\exists \lambda)$ by $(\forall \lambda)$, one obtains boundary values in the ultradistribution spaces $\mathcal{D}'^{\{M_p\}}$, $\mathcal{S}'^{\{M_p\}}$, and $\mathcal{D}'_{L^q}^{\{M_p\}}$.

Boundary values in ultradistribution spaces

Let $F(z)$ be analytic on $\Im m z > 0$. Assume (M.1), (M.2), (M.3).

- **Beurling case:**

$$(\forall A > 0)(\exists \lambda)(\exists K) \left(|F(x + iy)| \leq Ke^{M^* \left(\frac{\lambda}{y}\right)}, y < 1, |x| \leq A \right) \implies F(x + i0) \in \mathcal{D}'^{(M_p)}$$

$$(\exists \lambda)(\exists K) \left(|F(x + iy)| \leq Ke^{M^* \left(\frac{\lambda}{y}\right)} e^{M(\lambda(|x|+y))} \right) \implies F(x + i0) \in \mathcal{S}'(M_p).$$

$$(\exists \lambda)(\exists K) \left(\|F(\cdot + iy)\|_q \leq Ke^{M^* \left(\frac{\lambda}{y}\right)}, 0 < y < 1 \right) \implies F(x + i0) \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^q}^{(M_p)}.$$

- **Roumieu case:** If one replaces $(\exists \lambda)$ by $(\forall \lambda)$, one obtains boundary values in the ultradistribution spaces $\mathcal{D}'^{\{M_p\}}$, $\mathcal{S}'^{\{M_p\}}$, and $\mathcal{D}'_{L^q}^{\{M_p\}}$.

The prime number theorem

The prime number theorem (PNT) states that

$$\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$\pi(x) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \text{ prime}}} 1.$$

We will consider generalizations of the PNT for **Beurling's generalized numbers**

Beurling's problem

In 1937, Beurling raised and studied the following question.

- Let $1 < p_1 \leq p_2, \dots$ be a non-decreasing sequence tending to infinity (**generalized primes**).
- Arrange all possible products of the p_j in a non-decreasing sequence $1 < n_1 \leq n_2, \dots$, where every n_k is repeated as many times as represented by $p_{\nu_1}^{\alpha_1} p_{\nu_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots p_{\nu_m}^{\alpha_m}$ with $\nu_j < \nu_{j+1}$ (**generalized numbers**).
- Denote $N(x) = \sum_{n_k \leq x} 1$ and $\pi(x) = \sum_{p_k \leq x} 1$.

Beurling's problem: Find conditions over N which ensure the validity of the PNT, i.e.,

$$\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

Beurling's problem

In 1937, Beurling raised and studied the following question.

- Let $1 < p_1 \leq p_2, \dots$ be a non-decreasing sequence tending to infinity (**generalized primes**).
- Arrange all possible products of the p_j in a non-decreasing sequence $1 < n_1 \leq n_2, \dots$, where every n_k is repeated as many times as represented by $p_{\nu_1}^{\alpha_1} p_{\nu_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots p_{\nu_m}^{\alpha_m}$ with $\nu_j < \nu_{j+1}$ (**generalized numbers**).
- Denote $N(x) = \sum_{n_k \leq x} 1$ and $\pi(x) = \sum_{p_k \leq x} 1$.

Beurling's problem: Find conditions over N which ensure the validity of the PNT, i.e.,

$$\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

Beurling's problem

In 1937, Beurling raised and studied the following question.

- Let $1 < p_1 \leq p_2, \dots$ be a non-decreasing sequence tending to infinity (**generalized primes**).
- Arrange all possible products of the p_j in a non-decreasing sequence $1 < n_1 \leq n_2, \dots$, where every n_k is repeated as many times as represented by $p_{\nu_1}^{\alpha_1} p_{\nu_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots p_{\nu_m}^{\alpha_m}$ with $\nu_j < \nu_{j+1}$ (**generalized numbers**).
- Denote $N(x) = \sum_{n_k \leq x} 1$ and $\pi(x) = \sum_{p_k \leq x} 1$.

Beurling's problem: Find conditions over N which ensure the validity of the PNT, i.e.,

$$\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

Beurling's PNT

Beurling studied the problem in connection with the asymptotics

$$N(x) \sim ax .$$

Conditions on the **remainder** in $N(x) = ax + R(x)$ are *needed*.

Theorem (Beurling, 1937)

if

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right),$$

where $a > 0$ and $\gamma > 3/2$, then the PNT holds.

Theorem (Diamond, 1970)

Beurling's condition is sharp, namely, the PNT does not necessarily hold if $\gamma = 3/2$.

Beurling's PNT

Beurling studied the problem in connection with the asymptotics

$$N(x) \sim ax .$$

Conditions on the **remainder** in $N(x) = ax + R(x)$ are *needed*.

Theorem (Beurling, 1937)

if

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right),$$

where $a > 0$ and $\gamma > 3/2$, then the PNT holds.

Theorem (Diamond, 1970)

Beurling's condition is sharp, namely, the PNT does not necessarily hold if $\gamma = 3/2$.

Beurling's PNT

Beurling studied the problem in connection with the asymptotics

$$N(x) \sim ax .$$

Conditions on the **remainder** in $N(x) = ax + R(x)$ are *needed*.

Theorem (Beurling, 1937)

if

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right),$$

where $a > 0$ and $\gamma > 3/2$, then the PNT holds.

Theorem (Diamond, 1970)

Beurling's condition is sharp, namely, the PNT does not necessarily hold if $\gamma = 3/2$.

Beurling's PNT

Beurling studied the problem in connection with the asymptotics

$$N(x) \sim ax .$$

Conditions on the **remainder** in $N(x) = ax + R(x)$ are *needed*.

Theorem (Beurling, 1937)

if

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right),$$

where $a > 0$ and $\gamma > 3/2$, then the PNT holds.

Theorem (Diamond, 1970)

Beurling's condition is sharp, namely, the PNT does not necessarily hold if $\gamma = 3/2$.

The L^2 -conjecture: Kahane's PNT

In 1969, Bateman and Diamond conjectured that

$$\int_1^\infty \left| \frac{(N(x) - ax) \log x}{x} \right|^2 \frac{dx}{x} < \infty$$

would suffice for the PNT. The above L^2 -condition extends that of Beurling.

Theorem (Kahane's 1997, extending Beurling)

The L^2 -hypothesis ensures the validity of the PNT.

The L^2 -conjecture: Kahane's PNT

In 1969, Bateman and Diamond conjectured that

$$\int_1^\infty \left| \frac{(N(x) - ax) \log x}{x} \right|^2 \frac{dx}{x} < \infty$$

would suffice for the PNT. The above L^2 -condition extends that of Beurling.

Theorem (Kahane's 1997, extending Beurling)

The L^2 -hypothesis ensures the validity of the PNT.

An average condition for the PNT

Schlage-Puchta and I recently showed.

Theorem (2012, extending Beurling)

Suppose there exist constants $a > 0$ and $\gamma > 3/2$ such that

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right) \quad (C), \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

Then the prime number theorem still holds.

The hypothesis means that there exists some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

$$\int_0^x \frac{N(t) - at}{t} \left(1 - \frac{t}{x}\right)^m dt = O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right).$$

Technique: Distributional methods in the analysis of boundary behavior of zeta functions.

An average condition for the PNT

Schlage-Puchta and I recently showed.

Theorem (2012, extending Beurling)

Suppose there exist constants $a > 0$ and $\gamma > 3/2$ such that

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right) \quad (C), \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

Then the prime number theorem still holds.

The hypothesis means that there exists some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

$$\int_0^x \frac{N(t) - at}{t} \left(1 - \frac{t}{x}\right)^m dt = O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right).$$

Technique: Distributional methods in the analysis of boundary behavior of zeta functions.

An average condition for the PNT

Schlage-Puchta and I recently showed.

Theorem (2012, extending Beurling)

Suppose there exist constants $a > 0$ and $\gamma > 3/2$ such that

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right) \quad (C), \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

Then the prime number theorem still holds.

The hypothesis means that there exists some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

$$\int_0^x \frac{N(t) - at}{t} \left(1 - \frac{t}{x}\right)^m dt = O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right).$$

Technique: Distributional methods in the analysis of boundary behavior of zeta functions.

Comparison of conditions for the PNT

The three conditions

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right)$$

$$\int_1^\infty \left| \frac{(N(x) - ax) \log x}{x} \right|^2 \frac{dx}{x} < \infty$$

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right) \quad (C)$$

can be better understood if one looks at

$$E(u) = \frac{(N(e^u) - ae^u)u}{e^u}.$$

Comparison of conditions for the PNT

The three conditions

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right)$$

$$\int_1^\infty \left| \frac{(N(x) - ax) \log x}{x} \right|^2 \frac{dx}{x} < \infty$$

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right) \quad (C)$$

can be better understood if one looks at

$$E(u) = \frac{(N(e^u) - ae^u)u}{e^u}.$$

Comparison of conditions for the PNT

The three conditions

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right) \implies E(u) = O(u^{-(\gamma-1)})$$

$$\int_1^\infty \left| \frac{(N(x) - ax) \log x}{x} \right|^2 \frac{dx}{x} < \infty \implies E \in L^2$$

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right) \text{ (C)} \implies (E * \phi)(u) = O(u^{-(\gamma-1)}), \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}$$

where

$$E(u) = \frac{(N(e^u) - ae^u)u}{e^u}.$$

In all three cases, this error function satisfies the membership condition:

$$E \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^2}.$$

Comparison of conditions for the PNT

The three conditions

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right) \implies E(u) = O(u^{-(\gamma-1)})$$

$$\int_1^\infty \left| \frac{(N(x) - ax) \log x}{x} \right|^2 \frac{dx}{x} < \infty \implies E \in L^2$$

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right) \text{ (C)} \implies (E * \phi)(u) = O(u^{-(\gamma-1)}), \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}$$

where

$$E(u) = \frac{(N(e^u) - ae^u)u}{e^u}.$$

In all three cases, this error function satisfies the membership condition:

$$E \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^2}.$$

Comparison of conditions for the PNT

The three conditions

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right) \implies E(u) = O(u^{-(\gamma-1)})$$

$$\int_1^\infty \left| \frac{(N(x) - ax) \log x}{x} \right|^2 \frac{dx}{x} < \infty \implies E \in L^2$$

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right) \text{ (C)} \implies (E * \phi)(u) = O(u^{-(\gamma-1)}), \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}$$

where

$$E(u) = \frac{(N(e^u) - ae^u)u}{e^u}.$$

In all three cases, this error function satisfies the membership condition:

$$E \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^2}.$$

The newest general PNT

Theorem (2013, extending all earlier results)

Suppose that $E \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^2}(M_p)$, where the sequence satisfies (M.1) and (M.2) and the associated function M satisfies:

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{M(x)}{x^3} dx < \infty. \quad (6)$$

Then the prime number theorem holds.

Example. If $M_p = (p!)^s$ with $1/2 < s$, then (6) holds because

$$Ax^{1/s} \leq M(x) \leq Bx^{1/s}.$$

Remark. The condition (6) implies the bound

$$M(x) = o(x^2 / \log x).$$

Is this growth condition sharp for the PNT? I conjecture so.

The newest general PNT

Theorem (2013, extending all earlier results)

Suppose that $E \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^2}(M_p)$, where the sequence satisfies (M.1) and (M.2) and the associated function M satisfies:

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{M(x)}{x^3} dx < \infty. \quad (6)$$

Then the prime number theorem holds.

Example. If $M_p = (p!)^s$ with $1/2 < s$, then (6) holds because

$$Ax^{1/s} \leq M(x) \leq Bx^{1/s}.$$

Remark. The condition (6) implies the bound

$$M(x) = o(x^2 / \log x).$$

Is this growth condition sharp for the PNT? I conjecture so.

The newest general PNT

Theorem (2013, extending all earlier results)

Suppose that $E \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^2}(M_p)$, where the sequence satisfies (M.1) and (M.2) and the associated function M satisfies:

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{M(x)}{x^3} dx < \infty. \quad (6)$$

Then the prime number theorem holds.

Example. If $M_p = (p!)^s$ with $1/2 < s$, then (6) holds because

$$Ax^{1/s} \leq M(x) \leq Bx^{1/s}.$$

Remark. The condition (6) implies the bound

$$M(x) = o(x^2 / \log x).$$

Is this growth condition sharp for the PNT? I conjecture so ...

