

# *Fórmula de inversión de Fourier y caracterización de valores puntuales de distribuciones temperadas*

Jasson Vindas

[jvindas@math.lsu.edu](mailto:jvindas@math.lsu.edu)

Louisiana State University

Seminario del Departamento de Física Teórica I y II

Universidad Complutense de Madrid

Marzo 28, 2007

## Summary

The aim of this talk is to present a Pointwise inversion formula for the Fourier Transform of Tempered Distributions.

## Summary

The aim of this talk is to present a Pointwise inversion formula for the Fourier Transform of Tempered Distributions.

We make sense out of the Formula

$$f(x_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(t) e^{-itx_0} dt$$

## Summary

The aim of this talk is to present a Pointwise inversion formula for the Fourier Transform of Tempered Distributions.

We make sense out of the Formula

$$f(x_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(t) e^{-itx_0} dt$$

- What does  $f$  at  $x_0$  mean?

## Summary

The aim of this talk is to present a Pointwise inversion formula for the Fourier Transform of Tempered Distributions.

We make sense out of the Formula

$$f(x_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(t) e^{-itx_0} dt$$

- What does  $f$  at  $x_0$  mean?  
It means the value of a distribution at a point in the Lojasiewicz sense.

## Summary

The aim of this talk is to present a Pointwise inversion formula for the Fourier Transform of Tempered Distributions.

We make sense out of the Formula

$$f(x_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(t) e^{-itx_0} dt$$

- What does  $f$  at  $x_0$  mean?  
It means the value of a distribution at a point in the Lojasiewicz sense.
- What is the meaning of  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(t) e^{-itx_0} dt$ ?  
Later...

## Notation

- $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the space of smooth compactly supported functions and the space of smooth rapidly decreasing functions.

## Notation

- $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the space of smooth compactly supported functions and the space of smooth rapidly decreasing functions.
- $\mathcal{D}'$  and  $\mathcal{S}'$  the space of distribution and the space of tempered distributions.

## Notation

- $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the space of smooth compactly supported functions and the space of smooth rapidly decreasing functions.
- $\mathcal{D}'$  and  $\mathcal{S}'$  the space of distribution and the space of tempered distributions.
- All of our functions and distributions are over the real line.

## Notation

- $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the space of smooth compactly supported functions and the space of smooth rapidly decreasing functions.
- $\mathcal{D}'$  and  $\mathcal{S}'$  the space of distribution and the space of tempered distributions.
- All of our functions and distributions are over the real line.
- The Fourier transform in  $\mathcal{S}$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t) e^{ixt} dt.$$

## Notation

- $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the space of smooth compactly supported functions and the space of smooth rapidly decreasing functions.
- $\mathcal{D}'$  and  $\mathcal{S}'$  the space of distribution and the space of tempered distributions.
- All of our functions and distributions are over the real line.
- The Fourier transform in  $\mathcal{S}$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t) e^{ixt} dt.$$

- We use the notations  $\hat{f}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}\{f\}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\{f\}$

## Notation

- $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the space of smooth compactly supported functions and the space of smooth rapidly decreasing functions.
- $\mathcal{D}'$  and  $\mathcal{S}'$  the space of distribution and the space of tempered distributions.
- All of our functions and distributions are over the real line.
- The Fourier transform in  $\mathcal{S}$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t) e^{ixt} dt.$$

- We use the notations  $\hat{f}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}\{f\}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\{f\}$
- The evaluation of  $f$  at a test function  $\phi$  is denoted by

$$\langle f(x), \phi(x) \rangle$$

## Distributional Point Values

Lojasiewicz defined the value of a distribution  $f \in \mathcal{D}'$  at the point  $x_0$  as the limit

$$f(x_0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f(x_0 + \varepsilon x),$$

if the limit exists in the weak topology of  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ .

## Distributional Point Values

Lojasiewicz defined the value of a distribution  $f \in \mathcal{D}'$  at the point  $x_0$  as the limit

$$f(x_0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f(x_0 + \varepsilon x),$$

if the limit exists in the weak topology of  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ .

In terms of test functions, it means that for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left\langle f(x), \phi\left(\frac{x - x_0}{\epsilon}\right) \right\rangle = f(x_0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) dx.$$

## Distributional Point Values

Lojasiewicz defined the value of a distribution  $f \in \mathcal{D}'$  at the point  $x_0$  as the limit

$$f(x_0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f(x_0 + \varepsilon x),$$

if the limit exists in the weak topology of  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ .

In terms of test functions, it means that for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left\langle f(x), \phi\left(\frac{x - x_0}{\epsilon}\right) \right\rangle = f(x_0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) dx.$$

- **Notation:** If  $f \in \mathcal{D}'$  has a value  $\gamma$  at  $x_0$ , we say that  $f(x_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$ . The meaning of  $f(x_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$ , ..., must be clear.

## Distributional Point Values

Lojasiewicz defined the value of a distribution  $f \in \mathcal{D}'$  at the point  $x_0$  as the limit

$$f(x_0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f(x_0 + \varepsilon x),$$

if the limit exists in the weak topology of  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ .

In terms of test functions, it means that for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left\langle f(x), \phi\left(\frac{x - x_0}{\epsilon}\right) \right\rangle = f(x_0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) dx.$$

- **Notation:** If  $f \in \mathcal{D}'$  has a value  $\gamma$  at  $x_0$ , we say that  $f(x_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$ . The meaning of  $f(x_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$ , ..., must be clear.
- **Remark:** R.Estrada has shown that if  $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ , then  $f(x_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$  implies  $f(x_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$ .

## Characterization of Distributional Point Values

Lojasiewicz showed that  $f(x_0) = \gamma$  is equivalent to the existence of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and a primitive of order  $n$  of  $f$  which is continuous in a neighborhood of  $x_0$  and satisfies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{n!F(x)}{(x - x_0)^n} = \gamma.$$

## Characterization of Distributional Point Values

Lojasiewicz showed that  $f(x_0) = \gamma$  is equivalent to the existence of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and a primitive of order  $n$  of  $f$  which is continuous in a neighborhood of  $x_0$  and satisfies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{n!F(x)}{(x - x_0)^n} = \gamma.$$

**Remark:** If  $\mu$  is a measure the above formula reads as

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{n}{(x - x_0)^n} \int_{x_0}^x (x - t)^{n-1} d\mu(t) = \gamma.$$

## Characterization of Distributional Point Values

Lojasiewicz showed that  $f(x_0) = \gamma$  is equivalent to the existence of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and a primitive of order  $n$  of  $f$  which is continuous in a neighborhood of  $x_0$  and satisfies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{n!F(x)}{(x - x_0)^n} = \gamma.$$

**Remark:** If  $\mu$  is a measure the above formula reads as

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{n}{(x - x_0)^n} \int_{x_0}^x (x - t)^{n-1} d\mu(t) = \gamma.$$

In particular if  $f$  is locally integrable and  $x_0$  is a Lebesgue point of  $f$ , then  $f$  has a distributional point value at  $x_0$

## Cesaro limits and Cesaro summability

We say that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \gamma \quad (C, 1)$$

if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_{n-1} + a_n}{n+1} = \gamma$$

## Cesaro limits and Cesaro summability

We say that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \gamma \quad (C, 1)$$

if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_{n-1} + a_n}{n+1} = \gamma$$

**Remark:**  $\sum a_n = \gamma \quad (C, 1)$  means that the limit of the partial sums is equal to  $\gamma$  in the  $(C, 1)$  sense.

## Cesaro limits and Cesaro summability

We say that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \gamma \quad (C, 1)$$

if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_{n-1} + a_n}{n+1} = \gamma$$

**Remark:**  $\sum a_n = \gamma \quad (C, 1)$  means that the limit of the partial sums is equal to  $\gamma$  in the  $(C, 1)$  sense.

**Remark:** We can continue taking averages in this way and end up with the  $(C, k)$  sense of summability. These averages are called Hölder Means.

## Cesaro limits and Cesaro summability

We say that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \gamma \quad (C, 1)$$

if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_{n-1} + a_n}{n+1} = \gamma$$

**Remark:**  $\sum a_n = \gamma \quad (C, 1)$  means that the limit of the partial sums is equal to  $\gamma$  in the  $(C, 1)$  sense.

**Remark:** We can continue taking averages in this way and end up with the  $(C, k)$  sense of summability. These averages are called Hölder Means.

**Remark:**  $(C, k)$  summability implies Abel summability.

## Cesaro limits and Cesaro summability

We say that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \gamma \quad (C, 1)$$

if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_{n-1} + a_n}{n+1} = \gamma$$

**Remark:**  $\sum a_n = \gamma \quad (C, 1)$  means that the limit of the partial sums is equal to  $\gamma$  in the  $(C, 1)$  sense.

**Remark:** We can continue taking averages in this way and end up with the  $(C, k)$  sense of summability. This average means are called Hölder Means.

**Remark:**  $(C, k)$  summability implies Abel summability.

**Remark:** There are others equivalent methods for considering  $(C, k)$  summability which are more adequate to our analysis, namely Riesz Typical means.

## Cesaro integrability of integrals and measures

Let  $f$  be locally integrable, we say that

$$\int_0^\infty f(t)dt = \gamma(C, k),$$

if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x f(t) \left(1 - \frac{t}{x}\right)^k dt = \gamma$$

## Cesaro integrability of integrals and measures

Let  $f$  be locally integrable, we say that

$$\int_0^\infty f(t)dt = \gamma(C, k),$$

if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x f(t) \left(1 - \frac{t}{x}\right)^k dt = \gamma$$

**Remark:** The last can be also expressed as

$F_{k+1}(x) = \gamma \frac{x^k}{k!} + o(x^k)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $F_{k+1}$  is a  $k+1$ -iterated primitive with support in  $[0, \infty)$ .

## Cesaro integrability of integrals and measures

Let  $f$  be locally integrable, we say that

$$\int_0^\infty f(t)dt = \gamma(C, k),$$

if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x f(t) \left(1 - \frac{t}{x}\right)^k dt = \gamma$$

**Remark:** The last can be also expressed as

$F_{k+1}(x) = \gamma \frac{x^k}{k!} + o(x^k)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $F_{k+1}$  is a  $k+1$ -iterated primitive with support in  $[0, \infty)$ .

**Remark:** Likewise, we may speak about  $\int_0^\infty d\mu(t) = \gamma(C, k)$  for measures.

## Cesaro integrability of integrals and measures

Let  $f$  be locally integrable, we say that

$$\int_0^\infty f(t)dt = \gamma(C, k),$$

if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x f(t) \left(1 - \frac{t}{x}\right)^k dt = \gamma$$

**Remark:** The last can be also expressed as

$F_{k+1}(x) = \gamma \frac{x^k}{k!} + o(x^k)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $F_{k+1}$  is a  $k+1$ -iterated primitive with support in  $[0, \infty)$ .

**Remark:** Likewise, we may speak about  $\int_0^\infty d\mu(t) = \gamma(C, k)$  for measures.

**Remark:**  $(R, \lambda_n, k)$  for series falls into this case by considering the borel measure  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty c_n \delta(x - \lambda_n)$ .

## Summability of Fourier Series, classical case

Recall that if  $f$  is a  $2\pi$ -periodic continuous function, its Fourier series will not be in general convergent to  $f(x_0)$ , however the classical result says that its Fourier series is  $(C, 1)$ -summable to its values.

# Summability of Fourier Series

G.Walter proved the following:

**Theorem 1** *Let  $f$  be a periodic distribution (and hence tempered) with Fourier Series*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx}.$$

*Then,  $f(x_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$  if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx_0} = \gamma(C, k),$$

*for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

## Summability of Fourier Series

Moreover, he also showed.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $f$  be a periodic distribution (and hence tempered) with Fourier Series*

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx}.$$

*Then,  $f(x_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$  implies*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{-N < n < N} c_n e^{inx_0} = \gamma(C, k),$$

*for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

# Summability of Fourier Series

## Some remarks

- Under certain assumptions on the conjugated series, G.Walter gave a sort of converse of this result.

# Summability of Fourier Series

## Some remarks

- Under certain assumptions on the conjugated series, G.Walter gave a sort of converse of this result.
- If we only assume the  $(C, k)$ -summability of the symmetric partial sums, the converse is far from being true as shown by

$$2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(nx)}{n} = -i \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{e^{inx}}{n}.$$

at  $x = 0$

## Characterization of Point Values

R.Estrada has characterized the distributional point values of periodic distribution in terms of the summability of their Fourier Series.

**Theorem 3** *Let  $f \in S'$  be a periodic distribution of period  $2\pi$  and let  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{inx}$  be its Fourier series. Let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$f(x_0) = \gamma \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'$$

*if and only if there exists  $k$  such that*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{-x \leq n \leq ax} a_n e^{inx_0} = \gamma \text{ (C, k)}$$

*for each  $a > 0$ .*

## Needed for a generalization

The last Theorem admits a generalization to tempered distribution which "looks" like

$$f(x_0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-x}^{ax} \hat{f}(t) e^{-itx_0} dt \ (C).$$

## Cesaro behavior of Distributions

Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}'$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} - \{-1, -2, -3, \dots\}$ , then we say that

$$f(x) = O(x^\alpha) \ (C, N) \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

if every primitive  $F$  of order  $N$ , is an ordinary function(locally integrable) for large arguments and satisfies the ordinary order relation,

$$F(x) = p(x) + O(x^{\alpha+N}) \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

for a suitable polynomial  $p$  of degree at most  $N - 1$

## Cesaro behavior of Distributions

Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}'$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} - \{-1, -2, -3, \dots\}$ , then we say that

$$f(x) = O(x^\alpha) \ (C, N) \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

if every primitive  $F$  of order  $N$ , is an ordinary function(locally integrable) for large arguments and satisfies the ordinary order relation,

$$F(x) = p(x) + O(x^{\alpha+N}) \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

for a suitable polynomial  $p$  of degree at most  $N - 1$ .

Note that if  $\alpha > -1$ , then the polynomial  $p$  is irrelevant.

## Remarks to the Definition

- A similar definition applies to the little  $o$  symbol.

## Remarks to the Definition

- A similar definition applies to the little  $o$  symbol.
- The definitions when  $x \rightarrow -\infty$  are clear.

## Remarks to the Definition

- A similar definition applies to the little  $o$  symbol.
- The definitions when  $x \rightarrow -\infty$  are clear.
- One can define the limit at  $\infty$  in the Cesàro sense for distribution. We say that  $f \in \mathcal{D}'$  has a limit  $L$  at infinity in the Cesàro sense and write

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = L \text{ (C)},$$

if  $f(x) = L + o(1)$  (C), as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

## Parametric Behavior

The Cesàro behavior of a distribution  $f$  at infinity is related to the parametric behavior of  $f(\lambda x)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  (To be interpreted in the weak sense, i.e evaluating at test functions)

## Parametric Behavior

The Cesaro behavior of a distribution  $f$  at infinity is related to the parametric behavior of  $f(\lambda x)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  (To be interpreted in the weak sense, i.e evaluating at test functions)

In fact, one can show that if  $\alpha > -1$ , then  $f(x) = O(x^\alpha)$  (C) as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  and  $f(x) = O(|x|^\alpha)$  (C) as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$  if and only if

$$f(\lambda x) = O(\lambda^\alpha) \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty,$$

## Special values of distributional evaluations

**Definition 1** Let  $g \in \mathcal{D}'$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We say that the evaluation  $\langle g(x), \phi(x) \rangle$  exists in the e.v. Cesàro sense, and write

$$(1) \quad \text{e.v. } \langle g(x), \phi(x) \rangle = \gamma(C, k),$$

if for some primitive  $G$  of  $g\phi$  and  $\forall a > 0$  we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (G(ax) - G(-x)) = \gamma(C, k).$$

If  $g$  is locally integrable then we write (1) as

$$\text{e.v. } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) \phi(x) dx = \gamma(C, k).$$

**Remark:** In this definition the evaluation of  $g$  at  $\phi$  does not have to be defined, we only require that  $g\phi$  is well defined.

## Example

Suppose that  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is positive increasing sequence. If  $g \in \mathcal{S}'$  is given by  $g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \delta(x - \lambda_n)$ , then

$$\text{e.v} \left\langle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \delta(x - \lambda_n), 1 \right\rangle = \gamma(C, k)$$

## Example

Suppose that  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is positive increasing sequence. If  $g \in \mathcal{S}'$  is given by  $g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \delta(x - \lambda_n)$ , then

$$\text{e.v} \left\langle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \delta(x - \lambda_n), 1 \right\rangle = \gamma(C, k)$$

if and only if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\lambda_n \leq x} a_n \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_n}{x}\right)^k = \gamma.$$

## Example

Suppose that  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is positive increasing sequence. If  $g \in \mathcal{S}'$  is given by  $g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \delta(x - \lambda_n)$ , then

$$\text{e.v} \left\langle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \delta(x - \lambda_n), 1 \right\rangle = \gamma(C, k)$$

if and only if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\lambda_n \leq x} a_n \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_n}{x}\right)^k = \gamma.$$

if and only if

$$\sum a_n = \gamma(R, \lambda_n, k)$$

## Wawak weak integrability of distributions

Wawak has defined the integral of a distribution with support on  $[0, \infty)$  (1990) as follows, we say that

$$\int_0^\infty f(t)dt = \gamma(W)$$

if for a the primitive of  $f$ ,  $F$ , with support in  $[0, \infty)$  such that we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = \gamma(C)$$

The notion of evaluations in the e.v. sense generalizes Wawak weak integrability.

## Pointwise Inversion Formula

Now, we characterize the point values of a distribution in  $\mathcal{S}'$  by using Fourier transforms.

**Theorem 4** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ . We have  $f(x_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$  if and only if there exists a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \text{e.v.} \left\langle \hat{f}(t), e^{-ix_0 t} \right\rangle = \gamma \quad (C, k),$$

*which in case  $\hat{f}$  is locally integrable means that*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \text{e.v.} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(t) e^{-ix_0 t} dt = \gamma \quad (C, k).$$

## Consequences

The Pointwise Fourier inversion formula implies at once a result of Costantinescu (1968). Let  $U$  be a harmonic representation of  $f$  on the upper semiplane, i.e,  $U(z)$  is harmonic for  $\Im z > 0$  and  $f(x) = U(x + i0^+)$ , then if  $f$  is tempered and  $f(x_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$ , we have that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow x_0} U(z) = \gamma, \quad \text{non-tangentially.}$$

## Consequences

Estrada Theorem on Fourier Series follows at one by looking at the form of the Fourier transforms of periodic distributions.

Moreover,

**Theorem 5** *Let  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be an increasing sequence of positive real numbers. Let*

$$(2) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{isgn(n)\lambda_{|n|}x} \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'.$$

*Then,  $f(x_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$ ,  
if and only if there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{-x \leq n \leq ax} a_n e^{isgn(n)\lambda_{|n|}x_0} = \gamma \text{ (R, } \lambda_n, k \text{ ) ,}$$

*for each  $a > 0$ .*

## Consequences

The inversion formula proves a Wawak's theorem.

**Theorem 6** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ . Suppose that  $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subseteq [0, \infty)$ . We have  $f(x_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$  if and only if*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty f(t) e^{-ix_0 t} dt = \gamma \quad (W)$$

## Example

Suppose that

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{n,m} e^{-ig(n,m)x},$$

then,  $f(x_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$  iff there is a  $k$  such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{g(n,m) \leq x} a_{n,m} \left(1 - \frac{g(n,m)}{x}\right)^k = \gamma.$$

## Order of Point Values

**Definition 2** We say that  $f(x_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$  has order  $k$ , if  $k$  is the minimum integer such that there exists a primitive of order  $k$  of  $f$ ,  $F$ , such that  $F$  is locally integrable in a neighborhood of  $x_0$  and  $F$  satisfies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{n!F(x)}{(x - x_0)^n} = \gamma.$$

**Remark:** Lojasewicz had defined the order of the point value in a different way, but I propose this new definition to be consistent with the following Theorems.

## Order of inversion Formula

**Theorem 7** Let  $f \in S'$ . Suppose that there exists a  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that for every  $m$ -primitive  $h$  of  $f$ , i.e.,  $h^{(m)} = f$ ,  $h$  is measurable and  $h(x) = O(|x|^{m-1})$ . Let  $m_0$  be the smallest natural number with this property. If  $f$  has a distributional point value  $\gamma$  at  $x_0$ , whose order is  $n$ , then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} e.v. \left\langle \hat{f}(x), e^{-ix_0 x} \right\rangle = \gamma(C, k+1),$$

where  $k = \max \{m_0, n\}$ .

## Two Remarkable Cases

Define

$$\phi_a^\beta(t) = (1+t)^\beta \chi_{[-1,0]}(t) + \left(1 - \frac{t}{a}\right)^\beta \chi_{[0,a]}(t).$$

## Two Remarkable Cases

**Theorem 8** Let  $f$  be a distribution with compact support. Suppose that  $f(x_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$  with order  $k$ . Let  $\beta > k$ . Then for each  $a > 0$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-x}^{ax} \hat{f}(t) e^{-ix_0 t} dt = \gamma \quad (C, \beta)$$

or which is the same

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_a^{\beta} \left( \frac{t}{x} \right) \hat{f}(t) e^{-ix_0 t} dt = \gamma,$$

Moreover, these relations hold uniformly for  $a$  in compact subsets of  $(0, \infty)$ .

## Two Remarkable Cases

**Theorem 9** Let  $f$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic distribution, with Fourier series  $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx}$ . If  $f(x_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$  with order  $k$ . Let  $\beta > k$ . Then for each  $a > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{-x \leq n \leq ax} c_n e^{ix_0 n} = \gamma \ (C, \beta),$$

or equivalently

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{-x \leq n \leq ax} \phi_a^\beta \left( \frac{n}{x} \right) c_n e^{ix_0 n} = \gamma.$$

Moreover, these relations hold uniformly for  $a$  in compact subsets of  $(0, \infty)$ .

## Order of Point Value

**Theorem 10** Let  $f \in S'$ . Suppose that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} e.v. \left\langle \hat{f}(x), e^{-ixx_0} \right\rangle = \gamma(C, k);$$

then,  $f(x_0) = \gamma$  in  $S'$   $f$  is the derivative of order  $k + 1$  of a locally integrable function and the order of  $f(x_0)$  is less or equal to  $k + 2$ .