

# Complex Tauberian theorems for Laplace transforms

Jasson Vindas

`jasson.vindas@UGent.be`

Ghent University

Louisiana State University (April 25, 2019)

Hefei University of Technology (July 10, 2019)

Universidad Nacional de Costa Rica (September 11, 2019)

Complex Tauberian theorems have been strikingly useful tools in diverse areas such as:

- Analytic number theory.
- Spectral theory for (pseudo-)differential operators.
- Last three decades: operator theory and semigroups.

We will discuss some developments in complex Tauberians for Laplace transforms. We will be concerned with two groups of statements:

- Wiener-Ikehara theorems.
- Ingham-Karamata theorems.

Main questions:

- 1 Relax boundary requirements to a minimum.
- 2 Mild Tauberian hypotheses (one-sided conditions).
- 3 Optimal Tauberian constants: sharp versions.
- 4 Best possible error terms.

This talk is based on collaborative works with G. Debruyne.



Complex Tauberian theorems have been strikingly useful tools in diverse areas such as:

- Analytic number theory.
- Spectral theory for (pseudo-)differential operators.
- **Last three decades:** operator theory and semigroups.

We will discuss some developments in complex Tauberians for Laplace transforms. We will be concerned with two groups of statements:

- Wiener-Ikehara theorems.
- Ingham-Karamata theorems.

Main questions:

- 1 Relax boundary requirements to a minimum.
- 2 Mild Tauberian hypotheses (one-sided conditions).
- 3 Optimal Tauberian constants: sharp versions.
- 4 Best possible error terms.

This talk is based on collaborative works with G. Debruyne.



Complex Tauberian theorems have been strikingly useful tools in diverse areas such as:

- Analytic number theory.
- Spectral theory for (pseudo-)differential operators.
- **Last three decades:** operator theory and semigroups.

We will discuss some developments in complex Tauberians for Laplace transforms. We will be concerned with two groups of statements:

- Wiener-Ikehara theorems.
- Ingham-Karamata theorems.

Main questions:

- 1 Relax boundary requirements to a minimum.
- 2 Mild Tauberian hypotheses (one-sided conditions).
- 3 Optimal Tauberian constants: sharp versions.
- 4 Best possible error terms.

This talk is based on collaborative works with G. Debruyne.



Complex Tauberian theorems have been strikingly useful tools in diverse areas such as:

- Analytic number theory.
- Spectral theory for (pseudo-)differential operators.
- **Last three decades:** operator theory and semigroups.

We will discuss some developments in complex Tauberians for Laplace transforms. We will be concerned with two groups of statements:

- Wiener-Ikehara theorems.
- Ingham-Karamata theorems.

### Main questions:

- 1 Relax boundary requirements to a minimum.
- 2 Mild Tauberian hypotheses (one-sided conditions).
- 3 Optimal Tauberian constants: sharp versions.
- 4 Best possible error terms.

This talk is based on collaborative works with G. Debruyne.

# The classical Wiener-Ikehara theorem

## Theorem (Wiener-Ikehara, Laplace transforms)

Let  $S$  be a non-decreasing function (*Tauberian hypothesis*) such that  $\mathcal{L}\{S; z\} = \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} S(t) dt$  converges for  $\Re z > 1$ . If

$$\mathcal{L}\{S; z\} - \frac{A}{z-1}$$

has analytic continuation through  $\Re z = 1$ , then  $S(x) \sim Ae^x$ .

## Theorem (Wiener-Ikehara, version for Dirichlet series)

Let  $a_n \geq 0$ . Suppose  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n n^{-z}$  converges for  $\Re z > 1$ . If

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{a_n}{n^z} - \frac{A}{z-1}$$

has analytic continuation through  $\Re z = 1$ , then  $\sum_{n \leq x} a_n \sim Ax$ .

# The classical Wiener-Ikehara theorem

## Theorem (Wiener-Ikehara, Laplace transforms)

Let  $S$  be a non-decreasing function (*Tauberian hypothesis*) such that  $\mathcal{L}\{S; z\} = \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} S(t) dt$  converges for  $\Re z > 1$ . If

$$\mathcal{L}\{S; z\} - \frac{A}{z-1}$$

has analytic continuation through  $\Re z = 1$ , then  $S(x) \sim Ae^x$ .

## Theorem (Wiener-Ikehara, version for Dirichlet series)

Let  $a_n \geq 0$ . Suppose  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n n^{-z}$  converges for  $\Re z > 1$ . If

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{a_n}{n^z} - \frac{A}{z-1}$$

has analytic continuation through  $\Re z = 1$ , then  $\sum_{n \leq x} a_n \sim Ax$ .

# The classical Wiener-Ikehara theorem

## Theorem (Wiener-Ikehara, Laplace transforms)

Let  $S$  be a non-decreasing function (*Tauberian hypothesis*) such that  $\mathcal{L}\{S; z\} = \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} S(t) dt$  converges for  $\Re z > 1$ . If

$$\mathcal{L}\{S; z\} - \frac{A}{z-1}$$

has analytic continuation through  $\Re z = 1$ , then  $S(x) \sim Ae^x$ .

## Theorem (Wiener-Ikehara, version for Dirichlet series)

Let  $a_n \geq 0$ . Suppose  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n n^{-z}$  converges for  $\Re z > 1$ . If

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{a_n}{n^z} - \frac{A}{z-1}$$

has analytic continuation through  $\Re z = 1$ , then  $\sum_{n \leq x} a_n \sim Ax$ .

## From the Wiener-Ikehara theorem to the PNT:

The Prime Number Theorem (PNT) asserts that

$$\pi(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} 1 \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$$

- PNT is equivalent to  $\psi(x) = \sum_{p^\alpha \leq x} \log p = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \sim x$ .
- $\zeta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-z}$  has analytic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$  except for a simple pole with residue 1 at  $z = 1$ .
- Logarithmic differentiation of  $\zeta(z) = \prod_p (1 - p^{-z})^{-1}$  leads to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^z} = -\frac{\zeta'(z)}{\zeta(z)}, \quad \Re z > 1.$$

- $(z-1)\zeta(z)$  has no zeros on  $\Re z = 1$ , so

$$-\frac{d}{dz}(\log((z-1)\zeta(z))) = -\frac{\zeta'(z)}{\zeta(z)} - \frac{1}{z-1}$$

is analytic in a region containing  $\Re z \geq 1$ . The rest follows from the Wiener-Ikehara theorem.

## From the Wiener-Ikehara theorem to the PNT:

The Prime Number Theorem (PNT) asserts that

$$\pi(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} 1 \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$$

- PNT is equivalent to  $\psi(x) = \sum_{p^\alpha \leq x} \log p = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \sim x$ .
- $\zeta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-z}$  has analytic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$  except for a simple pole with residue 1 at  $z = 1$ .
- Logarithmic differentiation of  $\zeta(z) = \prod_p (1 - p^{-z})^{-1}$  leads to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^z} = -\frac{\zeta'(z)}{\zeta(z)}, \quad \Re z > 1.$$

- $(z-1)\zeta(z)$  has no zeros on  $\Re z = 1$ , so

$$-\frac{d}{dz}(\log((z-1)\zeta(z))) = -\frac{\zeta'(z)}{\zeta(z)} - \frac{1}{z-1}$$

is analytic in a region containing  $\Re z \geq 1$ . The rest follows from the Wiener-Ikehara theorem.

## From the Wiener-Ikehara theorem to the PNT:

The Prime Number Theorem (PNT) asserts that

$$\pi(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} 1 \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$$

- PNT is equivalent to  $\psi(x) = \sum_{p^\alpha \leq x} \log p = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \sim x$ .
- $\zeta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-z}$  has analytic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$  except for a simple pole with residue 1 at  $z = 1$ .
- Logarithmic differentiation of  $\zeta(z) = \prod_p (1 - p^{-z})^{-1}$  leads to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^z} = -\frac{\zeta'(z)}{\zeta(z)}, \quad \Re z > 1.$$

- $(z-1)\zeta(z)$  has no zeros on  $\Re z = 1$ , so

$$-\frac{d}{dz}(\log((z-1)\zeta(z))) = -\frac{\zeta'(z)}{\zeta(z)} - \frac{1}{z-1}$$

is analytic in a region containing  $\Re z \geq 1$ . The rest follows from the Wiener-Ikehara theorem.

## From the Wiener-Ikehara theorem to the PNT:

The Prime Number Theorem (PNT) asserts that

$$\pi(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} 1 \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$$

- PNT is equivalent to  $\psi(x) = \sum_{p^\alpha \leq x} \log p = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \sim x$ .
- $\zeta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-z}$  has analytic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$  except for a simple pole with residue 1 at  $z = 1$ .
- Logarithmic differentiation of  $\zeta(z) = \prod_p (1 - p^{-z})^{-1}$  leads to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^z} = -\frac{\zeta'(z)}{\zeta(z)}, \quad \Re z > 1.$$

- $(z-1)\zeta(z)$  has no zeros on  $\Re z = 1$ , so

$$-\frac{d}{dz}(\log((z-1)\zeta(z))) = -\frac{\zeta'(z)}{\zeta(z)} - \frac{1}{z-1}$$

is analytic in a region containing  $\Re z \geq 1$ . The rest follows from the Wiener-Ikehara theorem.

## From the Wiener-Ikehara theorem to the PNT:

The Prime Number Theorem (PNT) asserts that

$$\pi(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} 1 \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$$

- PNT is equivalent to  $\psi(x) = \sum_{p^\alpha \leq x} \log p = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \sim x$ .
- $\zeta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-z}$  has analytic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$  except for a simple pole with residue 1 at  $z = 1$ .
- Logarithmic differentiation of  $\zeta(z) = \prod_p (1 - p^{-z})^{-1}$  leads to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^z} = -\frac{\zeta'(z)}{\zeta(z)}, \quad \Re z > 1.$$

- $(z - 1)\zeta(z)$  has no zeros on  $\Re z = 1$ , so

$$-\frac{d}{dz}(\log((z - 1)\zeta(z))) = -\frac{\zeta'(z)}{\zeta(z)} - \frac{1}{z - 1}$$

is analytic in a region containing  $\Re z \geq 1$ . The rest follows from the Wiener-Ikehara theorem.

## From the Wiener-Ikehara theorem to the PNT:

The Prime Number Theorem (PNT) asserts that

$$\pi(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} 1 \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$$

- PNT is equivalent to  $\psi(x) = \sum_{p^\alpha \leq x} \log p = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \sim x$ .
- $\zeta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-z}$  has analytic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$  except for a simple pole with residue 1 at  $z = 1$ .
- Logarithmic differentiation of  $\zeta(z) = \prod_p (1 - p^{-z})^{-1}$  leads to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^z} = -\frac{\zeta'(z)}{\zeta(z)}, \quad \Re z > 1.$$

- $(z-1)\zeta(z)$  has no zeros on  $\Re z = 1$ , so

$$-\frac{d}{dz}(\log((z-1)\zeta(z))) = -\frac{\zeta'(z)}{\zeta(z)} - \frac{1}{z-1}$$

is analytic in a region containing  $\Re z \geq 1$ . The rest follows from the Wiener-Ikehara theorem.

# Remarks on the Wiener-Ikehara theorem

- Historically, the Wiener-Ikehara theorem improved a Tauberian theorem of Landau (1908) by eliminating the unnecessary hypothesis  $G(z) \ll |z|^N$  on

$$G(z) = \mathcal{L}\{S; z\} - \frac{A}{z-1}$$

- The hypothesis  $G(z)$  has analytic continuation to  $\Re z = 1$  can be significantly relaxed to “good boundary behavior”:
  - $G(z)$  has continuous extension to  $\Re z = 1$ .
  - $L^1_{loc}$ -boundary behavior:  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} G(x + iy) \in L^1(I)$  for every finite interval  $I$ .
  - Local pseudofunction boundary behavior (Korevaar, 2005).
  - “if and only if version” (Debruyne and V., 2016).

# Remarks on the Wiener-Ikehara theorem

- Historically, the Wiener-Ikehara theorem improved a Tauberian theorem of Landau (1908) by eliminating the unnecessary hypothesis  $G(z) \ll |z|^N$  on

$$G(z) = \mathcal{L}\{S; z\} - \frac{A}{z-1}$$

- The hypothesis  $G(z)$  has analytic continuation to  $\Re z = 1$  can be significantly relaxed to “good boundary behavior”:
  - $G(z)$  has continuous extension to  $\Re z = 1$ .
  - $L^1_{loc}$ -boundary behavior:  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} G(x + iy) \in L^1(I)$  for every finite interval  $I$ .
  - Local pseudofunction boundary behavior (Korevaar, 2005).
  - “if and only if version” (Debruyne and V., 2016).

# Remarks on the Wiener-Ikehara theorem

- Historically, the Wiener-Ikehara theorem improved a Tauberian theorem of Landau (1908) by eliminating the unnecessary hypothesis  $G(z) \ll |z|^N$  on

$$G(z) = \mathcal{L}\{S; z\} - \frac{A}{z-1}$$

- The hypothesis  $G(z)$  has analytic continuation to  $\Re z = 1$  can be significantly relaxed to “good boundary behavior”:
  - $G(z)$  has continuous extension to  $\Re z = 1$ .
  - $L^1_{loc}$ -boundary behavior:  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} G(x + iy) \in L^1(I)$  for every finite interval  $I$ .
  - Local pseudofunction boundary behavior (Korevaar, 2005).
  - “if and only if version” (Debruyne and V., 2016).

# Remarks on the Wiener-Ikehara theorem

- Historically, the Wiener-Ikehara theorem improved a Tauberian theorem of Landau (1908) by eliminating the unnecessary hypothesis  $G(z) \ll |z|^N$  on

$$G(z) = \mathcal{L}\{S; z\} - \frac{A}{z-1}$$

- The hypothesis  $G(z)$  has analytic continuation to  $\Re z = 1$  can be significantly relaxed to “good boundary behavior”:
  - $G(z)$  has continuous extension to  $\Re z = 1$ .
  - $L^1_{loc}$ -boundary behavior:  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} G(x + iy) \in L^1(I)$  for every finite interval  $I$ .
  - Local pseudofunction boundary behavior (Korevaar, 2005).
  - “if and only if version” (Debruyne and V., 2016).

# Remarks on the Wiener-Ikehara theorem

- Historically, the Wiener-Ikehara theorem improved a Tauberian theorem of Landau (1908) by eliminating the unnecessary hypothesis  $G(z) \ll |z|^N$  on

$$G(z) = \mathcal{L}\{S; z\} - \frac{A}{z-1}$$

- The hypothesis  $G(z)$  has analytic continuation to  $\Re z = 1$  can be significantly relaxed to “good boundary behavior”:
  - $G(z)$  has continuous extension to  $\Re z = 1$ .
  - $L^1_{loc}$ -boundary behavior:  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} G(x + iy) \in L^1(I)$  for every finite interval  $I$ .
  - Local pseudofunction boundary behavior (Korevaar, 2005).
  - “if and only if version” (Debruyne and V., 2016).

# Remarks on the Wiener-Ikehara theorem

- Historically, the Wiener-Ikehara theorem improved a Tauberian theorem of Landau (1908) by eliminating the unnecessary hypothesis  $G(z) \ll |z|^N$  on

$$G(z) = \mathcal{L}\{S; z\} - \frac{A}{z-1}$$

- The hypothesis  $G(z)$  has analytic continuation to  $\Re z = 1$  can be significantly relaxed to “good boundary behavior”:
  - $G(z)$  has continuous extension to  $\Re z = 1$ .
  - $L^1_{loc}$ -boundary behavior:  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^+} G(x + iy) \in L^1(I)$  for every finite interval  $I$ .
  - Local pseudofunction boundary behavior (Korevaar, 2005).
  - “if and only if version” (Debruyne and V., 2016).

# Pseudofunctions and pseudomeasures

Pseudofunctions and pseudomeasures are notions that naturally arise in harmonic analysis.

- Pseudomeasures:  $PM(\mathbb{R}) = \{g : \widehat{g} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})\}$
- Pseudofunctions:  $PF(\mathbb{R}) = \{g \in PM(\mathbb{R}) : \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{g}(x) = 0\}$

Given an open set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , we define the local spaces:

- $PM_{loc}(U)$  :  $g$  such that for all bounded open interval  $I \subset U$  there is  $f \in PM(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $g = f$  on  $I$ .
- $PF_{loc}(U)$  :  $g$  such that for all bounded open interval  $I \subset U$  there is  $f \in PF(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $g = f$  on  $I$ .
- $L^1_{loc}(U) \subset PF_{loc}(U)$ .
- Every Radon measure is a local pseudomeasure.

Let  $G$  be analytic on  $\Re z > \alpha$  and  $U \subset \mathbb{R}$  be open.

We say that  $G$  has **local pseudofunction boundary behavior** on  $\alpha + iU$  if it has distributional boundary values there, i.e.

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \alpha^+} G(x + iy) = g(y) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(U)$$

and  $g \in PF_{loc}(U)$ .

# Pseudofunctions and pseudomeasures

Pseudofunctions and pseudomeasures are notions that naturally arise in harmonic analysis.

- Pseudomeasures:  $PM(\mathbb{R}) = \{g : \hat{g} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})\}$
- Pseudofunctions:  $PF(\mathbb{R}) = \{g \in PM(\mathbb{R}) : \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \hat{g}(x) = 0\}$

Given an open set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , we define the local spaces:

- $PM_{loc}(U)$  :  $g$  such that for all bounded open interval  $I \subset U$  there is  $f \in PM(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $g = f$  on  $I$ .
- $PF_{loc}(U)$  :  $g$  such that for all bounded open interval  $I \subset U$  there is  $f \in PF(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $g = f$  on  $I$ .
- $L^1_{loc}(U) \subset PF_{loc}(U)$ .
- Every Radon measure is a local pseudomeasure.

Let  $G$  be analytic on  $\Re z > \alpha$  and  $U \subset \mathbb{R}$  be open.

We say that  $G$  has **local pseudofunction boundary behavior** on  $\alpha + iU$  if it has distributional boundary values there, i.e.

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \alpha^+} G(x + iy) = g(y) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(U)$$

and  $g \in PF_{loc}(U)$ .

# Pseudofunctions and pseudomeasures

Pseudofunctions and pseudomeasures are notions that naturally arise in harmonic analysis.

- Pseudomeasures:  $PM(\mathbb{R}) = \{g : \widehat{g} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})\}$
- Pseudofunctions:  $PF(\mathbb{R}) = \{g \in PM(\mathbb{R}) : \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{g}(x) = 0\}$

Given an open set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , we define the local spaces:

- $PM_{loc}(U)$  :  $g$  such that for all bounded open interval  $I \subset U$  there is  $f \in PM(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $g = f$  on  $I$ .
- $PF_{loc}(U)$  :  $g$  such that for all bounded open interval  $I \subset U$  there is  $f \in PF(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $g = f$  on  $I$ .
- $L^1_{loc}(U) \subset PF_{loc}(U)$ .
- Every Radon measure is a local pseudomeasure.

Let  $G$  be analytic on  $\Re z > \alpha$  and  $U \subset \mathbb{R}$  be open.

We say that  $G$  has **local pseudofunction boundary behavior** on  $\alpha + iU$  if it has distributional boundary values there, i.e.

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \alpha^+} G(x + iy) = g(y) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(U)$$

and  $g \in PF_{loc}(U)$ .

# Pseudofunctions and pseudomeasures

Pseudofunctions and pseudomeasures are notions that naturally arise in harmonic analysis.

- Pseudomeasures:  $PM(\mathbb{R}) = \{g : \widehat{g} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})\}$
- Pseudofunctions:  $PF(\mathbb{R}) = \{g \in PM(\mathbb{R}) : \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{g}(x) = 0\}$

Given an open set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , we define the local spaces:

- $PM_{loc}(U)$  :  $g$  such that for all bounded open interval  $I \subset U$  there is  $f \in PM(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $g = f$  on  $I$ .
- $PF_{loc}(U)$  :  $g$  such that for all bounded open interval  $I \subset U$  there is  $f \in PF(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $g = f$  on  $I$ .
- $L^1_{loc}(U) \subset PF_{loc}(U)$ .
- Every Radon measure is a local pseudomeasure.

Let  $G$  be analytic on  $\Re z > \alpha$  and  $U \subset \mathbb{R}$  be open.

We say that  $G$  has **local pseudofunction boundary behavior** on  $\alpha + iU$  if it has distributional boundary values there, i.e.

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \alpha^+} G(x + iy) = g(y) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(U)$$

and  $g \in PF_{loc}(U)$ .

# Pseudofunctions and pseudomeasures

Pseudofunctions and pseudomeasures are notions that naturally arise in harmonic analysis.

- Pseudomeasures:  $PM(\mathbb{R}) = \{g : \hat{g} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})\}$
- Pseudofunctions:  $PF(\mathbb{R}) = \{g \in PM(\mathbb{R}) : \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \hat{g}(x) = 0\}$

Given an open set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , we define the local spaces:

- $PM_{loc}(U)$  :  $g$  such that for all bounded open interval  $I \subset U$  there is  $f \in PM(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $g = f$  on  $I$ .
- $PF_{loc}(U)$  :  $g$  such that for all bounded open interval  $I \subset U$  there is  $f \in PF(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $g = f$  on  $I$ .
- $L^1_{loc}(U) \subset PF_{loc}(U)$ .
- Every Radon measure is a local pseudomeasure.

Let  $G$  be analytic on  $\Re z > \alpha$  and  $U \subset \mathbb{R}$  be open.

We say that  $G$  has **local pseudofunction boundary behavior** on  $\alpha + iU$  if it has distributional boundary values there, i.e.

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \alpha^+} G(x + iy) = g(y) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(U)$$

and  $g \in PF_{loc}(U)$ .

# Pseudofunctions and pseudomeasures

Pseudofunctions and pseudomeasures are notions that naturally arise in harmonic analysis.

- Pseudomeasures:  $PM(\mathbb{R}) = \{g : \hat{g} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})\}$
- Pseudofunctions:  $PF(\mathbb{R}) = \{g \in PM(\mathbb{R}) : \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \hat{g}(x) = 0\}$

Given an open set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , we define the local spaces:

- $PM_{loc}(U)$  :  $g$  such that for all bounded open interval  $I \subset U$  there is  $f \in PM(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $g = f$  on  $I$ .
- $PF_{loc}(U)$  :  $g$  such that for all bounded open interval  $I \subset U$  there is  $f \in PF(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $g = f$  on  $I$ .
- $L^1_{loc}(U) \subset PF_{loc}(U)$ .
- Every Radon measure is a local pseudomeasure.

Let  $G$  be analytic on  $\Re z > \alpha$  and  $U \subset \mathbb{R}$  be open.

We say that  $G$  has **local pseudofunction boundary behavior** on  $\alpha + iU$  if it has distributional boundary values there, i.e.

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \alpha^+} G(x + iy) = g(y) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(U)$$

and  $g \in PF_{loc}(U)$ .

# Extension of the Korevaar-Wiener-Ikehara theorem

We call a function  $S$  **log-linearly slowly decreasing** if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \frac{S(x+h) - S(x)}{e^x} \geq -\varepsilon.$$

Theorem (Debruyne and V., 2016)

Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}\{S; z\} = \int_0^\infty S(t)e^{-zt} dt$  converges for  $\Re z > 1$ . Then,

$$S(x) \sim Ae^x$$

if and only if

- 1  $\mathcal{L}\{S; z\} - \frac{A}{z-1}$  has local pseudofunction boundary behavior on  $\Re z = 1$ , and
- 2  $S$  is log-linearly slowly decreasing.

# Extension of the Korevaar-Wiener-Ikehara theorem

We call a function  $S$  **log-linearly slowly decreasing** if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \frac{S(x+h) - S(x)}{e^x} \geq -\varepsilon.$$

## Theorem (Debruyne and V., 2016)

Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}\{S; z\} = \int_0^\infty S(t)e^{-zt} dt$  converges for  $\Re z > 1$ .  
Then,

$$S(x) \sim Ae^x$$

if and only if

- 1  $\mathcal{L}\{S; z\} - \frac{A}{z-1}$  has local pseudofunction boundary behavior on  $\Re z = 1$ , and
- 2  $S$  is log-linearly slowly decreasing.

# The Fatou-Riesz theorem

In his very influential 1906 paper

*Séries trigonométriques et séries de Taylor,*

Fatou proved the following theorem on analytic continuation of power series.

## Theorem (Fatou-Riesz theorem)

Suppose that  $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$  converges for  $|z| < 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = 0$  (*this is the Tauberian condition*). If  $F(z)$  has analytic continuation to a neighborhood of  $z = 1$ , then  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n$  converges and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n = F(1).$$

Marcel Riesz gave three proofs of this theorem (1909, 1911, 1916), so his name is usually associated to this result.

# The Fatou-Riesz theorem

In his very influential 1906 paper

*Séries trigonométriques et séries de Taylor,*

Fatou proved the following theorem on analytic continuation of power series.

## Theorem (Fatou-Riesz theorem)

Suppose that  $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$  converges for  $|z| < 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = 0$  (*this is the Tauberian condition*). If  $F(z)$  has analytic continuation to a neighborhood of  $z = 1$ , then  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n$  converges and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n = F(1).$$

Marcel Riesz gave three proofs of this theorem (1909, 1911, 1916), so his name is usually associated to this result.

# The Ingham-Karamata theorem for Laplace transforms

In 1935 Ingham and Karamata obtained a Fatou-Riesz type Tauberian theorem for Laplace transforms. The result makes use of *slow decrease*.

A function  $\tau$  is called **slowly decreasing** if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{h \in [0, \delta]} (\tau(x+h) - \tau(x)) > -\varepsilon.$$

that is,  $\tau(x+h) - \tau(x) > -\varepsilon$  for  $x > X_\varepsilon$  and  $0 \leq h < \delta_\varepsilon$ .

Theorem (Ingham and Karamata, independently)

Let  $\tau \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$  be slowly decreasing (*Tauberian hypothesis*). Suppose its Laplace transform

$$\mathcal{L}\{\tau; z\} = \int_0^\infty \tau(t) e^{-zt} dt$$

converges on  $\Re z > 0$  and has  $L^1_{loc}$ -boundary behavior on  $\Re z = 0$ , then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \tau(x) = 0$ .

# The Ingham-Karamata theorem for Laplace transforms

In 1935 Ingham and Karamata obtained a Fatou-Riesz type Tauberian theorem for Laplace transforms. The result makes use of *slow decrease*.

A function  $\tau$  is called **slowly decreasing** if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{h \in [0, \delta]} (\tau(x+h) - \tau(x)) > -\varepsilon.$$

that is,  $\tau(x+h) - \tau(x) > -\varepsilon$  for  $x > X_\varepsilon$  and  $0 \leq h < \delta_\varepsilon$ .

Theorem (Ingham and Karamata, independently)

Let  $\tau \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$  be slowly decreasing (*Tauberian hypothesis*).  
Suppose its Laplace transform

$$\mathcal{L}\{\tau; z\} = \int_0^\infty \tau(t) e^{-zt} dt$$

converges on  $\Re z > 0$  and has  $L^1_{loc}$ -boundary behavior on  $\Re z = 0$ , then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \tau(x) = 0$ .

# The Ingham-Karamata theorem for Laplace transforms

In 1935 Ingham and Karamata obtained a Fatou-Riesz type Tauberian theorem for Laplace transforms. The result makes use of *slow decrease*.

A function  $\tau$  is called **slowly decreasing** if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{h \in [0, \delta]} (\tau(x+h) - \tau(x)) > -\varepsilon.$$

that is,  $\tau(x+h) - \tau(x) > -\varepsilon$  for  $x > X_\varepsilon$  and  $0 \leq h < \delta_\varepsilon$ .

## Theorem (Ingham and Karamata, independently)

Let  $\tau \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$  be slowly decreasing (**Tauberian hypothesis**). Suppose its Laplace transform

$$\mathcal{L}\{\tau; z\} = \int_0^\infty \tau(t) e^{-zt} dt$$

converges on  $\Re z > 0$  and has  $L^1_{loc}$ -boundary behavior on  $\Re z = 0$ , then  **$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \tau(x) = 0$** .

# Developments in the 1980s: Newman's contour integration method

In 1980 Newman gave a simple contour integration proof of the next Tauberian theorem.

## Theorem

Let  $a_n$  be bounded (*Tauberian hypothesis*). If  $F(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^z}$  has analytic continuation beyond  $\Re z = 1$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} = F(1).$$

- It is contained in the Ingham-Karamata theorem; however, Newman's proof method is simple and very attractive.
- In the recent book *Twelve landmarks in twentieth century analysis*, Choimet and Queff  let chose this theorem as one of such landmarks.

# Developments in the 1980s: Newman's contour integration method

In 1980 Newman gave a simple contour integration proof of the next Tauberian theorem.

## Theorem

Let  $a_n$  be bounded (*Tauberian hypothesis*). If  $F(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^z}$  has analytic continuation beyond  $\Re z = 1$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} = F(1).$$

- It is contained in the Ingham-Karamata theorem; however, Newman's proof method is simple and very attractive.
- In the recent book *Twelve landmarks in twentieth century analysis*, Choimet and Queff  let chose this theorem as one of such landmarks.

# Developments in the 1980s: Newman's contour integration method

In 1980 Newman gave a simple contour integration proof of the next Tauberian theorem.

## Theorem

Let  $a_n$  be bounded (*Tauberian hypothesis*). If  $F(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^z}$  has analytic continuation beyond  $\Re z = 1$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} = F(1).$$

- It is contained in the Ingham-Karamata theorem; however, Newman's proof method is simple and very attractive.
- In the recent book *Twelve landmarks in twentieth century analysis*, Choimet and Queff  let chose this theorem as one of such landmarks.

# Newman's short way to the PNT

Newman's Tauberian theorem from above provides a relatively simple way to prove the PNT.

- One works here with the Möbius

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ (-1)^r & \text{if } n \text{ has } r \text{ distinct prime factors,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- Property:  $\mu$  is the Dirichlet convolution inverse of 1. So,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^z} = \frac{1}{\zeta(z)} \quad (\zeta \text{ is the Riemann zeta function})$$

- Applying the previous theorem,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} = \frac{1}{\zeta(1)} = 0$ .
- The latter relation was shown to imply the PNT by Landau in 1913 via elementary (real-variable) methods.

# Newman's short way to the PNT

Newman's Tauberian theorem from above provides a relatively simple way to prove the PNT.

- One works here with the Möbius

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ (-1)^r & \text{if } n \text{ has } r \text{ distinct prime factors,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- Property:  $\mu$  is the Dirichlet convolution inverse of 1. So,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^z} = \frac{1}{\zeta(z)} \quad (\zeta \text{ is the Riemann zeta function})$$

- Applying the previous theorem,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} = \frac{1}{\zeta(1)} = 0$ .
- The latter relation was shown to imply the PNT by Landau in 1913 via elementary (real-variable) methods.

# Newman's short way to the PNT

Newman's Tauberian theorem from above provides a relatively simple way to prove the PNT.

- One works here with the Möbius

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ (-1)^r & \text{if } n \text{ has } r \text{ distinct prime factors,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- Property:  $\mu$  is the Dirichlet convolution inverse of 1. So,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^z} = \frac{1}{\zeta(z)} \quad (\zeta \text{ is the Riemann zeta function})$$

- Applying the previous theorem,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} = \frac{1}{\zeta(1)} = 0$ .
- The latter relation was shown to imply the PNT by Landau in 1913 via elementary (real-variable) methods.

# Tauberians motivated by applications in semigroups

Newman's contour integration method was adapted to a variety of Tauberian problems in numerous articles.

Its importance was recognized by the semigroup community. Here is a sample (extending a result of Korevaar and Zagier):

Theorem (Arendt and Batty, 1988)

Let  $\rho \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  (*Tauberian hypothesis*) vanish on  $(-\infty, 0)$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}\{\rho; z\}$  has analytic continuation at every point of the complement of  $iE$  where  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a closed null set. If  $0 \notin iE$  and

$$\sup_{t \in E} \sup_{x > 0} \left| \int_0^x e^{-itu} \rho(u) du \right| < \infty,$$

then the (improper) integral of  $\rho$  converges to  $b = \mathcal{L}\{\rho; 0\}$ , that is,

$$\int_0^\infty \rho(t) dt = b.$$

# Tauberians motivated by applications in semigroups

Newman's contour integration method was adapted to a variety of Tauberian problems in numerous articles.

Its importance was recognized by the semigroup community. Here is a sample (extending a result of Korevaar and Zagier):

## Theorem (Arendt and Batty, 1988)

Let  $\rho \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  (*Tauberian hypothesis*) vanish on  $(-\infty, 0)$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}\{\rho; z\}$  has analytic continuation at every point of the complement of  $iE$  where  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a closed null set. If  $0 \notin iE$  and

$$\sup_{t \in E} \sup_{x > 0} \left| \int_0^x e^{-itu} \rho(u) du \right| < \infty,$$

then the (improper) integral of  $\rho$  converges to  $b = \mathcal{L}\{\rho; 0\}$ , that is,

$$\int_0^\infty \rho(t) dt = b.$$

If  $E = \emptyset$ , the result is due to Korevaar and Zagier (independently), who also obtained it via Newman's contour integration technique.

In this case, the result is contained in the Ingham-Karamata theorem:

- Set  $\tau(x) = \int_0^x \rho(u)du - b \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}\{\tau; z\} = \frac{\mathcal{L}\{\rho; z\} - b}{z}$   
with  $b = \mathcal{L}\{\rho; 0\}$ .
- $\mathcal{L}\{\rho; z\}$  has analytic continuation beyond  $\Re z = 0$  **if and only if**

$$\mathcal{L}\{\tau; z\} = \frac{\mathcal{L}\{\rho; z\} - b}{z}$$

does.

If  $E = \emptyset$ , the result is due to Korevaar and Zagier (independently), who also obtained it via Newman's contour integration technique.

In this case, the result is contained in the Ingham-Karamata theorem:

- Set  $\tau(x) = \int_0^x \rho(u)du - b \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}\{\tau; z\} = \frac{\mathcal{L}\{\rho; z\} - b}{z}$   
with  $b = \mathcal{L}\{\rho; 0\}$ .
- $\mathcal{L}\{\rho; z\}$  has analytic continuation beyond  $\Re z = 0$  if and only if

$$\mathcal{L}\{\tau; z\} = \frac{\mathcal{L}\{\rho; z\} - b}{z}$$

does.

If  $E = \emptyset$ , the result is due to Korevaar and Zagier (independently), who also obtained it via Newman's contour integration technique.

In this case, the result is contained in the Ingham-Karamata theorem:

- Set  $\tau(x) = \int_0^x \rho(u)du - b \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}\{\tau; z\} = \frac{\mathcal{L}\{\rho; z\} - b}{z}$   
with  $b = \mathcal{L}\{\rho; 0\}$ .
- $\mathcal{L}\{\rho; z\}$  has analytic continuation beyond  $\Re z = 0$  **if and only if**

$$\mathcal{L}\{\tau; z\} = \frac{\mathcal{L}\{\rho; z\} - b}{z}$$

does.

The Arendt-Batty Tauberian theorem readily extends to functions with values on a Banach space. Here is a sample application of the vector-valued version:

### Theorem (Arendt and Batty)

Let  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  be a *bounded*  $C_0$ -semigroup on a reflexive Banach space  $X$ . Denote the spectrum of its infinitesimal generator  $A$  as  $\sigma(A)$ . If  $\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R}$  is countable and no eigenvalue of  $A$  lies on the imaginary axis, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} T(t)x = 0, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

In recent times, Tauberian methods have been revisited to study rates of converge that can be applied to PDE, e.g. decay estimates for damped wave equations.

The Arendt-Batty Tauberian theorem readily extends to functions with values on a Banach space. Here is a sample application of the vector-valued version:

### Theorem (Arendt and Batty)

Let  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  be a **bounded**  $C_0$ -semigroup on a reflexive Banach space  $X$ . Denote the spectrum of its infinitesimal generator  $A$  as  $\sigma(A)$ . If  $\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R}$  is countable and no eigenvalue of  $A$  lies on the imaginary axis, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} T(t)x = 0, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

In recent times, Tauberian methods have been revisited to study rates of converge that can be applied to PDE, e.g. decay estimates for damped wave equations.

The Arendt-Batty Tauberian theorem readily extends to functions with values on a Banach space. Here is a sample application of the vector-valued version:

### Theorem (Arendt and Batty)

Let  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  be a **bounded**  $C_0$ -semigroup on a reflexive Banach space  $X$ . Denote the spectrum of its infinitesimal generator  $A$  as  $\sigma(A)$ . If  $\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R}$  is countable and no eigenvalue of  $A$  lies on the imaginary axis, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} T(t)x = 0, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

In recent times, Tauberian methods have been revisited to study rates of converge that can be applied to PDE, e.g. decay estimates for damped wave equations.

# Input from operator theory

In the same spirit, Katznelson and Tzafriri established earlier the following important result in asymptotic operator theory:

**Theorem (Katznelson and Tzafriri, 1986)**

*Let  $T$  be a power-bounded operator on a Banach space ( $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T^n\| < \infty$ ). Then,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^{n+1} - T^n\| = 0$$

*if and only if  $\sigma(T) \cap \partial\mathbb{D} \subseteq \{1\}$ .*

- This can be deduced from a power series Tauberian theorem that preceded the Arendt-Batty theorem.
- In their work Katznelson and Tzafriri employed local pseudofunctions on the torus, initiating so the distributional approach in complex Tauberian theory.

# Input from operator theory

In the same spirit, Katznelson and Tzafriri established earlier the following important result in asymptotic operator theory:

**Theorem (Katznelson and Tzafriri, 1986)**

*Let  $T$  be a power-bounded operator on a Banach space ( $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|T^n\| < \infty$ ). Then,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^{n+1} - T^n\| = 0$$

*if and only if  $\sigma(T) \cap \partial\mathbb{D} \subseteq \{1\}$ .*

- This can be deduced from a power series Tauberian theorem that preceded the Arendt-Batty theorem.
- In their work Katznelson and Tzafriri employed local pseudofunctions on the torus, initiating so the distributional approach in complex Tauberian theory.

# Extension of the Ingham-Karamata theorem

## Theorem (Debruyne and V., 2019)

Let  $\tau \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$  be slowly decreasing, vanish on  $(-\infty, 0)$ , and have convergent Laplace transform on  $\Re z > 0$ . Suppose there is a closed null set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that:

- (I)  $\mathcal{L}\{\tau; z\}$  has local pseudofunction boundary behavior on  $i(\mathbb{R} \setminus E)$ ,
- (II) for each  $t \in E$  there is  $M_t > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{x>0} \left| \int_0^x \tau(u) e^{-itu} du \right| < M_t,$$

- (III)  $0 \notin E$ .

Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \tau(x) = 0. \quad (1)$$

Conversely, (1) implies that  $\mathcal{L}\{\tau; z\}$  has local pseudofunction boundary behavior on the whole imaginary axis.

# Extension of the Ingham-Karamata theorem

## Theorem (Debruyne and V., 2019)

Let  $\tau \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$  be slowly decreasing, vanish on  $(-\infty, 0)$ , and have convergent Laplace transform on  $\Re z > 0$ . Suppose there is a closed null set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that:

- (I)  $\mathcal{L}\{\tau; z\}$  has local pseudofunction boundary behavior on  $i(\mathbb{R} \setminus E)$ ,
- (II) for each  $t \in E$  there is  $M_t > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{x>0} \left| \int_0^x \tau(u) e^{-itu} du \right| < M_t,$$

- (III)  $0 \notin E$ .

Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \tau(x) = 0. \quad (1)$$

*Conversely, (1) implies that  $\mathcal{L}\{\tau; z\}$  has local pseudofunction boundary behavior on the whole imaginary axis.*

# Extension of the Ingham-Karamata theorem

## Theorem (Debruyne and V., 2019)

Let  $\tau \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$  be slowly decreasing, vanish on  $(-\infty, 0)$ , and have convergent Laplace transform on  $\Re z > 0$ . Suppose there is a closed null set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that:

- (I)  $\mathcal{L}\{\tau; z\}$  has local pseudofunction boundary behavior on  $i(\mathbb{R} \setminus E)$ ,
- (II) for each  $t \in E$  there is  $M_t > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{x>0} \left| \int_0^x \tau(u) e^{-itu} du \right| < M_t,$$

- (III)  $0 \notin E$ .

Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \tau(x) = 0. \quad (1)$$

Conversely, (1) implies that  $\mathcal{L}\{\tau; z\}$  has local pseudofunction boundary behavior on the whole imaginary axis.

# Some tools involved in the proof

Our proof is based on:

- Boundedness theorems for Laplace transforms with local pseudo-measure behavior.
- Characterizations of local pseudofunctions through behavior outside exceptional sets.

Other results

- General version of the Katznelson-Tzafriri theorem (for power series), improving the Allan-O'Farrell-Ransford theorem (1987).

# Some tools involved in the proof

Our proof is based on:

- Boundedness theorems for Laplace transforms with local pseudo-measure behavior.
- Characterizations of local pseudofunctions through behavior outside exceptional sets.

Other results

- General version of the Katznelson-Tzafriri theorem (for power series), improving the Allan-O'Farrell-Ransford theorem (1987).

# Some tools involved in the proof

Our proof is based on:

- Boundedness theorems for Laplace transforms with local pseudo-measure behavior.
- Characterizations of local pseudofunctions through behavior outside exceptional sets.

Other results

- General version of the Katznelson-Tzafriri theorem (for power series), improving the Allan-O'Farrell-Ransford theorem (1987).

# Quantified finite forms: the Wiener-Ikehara theorem

Let  $S$  be non-decreasing on  $[0, \infty)$  with Laplace transform such that

$$\mathcal{L}\{S; z\} = \frac{A}{z-1}$$

has “good boundary behavior” on **the line segment  $1 + i(-\lambda, \lambda)$** .

One can show that there are  $c_\lambda, C_\lambda > 0$  such that

$$c_\lambda \cdot A \leq \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(x)}{e^x} \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(x)}{e^x} \leq C_\lambda \cdot A. \quad (2)$$

Theorem (Graham and Vaaler, 1981)

*The inequalities hold with*

$$c_\lambda = \frac{2\pi/\lambda}{e^{2\pi/\lambda} - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad C_\lambda = \frac{2\pi/\lambda}{1 - e^{-2\pi/\lambda}}.$$

*These constants are best possible.*



# Quantified finite forms: the Wiener-Ikehara theorem

Let  $S$  be non-decreasing on  $[0, \infty)$  with Laplace transform such that

$$\mathcal{L}\{S; z\} = \frac{A}{z-1}$$

has “good boundary behavior” on **the line segment  $1 + i(-\lambda, \lambda)$** .  
One can show that there are  $c_\lambda, C_\lambda > 0$  such that

$$c_\lambda \cdot A \leq \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(x)}{e^x} \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(x)}{e^x} \leq C_\lambda \cdot A. \quad (2)$$

Theorem (Graham and Vaaler, 1981)

*The inequalities hold with*

$$c_\lambda = \frac{2\pi/\lambda}{e^{2\pi/\lambda} - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad C_\lambda = \frac{2\pi/\lambda}{1 - e^{-2\pi/\lambda}}.$$

*These constants are best possible.*



# Quantified finite forms: the Wiener-Ikehara theorem

Let  $S$  be non-decreasing on  $[0, \infty)$  with Laplace transform such that

$$\mathcal{L}\{S; z\} = \frac{A}{z-1}$$

has “good boundary behavior” on **the line segment  $1 + i(-\lambda, \lambda)$** .

One can show that there are  $c_\lambda, C_\lambda > 0$  such that

$$c_\lambda \cdot A \leq \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(x)}{e^x} \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(x)}{e^x} \leq C_\lambda \cdot A. \quad (2)$$

**Theorem (Graham and Vaaler, 1981)**

*The inequalities hold with*

$$c_\lambda = \frac{2\pi/\lambda}{e^{2\pi/\lambda} - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad C_\lambda = \frac{2\pi/\lambda}{1 - e^{-2\pi/\lambda}}.$$

*These constants are best possible.*



# Quantified finite forms: Ingham's theorem

$\text{Lip}(I; M)$  denotes the class of Lipschitz continuous functions on  $I$  with Lipschitz constant  $M$ .

**Known result:** Suppose that

- 1  $\tau \in \text{Lip}([0, \infty); M)$ .
- 2  $\mathcal{L}\{\tau; z\}$  has “good” boundary behavior on  $(-i\lambda, i\lambda)$ .

There is an **absolute** constant  $\mathfrak{C} > 0$  such that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(x)| \leq \frac{\mathfrak{C}M}{\lambda}.$$

Some values of  $\mathfrak{C}$ :

$\mathfrak{C} = 6$ , Ingham (1935)

$\mathfrak{C} = 2$ , Korevaar, Zagier, and other people ...

**Problem:** Find the optimal value of  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

# Quantified finite forms: Ingham's theorem

$\text{Lip}(I; M)$  denotes the class of Lipschitz continuous functions on  $I$  with Lipschitz constant  $M$ .

**Known result:** Suppose that

- 1  $\tau \in \text{Lip}([0, \infty); M)$ .
- 2  $\mathcal{L}\{\tau; z\}$  has “good” boundary behavior on  $(-i\lambda, i\lambda)$ .

There is an **absolute** constant  $\mathfrak{C} > 0$  such that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(x)| \leq \frac{\mathfrak{C}M}{\lambda}.$$

Some values of  $\mathfrak{C}$ :

$\mathfrak{C} = 6$ , Ingham (1935)

$\mathfrak{C} = 2$ , Korevaar, Zagier, and other people ...

**Problem:** Find the optimal value of  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

# Quantified finite forms: Ingham's theorem

$\text{Lip}(I; M)$  denotes the class of Lipschitz continuous functions on  $I$  with Lipschitz constant  $M$ .

**Known result:** Suppose that

- 1  $\tau \in \text{Lip}([0, \infty); M)$ .
- 2  $\mathcal{L}\{\tau; z\}$  has “good” boundary behavior on  $(-i\lambda, i\lambda)$ .

There is an **absolute** constant  $\mathfrak{C} > 0$  such that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(x)| \leq \frac{\mathfrak{C}M}{\lambda}.$$

Some values of  $\mathfrak{C}$ :

$\mathfrak{C} = 6$ , Ingham (1935)

$\mathfrak{C} = 2$ , Korevaar, Zagier, and other people ...

**Problem:** Find the optimal value of  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

# Quantified finite forms: Ingham's theorem

$\text{Lip}(I; M)$  denotes the class of Lipschitz continuous functions on  $I$  with Lipschitz constant  $M$ .

**Known result:** Suppose that

- 1  $\tau \in \text{Lip}([0, \infty); M)$ .
- 2  $\mathcal{L}\{\tau; z\}$  has “good” boundary behavior on  $(-i\lambda, i\lambda)$ .

There is an **absolute** constant  $\mathfrak{C} > 0$  such that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(x)| \leq \frac{\mathfrak{C}M}{\lambda}.$$

Some values of  $\mathfrak{C}$ :

$\mathfrak{C} = 6$ , Ingham (1935)

$\mathfrak{C} = 2$ , Korevaar, Zagier, and other people ...

**Problem:** Find the optimal value of  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

## Theorem (Debruyne and V., 2018)

Suppose that

- 1  $\tau \in \text{Lip}([0, \infty); M)$
- 2  $\mathcal{L}\{\tau; z\}$  has local pseudofunction boundary behavior on  $(-i\lambda, i\lambda)$

Then

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(x)| \leq \frac{\pi M}{2\lambda}$$

and the value of  $\pi/2$  in this inequality cannot be improved.

Combining this with the Graham-Vaaler sharp Wiener-Ikehara theorem, one can consider ‘Lipschitz continuous functions only from below’. We obtained the sharp inequality

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(x)| \leq \frac{\pi M}{\lambda}$$

## Theorem (Debruyne and V., 2018)

Suppose that

- 1  $\tau \in \text{Lip}([0, \infty); M)$
- 2  $\mathcal{L}\{\tau; z\}$  has local pseudofunction boundary behavior on  $(-i\lambda, i\lambda)$

Then

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(x)| \leq \frac{\pi M}{2\lambda}$$

and the value of  $\pi/2$  in this inequality cannot be improved.

Combining this with the Graham-Vaaler sharp Wiener-Ikehara theorem, one can consider ‘Lipschitz continuous functions only from below’. We obtained the sharp inequality

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(x)| \leq \frac{\pi M}{\lambda}$$

# Inequalities for functions with regular Fourier transform

Define the 'oscillation' and 'decrease' moduli at  $\infty$  as:

$$\Psi(\delta) = \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{h \in [0, \delta]} |\tau(x+h) - \tau(x)|.$$

and

$$\Psi_-(\delta) = -\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{h \in [0, \delta]} \tau(x+h) - \tau(x).$$

Theorem (Debruyne and V., 2018)

Let  $\tau \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Suppose that  $\hat{\tau} \in PF_{loc}(-\lambda, \lambda)$  (in particular if continuous there). Then,

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(x)| \leq \inf_{\delta > 0} \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{2\delta\lambda}\right) \Psi(\delta)$$

and

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(x)| \leq \inf_{\delta > 0} \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{\delta\lambda}\right) \Psi_-(\delta).$$

The constants  $\pi/2$  and  $\pi$  being sharp in these inequalities.

# Inequalities for functions with regular Fourier transform

Define the 'oscillation' and 'decrease' moduli at  $\infty$  as:

$$\Psi(\delta) = \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{h \in [0, \delta]} |\tau(x+h) - \tau(x)|.$$

and

$$\Psi_-(\delta) = -\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{h \in [0, \delta]} \tau(x+h) - \tau(x).$$

Theorem (Debruyne and V., 2018)

Let  $\tau \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Suppose that  $\hat{\tau} \in PF_{loc}(-\lambda, \lambda)$  (in particular if continuous there). Then,

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(x)| \leq \inf_{\delta > 0} \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{2\delta\lambda}\right) \Psi(\delta)$$

and

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(x)| \leq \inf_{\delta > 0} \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{\delta\lambda}\right) \Psi_-(\delta).$$

The constants  $\pi/2$  and  $\pi$  being sharp in these inequalities.

# Inequalities for functions with regular Fourier transform

Define the 'oscillation' and 'decrease' moduli at  $\infty$  as:

$$\Psi(\delta) = \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{h \in [0, \delta]} |\tau(x+h) - \tau(x)|.$$

and

$$\Psi_-(\delta) = - \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{h \in [0, \delta]} \tau(x+h) - \tau(x).$$

## Theorem (Debruyne and V., 2018)

Let  $\tau \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Suppose that  $\hat{\tau} \in \text{PF}_{loc}(-\lambda, \lambda)$  (in particular if continuous there). Then,

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(x)| \leq \inf_{\delta > 0} \left( 1 + \frac{\pi}{2\delta\lambda} \right) \Psi(\delta)$$

and

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\tau(x)| \leq \inf_{\delta > 0} \left( 1 + \frac{\pi}{\delta\lambda} \right) \Psi_-(\delta).$$

The constants  $\pi/2$  and  $\pi$  being sharp in these inequalities.

# Some references

The talk is based on recent collaborative works with G. Debruyne:

- Generalization of the Wiener-Ikehara theorem, Illinois J. Math. 60 (2016), 613–624.
- Optimal Tauberian constant in Ingham's theorem for Laplace transforms, Israel J. Math. 228 (2018), 557–586.
- Note on the absence of remainders in the Wiener-Ikehara theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 146 (2018), 5097–5103.
- Complex Tauberian theorems for Laplace transforms with local pseudofunction boundary behavior, J. Anal. Math. 138 (2019), 799–833.

For some applications of these results in analytic number theory, see:

- On PNT equivalences for Beurling numbers, Monatsh. Math. 184 (2017), 401–424.
- On Diamond's  $L^1$  criterion for asymptotic density of Beurling generalized integers, Michigan Math. J. 68 (2019), 211–223.

## Important book references on complex Tauberians

- W. Arendt, C. J. K. Batty, M. Hieber, F. Neubrander, Vector-valued Laplace transforms and Cauchy problems, 2011.
- J. Korevaar, Tauberian theory. A century of developments, 2004.

# Some references

The talk is based on recent collaborative works with G. Debruyne:

- Generalization of the Wiener-Ikehara theorem, Illinois J. Math. 60 (2016), 613–624.
- Optimal Tauberian constant in Ingham's theorem for Laplace transforms, Israel J. Math. 228 (2018), 557–586.
- Note on the absence of remainders in the Wiener-Ikehara theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 146 (2018), 5097–5103.
- Complex Tauberian theorems for Laplace transforms with local pseudofunction boundary behavior, J. Anal. Math. 138 (2019), 799–833.

For some applications of these results in analytic number theory, see:

- On PNT equivalences for Beurling numbers, Monatsh. Math. 184 (2017), 401–424.
- On Diamond's  $L^1$  criterion for asymptotic density of Beurling generalized integers, Michigan Math. J. 68 (2019), 211–223.

## Important book references on complex Tauberians

- W. Arendt, C. J. K. Batty, M. Hieber, F. Neubrander, Vector-valued Laplace transforms and Cauchy problems, 2011.
- J. Korevaar, Tauberian theory. A century of developments, 2004.

# Some references

The talk is based on recent collaborative works with G. Debruyne:

- Generalization of the Wiener-Ikehara theorem, Illinois J. Math. 60 (2016), 613–624.
- Optimal Tauberian constant in Ingham's theorem for Laplace transforms, Israel J. Math. 228 (2018), 557–586.
- Note on the absence of remainders in the Wiener-Ikehara theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 146 (2018), 5097–5103.
- Complex Tauberian theorems for Laplace transforms with local pseudofunction boundary behavior, J. Anal. Math. 138 (2019), 799–833.

For some applications of these results in analytic number theory, see:

- On PNT equivalences for Beurling numbers, Monatsh. Math. 184 (2017), 401–424.
- On Diamond's  $L^1$  criterion for asymptotic density of Beurling generalized integers, Michigan Math. J. 68 (2019), 211–223.

## Important book references on complex Tauberians

- W. Arendt, C. J. K. Batty, M. Hieber, F. Neubrander, Vector-valued Laplace transforms and Cauchy problems, 2011.
- J. Korevaar, Tauberian theory. A century of developments, 2004.