

A variation of the dual hyperoval $\mathcal{S}_c$ using a presemifield

Finite Geometries Fifth Irsee Conference,
September 10–16, 2017.

Hiroaki Taniguchi
National Institute of Technology, Kagawa College

Definitions: (Huybrechts and Pasini, 1999)

d -dimensional dual hyperoval $\mathcal{S}$ in $V(n, q)$ is a set of $(d + 1)$ -subspaces:

- (1) $\mathcal{S}$ consists of $q^d + q^{d-1} + \cdots + q + 2$ $(d + 1)$ -subspaces, ($= 2^{d+1}$ if $q = 2$.)
- (2) any two distinct $(d + 1)$ -subspaces of $\mathcal{S}$ meet a one dimensional subspace,
- (3) any three distinct $(d + 1)$ -subspaces of $\mathcal{S}$ intersect trivially,
- (4) $(d + 1)$ -subspaces of $\mathcal{S}$ span $V(n, q)$.

[Bilinear DHO $\mathcal{S}_B$ with the bilinear mapping $B(x, t)$]

V : $(d + 1)$ -dimensional vector space over $GF(2)$ with $d \geq 2$.

$B : V \oplus V \rightarrow W$: a $GF(2)$ -bilinear mapping with

$\exists$ 1 non-zero solution for (i) $B(x, a) = 0$ and (ii) $B(a, x) = 0$ for any $a \neq 0$.

In $V \oplus W$, for $t \in V$, let

$$X(t) = \{ (x, B(x, t)) \mid x \in V \}.$$

Then $\mathcal{S} = \{X(t) \mid t \in V\}$ is a d -dimensional DHO in $V \oplus W$.

[Bilinear DHO $\mathcal{S}_B$ with the bilinear mapping $B(x, t)$]

V : $(d + 1)$ -dimensional vector space over $GF(2)$ with $d \geq 2$.

$B : V \oplus V \rightarrow W$: a $GF(2)$ -bilinear mapping with

$\exists$ 1 non-zero solution for $B(x, a) = 0$ for any $a \neq 0$ if $B(x, y) = B(y, x)$.

In $V \oplus W$, for $t \in V$, let

$$X(t) = \{ (x, B(x, t)) \mid x \in V \}.$$

Then $\mathcal{S} = \{X(t) \mid t \in V\}$ is a d -dimensional DHO in $V \oplus W$.

[DHO $\mathcal{S}_c(n, GF(2^r))$] [T,2014]

Let $K = GF(2^r) \ni c$ with $Tr(c) = 1$ (i.e, $xy + cx^2 + cy^2 \neq 0$ for $x, y \in K^*$).

$V_1 := \langle e_1, \dots, e_n \rangle$: n -dimensional K -vector space.

$W := S(V_1 \otimes_K V_1)$ (symmetric tensor space: $x \otimes y = y \otimes x$)

$V := V_1 \oplus \langle e_0 \rangle$ direct sum of $GF(2)$ -vector spaces.

[Definition of $B(x, t)$ for $x, t \in V$] ($B : V \oplus V \rightarrow W$)

Define $B(x + \alpha e_0, t + \beta e_0) = x \otimes t + \alpha cy \otimes y + \beta cx \otimes x$,
where $\alpha, \beta \in GF(2)$.

[Definition of DHO $\mathcal{S}_c(n, GF(2^r))$]

In $U = V \oplus W$, for $t \in V$, let $X(t) = \{ (x, B(x, t)) \mid x \in V \}$

Then $\mathcal{S}_c(n, GF(2^r)) = \{X(t) \mid t \in V\}$

is a $d = rn$ -dim. DHO in $U = V \oplus W$

[Definition of Bilinear Mapping B of $\mathcal{S}_c(n, GF(2^r))$]

Let $K = GF(2^r)$. Define V, W as direct sum of $GF(2)$ -vector spaces as follows.

$$V := (\overbrace{K \oplus \cdots \oplus K}^n) \oplus GF(2) = (\overbrace{K \oplus \cdots \oplus K}^n) \oplus \langle e_0 \rangle$$

$$W := (\overbrace{K \oplus \cdots \oplus K}^n) \oplus (\overbrace{K \oplus \cdots \oplus K \oplus \cdots \oplus K}^{\binom{n}{2}}).$$

Define a $GF(2)$ -bilinear mapping $B : V \oplus V \rightarrow W$ by

$$B : V \oplus V \ni ((\dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots, \alpha), (\dots, y_i, \dots, y_j, \dots, \beta)) \mapsto$$

$$(\dots, x_i y_i + \alpha c y_i^2 + \beta c x_i^2, \dots, x_i y_j + y_i x_j, \dots) \in W$$

for $(\dots, x_i, \dots, \alpha), (\dots, y_i, \dots, \beta) \in V = (\overbrace{K \oplus \cdots \oplus K}^n) \oplus GF(2) = V_1 \oplus \langle e_0 \rangle$.

[Presemifield]

Let $GF(q)$ be a finite field of q elements.

$S = (GF(q), +, \circ)$: a presemifield $\iff S$: an additive group $(GF(q), +)$ and $\circ$ satisfies distributive laws and $x \circ y = 0$ iff $x = 0$ or $y = 0$.

S : a semifield if $S = (GF(q), +, \circ)$ has a multiplicative identity.

[Presemifield]

Let $GF(q)$ be a finite field of q elements.

$S = (GF(q), +, \circ)$: a presemifield $\iff S$: an additive group $(GF(q), +)$ and $\circ$ satisfies distributive laws and $x \circ y = 0$ iff $x = 0$ or $y = 0$.

S : a semifield if $S = (GF(q), +, \circ)$ has a multiplicative identity.

Let $S_1 = (GF(q), +, \circ_1)$ and $S_2 = (GF(q), +, \circ_2)$ be presemifields. S_1 and S_2 are isotopic if $\exists \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \rho : GF(q) \rightarrow GF(q)$ such that

$$x^{\lambda_1} \circ_2 y^{\lambda_2} = (x \circ_1 y)^{\rho}.$$

It is known that any presemifield is isotopic to a semifield.

[Albert Presemifield]

Let q_1 be a prime power and $m > 1$ an integer. Let $F := GF(q)$ with $q = q_1^m$. Let $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Gal}(F/GF(q_1))$, with $1 \neq \sigma \neq \tau \neq 1$ and $\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle = \text{Gal}(F/GF(q_1))$. Let $N = F^{\sigma-1}F^{\tau-1}$. Let $\alpha \in F \setminus N$. Define

$$x \star y := xy - \alpha x^{\sigma} y^{\tau}$$

for any $x, y \in F$. Then $S = (F, +, \star)$ is the Albert presemifield.

[Albert Presemifield]

Fact [Biliotti, Jha, Johnson, 1999]

For $i = 1, 2$, $S_i = (F, +, \star_i)$: the Albert presemifields by $x \star_i y := xy - \alpha_i x^{\sigma_i} y^{\tau_i}$.

Then S_1 and S_2 are isotopic if and only if

- (1) $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$, $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ and $\alpha_1^\mu = \alpha_2(\beta^{\sigma_2-1}\gamma^{\tau_2-1}) = \alpha_2(\beta^{\sigma_1-1}\gamma^{\tau_1-1})$ for some $\mu \in \text{Aut}(F)$ and $\beta, \gamma \in F \setminus \{0\}$, or
- (2) $\sigma_1^{-1} = \sigma_2$, $\tau_1^{-1} = \tau_2$ and $\alpha_1^\mu = -\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta^{1-\sigma_2}\gamma^{1-\tau_2}) = -\alpha_2^{-1}(\beta^{1-\sigma_1^{-1}}\gamma^{1-\tau_1^{-1}})$ for some $\mu \in \text{Aut}(F)$ and $\beta, \gamma \in F \setminus \{0\}$.

Cor. Let $S = (F, +, \star)$: the Albert presemifield by $x \star y := xy - \alpha x^\sigma y^\tau$.

If $\sigma \neq \tau$ and $\sigma \neq \tau^{-1}$ then

$S = (F, +, \star)$ is non-isotopic to any commutative presemifields.

[Definition of Bilinear Mapping]

For $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $K_i = K = GF(2^r)$. Let $S_{ij} = (GF(2^r), +, \star_{ij})$ be presemifields for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

$$V : = (\overbrace{K_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K_n}^n) \oplus GF(2) = (\overbrace{K \oplus \dots \oplus K}^n) \oplus \langle e_0 \rangle,$$

$$W : = (\overbrace{K_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K_n}^n) \oplus (\overbrace{S_{12} \oplus \dots \oplus S_{ij} \oplus \dots \oplus S_{n-1n}}^{\binom{n}{2}})$$

direct sums as $GF(2)$ -vector spaces.

xy for $x, y \in K = GF(2^r)$ is the ordinary field multiplication.

Assume $c \in K \setminus \{0\} = GF(2^r) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies the following conditions

- (c1) $Tr(c) = 1$ (which means $xy + cx^2 + cy^2 \neq 0$ for $x, y \in GF(2^r) \setminus \{0\}$), and
- (c2) $(cx) \star_{ij} y = x \star_{ij} (cy)$ for any $x, y \in GF(2^r)$.

[Definition of Bilinear Mapping]

Let $S_{ij} = (GF(2^r), +, \star_{ij})$ be presemifields for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

$$V := (\overbrace{K \oplus \cdots \oplus K}^n) \oplus GF(2) = (\overbrace{K \oplus \cdots \oplus K}^n) \oplus \langle e_0 \rangle$$

$$W := (\overbrace{K \oplus \cdots \oplus K}^n) \oplus (\overbrace{S_{12} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_{ij} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_{n-1n}}^{\binom{n}{2}}).$$

Define a $GF(2)$ -bilinear mapping $B : V \oplus V \rightarrow W$ by

$$B : V \oplus V \ni ((\dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots, \alpha), (\dots, y_i, \dots, y_j, \dots, \beta)) \mapsto$$

$$(\dots, x_i y_i + \alpha c y_i^2 + \beta c x_i^2, \dots, x_i \star_{ij} y_j + y_i \star_{ij} x_j, \dots) \in W$$

for $(\dots, x_i, \dots, \alpha), (\dots, y_i, \dots, \beta) \in V = (\overbrace{K \oplus \cdots \oplus K}^n) \oplus GF(2) = V_1 \oplus \langle e_0 \rangle$.

[Definition of Bilinear Mapping]

Define a $GF(2)$ -bilinear mapping $B : V \oplus V \rightarrow W = (\oplus_i K_i) \oplus (\oplus_{i < j} S_{ij})$ by

$$B((\dots, x_i, \dots, \alpha), (\dots, y_i, \dots, \beta)) := \\ (\dots, x_i y_i + \alpha c y_i^2 + \beta c x_i^2, \dots, x_i \star_{ij} y_j + y_i \star_{ij} x_j, \dots)$$

for $(\dots, x_i, \dots, \alpha), (\dots, y_i, \dots, \beta) \in V = (K_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K_n) \oplus GF(2) = V_1 \oplus \langle e_0 \rangle$.

[Definition of Bilinear Mapping]

Define a $GF(2)$ -bilinear mapping $B : V \oplus V \rightarrow W = (\oplus_i K_i) \oplus (\oplus_{i < j} S_{ij})$ by

$$B((\dots, x_i, \dots, \alpha), (\dots, y_i, \dots, \beta)) := \\ (\dots, x_i y_i + \alpha c y_i^2 + \beta c x_i^2, \dots, x_i \star_{ij} y_j + y_i \star_{ij} x_j, \dots)$$

for $(\dots, x_i, \dots, \alpha), (\dots, y_i, \dots, \beta) \in V = (K_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K_n) \oplus GF(2) = V_1 \oplus \langle e_0 \rangle$.

If $B(x, (y_1, \dots, y_i, \dots, y_n, 0)) = 0$ then $x = (cy_1, \dots, cy_i, \dots, cy_n, 1)$.

If $B(x, (0, \dots, 0, \dots, 0, 1)) = 0$ then $x = (0, \dots, 0, \dots, 0, 1) = e_0$.

If $B(x, (y_1, \dots, y_i, \dots, y_n, 1)) = 0$ then $x = (c^{-1}y_1, \dots, c^{-1}y_i, \dots, c^{-1}y_n, 0)$.

$$B(x, (y_1, \dots, y_i, \dots, y_n, 0)) = 0 \implies x = (cy_1, \dots, cy_i, \dots, cy_n, 1).$$

$$B(x, (0, \dots, 0, \dots, 0, 1)) = 0 \implies x = (0, \dots, 0, \dots, 0, 1) = e_0.$$

$$B(x, (y_1, \dots, y_i, \dots, y_n, 1)) = 0 \implies x = (c^{-1}y_1, \dots, c^{-1}y_i, \dots, c^{-1}y_n, 0).$$

Thus the condition “ $\exists$ 1 non-zero solution for $B(x, a) = 0$ for any $a \neq 0$ ” is satisfied.

Let $\mathcal{S} = \{X(t) \mid t \in V\}$ be a set of vector subspaces defined by

$$X(t) = \{(x, B(x, t)) \mid x \in V\} \subset V \oplus W.$$

[Theorem 1] $\mathcal{S}$ is a DHO in $V \oplus W$.

[Def. $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_2$ are isomorphic]

$\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_2$ are isomorphic $\iff$

$\exists$ linear isomorphism $\phi : \langle \mathcal{S}_1 \rangle \mapsto \langle \mathcal{S}_2 \rangle$ which induces one-to-one mapping $\mathcal{S}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_2$.

[Dempwolff and Edel, 2014]

Let $V^{(i)}$ and $W^{(i)}$ be $GF(2)$ -vector spaces for $i = 1, 2$. Let $B_i : V^{(i)} \oplus V^{(i)} \rightarrow W^{(i)}$ be $GF(2)$ -bilinear mappings for $i = 1, 2$. Let $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2$ be bilinear dual hyperovals with the bilinear mappings B_1, B_2 . Then $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_2$ are isomorphic $\iff \exists \lambda, \mu : V^{(1)} \rightarrow V^{(2)}, \rho : W^{(1)} \rightarrow W^{(2)}$ s.t.

$$B_2(x^\lambda, y^\mu) = B_1(x, y)^\rho.$$

[On isomorphism problem]

[Definition of Bilinear Mappings B_i for $i = 1, 2$]

Let $K^{(i)} = K = GF(2^r)$.

Let $S_{jk}^{(i)} = (GF(2^r), +, \star_{ijk})$ be presemifields for $1 \leq j < k \leq n$.

$$V^{(i)} : = \overbrace{(K^{(i)} \oplus \cdots \oplus K^{(i)})}^n \oplus GF(2) = \overbrace{(K^{(i)} \oplus \cdots \oplus K^{(i)})}^n \oplus \langle e_0 \rangle$$

$$W^{(i)} : = \overbrace{(K^{(i)} \oplus \cdots \oplus K^{(i)})}^n \oplus \overbrace{(S_{12}^{(i)} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_{jk}^{(i)} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_{n-1n}^{(i)})}^{\binom{n}{2}}$$

direct sums as $GF(2)$ -vector spaces.

Let $B_i : V^{(i)} \oplus V^{(i)} \rightarrow W^{(i)}$ be biliner mappings for $i = 1, 2$.

[Theorem 2]

For $i = 1, 2$, let $\mathcal{S}_i$ be dual hyperovals with the bilinear mappings

$$B_i((x, \alpha), (y, \beta)) = (\dots, x_j y_j + \alpha c_i y_j^2 + \beta c_i x_j^2, \dots, x_j \star_{ijk} y_k + y_j \star_{ijk} x_k, \dots).$$

Assume $\mathcal{S}_{jk}^{(2)}$ are non-isotopic to commutative presemifields for $1 \leq j < k \leq n$, and $c_2 \neq 1$. Let $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_2$ be isomorphic. Then

- $\exists \mu \in \text{Gal}(GF(2^r)/GF(2))$ such that $c_1^\mu = c_2$.
- $\exists$ a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ such that $\mathcal{S}_{ij}^{(1)}$ is isotopic to $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}^{(2)}$ if $\sigma(i) < \sigma(j)$, or $\mathcal{S}_{ij}^{(1)}$ is anti-isotopic to $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma(j)\sigma(i)}^{(2)}$ if $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$.
(anti-isotopic means $\exists \lambda, \mu, \rho$ such that $(x \star_1 y)^\rho = y^\lambda \star_2 x^\mu$.)

[Dempwolff and Edel]

$B_i : V^{(i)} \oplus V^{(i)} \rightarrow W^{(i)}$ be $GF(2)$ -bilinear mappings for $i = 1, 2$.

Let $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2$ be bilinear dual hyperovals with the bilinear mappings B_1, B_2 .

Then $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_2$ are isomorphic $\iff \exists \lambda, \mu : V^{(1)} \rightarrow V^{(2)}, \rho : W^{(1)} \rightarrow W^{(2)}$ s.t.

$$B_2(x^\lambda, y^\mu) = B_1(x, y)^\rho.$$

Recall $V^{(i)} = (\overbrace{K^{(i)} \oplus \dots \oplus K^{(i)}}^n) \oplus GF(2) = (\oplus_{j=1}^n K_j^{(i)}) \oplus \langle e_0 \rangle$. Then:

- $e_0^\lambda = e_0^\mu = e_0$,
- if $c_2 \neq 1$ then $\lambda = \mu$
- if $c_2 \neq 1$ then $(\oplus_{i=1}^n K_i^{(1)})^\lambda = \oplus_{i=1}^n K_i^{(2)}$ and $(c_1 x)^\lambda = c_2 x^\lambda$ for $x \in \oplus_{i=1}^n K_i^{(1)}$.

Let us express $\lambda : V_1^{(1)} = \oplus_{i=1}^n K_i^{(1)} \rightarrow V_1^{(2)} = \oplus_{i=1}^n K_i^{(2)}$ by

$$\lambda : (\dots, x_i, \dots) \mapsto (\dots, \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{\lambda_{ij}}, \dots)$$

using $GF(2)$ -linear maps $\lambda_{ij} : K_j^{(1)} = K \rightarrow K_i^{(2)} = K$.

By “Dempwolff-Edel” $B_1(x, y)^\rho = B_2(x^\lambda, y^\lambda)$ for $x, y \in V_1^{(1)} = \oplus_{i=1}^n K_i^{(1)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\dots, x_i y_i, \dots, x_j y_j, \dots, \dots, x_i \star y_j + y_i \star x_j, \dots)^\rho = \\ & (\dots, (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{\lambda_{ki}})(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^{\lambda_{ki}}), \dots, (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{\lambda_{li}})(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^{\lambda_{li}}), \dots, \\ & \dots, (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{\lambda_{ji}}) \star_{jk} (\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^{\lambda_{ki}}) + (\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^{\lambda_{ji}}) \star_{jk} (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{\lambda_{ki}}), \dots). \end{aligned}$$

if we put $y_i := x_i$ and $x_j = y_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$, we have

$$(0, \dots, 0, x_i^2, 0, \dots, 0)^\rho = \\ ((x_i^{\lambda_{1i}})^2, \dots, (x_i^{\lambda_{ji}})^2, \dots, (x_i^{\lambda_{ni}})^2, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Hence there exist $GF(2)$ -linear maps $f_{ij} : K_i^{(1)} \ni x_i^2 \mapsto (x_i^{\lambda_{ji}})^2 \in K_j^{(2)}$ such that

$$(0, \dots, 0, t_i, 0, \dots, 0, 0)^\rho = (f_{i1}(t_i), \dots, f_{ij}(t_i), \dots, f_{in}(t_i), 0, \dots, 0).$$

if we put $y_i := x_i$ and $x_j = y_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$, we have

$$(0, \dots, 0, \textcolor{red}{x}_i^2, 0, \dots, 0)^\rho = \\ ((\textcolor{blue}{x}_i^{\lambda_{1i}})^2, \dots, (\textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\lambda_{ji}})^2, \dots, (\textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\lambda_{ni}})^2, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Hence there exist $GF(2)$ -linear maps $f_{ij} : K_i^{(1)} \ni x_i^2 \mapsto (x_i^{\lambda_{ji}})^2 \in K_j^{(2)}$ such that

$$(0, \dots, 0, \textcolor{red}{t}_i, 0, \dots, 0, 0)^\rho = (\textcolor{blue}{f}_{i1}(\textcolor{red}{t}_i), \dots, \textcolor{blue}{f}_{ij}(\textcolor{red}{t}_i), \dots, \textcolor{blue}{f}_{in}(\textcolor{red}{t}_i), 0, \dots, 0).$$

Thus, substitute t_i by $x_i y_i$, we have

$$(0, \dots, 0, \textcolor{red}{x}_i \textcolor{red}{y}_i, 0, \dots, 0)^\rho \\ = (\textcolor{blue}{f}_{i1}(\textcolor{red}{x}_i \textcolor{red}{y}_i), \dots, \textcolor{blue}{f}_{ij}(\textcolor{red}{x}_i \textcolor{red}{y}_i), \dots, \textcolor{blue}{f}_{in}(\textcolor{red}{x}_i \textcolor{red}{y}_i), 0, \dots, 0).$$

Thus, for $(0, \dots, 0, \textcolor{red}{x}_i, 0, \dots, 0), (0, \dots, 0, \textcolor{red}{y}_i, 0, \dots, 0) \in V_1^{(1)} = \oplus_{i=1}^n K_i^{(1)},$

$$B_1(x, y)^\rho = (0, \dots, 0, \textcolor{red}{x}_i \textcolor{red}{y}_i, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, 0)^\rho$$

$$= (\dots, \textcolor{blue}{f}_{ij}(\textcolor{red}{x}_i \textcolor{red}{y}_i), \dots, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{\binom{n}{2}})$$

$$B_2(x^\lambda, y^\lambda) = (\dots, \textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\textcolor{blue}{\lambda}_{ji}} \textcolor{red}{y}_i^{\textcolor{blue}{\lambda}_{ji}}, \dots, \overbrace{\dots, \textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\textcolor{magenta}{\lambda}_{ji}} \star_{jk} \textcolor{red}{y}_i^{\textcolor{magenta}{\lambda}_{ki}} + \textcolor{red}{y}_i^{\textcolor{magenta}{\lambda}_{ji}} \star_{jk} \textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\textcolor{magenta}{\lambda}_{ki}}, \dots}^{\binom{n}{2}}).$$

Thus, for $(0, \dots, 0, x_i, 0, \dots, 0), (0, \dots, 0, y_i, 0, \dots, 0) \in V_1^{(1)} = \oplus_{i=1}^n K_i^{(1)},$

$$B_1(x, y)^\rho = (0, \dots, 0, \textcolor{red}{x}_i \textcolor{red}{y}_i, 0, \dots, 0, \dots, 0)^\rho$$

$$= (\dots, \textcolor{blue}{f}_{ij}(\textcolor{red}{x}_i \textcolor{red}{y}_i), \dots, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{\binom{n}{2}})$$

$$B_2(x^\lambda, y^\lambda) = (\dots, \textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\lambda_{ji}} \textcolor{red}{y}_i^{\lambda_{ji}}, \dots, \overbrace{\dots, \textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\lambda_{ji}} \star_{jk} \textcolor{red}{y}_i^{\lambda_{ki}} + \textcolor{red}{y}_i^{\lambda_{ji}} \star_{jk} \textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\lambda_{ki}}, \dots}^{\binom{n}{2}}).$$

Since $B_1(x, y)^\rho = B_2(x^\lambda, y^\lambda)$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and for $1 \leq j < k \leq n$,

1. $\textcolor{blue}{f}_{ij}(\textcolor{red}{x}_i \textcolor{red}{y}_i) = \textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\lambda_{ji}} \textcolor{red}{y}_i^{\lambda_{ji}}$ in $K_j^{(2)} = K$, and
2. $\textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\lambda_{ji}} \star_{jk} \textcolor{red}{y}_i^{\lambda_{ki}} = \textcolor{red}{y}_i^{\lambda_{ji}} \star_{jk} \textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\lambda_{ki}}$ in $S_{jk}^{(2)}.$

For $i = 1, \dots, n$, and for $1 \leq j < k \leq n$, we have

1. $f_{ij}(x_i y_i) = x_i^{\lambda_{ji}} y_i^{\lambda_{ji}}$ in $K_j^{(2)} = K$, and
2. $x_i^{\lambda_{ji}} \star_{jk} y_i^{\lambda_{ki}} = y_i^{\lambda_{ji}} \star_{jk} x_i^{\lambda_{ki}}$ in $S_{jk}^{(2)}$.

Using these equations, and since ρ is an isomorphism, we have

- λ_{ki} is not an isomorphism $\implies \lambda_{ki}$ is a 0-mapping.
- $\exists k$ such that λ_{ki} is an isomorphism for $i = 1, \dots, n$.
- if $\exists j \neq k$ such that $\lambda_{ji}, \lambda_{ki}$ are isomorphisms for some i , then $S_{jk}^{(2)}$ is isotopic to a commutative presemifield, contradicts to our assumption.

For $i = 1, \dots, n$, and for $1 \leq j < k \leq n$, we have

1. $f_{ij}(x_i y_i) = x_i^{\lambda_{ji}} y_i^{\lambda_{ji}}$ in $K_j^{(2)} = K$, and
2. $x_i^{\lambda_{ji}} \star_{jk} y_i^{\lambda_{ki}} = y_i^{\lambda_{ji}} \star_{jk} x_i^{\lambda_{ki}}$ in $S_{jk}^{(2)}$.

Using these equations, and since ρ is an isomorphism, we have

- λ_{ki} is not an isomorphism $\implies \lambda_{ki}$ is a 0-mapping.
- $\exists k$ such that λ_{ki} is an isomorphism for $i = 1, \dots, n$.
- $\exists$ a permutation σ s.t. $\lambda_{\sigma(i)i}$ is an isomorphism, and $\{\lambda_{ki} \mid k \neq \sigma(i)\}$ are 0-mappings.

From $f_{ij}(x^2) = (x^{\lambda_{ji}})^2$ and $f_{ij}(xy) = x^{\lambda_{ji}}y^{\lambda_{ji}}$, we have

$$x^{\lambda_{ji}} = \gamma x^{\mu} \text{ for some } \gamma \in GF(2^r) \text{ and } \mu \in Gal(GF(2^r)/GF(2)).$$

From $f_{ij}(x^2) = (x^{\lambda_{ji}})^2$ and $f_{ij}(xy) = x^{\lambda_{ji}}y^{\lambda_{ji}}$, we have

$$x^{\lambda_{ji}} = \gamma x^\mu \text{ for some } \gamma \in GF(2^r) \text{ and } \mu \in Gal(GF(2^r)/GF(2)).$$

Since $\lambda_{\sigma(i)i}$ is an isomorphism, and $\lambda_{ki} = 0$ -mapping for $k \neq \sigma(i)$, we have $(\dots, x_i, \dots, 0)^\lambda = (\dots, x_i^{\lambda_{\sigma(i)i}}, \dots, 0)$.

Since $(c_1x)^\lambda = c_2x^\lambda$ for $x \in V_1^{(1)} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n K_i^{(1)}$, we have $(\dots, c_1x_i, \dots, 0)^\lambda = (\dots, (c_1x_i)^{\lambda_{\sigma(i)i}}, \dots, 0) = (\dots, c_2x_i^{\lambda_{\sigma(i)i}}, \dots, 0)$.

From $f_{ij}(x^2) = (x^{\lambda_{ji}})^2$ and $f_{ij}(xy) = x^{\lambda_{ji}}y^{\lambda_{ji}}$, we have

$$x^{\lambda_{ji}} = \gamma x^\mu \text{ for some } \gamma \in GF(2^r) \text{ and } \mu \in Gal(GF(2^r)/GF(2)).$$

Since $\lambda_{\sigma(i)i}$ is an isomorphism, and $\lambda_{ki} = 0$ -mapping for $k \neq \sigma(i)$, we have $(\dots, x_i, \dots, 0)^\lambda = (\dots, x_i^{\lambda_{\sigma(i)i}}, \dots, 0)$.

Since $(c_1x)^\lambda = c_2x^\lambda$ for $x \in V_1^{(1)} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n K_i^{(1)}$, we have $(\dots, c_1x_i, \dots, 0)^\lambda = (\dots, (c_1x_i)^{\lambda_{\sigma(i)i}}, \dots, 0) = (\dots, c_2x_i^{\lambda_{\sigma(i)i}}, \dots, 0)$.

Since $x^{\lambda_{\sigma(i)i}} = \gamma x^\mu$ for some $\gamma \in GF(2^r)$ and $\mu \in Gal(GF(2^r)/GF(2))$, we have $c_1^\mu = c_2$ for some $\mu \in Gal(GF(2^r)/GF(2))$.

Recall by “Dempwolff and Edel”

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\dots, x_s y_s, \dots, \textcolor{red}{x}_i \star_{ij} \textcolor{red}{y}_j + y_i \star_{ij} x_j, \dots)^\rho = \\
 \left(\dots, \left(\sum_{s=1}^n x_s^{\lambda_{is}} \right) \left(\sum_{t=1}^n y_t^{\lambda_{it}} \right) \quad , \quad \dots, \right. \\
 \left. \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\lambda_{si}} \right) \star_{st} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \textcolor{red}{y}_j^{\lambda_{tj}} \right) \quad + \quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \textcolor{red}{y}_j^{\lambda_{sj}} \right) \star_{st} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\lambda_{ti}} \right) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

If we put $x_s = 0$ for $s \neq \textcolor{blue}{i}$ and $y_t = 0$ for $t \neq \textcolor{blue}{j}$ with $\textcolor{blue}{i} < \textcolor{blue}{j}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (0, \dots, 0, \textcolor{red}{x}_i \star_{ij} \textcolor{red}{y}_j, 0, \dots, 0)^\rho = \\
 (\dots, x_i^{\lambda_{ki}} y_j^{\lambda_{kj}}, \dots, \textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\lambda_{si}} \star_{st} \textcolor{red}{y}_j^{\lambda_{tj}} + \textcolor{red}{y}_j^{\lambda_{sj}} \star_{st} \textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\lambda_{ti}}, \dots).
 \end{aligned}$$

Recall by “Dempwolff and Edel”

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\dots, x_s y_s, \dots, \textcolor{red}{x}_i \star_{ij} \textcolor{red}{y}_j + y_i \star_{ij} x_j, \dots)^\rho = \\
 \left(\dots, \left(\sum_{s=1}^n x_s^{\lambda_{is}} \right) \left(\sum_{t=1}^n y_t^{\lambda_{it}} \right) \quad , \quad \dots, \right. \\
 \left. \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\lambda_{si}} \right) \star_{st} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \textcolor{red}{y}_j^{\lambda_{tj}} \right) \quad + \quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \textcolor{red}{y}_j^{\lambda_{sj}} \right) \star_{st} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\lambda_{ti}} \right) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_{\sigma(i)i}$ isomorphism, λ_{ki} 0-mapping for $k \neq \sigma(i)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (0, \dots, 0, \textcolor{red}{x}_i \star_{ij} \textcolor{red}{y}_j, 0, \dots, 0)^\rho = \\
 (\dots, x_i^{\lambda_{ki}} y_j^{\lambda_{kj}}, \dots, \textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\lambda_{si}} \star_{st} \textcolor{red}{y}_j^{\lambda_{tj}} + \textcolor{red}{y}_j^{\lambda_{sj}} \star_{st} \textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\lambda_{ti}}, \dots).
 \end{aligned}$$

Recall by “Dempwolff and Edel”

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\dots, x_s y_s, \dots, \textcolor{red}{x}_i \star_{ij} \textcolor{red}{y}_j + y_i \star_{ij} x_j, \dots)^\rho = \\
 \left(\dots, \left(\sum_{s=1}^n x_s^{\lambda_{is}} \right) \left(\sum_{t=1}^n y_t^{\lambda_{it}} \right) \quad , \quad \dots, \right. \\
 \left. \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\lambda_{si}} \right) \star_{st} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \textcolor{red}{y}_j^{\lambda_{tj}} \right) \quad + \quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \textcolor{red}{y}_j^{\lambda_{sj}} \right) \star_{st} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\lambda_{ti}} \right) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_{\sigma(i)i}$ isomorphism, λ_{ki} 0-mapping for $k \neq \sigma(i)$, if $\sigma(i) < \sigma(j)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (0, \dots, 0, \textcolor{red}{x}_i \star_{ij} \textcolor{red}{y}_j, 0, \dots, 0)^\rho = \\
 (0, \dots, 0, \textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\lambda_{\sigma(i)i}} \star_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)} \textcolor{red}{y}_j^{\lambda_{\sigma(j)j}}, 0, \dots, 0)
 \end{aligned}$$

Recall by “Dempwolff and Edel”

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\dots, x_s y_s, \dots, \textcolor{red}{x}_i \star_{ij} \textcolor{red}{y}_j + y_i \star_{ij} x_j, \dots)^\rho = \\
 \left(\dots, \left(\sum_{s=1}^n x_s^{\lambda_{is}} \right) \left(\sum_{t=1}^n y_t^{\lambda_{it}} \right) \quad , \quad \dots, \right. \\
 \left. \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\lambda_{si}} \right) \star_{st} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \textcolor{red}{y}_j^{\lambda_{tj}} \right) \quad + \quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \textcolor{red}{y}_j^{\lambda_{sj}} \right) \star_{st} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\lambda_{ti}} \right) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_{\sigma(i)i}$ isomorphism, λ_{ki} 0-mapping for $k \neq \sigma(i)$, if $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (0, \dots, 0, \textcolor{red}{x}_i \star_{ij} \textcolor{red}{y}_j, 0, \dots, 0)^\rho = \\
 (0, \dots, 0, \textcolor{red}{y}_j^{\lambda_{\sigma(j)j}} \star_{\sigma(j)\sigma(i)} \textcolor{red}{x}_i^{\lambda_{\sigma(i)i}}, 0, \dots, 0).
 \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda_{\sigma(i)i}$ isomorphism, λ_{ki} 0-mapping for $k \neq \sigma(i)$ for $\sigma \in S_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (0, \dots, 0, x_i \star_{ij} y_j, 0, \dots, 0)^\rho = \\ (0, \dots, 0, x_i^{\lambda_{\sigma(i)i}} \star_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)} y_j^{\lambda_{\sigma(j)j}}, 0, \dots, 0) \end{aligned}$$

if $\sigma(i) < \sigma(j)$, and for $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$

$$\begin{aligned} (0, \dots, 0, x_i \star_{ij} y_j, 0, \dots, 0)^\rho = \\ (0, \dots, 0, y_j^{\lambda_{\sigma(j)j}} \star_{\sigma(j)\sigma(i)} x_i^{\lambda_{\sigma(i)i}}, 0, \dots, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition . Assume $S_{ij}^{(2)}$ for $i < j$ are non-isotopic to commutative semifields,

$\implies \exists$ a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ such that

- (1) $S_{ij}^{(1)}$ and $S_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}^{(2)}$ are isotopic if $\sigma(i) < \sigma(j)$,
- (2) $S_{ij}^{(1)}$ and $S_{\sigma(j)\sigma(i)}^{(2)}$ are anti-isotopic if $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$.

[Theorem 2]

For $i = 1, 2$, let $\mathcal{S}_i$ be dual hyperovals with the bilinear mappings

$$B_i((x, \alpha), (y, \beta)) = (\dots, x_j y_j + \alpha c_i y_j^2 + \beta c_i x_j^2, \dots, x_j \star_{ijk} y_k + y_j \star_{ijk} x_k, \dots).$$

Assume $\mathcal{S}_{jk}^{(2)}$ are non-isotopic to commutative presemifields for $1 \leq j < k \leq n$, and $c_2 \neq 1$. Let $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_2$ be isomorphic. Then

- $\exists \mu \in \text{Gal}(GF(2^r)/GF(2))$ such that $c_1^\mu = c_2$.
- $\exists$ a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ such that $\mathcal{S}_{ij}^{(1)}$ is isotopic to $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}^{(2)}$ if $\sigma(i) < \sigma(j)$, or $\mathcal{S}_{ij}^{(1)}$ is anti-isotopic to $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma(j)\sigma(i)}^{(2)}$ if $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$.
(anti-isotopic means $\exists \lambda, \mu, \rho$ such that $(x \star_1 y)^\rho = y^\lambda \star_2 x^\mu$.)

Thank you for your attention.