

Bounds on Cyclotomic Numbers

Do Duc Tai

Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

(joint with B. Schmidt, K. H. Leung)

Kloster Irsee, 15 September 2017

Cyclotomic Numbers

➤ q a prime power: $q = p^n = ef + 1$.

➤ g primitive in $\mathbb{F}_q$, $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \{1, g, \dots, g^{ef-1}\}$. For $a \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$C_a = \{g^a, g^{a+e}, \dots, g^{a+(f-1)e}\} = g^a C_0.$$

➤ $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, (a, b) a cyclotomic number of order e :

$$(a, b) = \# \text{ solutions } 1 + x = y, x \in C_a, y \in C_b,$$

$$(a, b) = \# \text{ pairs } (r, s): 0 \leq r, s \leq f - 1, 1 + g^{a+re} = g^{b+se}.$$

Uniformity of Cyclotomic Numbers

$$(a, b) = \# \text{ solutions } 1 + x = y, x \in C_a, y \in C_b.$$

➤ $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \cup_{a=0}^{e-1} C_a$. If $y \neq 1$, then $1 - y \in C_a$ for some $0 \leq a \leq e - 1$:

$$\sum_{a=0}^{e-1} (a, b) = f - \delta_{b0} \approx q/e.$$

Fixing e and let $q \gg e$, then $(a, b) = q/e^2 + O(\sqrt{q})$ (Katre 1989).

➤ Fixing f and let $q \gg f$, how are the values of (a, b) ?

Answer: they are “*uniformly small*”.

Results by Betsumiya et al., 2013

a) $(a, b) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{f}{2} \right\rfloor$ if $p > \frac{3f}{2} - 1$.

b) $(0, 0) = 0$ if $f \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ and $p \gg f$.

c) $(0, 0) = 2$ if $f \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ and $p \gg f$.

How “large” exactly does p need to be compared to f ?

Results by Betsumiya et al., 2013

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \binom{f}{1} & \cdots & \binom{f}{f-2} & \binom{f}{f-1} \\ \binom{f}{f-1} & 1 & \cdots & \binom{f}{f-3} & \binom{f}{f-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \binom{f}{2} & \binom{f}{3} & \cdots & 1 & \binom{f}{1} \\ \binom{f}{1} & \binom{f}{2} & \cdots & \binom{f}{f-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{f \times f}.$$

- If $p > |\det(M)|$, then $(0,0) \in \{0,2\}$.
- (Hadamard's inequality) If $p > (2^f - 1)^f$, then $(0,0) \in \{0,2\}$.

Our Results

(General Result)

If

$$p > (\sqrt{14})^{f/\text{ord}_f(p)},$$

then

$$(a, b) \leq 3.$$

Our approach

➤ **Lemma 1:** $h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{f-1} a_i x^i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. If

$$p^{\text{ord}_f(p)} > \left(\frac{f}{\varphi(f)} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i^2 \right)^{\varphi(f)/2},$$

then

$$h(g^e) = 0 \text{ over } \mathbb{F}_q \Leftrightarrow h(\zeta_f) = 0 \text{ over } \mathbb{C}.$$

➤ **Lemma 2 (Conway, Jones):** If S is a vanishing sum of roots of unity of length ≤ 6 , then S contains subsums each of which is *similar* to

$$1 + \zeta_2 \text{ or } 1 + \zeta_3 + \zeta_3^2 \text{ or } \\ 1 + \zeta_5 + \zeta_5^2 + \zeta_5^3 + \zeta_5^4 \text{ or } -\zeta_3 - \zeta_3^2 + \zeta_5 + \zeta_5^2 + \zeta_5^3 + \zeta_5^4.$$

Proof of Lemma 1

➤ **Lemma 1:** $p^{\text{ord}_f(p)} > \left(\frac{f}{\varphi(f)} \sum_{i=0}^{f-1} a_i^2 \right)^{\varphi(f)/2}$, then

$$h(g^e) = 0 \text{ over } \mathbb{F}_q \Leftrightarrow h(\zeta_f) = 0 \text{ over } \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof

• Claim: We have

$$\left| N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_f)/\mathbb{Q}}(h(\zeta_f)) \right| \leq \left(\frac{f}{\varphi(f)} \sum_{i=0}^{f-1} a_i^2 \right)^{\varphi(f)/2}.$$

• By Claim,

$$p^{\text{ord}_f(p)} > \left| N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_f)/\mathbb{Q}}(h(\zeta_f)) \right|.$$

Proof of Lemma 1

- $\wp$ a prime ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_f]$ above p , $m = \text{ord}_f(p) \mid n$,

$$\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_f]/\wp \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^m} \leq \mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_{p^n}.$$

- Isomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{F}_{p^m} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_f]/\wp$,

$\phi(g^e)$ a primitive f^{th} root of unity:

$$\phi(g^e) = \zeta_f^j + \wp, (j, f) = 1,$$

$$\phi(h(g^e)) = h(\zeta_f^j) + \wp,$$

$$h(g^e) = 0 \Leftrightarrow h(\zeta_f^j) \in \wp.$$

Proof of Lemma 1

$$h(g^e) = 0 \Leftrightarrow h(\zeta_f^j) \in \wp.$$

$$(\Leftarrow) h(\zeta_f) = 0 \rightarrow h(\zeta_f^j) = 0 \in \wp \rightarrow h(g^e) = 0.$$

$$(\Rightarrow) h(g^e) = 0 \rightarrow h(\zeta_f^j) \in \wp \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_f)/\mathbb{Q}}(h(\zeta_f^j)) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^m}.$$

If $h(\zeta_f) \neq 0$, then $h(\zeta_f^j) \neq 0$ and

$$\left| N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_f)/\mathbb{Q}}(h(\zeta_f^j)) \right| \geq p^m,$$

contradiction.

Application of Lemma 1

➤ $(0,0) = \# (r,s)$ with $0 \leq r,s \leq f-1$ and $1 + g^{re} = g^{se}$.

$h(x) = 1 + x^r - x^s$, then $h(g^e) = 0$ and

$$p^{\text{ord}_f(p)} > \left(\frac{3f}{\varphi(f)} \right)^{\varphi(f)/2} \rightarrow 1 + \zeta_f^r - \zeta_f^s = 0.$$

➤ **Theorem 1:** If

$$p > \left(\frac{3f}{\varphi(f)} \right)^{\frac{\varphi(f)}{2\text{ord}_f(p)}},$$

then

$$(0,0) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } f \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6} \text{ and } 2 \notin C_0, \\ 1 & \text{if } f \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6} \text{ and } 2 \in C_0, \\ 2 & \text{if } f \equiv 0 \pmod{6} \text{ and } 2 \notin C_0, \\ 3 & \text{if } f \equiv 0 \pmod{6} \text{ and } 2 \in C_0. \end{cases}$$

Discussion

- Can the bound between p and f be improved?

$$p > (\sqrt{14})^{f/\text{ord}_f(p)} \Rightarrow (a, b) \leq 3.$$

*Partial answer: **Yes***

If f is a prime and if $p > 3^{f/\text{ord}_f(p)}$, then $(a, b) \leq 3$.

- Other numbers of similar types? For example

$$(a, b, c) = \# \text{ solutions } 1 + x + y = z, x \in C_a, y \in C_b, z \in C_c.$$

Discussion

➤ Jacobi sums: $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \langle g \rangle$, $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^*}$: $\chi(g) = \zeta_e$.

$$J(a, b) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi^a(x) \chi^b(x + 1),$$

$$(a, b) = \frac{1}{e^2} \sum_{i, j} J(i, j) \zeta_e^{-(ai+bj)},$$

$$J(i, j) = \sum_{a, b} (a, b) \zeta_e^{ai+bj}.$$

Discussion

➤ Link to group ring equation:

Abelian $G = C_e \times C_e = \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle$. Put

$$A = \sum_{i,j=0}^{e-1} (i,j) x^i y^j, X = \langle x \rangle, Y = \langle y \rangle, Z = \langle xy \rangle,$$

then

$$AA^{(-1)} = q - f(X + Y + Z) + f^2 G.$$

Thank You