# Factorization theorems in Denjoy-Carleman classes associated to representations of $(\mathbb{R}^d, +)$

#### Jasson Vindas

jasson.vindas@UGent.be

**Ghent University** 

Topics in Fractional Calculus and Time-frequency Analysis Novi Sad, June 16, 2020



Factorization theorems in modules over function algebras is an important subject with a long tradition in mathematical analysis.

A module  $\mathcal M$  over a non-unital algebra  $\mathcal A$  is said to have the strong factorization property if

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{M} = \{ \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{m} \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M} \}.$$

It is said to have the weak factorization property if

$$\mathcal{M} = \operatorname{span}(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{M}).$$

We will present some new results about strong factorization:

- **1** A strong factorization theorem of Dixmier-Malliavin type for ultradifferentiable vectors of representations of  $(\mathbb{R}^d, +)$ .
- We have established the strong factorization property for many families of convolution modules of ultradifferentiable functions. We will give some examples.



Factorization theorems in modules over function algebras is an important subject with a long tradition in mathematical analysis.

A module  $\mathcal M$  over a non-unital algebra  $\mathcal A$  is said to have the strong factorization property if

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{M} = \{ \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{m} \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M} \}.$$

It is said to have the weak factorization property if

$$\mathcal{M} = \operatorname{span}(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{M}).$$

We will present some new results about strong factorization:

- **1** A strong factorization theorem of Dixmier-Malliavin type for ultradifferentiable vectors of representations of  $(\mathbb{R}^d, +)$ .
- We have established the strong factorization property for many families of convolution modules of ultradifferentiable functions. We will give some examples.



Factorization theorems in modules over function algebras is an important subject with a long tradition in mathematical analysis.

A module  ${\mathcal M}$  over a non-unital algebra  ${\mathcal A}$  is said to have the strong factorization property if

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{M} = \{ \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{m} \, | \, \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M} \}.$$

It is said to have the weak factorization property if

$$\mathcal{M} = \text{span}(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{M}).$$

We will present some new results about strong factorization:

- ① A strong factorization theorem of Dixmier-Malliavin type for ultradifferentiable vectors of representations of  $(\mathbb{R}^d, +)$ .
- We have established the strong factorization property for many families of convolution modules of ultradifferentiable functions. We will give some examples.



Factorization theorems in modules over function algebras is an important subject with a long tradition in mathematical analysis.

A module  $\mathcal M$  over a non-unital algebra  $\mathcal A$  is said to have the strong factorization property if

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{M} = \{ \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{m} \, | \, \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M} \}.$$

It is said to have the weak factorization property if

$$\mathcal{M} = \operatorname{span}(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{M}).$$

We will present some new results about strong factorization:

- **1** A strong factorization theorem of Dixmier-Malliavin type for ultradifferentiable vectors of representations of  $(\mathbb{R}^d, +)$ .
- We have established the strong factorization property for many families of convolution modules of ultradifferentiable functions. We will give some examples.



- $\bullet$  Factorization theorems on  $\mathbb T$  go back to Salem and Zygmund.
- Rudin showed (1957-1958):  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) = L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) * L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .
- Cohen (1959) extended this result to the function algebra of a locally compact group *G*,

$$L^{1}(G) = L^{1}(G) * L^{1}(G)$$

- Hewitt (1964) used Cohen technique to prove a general factorization theorem for Banach modules.
- Cohen-Hewitt type factorization theorems also hold for various Fréchet modules.
- Essential hypothesis: existence of bounded approximative units on the algebra under consideration.
- Many locally convex algebras do not have bounded approximative units. Examples: many algebras of smooth functions occurring in analysis.



- $\bullet$  Factorization theorems on  $\mathbb T$  go back to Salem and Zygmund.
- Rudin showed (1957-1958):  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) = L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) * L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .
- Cohen (1959) extended this result to the function algebra of a locally compact group *G*,

$$L^{1}(G) = L^{1}(G) * L^{1}(G).$$

- Hewitt (1964) used Cohen technique to prove a general factorization theorem for Banach modules.
- Cohen-Hewitt type factorization theorems also hold for various Fréchet modules.
- Essential hypothesis: existence of bounded approximative units on the algebra under consideration.
- Many locally convex algebras do not have bounded approximative units. Examples: many algebras of smooth functions occurring in analysis.



- $\bullet$  Factorization theorems on  $\mathbb T$  go back to Salem and Zygmund.
- Rudin showed (1957-1958):  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) = L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) * L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .
- Cohen (1959) extended this result to the function algebra of a locally compact group G,

$$L^{1}(G) = L^{1}(G) * L^{1}(G).$$

- Hewitt (1964) used Cohen technique to prove a general factorization theorem for Banach modules.
- Cohen-Hewitt type factorization theorems also hold for various Fréchet modules.
- Essential hypothesis: existence of bounded approximative units on the algebra under consideration.
- Many locally convex algebras do not have bounded approximative units. Examples: many algebras of smooth functions occurring in analysis.



- ullet Factorization theorems on  $\mathbb T$  go back to Salem and Zygmund.
- Rudin showed (1957-1958):  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) = L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) * L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .
- Cohen (1959) extended this result to the function algebra of a locally compact group G,

$$L^{1}(G) = L^{1}(G) * L^{1}(G).$$

- Hewitt (1964) used Cohen technique to prove a general factorization theorem for Banach modules.
- Cohen-Hewitt type factorization theorems also hold for various Fréchet modules.
- Essential hypothesis: existence of bounded approximative units on the algebra under consideration.
- Many locally convex algebras do not have bounded approximative units. Examples: many algebras of smooth functions occurring in analysis.



- ullet Factorization theorems on  $\mathbb T$  go back to Salem and Zygmund.
- Rudin showed (1957-1958):  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) = L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) * L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .
- Cohen (1959) extended this result to the function algebra of a locally compact group G,

$$L^{1}(G) = L^{1}(G) * L^{1}(G).$$

- Hewitt (1964) used Cohen technique to prove a general factorization theorem for Banach modules.
- Cohen-Hewitt type factorization theorems also hold for various Fréchet modules.
- Essential hypothesis: existence of bounded approximative units on the algebra under consideration.
- Many locally convex algebras do not have bounded approximative units. Examples: many algebras of smooth functions occurring in analysis.



• Ehrenpreis' problem (1960):

Does 
$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$
 factorize as  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ?

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \operatorname{span}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

- If  $d \geq 3$ , they also showed that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  does not have the strong factorization property.
- Dixmier and Malliavin (1979): negative answer for d = 2.
- Yulmukhametov (1999): in contrast  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  holds.
- Several authors have independently shown (Miyazaki;
  Petzeltová and P. Vrbová; Dixmier and Malliavin; Voigt; ...)

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$



• Ehrenpreis' problem (1960):

Does 
$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$
 factorize as  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ?

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \operatorname{span}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

- If  $d \geq 3$ , they also showed that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  does not have the strong factorization property.
- Dixmier and Malliavin (1979): negative answer for d = 2.
- Yulmukhametov (1999): in contrast  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  holds.
- Several authors have independently shown (Miyazaki;
  Petzeltová and P. Vrbová; Dixmier and Malliavin; Voigt; ...)

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$



• Ehrenpreis' problem (1960):

Does 
$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$
 factorize as  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ?

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \operatorname{span}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

- If  $d \geq 3$ , they also showed that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  does not have the strong factorization property.
- Dixmier and Malliavin (1979): negative answer for d = 2.
- Yulmukhametov (1999): in contrast  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  holds.
- Several authors have independently shown (Miyazaki;
  Petzeltová and P. Vrbová; Dixmier and Malliavin; Voigt; ...)

$$S(\mathbb{R}^d) = S(\mathbb{R}^d) * S(\mathbb{R}^d).$$



• Ehrenpreis' problem (1960):

Does 
$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$
 factorize as  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ?

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \text{span}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

- If  $d \geq 3$ , they also showed that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  does not have the strong factorization property.
- Dixmier and Malliavin (1979): negative answer for d = 2.
- Yulmukhametov (1999): in contrast  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  holds.
- Several authors have independently shown (Miyazaki;
  Petzeltová and P. Vrbová; Dixmier and Malliavin; Voigt; ...)

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$



• Ehrenpreis' problem (1960):

Does 
$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$
 factorize as  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ?

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \operatorname{span}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

- If  $d \geq 3$ , they also showed that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  does not have the strong factorization property.
- Dixmier and Malliavin (1979): negative answer for d = 2.
- Yulmukhametov (1999): in contrast  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  holds.
- Several authors have independently shown (Miyazaki;
  Petzeltová and P. Vrbová; Dixmier and Malliavin; Voigt; ...)

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$



Ehrenpreis' problem (1960):

Does 
$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$
 factorize as  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ?

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \operatorname{span}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

- If  $d \geq 3$ , they also showed that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  does not have the strong factorization property.
- Dixmier and Malliavin (1979): negative answer for d = 2.
- Yulmukhametov (1999): in contrast  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) * \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  holds.
- Several authors have independently shown (Miyazaki;
  Petzeltová and P. Vrbová; Dixmier and Malliavin; Voigt; ...)

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$



# Factorization on Lie groups

- Let G be a real connected Lie group.
- Dixmier and Malliavin showed (1979) that

$$\mathcal{D}(\textit{G}) = \text{span}(\mathcal{D}(\textit{G}) * \mathcal{D}(\textit{G}))$$

and, when additionally G is nilpotent,

$$S(G) = S(G) * S(G).$$

(hereafter: convolution = left convolution)

- Let E be a locally convex Hausdorff space (IcHs) and denote as GL(E) its group of isomorphisms.
- A group homomorphism  $\pi: G \to GL(E)$  such that

$$extstyle G imes E o E, \quad (g,e)\mapsto \pi(g)e$$

is separately continuous is a representation of *G* on *E*.

• We call  $e \in E$  a smooth vector if its orbit mapping

$$G \to E$$
  $g \mapsto \pi(g)e$ , belongs to  $C^{\infty}(G; E)$ .

•  $E^{\infty}$  is the subspace of smooth vectors.



# Factorization on Lie groups

- Let G be a real connected Lie group.
- Dixmier and Malliavin showed (1979) that

$$\mathcal{D}(G) = \mathsf{span}(\mathcal{D}(G) * \mathcal{D}(G))$$

and, when additionally G is nilpotent,

$$\mathcal{S}(G) = \mathcal{S}(G) * \mathcal{S}(G).$$

(hereafter: convolution = left convolution)

- Let E be a locally convex Hausdorff space (IcHs) and denote as GL(E) its group of isomorphisms.
- A group homomorphism  $\pi: G \to GL(E)$  such that

$$G \times E \rightarrow E$$
,  $(g, e) \mapsto \pi(g)e$ 

is separately continuous is a representation of G on E.

• We call  $e \in E$  a smooth vector if its orbit mapping

$$G \to E$$
  $g \mapsto \pi(g)e$ , belongs to  $C^{\infty}(G; E)$ .

•  $E^{\infty}$  is the subspace of smooth vectors.



# Factorization on Lie groups

- Let G be a real connected Lie group.
- Dixmier and Malliavin showed (1979) that

$$\mathcal{D}(G) = \mathsf{span}(\mathcal{D}(G) * \mathcal{D}(G))$$

and, when additionally G is nilpotent,

$$\mathcal{S}(G) = \mathcal{S}(G) * \mathcal{S}(G).$$

(hereafter: convolution = left convolution)

- Let E be a locally convex Hausdorff space (IcHs) and denote as GL(E) its group of isomorphisms.
- A group homomorphism  $\pi: G \to GL(E)$  such that

$$G \times E \rightarrow E$$
,  $(g, e) \mapsto \pi(g)e$ 

is separately continuous is a representation of G on E.

• We call  $e \in E$  a smooth vector if its orbit mapping

$$G \to E$$
  $g \mapsto \pi(g)e$ , belongs to  $C^{\infty}(G; E)$ .

•  $E^{\infty}$  is the subspace of smooth vectors.



• A representation of G on a sequentially complete lcHs E induces an action of the convolution algebra  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  on the smooth vectors,

$$(f,e)\mapsto \Pi(f)e,\quad \mathcal{D}(G) imes E^\infty o E^\infty,\quad ext{where}$$
 
$$\boxed{\Pi(f)e=\int_G f(g)\pi(g)e\; \mathrm{d}\,g\in E}$$

• If E is Banach and the representation is bounded, the action extends to S(G) and we can regard  $E^{\infty}$  as a module over S(G).

#### Theorem

If E is a Fréchet space,  $E^{\infty}$  has the weak factorization property w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ , that is,  $E^{\infty} = \operatorname{span}(\Pi(\mathcal{D}(G))E^{\infty})$ .

#### **Theorem**

If E is a Hilbert space, the representation is unitary, and G is nilpotent, then  $E^{\infty}$  has the strong factorization property w.r.t. S(G).

• A representation of G on a sequentially complete lcHs E induces an action of the convolution algebra  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  on the smooth vectors,

$$(f,e)\mapsto \Pi(f)e,\quad \mathcal{D}(G) imes E^\infty o E^\infty,\quad ext{where}$$
 
$$\boxed{\Pi(f)e=\int_G f(g)\pi(g)e\; \mathrm{d}\,g\in E}$$

• If E is Banach and the representation is bounded, the action extends to S(G) and we can regard  $E^{\infty}$  as a module over S(G).

#### Theorem

If E is a Fréchet space,  $E^{\infty}$  has the weak factorization property w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ , that is,  $E^{\infty} = \operatorname{span}(\Pi(\mathcal{D}(G))E^{\infty})$ .

#### **Theorem**

If E is a Hilbert space, the representation is unitary, and G is nilpotent, then  $E^{\infty}$  has the strong factorization property w.r.t. S(G).

• A representation of G on a sequentially complete lcHs E induces an action of the convolution algebra  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  on the smooth vectors,

$$(f,e)\mapsto \Pi(f)e,\quad \mathcal{D}(G) imes E^\infty o E^\infty,\quad ext{where}$$
 
$$\boxed{\Pi(f)e=\int_G f(g)\pi(g)e\;\mathrm{d}\,g\in E}$$

• If E is Banach and the representation is bounded, the action extends to S(G) and we can regard  $E^{\infty}$  as a module over S(G).

#### Theorem

If E is a Fréchet space,  $E^{\infty}$  has the weak factorization property w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ , that is,  $E^{\infty} = \operatorname{span}(\Pi(\mathcal{D}(G))E^{\infty})$ .

#### Theorem

If E is a Hilbert space, the representation is unitary, and G is nilpotent, then  $E^{\infty}$  has the strong factorization property w.r.t.  $\mathcal{S}(G)$ .

• A representation of G on a sequentially complete lcHs E induces an action of the convolution algebra  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  on the smooth vectors,

$$(f,e)\mapsto \Pi(f)e,\quad \mathcal{D}(G) imes E^\infty o E^\infty,\quad ext{where}$$
 
$$\boxed{\Pi(f)e=\int_G f(g)\pi(g)e\;\mathrm{d}\,g\in E}$$

• If E is Banach and the representation is bounded, the action extends to S(G) and we can regard  $E^{\infty}$  as a module over S(G).

#### Theorem

If E is a Fréchet space,  $E^{\infty}$  has the weak factorization property w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ , that is,  $E^{\infty} = \operatorname{span}(\Pi(\mathcal{D}(G))E^{\infty})$ .

#### Theorem

If E is a Hilbert space, the representation is unitary, and G is nilpotent, then  $E^{\infty}$  has the strong factorization property w.r.t. S(G).

- $e \in E$  is an analytic vector if  $g \mapsto \pi(g)e$  is an analytic mapping.
- $E^{\omega}$ : subspace of analytic vectors.
- A representation is called an F-representation if
  - E is a Fréchet space;
  - there is a basis of continuous seminorms  $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that for each n the action  $G\times (E,p_n)\to (E,p_n)$  is continuous.
- For F-representations, we get an action of the algebra of exponentially rapidly decreasing analytic functions  $\mathcal{A}(G)$  on  $E^{\omega}$ .

#### Theorem (Gimperlein, Krötz, and Lienau (2012))

For F-representations,  $E^{\omega}$  has the weak factorization property w.r.t.  $\mathcal{A}(G)$ , that is,  $E^{\omega} = \operatorname{span}(\Pi(\mathcal{A}(G))E^{\omega})$ .

#### Conjecture

They have conjectured that one might even have  $E^{\omega} = \Pi(A(G))E^{\omega}$ 

- $e \in E$  is an analytic vector if  $g \mapsto \pi(g)e$  is an analytic mapping.
- $E^{\omega}$ : subspace of analytic vectors.
- A representation is called an F-representation if
  - E is a Fréchet space;
  - there is a basis of continuous seminorms  $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that for each n the action  $G\times (E,p_n)\to (E,p_n)$  is continuous.
- For F-representations, we get an action of the algebra of exponentially rapidly decreasing analytic functions  $\mathcal{A}(G)$  on  $E^{\omega}$ .

#### Theorem (Gimperlein, Krötz, and Lienau (2012))

For F-representations,  $E^{\omega}$  has the weak factorization property w.r.t.  $\mathcal{A}(G)$ , that is,  $E^{\omega} = \operatorname{span}(\Pi(\mathcal{A}(G))E^{\omega})$ .

#### Conjecture

They have conjectured that one might even have  $E^{\omega} = \Pi(\mathcal{A}(G))E^{\omega}$ 

- $e \in E$  is an analytic vector if  $g \mapsto \pi(g)e$  is an analytic mapping.
- $E^{\omega}$ : subspace of analytic vectors.
- A representation is called an F-representation if
  - E is a Fréchet space;
  - there is a basis of continuous seminorms  $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that for each n the action  $G\times (E,p_n)\to (E,p_n)$  is continuous.
- For F-representations, we get an action of the algebra of exponentially rapidly decreasing analytic functions  $\mathcal{A}(G)$  on  $E^{\omega}$ .

#### Theorem (Gimperlein, Krötz, and Lienau (2012))

For F-representations,  $E^{\omega}$  has the weak factorization property w.r.t.  $\mathcal{A}(G)$ , that is,  $E^{\omega} = \operatorname{span}(\Pi(\mathcal{A}(G))E^{\omega})$ .

#### Conjecture

They have conjectured that one might even have  $E^{\omega} = \Pi(A(G))E^{\omega}$ 

- $e \in E$  is an analytic vector if  $g \mapsto \pi(g)e$  is an analytic mapping.
- $E^{\omega}$ : subspace of analytic vectors.
- A representation is called an F-representation if
  - E is a Fréchet space;
  - there is a basis of continuous seminorms  $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that for each n the action  $G\times (E,p_n)\to (E,p_n)$  is continuous.
- For F-representations, we get an action of the algebra of exponentially rapidly decreasing analytic functions  $\mathcal{A}(G)$  on  $E^{\omega}$ .

## Theorem (Gimperlein, Krötz, and Lienau (2012))

For F-representations,  $E^{\omega}$  has the weak factorization property w.r.t.  $\mathcal{A}(G)$ , that is,  $E^{\omega} = \operatorname{span}(\Pi(\mathcal{A}(G))E^{\omega})$ .

#### Conjecture

They have conjectured that one might even have  $ig|E^\omega=\Pi(\mathcal{A}(G))E^\omega$ 

- $e \in E$  is an analytic vector if  $g \mapsto \pi(g)e$  is an analytic mapping.
- $E^{\omega}$ : subspace of analytic vectors.
- A representation is called an F-representation if
  - E is a Fréchet space;
  - there is a basis of continuous seminorms  $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that for each n the action  $G\times (E,p_n)\to (E,p_n)$  is continuous.
- For F-representations, we get an action of the algebra of exponentially rapidly decreasing analytic functions  $\mathcal{A}(G)$  on  $E^{\omega}$ .

#### Theorem (Gimperlein, Krötz, and Lienau (2012))

For F-representations,  $E^{\omega}$  has the weak factorization property w.r.t.  $\mathcal{A}(G)$ , that is,  $E^{\omega} = \operatorname{span}(\Pi(\mathcal{A}(G))E^{\omega})$ .

#### Conjecture

They have conjectured that one might even have  $E^{\omega} = \Pi(\mathcal{A}(G))E^{\omega}$ 

- $e \in E$  is an analytic vector if  $g \mapsto \pi(g)e$  is an analytic mapping.
- $E^{\omega}$ : subspace of analytic vectors.
- A representation is called an F-representation if
  - E is a Fréchet space;
  - there is a basis of continuous seminorms  $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that for each n the action  $G\times (E,p_n)\to (E,p_n)$  is continuous.
- For F-representations, we get an action of the algebra of exponentially rapidly decreasing analytic functions  $\mathcal{A}(G)$  on  $E^{\omega}$ .

#### Theorem (Gimperlein, Krötz, and Lienau (2012))

For F-representations,  $E^{\omega}$  has the weak factorization property w.r.t.  $\mathcal{A}(G)$ , that is,  $E^{\omega} = \operatorname{span}(\Pi(\mathcal{A}(G))E^{\omega})$ .

#### Conjecture

They have conjectured that one might even have  $E^{\omega} = \Pi(\mathcal{A}(G))E^{\omega}$ .



# Analytic factorization for $(\mathbb{R}^d, +)$

The convolution algebra  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  consists of real analytic functions f admitting holomorphic extension to  $\mathbb{R}^d+i]-h,h[^d$  for some h>0 and satisfying

$$\sup_{|\operatorname{Im} z| \le h} e^{n|\operatorname{Re} z|} |f(z)| < \infty, \qquad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

#### Theorem (Debrouwere, Prangoski, and V. (2020)

For F-representations of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $E^\omega$  has the strong factorization property w.r.t.  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , that is,  $E^\omega = \Pi(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^d))E^\omega$ .

Our results hold for more general representations than *F*-representations (see our preprint for definitions):

- projective generalized proto-Banach representations;
- inductive generalized proto-Banach representations.

We implicitly assume below that all representations are of one of these two types.

# Analytic factorization for $(\mathbb{R}^d, +)$

The convolution algebra  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  consists of real analytic functions f admitting holomorphic extension to  $\mathbb{R}^d+i]-h,h[^d$  for some h>0 and satisfying

$$\sup_{|\operatorname{Im} z| \le h} e^{n|\operatorname{Re} z|} |f(z)| < \infty, \qquad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

#### Theorem (Debrouwere, Prangoski, and V. (2020))

For F-representations of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $E^\omega$  has the strong factorization property w.r.t.  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , that is,  $E^\omega = \Pi(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^d))E^\omega$ .

Our results hold for more general representations than *F*-representations (see our preprint for definitions):

- projective generalized proto-Banach representations;
- inductive generalized proto-Banach representations.

We implicitly assume below that all representations are of one of these two types.

# Analytic factorization for $(\mathbb{R}^d, +)$

The convolution algebra  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  consists of real analytic functions f admitting holomorphic extension to  $\mathbb{R}^d+i]-h,h[^d$  for some h>0 and satisfying

$$\sup_{|\operatorname{Im} z| \le h} e^{n|\operatorname{Re} z|} |f(z)| < \infty, \qquad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

#### Theorem (Debrouwere, Prangoski, and V. (2020))

For F-representations of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $E^\omega$  has the strong factorization property w.r.t.  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , that is,  $E^\omega = \Pi(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^d))E^\omega$ .

Our results hold for more general representations than *F*-representations (see our preprint for definitions):

- projective generalized proto-Banach representations;
- inductive generalized proto-Banach representations.

We implicitly assume below that all representations are of one of these two types.

Consider a log-convex sequence  $M = (M_p)_p$  of positive numbers and set  $m_p = M_p/M_{p-1}$ . We assume:

- (M.2) there are  $C_0, H > 0$  such that  $M_{p+q} \le C_0 H^{p+q} M_p M_q$ ;
- $(M.2)^*$  there are  $Q, p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $2m_p \leq m_{Qp}$  for all  $p \geq p_0$ .

- a vector e ∈ E is ultradifferentiable of class [M] if its orbit mapping w.r.t. the representation is bornologically ultradifferentiable of class [M].
- [M] is the common notation for both the Beurling (M) and  $\{M\}$  Roumieu cases of ultradifferentiability.
- $E^{[M]}$  denotes the space of ultradifferentiable vectors of class [M] of a representation.
- Note that  $E^{\omega} = E^{\{p!\}}$ .



Consider a log-convex sequence  $M = (M_p)_p$  of positive numbers and set  $m_p = M_p/M_{p-1}$ . We assume:

- (M.2) there are  $C_0, H > 0$  such that  $M_{p+q} \le C_0 H^{p+q} M_p M_q$ ;
- $(M.2)^*$  there are  $Q, p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $2m_p \leq m_{Qp}$  for all  $p \geq p_0$ .

- a vector e ∈ E is ultradifferentiable of class [M] if its orbit mapping w.r.t. the representation is bornologically ultradifferentiable of class [M].
- [M] is the common notation for both the Beurling (M) and {M} Roumieu cases of ultradifferentiability.
- $E^{[M]}$  denotes the space of ultradifferentiable vectors of class [M] of a representation.
- Note that  $E^{\omega} = E^{\{p!\}}$ .



Consider a log-convex sequence  $M = (M_p)_p$  of positive numbers and set  $m_p = M_p/M_{p-1}$ . We assume:

- (M.2) there are  $C_0, H > 0$  such that  $M_{p+q} \le C_0 H^{p+q} M_p M_q$ ;
- $(M.2)^*$  there are  $Q, p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $2m_p \leq m_{Qp}$  for all  $p \geq p_0$ .

- a vector e ∈ E is ultradifferentiable of class [M] if its orbit mapping w.r.t. the representation is bornologically ultradifferentiable of class [M].
- [M] is the common notation for both the Beurling (M) and {M} Roumieu cases of ultradifferentiability.
- $E^{[M]}$  denotes the space of ultradifferentiable vectors of class [M] of a representation.
- Note that  $E^{\omega} = E^{\{p!\}}$ .



Consider a log-convex sequence  $M = (M_p)_p$  of positive numbers and set  $m_p = M_p/M_{p-1}$ . We assume:

- (M.2) there are  $C_0, H > 0$  such that  $M_{p+q} \le C_0 H^{p+q} M_p M_q$ ;
- $(M.2)^*$  there are  $Q, p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $2m_p \leq m_{Qp}$  for all  $p \geq p_0$ .

- a vector e ∈ E is ultradifferentiable of class [M] if its orbit mapping w.r.t. the representation is bornologically ultradifferentiable of class [M].
- [M] is the common notation for both the Beurling (M) and {M}
  Roumieu cases of ultradifferentiability.
- $E^{[M]}$  denotes the space of ultradifferentiable vectors of class [M] of a representation.
- Note that  $E^{\omega} = E^{\{p!\}}$ .



# Denjoy-Carleman classes

Consider a log-convex sequence  $M = (M_p)_p$  of positive numbers and set  $m_p = M_p/M_{p-1}$ . We assume:

- (M.2) there are  $C_0, H > 0$  such that  $M_{p+q} \le C_0 H^{p+q} M_p M_q$ ;
- $(M.2)^*$  there are  $Q, p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $2m_p \leq m_{Qp}$  for all  $p \geq p_0$ .

Prototypical example:  $M_p = (p!)^{\sigma}$ , with  $\sigma > 0$ .

- a vector e ∈ E is ultradifferentiable of class [M] if its orbit mapping w.r.t. the representation is bornologically ultradifferentiable of class [M].
- [M] is the common notation for both the Beurling (M) and {M}
  Roumieu cases of ultradifferentiability.
- $E^{[M]}$  denotes the space of ultradifferentiable vectors of class [M] of a representation.
- Note that  $E^{\omega} = E^{\{p!\}}$ .



# Denjoy-Carleman classes

Consider a log-convex sequence  $M = (M_p)_p$  of positive numbers and set  $m_p = M_p/M_{p-1}$ . We assume:

- (M.2) there are  $C_0, H > 0$  such that  $M_{p+q} \le C_0 H^{p+q} M_p M_q$ ;
- $(M.2)^*$  there are  $Q, p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $2m_p \leq m_{Qp}$  for all  $p \geq p_0$ .

Prototypical example:  $M_p = (p!)^{\sigma}$ , with  $\sigma > 0$ .

- a vector e ∈ E is ultradifferentiable of class [M] if its orbit mapping w.r.t. the representation is bornologically ultradifferentiable of class [M].
- [M] is the common notation for both the Beurling (M) and {M}
  Roumieu cases of ultradifferentiability.
- E<sup>[M]</sup> denotes the space of ultradifferentiable vectors of class [M] of a representation.
- Note that  $E^{\omega} = E^{\{p!\}}$ .



For h > 0, we define the Fréchet space

$$\mathcal{K}^{M,h}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{ \varphi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{h^{|\alpha|} |\partial^{\alpha} \varphi(x)| e^{n|x|}}{M_{|\alpha|}} < \infty, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

We set

$$\mathcal{K}^{(M)}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \varprojlim_{h \to \infty} \mathcal{K}^{M,h}(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}^{\{M\}}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \varinjlim_{h \to 0^+} \mathcal{K}^{M,h}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

If 
$$M_p = p!$$
, then  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{K}^{\{M\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

#### Theorem (Debrouwere, Prangoski, and V. (2020)

$$E^{[M]} = \Pi(\mathcal{K}^{[M]}(\mathbb{R}^d))E^{[M]}$$



For h > 0, we define the Fréchet space

$$\mathcal{K}^{M,h}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{ \varphi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{h^{|\alpha|} |\partial^{\alpha} \varphi(x)| e^{n|x|}}{M_{|\alpha|}} < \infty, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

We set

$$\mathcal{K}^{(M)}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \varprojlim_{h \to \infty} \mathcal{K}^{M,h}(\mathbb{R}^d) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{K}^{\{M\}}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \varinjlim_{h \to 0^+} \mathcal{K}^{M,h}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

If  $M_p = p!$ , then  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{K}^{\{M\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

#### Theorem (Debrouwere, Prangoski, and V. (2020)

$$E^{[M]} = \Pi(\mathcal{K}^{[M]}(\mathbb{R}^d))E^{[M]}$$



For h > 0, we define the Fréchet space

$$\mathcal{K}^{M,h}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{ \varphi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{h^{|\alpha|} |\partial^{\alpha} \varphi(x)| e^{n|x|}}{M_{|\alpha|}} < \infty, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

We set

$$\mathcal{K}^{(M)}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \varprojlim_{h \to \infty} \mathcal{K}^{M,h}(\mathbb{R}^d) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{K}^{\{M\}}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \varinjlim_{h \to 0^+} \mathcal{K}^{M,h}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

If 
$$M_p = p!$$
, then  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{K}^{\{M\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

#### Theorem (Debrouwere, Prangoski, and V. (2020)

$$E^{[M]} = \Pi(\mathcal{K}^{[M]}(\mathbb{R}^d))E^{[M]}$$



For h > 0, we define the Fréchet space

$$\mathcal{K}^{M,h}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{ \varphi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{h^{|\alpha|} |\partial^{\alpha} \varphi(x)| e^{n|x|}}{M_{|\alpha|}} < \infty, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

We set

$$\mathcal{K}^{(M)}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \varprojlim_{h \to \infty} \mathcal{K}^{M,h}(\mathbb{R}^d) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{K}^{\{M\}}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \varinjlim_{h \to 0^+} \mathcal{K}^{M,h}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

If 
$$M_p = p!$$
, then  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{K}^{\{M\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

### Theorem (Debrouwere, Prangoski, and V. (2020))

$$E^{[M]} = \Pi(\mathcal{K}^{[M]}(\mathbb{R}^d))E^{[M]}$$



 Our factorization theorem implies the strong factorization property for many concrete families of modules of ultradifferentiable functions.

#### Example

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{\omega(x+\cdot)}{\omega(x)}\in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

- Consider  $E = L^p_\omega = \{f | \omega \cdot f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})\}$  if  $1 \le p < \infty$ .
- The ultradifferentiable vectors are (w.r.t. regular representation)

$$\begin{split} E^{(M)} &= \mathcal{D}_E^{(M)} = \varprojlim_{h \to \infty} \mathcal{D}_E^{\{M\},h} \quad \text{and} \quad E^{\{M\}} = \mathcal{D}_E^{\{M\}} = \varinjlim_{h \to 0^+} \mathcal{D}_E^{\{M\},h}, \\ \mathcal{D}_E^{\{M\},h} &= \{\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) | \sup_{\alpha} h^{|\alpha|} \|\varphi^{(\alpha)}\|_E / M_{|\alpha|} < \infty \}. \end{split}$$

We have: 
$$\mathcal{D}_E^{[M]} = \mathcal{K}^{[M]}(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathcal{D}_E^{[M]}$$



 Our factorization theorem implies the strong factorization property for many concrete families of modules of ultradifferentiable functions.

#### Example:

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}rac{\omega(x+\,\cdot\,)}{\omega(x)}\in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

- Consider  $E = L^p_\omega = \{f | \omega \cdot f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})\}$  if  $1 \le p < \infty$ .
- The ultradifferentiable vectors are (w.r.t. regular representation)

$$E^{(M)} = \mathcal{D}_E^{(M)} = \varprojlim_{h \to \infty} \mathcal{D}_E^{\{M\},h} \quad \text{and} \quad E^{\{M\}} = \mathcal{D}_E^{\{M\}} = \varinjlim_{h \to 0^+} \mathcal{D}_E^{\{M\},h},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{E}^{\{M\},h} = \{ \varphi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) | \sup_{\alpha} h^{|\alpha|} \| \varphi^{(\alpha)} \|_{E} / M_{|\alpha|} < \infty \}.$$

We have: 
$$\mathcal{D}_E^{[M]} = \mathcal{K}^{[M]}(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathcal{D}_E^{[M]}$$



 Our factorization theorem implies the strong factorization property for many concrete families of modules of ultradifferentiable functions.

#### Example:

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{\omega(x+\,\cdot\,)}{\omega(x)}\in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

- Consider  $E = L^p_\omega = \{f | \omega \cdot f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})\}$  if  $1 \le p < \infty$ .
- The ultradifferentiable vectors are (w.r.t. regular representation)

$$\begin{split} E^{(M)} &= \mathcal{D}_E^{(M)} = \varprojlim_{h \to \infty} \mathcal{D}_E^{\{M\},h} \quad \text{and} \quad E^{\{M\}} = \mathcal{D}_E^{\{M\}} = \varinjlim_{h \to 0^+} \mathcal{D}_E^{\{M\},h}, \\ \mathcal{D}_E^{\{M\},h} &= \{\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) |\sup_{\alpha} h^{|\alpha|} \|\varphi^{(\alpha)}\|_E / M_{|\alpha|} < \infty\}. \end{split}$$





 Our factorization theorem implies the strong factorization property for many concrete families of modules of ultradifferentiable functions.

#### Example:

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{\omega(x+\,\cdot\,)}{\omega(x)}\in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

- Consider  $E = L^p_\omega = \{f | \omega \cdot f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})\}$  if  $1 \le p < \infty$ .
- The ultradifferentiable vectors are (w.r.t. regular representation)

$$\begin{split} E^{(M)} &= \mathcal{D}_E^{(M)} = \varprojlim_{h \to \infty} \mathcal{D}_E^{\{M\},h} \quad \text{and} \quad E^{\{M\}} = \mathcal{D}_E^{\{M\}} = \varinjlim_{h \to 0^+} \mathcal{D}_E^{\{M\},h}, \\ \mathcal{D}_E^{\{M\},h} &= \{\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) | \sup_{\alpha} h^{|\alpha|} \|\varphi^{(\alpha)}\|_E / M_{|\alpha|} < \infty \}. \end{split}$$

We have: 
$$\mathcal{D}_E^{[M]} = \mathcal{K}^{[M]}(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathcal{D}_E^{[M]}$$



 Our factorization theorem implies the strong factorization property for many concrete families of modules of ultradifferentiable functions.

#### Example:

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{\omega(x+\,\cdot\,)}{\omega(x)}\in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

- Consider  $E = L^p_\omega = \{f | \omega \cdot f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})\}$  if  $1 \le p < \infty$ .
- The ultradifferentiable vectors are (w.r.t. regular representation)

$$\begin{split} E^{(M)} &= \mathcal{D}_E^{(M)} = \varprojlim_{h \to \infty} \mathcal{D}_E^{\{M\},h} \quad \text{and} \quad E^{\{M\}} = \mathcal{D}_E^{\{M\}} = \varinjlim_{h \to 0^+} \mathcal{D}_E^{\{M\},h}, \\ \mathcal{D}_E^{\{M\},h} &= \{\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) | \sup_{\alpha} h^{|\alpha|} \|\varphi^{(\alpha)}\|_E / M_{|\alpha|} < \infty \}. \end{split}$$

We have: 
$$\mathcal{D}_E^{[M]} = \mathcal{K}^{[M]}(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathcal{D}_E^{[M]}$$



### References

### For more details, see our preprint

 A. Debrouwere, B. Prangoski, J. Vindas, Factorization in Denjoy-Carleman classes associated to representations of (R<sup>d</sup>, +), preprint, arXiv:2006.04861.

#### Related works on factorization theorems for representations:

- J. Dixmier, P. Malliavin, Factorisations de fonctions et de vecteurs indéfiniment différentiables, Bull. Sci. Math. 102 (1978), 307–330.
- H. Gimperlein, B. Krötz, C. Lienau, Analytic factorization of Lie group representations, J. Funct. Anal. 262 (2012), 667–681.

