

# The symmetric representation of lines in $\text{PG}(\mathbb{F}^3 \otimes \mathbb{F}^3)$

Tomasz Popiel

Queen Mary University of London & The University of Western Australia

joint work with Michel Lavrauw

Finite Geometries: Fifth Irsee Conference

11 September 2017

# Motivation

Tensors have many applications:

- complexity theory (e.g. Strassen's algorithm for matrix multiplication);
- quantum information, entanglement, coding;
- data analysis (chemistry, biology, physics ...);
- signal processing (e.g. source separation) ...
- ... and finite geometry, e.g. finite semifields.

# Motivation (continued)

An expression

$$\tau = \sum_{i=1}^r v_{1i} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{mi}$$

is a **decomposition** of  $\tau \in V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_m$  into “fundamental tensors”.

Questions:

- Existence — what is the smallest possible  $r$  (the **rank** of  $\tau$ )?
- Uniqueness.
- Algorithms (including approximation, ‘noisy’ data) ...

## Another question: orbits

$\mathrm{GL}(V_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(V_m)$  acts on rank-1 tensors in  $V = V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_m$ :

$$(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_m)^{(g_1, \dots, g_m)} = v_1^{g_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_m^{g_m}.$$

If some  $V_i$  are equal then one can also permute components; in particular, if  $V_1 = \cdots = V_m$  then all of  $\mathrm{Sym}_m$  acts via

$$(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_m)^\pi = v_{\pi(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\pi(m)}.$$

How many “different” tensors are there under the full stabiliser of the set of rank-1 tensors?

Application: for  $m = 3$ , orbits of certain tensors correspond to isotopism classes of presemifields (Lavrauw, 2013).

## Existing results

$m = 2$   $V(a, K) \otimes V(b, K) \cong a \times b$  matrices over  $K$ , i.e.

$$v \otimes w = v w^T = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 w_1 & \dots & v_1 w_b \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_a w_1 & \dots & v_a w_b \end{bmatrix},$$

so rank = matrix rank, and there is one orbit for each rank.

$m = 3$  is **much harder**, often depends on field and/or dimensions:

- $K = \mathbb{F}_p$  (Brahana, Thrall, 1930's);  $p = 2$  (Glynn et al. 2006, Havlicek et al. 2012);
- $K = \mathbb{C}$  (Thrall–Chanler, Kac, Kaśkiewicz–Weyman, Nurmiev, Parfenov, Buczyński–Landsberg; 1930's–2010's);
- $K^2 \otimes K^3 \otimes K^r$ ,  $r \geq 1$ ,  $K$  algebraically closed, real or finite (Lavrauw–Sheekey, 2014–2017).

# Geometry, subspaces

Consider  $m = 2$  again, and take  $K = \mathbb{F}_q$ .

Projectively, the rank-1 tensors in  $V = \mathbb{F}_q^a \otimes \mathbb{F}_q^b$  correspond to the Segre variety  $S_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_q) \subset \text{PG}(V) \cong \text{PG}(ab - 1, q)$ , i.e. the image of

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PG}(\mathbb{F}_q^a) \times \text{PG}(\mathbb{F}_q^b) &\rightarrow \text{PG}(V) \\ (\langle v \rangle, \langle w \rangle) &\mapsto \langle v \otimes w \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The setwise stabiliser in  $\text{GL}(V)$  of the rank-1 tensors induces a subgroup  $G \leq \text{PGL}(V)$ , the **setwise stabiliser of  $S_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_q)$** .

What are the **orbits of subspaces** of  $\text{PG}(V)$  under this group?

# Existing results

$a = b = 2$  (Lavrauw–Sheekey, 2014) The setwise stabiliser of

$$S_{2,2}(\mathbb{F}_q) = Q^+(3, q) \subset \text{PG}(3, q)$$

has 4 orbits on lines of  $\text{PG}(3, q)$ .

$a = 2, b = 3$  (Lavrauw–Sheekey, 2017) 7 orbits on lines/solids of  $\text{PG}(\mathbb{F}_q^2 \otimes \mathbb{F}_q^3)$ , 11 orbits on planes.

$a = b = 3$  (Lavrauw–Sheekey, 2015) 14 orbits on lines of  $\text{PG}(\mathbb{F}_q^3 \otimes \mathbb{F}_q^3)$ .  
Planes, etc. will be harder.

*Proofs.* Appropriate contraction spaces of tensors in  $\mathbb{F}_q^2 \otimes \mathbb{F}_q^k \otimes \mathbb{F}_q^k$ ,  $k \in \{2, 3\}$ , are in one-to-one correspondence with tensor orbits.

# Symmetric subspace representatives

Now for  $n = a = b$  consider the **Veronese variety**

$$\mathcal{V}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) \subset \mathcal{S}_{n,n}(\mathbb{F}_q),$$

i.e. the image of the map induced by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{F}_q^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^n \otimes \mathbb{F}_q^n \\ v &\mapsto v \otimes v.\end{aligned}$$

If we think of elements of  $\mathcal{S}_{n,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  as being represented by  $n \times n$  matrices, then elements of  $\mathcal{V}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  are **symmetric matrices**.



# Symmetric subspace representatives (continued)

Recall:  $G \leq \text{PGL}(\mathbb{F}_q^n \otimes \mathbb{F}_q^n)$ , stabiliser of  $S_{n,n}(\mathbb{F}_q) \subset \text{PG}(n^2 - 1, q)$ .

Two questions:

- 1 Which  $G$ -subspace orbits have **symmetric representatives**, i.e. which are represented in  $\langle \mathcal{V}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) \rangle \leq \text{PG}(n^2 - 1, q)$ ?
- 2 Which of those orbits **split** under the action of the setwise stabiliser of  $\langle \mathcal{V}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) \rangle$ ?

$n = 2$

- $\mathcal{V}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is a conic in  $Q^+(3, q) = S_{2,2}(\mathbb{F}_q) \subset \text{PG}(3, q)$ .
- Line orbits in  $\langle \mathcal{V}_2(\mathbb{F}_q) \rangle \cong \text{PG}(2, q)$  under the setwise stabiliser  $\text{PGL}(2, q) \leq \text{PGL}(3, q)$  of the conic? (There are 3.)

## $n = 3$ : line orbits in $\langle \mathcal{V}_3(\mathbb{F}_q) \rangle$

For  $n = 3$  we have  $\mathcal{V}_3(\mathbb{F}_q) \subset \text{PG}(5, q) \leq \text{PG}(\mathbb{F}_q^3 \otimes \mathbb{F}_q^3)$ , represented by symmetric  $3 \times 3$  matrices.

Setwise stabiliser  $H \leq \text{PGL}(6, q)$ ,  $H \cong \text{PGL}(3, q)$ , induced by  $g \in \text{GL}(3, q)$  acting on symmetric  $3 \times 3$  matrices  $A$  via  $A^g = gAg^T$ .

### Theorem (Lavrauw–P., 2017+)

- 11 of the 14 line orbits in  $\text{PG}(\mathbb{F}_q^3 \otimes \mathbb{F}_q^3)$  are represented in  $\langle \mathcal{V}_3(\mathbb{F}_q) \rangle$ ;
- 4 of these 11 orbits split into (exactly) two  $H$ -orbits;
- different orbits split depending on whether  $q$  is even or odd;
- unique  $H$ -orbit of constant rank-3 lines.

# Some data

Tensor orbit <sup>1</sup>	# symmetric line-orbit representatives	splits?	rank distribution
$o_5$	$\frac{1}{2}q(q+1)(q^2+q+1)$		$[2, q-1, 0]$
$o_6$	$(q+1)(q^2+q+1)$		$[1, q, 0]$
$o_8$	$q^4(q^2+q+1)$	yes	$[1, 1, q-1]$
$o_9$	$q(q^3-1)(q+1)$		$[1, 0, q]$
$o_{10}$	$\frac{1}{2}q(q^3-1)$		$[0, q+1, 0]$
$o_{12}$	$q^2(q^2+q+1)$	$q$ even	$[0, q+1, 0]$
$o_{13}$	$q^3(q^3-1)(q+1)$	yes	$[0, 2, q-1]$
$o_{14}$	$\frac{1}{6}q^3(q^3-1)(q^2-1)$	$q$ odd	$[0, 3, q-2]$
$o_{15}$	$\frac{1}{2}q^3(q^3-1)(q^2-1)$	$q$ odd	$[0, 1, q]$
$o_{16}$	$q^2(q^3-1)(q+1)$	$q$ even	$[0, 1, q]$
$o_{17}$	$\frac{1}{3}q^3(q^3-1)(q^2-1)$		$[0, 0, q+1]$

<sup>1</sup>In the notation of Lavrauw–Sheekey (2015).

# More data: line stabilisers in $H = \text{PGL}(3, q)$

Tensor orbit	Common line orbit	Additional line orbit
$o_5$	$E_q^2 : C_{q-1}^2 : C_2$	
$o_6$	$E_q^{1+2} : C_{q-1}^2$	
$o_8$	$C_{q-1} \times O^\pm(2, q), q \equiv \pm 1(4)$ $E_q \times C_{q-1}, q \text{ even}$	$C_{q-1} \times O^\mp(2, q), q \equiv \pm 1(4)$ $C_{q-1} \times \text{SL}(2, q), q \text{ even}$
$o_9$	$E_q^2 : C_{q-1}$	
$o_{10}$	$E_q^2 : O^-(2, q)$	
$o_{12}$	$\text{GL}(2, q), q \text{ odd}$ $E_q^2 : \text{GL}(2, q), q \text{ even}$	$E_q^2 : E_q : C_{q-1}, q \text{ even}$
$o_{13}$	$C_{q-1} \times C_2, q \text{ odd}$ $E_q : C_{q-1}, q \text{ even}$	$C_{q-1} \times C_2$ $E_q, q \text{ even}$
$o_{14}$	$C_2^2 : \text{Sym}_3, q \equiv 1(4)$ $C_2^2 : C_2, q \equiv 3(4)$ $\text{Sym}_3, q \text{ even}$	$C_2^2 : C_2, q \equiv 1(4)$ $C_2^2 : \text{Sym}_3, q \equiv 3(4)$
$o_{15}$	$C_2^2, q \text{ odd}$ $C_2, q \text{ even}$	$C_2^2$
$o_{16}$	$E_q : C_{q-1}, q \text{ odd}$ $E_q^2 : C_{q-1}, q \text{ even}$	$E_q^2, q \text{ even}$
$o_{17}$	$C_3$	

# Historical context

Lines in  $\langle \mathcal{V}_3(\mathbb{F}_q) \rangle$  correspond to pencils of conics in  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ , i.e. pairs of ternary quadratic forms over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

For  $q$  odd, these were classified by Dickson (1908). Our proof has advantages, e.g. don't need to treat  $q \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod{3}$  separately.

For  $q$  even, Campbell (1927) gives a list of inequivalent pencils of conics, but does not attempt a full classification. **We fill this gap.**<sup>2</sup>

Indeed, our approach is largely field independent. We also obtain the classification for algebraically closed fields and the real numbers, and in particular recover Jordan's (1906–1907) classification for  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>The complete classification is stated without proof in Hirschfeld's *Projective geometries of finite fields* (Oxford UP, 1998).

Und nun zur Kneipe !