

Characterization of Distributional Point Values of Tempered Distribution and Pointwise Fourier Inversion Formula

Jasson Vindas

`jvindas@math.lsu.edu`

Louisiana State University

Seminar of Analysis and Foundations of Mathematics

Department of Mathematics and Informatics

Novi Sad University, December 12, 2006

Summary

The aim of this talk is to present a pointwise inversion formula for the Fourier Transform of Tempered Distributions.

Summary

The aim of this talk is to present a pointwise inversion formula for the Fourier Transform of Tempered Distributions.

We make sense out of the Formula

$$f(x_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(t) e^{-itx_0} dt$$

Summary

The aim of this talk is to present a pointwise inversion formula for the Fourier Transform of Tempered Distributions.

We make sense out of the Formula

$$f(x_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(t) e^{-itx_0} dt$$

- What does f at x_0 mean?

Summary

The aim of this talk is to present a pointwise inversion formula for the Fourier Transform of Tempered Distributions.

We make sense out of the Formula

$$f(x_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(t) e^{-itx_0} dt$$

- What does f at x_0 mean?
It means the value of a distribution at a point in the Lojasiewicz sense.

Summary

The aim of this talk is to present a pointwise inversion formula for the Fourier Transform of Tempered Distributions.

We make sense out of the Formula

$$f(x_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(t) e^{-itx_0} dt$$

- What does f at x_0 mean?
It means the value of a distribution at a point in the Lojasiewicz sense.
- What is the meaning of $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(t) e^{-itx_0} dt$?
Later...

Notation

- \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{S} denote the space of smooth compactly supported functions and the space of smooth rapidly decreasing functions.

Notation

- \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{S} denote the space of smooth compactly supported functions and the space of smooth rapidly decreasing functions.
- \mathcal{D}' and \mathcal{S}' the space of distribution and the space of tempered distributions.

Notation

- \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{S} denote the space of smooth compactly supported functions and the space of smooth rapidly decreasing functions.
- \mathcal{D}' and \mathcal{S}' the space of distribution and the space of tempered distributions.
- All of our functions and distributions are over the real line.

Notation

- \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{S} denote the space of smooth compactly supported functions and the space of smooth rapidly decreasing functions.
- \mathcal{D}' and \mathcal{S}' the space of distribution and the space of tempered distributions.
- All of our functions and distributions are over the real line.
- The Fourier transform in \mathcal{S} is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t)e^{ixt} dt.$$

Notation

- \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{S} denote the space of smooth compactly supported functions and the space of smooth rapidly decreasing functions.
- \mathcal{D}' and \mathcal{S}' the space of distribution and the space of tempered distributions.
- All of our functions and distributions are over the real line.
- The Fourier transform in \mathcal{S} is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t)e^{ixt} dt.$$

- We use the notations \hat{f} , $\mathcal{F}\{f\}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\{f\}$

Notation

- \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{S} denote the space of smooth compactly supported functions and the space of smooth rapidly decreasing functions.
- \mathcal{D}' and \mathcal{S}' the space of distribution and the space of tempered distributions.
- All of our functions and distributions are over the real line.
- The Fourier transform in \mathcal{S} is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t)e^{ixt} dt.$$

- We use the notations \hat{f} , $\mathcal{F}\{f\}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\{f\}$
- The evaluation of f at a test function ϕ is denoted by

$$\langle f(x), \phi(x) \rangle$$

Distributional Point Values

Lojasiewicz defined the value of a distribution $f \in \mathcal{D}'$ at the point x_0 as the limit

$$f(x_0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f(x_0 + \varepsilon x),$$

if the limit exists in the weak topology of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$.

Distributional Point Values

Lojasiewicz defined the value of a distribution $f \in \mathcal{D}'$ at the point x_0 as the limit

$$f(x_0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f(x_0 + \varepsilon x),$$

if the limit exists in the weak topology of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$.

In terms of test functions, it means that for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left\langle f(x), \phi \left(\frac{x - x_0}{\varepsilon} \right) \right\rangle = f(x_0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) dx.$$

Distributional Point Values

Lojasiewicz defined the value of a distribution $f \in \mathcal{D}'$ at the point x_0 as the limit

$$f(x_0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f(x_0 + \varepsilon x),$$

if the limit exists in the weak topology of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$.

In terms of test functions, it means that for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left\langle f(x), \phi \left(\frac{x - x_0}{\varepsilon} \right) \right\rangle = f(x_0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) dx.$$

- **Notation:** If $f \in \mathcal{D}'$ has a value γ at x_0 , we say that $f(x_0) = \gamma$ in \mathcal{D}' . The meaning of $f(x_0) = \gamma$ in \mathcal{S}' , ..., must be clear.

Distributional Point Values

Lojasiewicz defined the value of a distribution $f \in \mathcal{D}'$ at the point x_0 as the limit

$$f(x_0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f(x_0 + \varepsilon x),$$

if the limit exists in the weak topology of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$.

In terms of test functions, it means that for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left\langle f(x), \phi \left(\frac{x - x_0}{\varepsilon} \right) \right\rangle = f(x_0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) dx.$$

- **Notation:** If $f \in \mathcal{D}'$ has a value γ at x_0 , we say that $f(x_0) = \gamma$ in \mathcal{D}' . The meaning of $f(x_0) = \gamma$ in \mathcal{S}' , ..., must be clear.
- **Remark:** R.Estrada has shown that if $f \in \mathcal{S}'$, then $f(x_0) = \gamma$ in \mathcal{D}' implies $f(x_0) = \gamma$ in \mathcal{S}' .

Characterization of Distributional Point Values

Lojasiewicz showed that $f(x_0) = \gamma$ is equivalent to the existence of $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and a primitive of order n of f which is continuous in a neighborhood of x_0 and satisfies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{n!F(x)}{(x - x_0)^n} = \gamma.$$

Characterization of Distributional Point Values

Lojasiewicz showed that $f(x_0) = \gamma$ is equivalent to the existence of $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and a primitive of order n of f which is continuous in a neighborhood of x_0 and satisfies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{n!F(x)}{(x - x_0)^n} = \gamma.$$

Remark: If μ is a measure the above formula reads as

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{n}{(x - x_0)^n} \int_{x_0}^x (x - t)^{n-1} d\mu(t) = \gamma.$$

Characterization of Distributional Point Values

Lojasiewicz showed that $f(x_0) = \gamma$ is equivalent to the existence of $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and a primitive of order n of f which is continuous in a neighborhood of x_0 and satisfies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{n!F(x)}{(x - x_0)^n} = \gamma.$$

Remark: If μ is a measure the above formula reads as

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{n}{(x - x_0)^n} \int_{x_0}^x (x - t)^{n-1} d\mu(t) = \gamma.$$

In particular if f is locally integrable and x_0 is a Lebesgue point of f , then f has a distributional point value at x_0

Cesaro limits and Cesaro summability

We say that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \gamma \quad (C, 1)$$

if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_n}{n + 1} = \gamma.$$

Cesaro limits and Cesaro summability

We say that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \gamma (C, 1)$$

if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_n}{n + 1} = \gamma.$$

Remark: $\sum a_n = \gamma (C, 1)$ means that the limit of the partial sums is equal to γ in the $(C, 1)$ sense.

Remark: We can continue taking average and we end up with the (C, k) sense

A very basic result in summability of Fourier Series

Suppose that $f \in L^1 [0, 2\pi]$ and let $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be its Fourier coefficients. Then if f is continuous at $x_0 \in (0, 2\pi)$, then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{-N}^N c_n e^{ix_0 n} = f(x_0) \quad (C, 1).$$

Summability of Fourier Series

G.Walter proved the following:

Theorem 1 *Let f be a periodic distribution (and hence tempered) with Fourier Series*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx}.$$

Then, $f(x_0) = \gamma$ in \mathcal{S}' iff

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx_0} = \gamma (C, k),$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Summability of Fourier Series

Moreover, he also showed

Theorem 2 *Let f be a periodic distribution (and hence tempered) with Fourier Series*

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx}.$$

If $f(x_0) = \gamma$ in \mathcal{S}' , then for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{-N}^N c_n e^{inx_0} = \gamma (C, k).$$

Summability of Fourier Series

Some remarks

- Under certain assumptions on the conjugated series, G.Walter gave a sort of converse of this result.

Summability of Fourier Series

Some remarks

- Under certain assumptions on the conjugated series, G.Walter gave a sort of converse of this result.
- If we only assume the (C, k) -summability of the symmetric partial sums, the converse is far from being true as shown by

$$2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(nx)}{n} = -i \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{e^{inx}}{n}.$$

at $x = 0$

Characterization of Point Values

R.Estrada has characterized the distributional point values of a periodic distribution in terms of the summability of its Fourier Series.

Theorem 3 *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ be a periodic distribution of period 2π and let $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{inx}$ be its Fourier series. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$f(x_0) = \gamma \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'$$

if and only if there exists k such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{-x \leq n \leq ax} a_n e^{inx_0} = \gamma \text{ (C, } k)$$

for each $a > 0$.

Needed for a generalization

The last Theorem admits a generalization to tempered distribution which "looks" like

$$f(x_0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-x}^{ax} \hat{f}(t) e^{-itx_0} dt \quad (C).$$

Cesaro behavior of Distributions

Let $f \in \mathcal{D}'$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} - \{-1, -2, -3, \dots\}$, then we say that

$$f(x) = O(x^\alpha) \quad (C, N) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

if every primitive F of order N is an ordinary function (locally integrable) for large arguments and satisfies the ordinary order relation,

$$F(x) = p(x) + O(x^{\alpha+N}) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

for a suitable polynomial p of degree at most $N - 1$

Cesaro behavior of Distributions

Let $f \in \mathcal{D}'$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} - \{-1, -2, -3, \dots\}$, then we say that

$$f(x) = O(x^\alpha) \quad (C, N) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

if there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every primitive F of order N , is an ordinary function (locally integrable) for large arguments and satisfies the ordinary order relation,

$$F(x) = p(x) + O(x^{\alpha+N}) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

for a suitable polynomial p of degree at most $N - 1$.
Note that if $\alpha > -1$, then the polynomial p is irrelevant.

Remarks to the Definition

- A similar definition applies to the little o symbol.

Remarks to the Definition

- A similar definition applies to the little o symbol.
- The definitions when $x \rightarrow -\infty$ are clear.

Remarks to the Definition

- A similar definition applies to the little o symbol.
- The definitions when $x \rightarrow -\infty$ are clear.
- One can define the limit at ∞ in the Cesàro sense for distribution. We say that $f \in \mathcal{D}'$ has a limit L at infinity in the Cesàro sense and write

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = L \text{ (C)},$$

if $f(x) = L + o(1) \text{ (C)}$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Parametric Behavior

The Cesàro behavior of a distribution f at infinity is related to the parametric behavior of $f(\lambda x)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ (To be interpreted in the weak sense, i.e. evaluating at test functions)

Parametric Behavior

The Cesaro behavior of a distribution f at infinity is related to the parametric behavior of $f(\lambda x)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ (To be interpreted in the weak sense, i.e. evaluating at test functions)

In fact, one can show that if $\alpha > -1$, then $f(x) = O(x^\alpha)$ (C) as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $f(x) = O(|x|^\alpha)$ (C) as $x \rightarrow -\infty$ if and only if

$$f(\lambda x) = O(\lambda^\alpha) \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty,$$

Special values of distributional evaluations

Definition 1 Let $g \in \mathcal{D}'$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that the evaluation $\langle g(x), \phi(x) \rangle$ exists in the e.v. Cesàro sense, and write

$$(1) \quad \text{e.v. } \langle g(x), \phi(x) \rangle = \gamma(C, k),$$

if for some primitive G of $g\phi$ and $\forall a > 0$ we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (G(ax) - G(-x)) = \gamma(C, k).$$

If g is locally integrable then we write (1) as

$$\text{e.v. } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) \phi(x) dx = \gamma(C, k).$$

Remark: In this definition the evaluation of g at ϕ does not have to be defined, we only require that $g\phi$ is well defined.

Example

Suppose that $\{\lambda_n\}$ is positive increasing sequence. If $g \in \mathcal{S}'$ is given by $g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \delta(x - \lambda_n)$, then

$$\text{e.v} \left\langle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \delta(x - \lambda_n), 1 \right\rangle = \gamma(C, k)$$

Example

Suppose that $\{\lambda_n\}$ is positive increasing sequence. If $g \in \mathcal{S}'$ is given by $g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \delta(x - \lambda_n)$, then

$$\text{e.v} \left\langle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \delta(x - \lambda_n), 1 \right\rangle = \gamma (C, k)$$

if and only if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\lambda_n \leq x} a_n \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_n}{x}\right)^k = \gamma.$$

Example

Suppose that $\{\lambda_n\}$ is positive increasing sequence. If $g \in \mathcal{S}'$ is given by $g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \delta(x - \lambda_n)$, then

$$\text{e.v} \left\langle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \delta(x - \lambda_n), 1 \right\rangle = \gamma (C, k)$$

if and only if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\lambda_n \leq x} a_n \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_n}{x}\right)^k = \gamma.$$

if and only if

$$\sum a_n = \gamma (R, \lambda_n, k)$$

Pointwise Inversion Formula

Now, we characterize the point values of a distribution in \mathcal{S}' by using Fourier transforms.

Theorem 4 *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'$. We have $f(x_0) = \gamma$ in \mathcal{S}' if and only if there exists a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \text{e.v.} \left\langle \hat{f}(t), e^{-ix_0 t} \right\rangle = \gamma \quad (C, k),$$

which in case \hat{f} is locally integrable means that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \text{e.v.} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(t) e^{-ix_0 t} dt = \gamma \quad (C, k).$$

Few comments about the Theorem

Taking Fourier transforms in the relation

$$f(x_0 + \epsilon x) = \gamma + o(1), \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Few comments about the Theorem

Taking Fourier transforms in the relation

$$f(x_0 + \epsilon x) = \gamma + o(1), \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

One obtains,

$$e^{i\lambda x x_0} \hat{f}(\lambda x) = 2\pi\gamma \frac{\delta(x)}{\lambda} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

Few comments about the Theorem

Taking Fourier transforms in the relation

$$f(x_0 + \epsilon x) = \gamma + o(1), \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

One obtains,

$$e^{i\lambda x x_0} \hat{f}(\lambda x) = 2\pi\gamma \frac{\delta(x)}{\lambda} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, the problem is equivalent to study the quasiasymptotic behavior at ∞

Few comments about the Theorem

Taking Fourier transforms in the relation

$$f(x_0 + \epsilon x) = \gamma + o(1), \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

One obtains,

$$e^{i\lambda x x_0} \hat{f}(\lambda x) = 2\pi\gamma \frac{\delta(x)}{\lambda} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, the problem is equivalent to study the quasiasymptotic behavior at ∞

$$g(\lambda x) = \beta \frac{\delta(x)}{\lambda} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequences

Estrada Theorem on Fourier Series follows at one by looking at the form of the Fourier transforms of periodic distributions.

Moreover,

Theorem 5 *Let $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be an increasing sequence of positive real numbers. Let*

$$(2) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{i \operatorname{sgn}(n) \lambda_n x} \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'.$$

*Then, $f(x_0) = \gamma$ in \mathcal{D}' ,
if and only if there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{-x \leq n \leq ax} a_n e^{i \operatorname{sgn}(n) \lambda_n x_0} = \gamma (\mathbb{R}, \lambda_n, k),$$

for each $a > 0$.

Consequences

The inversion formula can be specialized as follows.

Theorem 6 *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'$. Suppose that $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subseteq [0, \infty)$. We have $f(x_0) = \gamma$ in \mathcal{S}' if and only if there exists a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every k -primitive of $e^{-ixx_0} \hat{f}$ is locally integrable and*

$$(e^{-ixx_0} \hat{f}) * x_+^k = \gamma x^k + o(x^k) \text{ as } x \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Example

Suppose that

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{n,m} e^{-ig(n,m)x},$$

then, $f(x_0) = \gamma$ in \mathcal{S}' iff there is a k such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{g(n,m) \leq x} a_{n,m} \left(1 - \frac{g(n,m)}{x}\right)^k = \gamma.$$

Order of Point Values

Definition 2 We say that $f(x_0) = \gamma$ in \mathcal{D}' has order k , if k is the minimum integer such that there exists a primitive of order k of f , F , such that F is locally integrable in a neighborhood of x_0 and F satisfies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{n!F(x)}{(x - x_0)^n} = \gamma.$$

Remark:Lojasiewicz had defined the order of the point value in a different way, but I propose this new definition to be consistent with the following Theorems.

Order of inversion Formula

Theorem 7 *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'$. Suppose that there exists a $m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that every m -primitive h of f , i.e., $h^{(m)} = f$, is locally integrable and $h(x) = O(|x|^{m-1})$. Let m_0 be the smallest natural number with this property. If f has a distributional point value γ at x_0 , whose order is n , then*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \text{e.v.} \left\langle \hat{f}(x), e^{-ix_0x} \right\rangle = \gamma (C, k + 1),$$

where $k = \max \{m_0, n\}$.

Two Remarkable Cases

Define

$$\phi_a^\beta(t) = (1+t)^\beta \chi_{[-1,0]}(t) + \left(1 - \frac{t}{a}\right)^\beta \chi_{[0,a]}(t).$$

Two Remarkable Cases

Theorem 8 *Let f be a distribution with compact support and order n . Suppose that $f(x_0) = \gamma$ in \mathcal{D}' with order k . Let $\beta > \max \{k, n + 1\}$. Then for each $a > 0$*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-x}^{ax} \hat{f}(t) e^{-ix_0 t} dt = \gamma (C, \beta)$$

or which is the same

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_a^\beta \left(\frac{t}{x} \right) \hat{f}(t) e^{-ix_0 t} dt = \gamma,$$

Moreover, these relations hold uniformly for a in compact subsets of $(0, \infty)$.

Two Remarkable Cases

Theorem 9 *Let f be a 2π -periodic distribution of order n , with Fourier series $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx}$. If $f(x_0) = \gamma$ in \mathcal{D}' with order k . Let $\beta > \max\{k, n + 1\}$. Then for each $a > 0$,*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{-x \leq n \leq ax} c_n e^{ix_0 n} = \gamma (C, \beta),$$

or equivalently

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{-x \leq n \leq ax} \phi_a^\beta \left(\frac{n}{x} \right) c_n e^{ix_0 n} = \gamma.$$

Moreover, these relations hold uniformly for a in compact subsets of $(0, \infty)$.

A special case of interest

Theorem 10 *Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ is such that $\text{supp} \hat{f}$ is bounded at the left. If $f(x_0) = \gamma$ in \mathcal{D}' with order k , and f is the derivative of order k of a locally integrable function which is $O(x^{k-1})$, then*

$$\left(t_+^k * \left(\hat{f}(t) e^{-ix_0 t} \right) \right)$$

is locally integrable and for every $\beta > k$,

$$\left(t_+^\beta * \left(\hat{f}(t) e^{-ix_0 t} \right) \right) (x) = 2\pi\gamma x^\beta + o(x^\beta) \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Order of Point Value

Theorem 11 *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'$. Suppose that*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \text{e.v.} \langle \hat{f}(x), e^{-ixx_0} \rangle = \gamma (C, k);$$

then, $f(x_0) = \gamma$ in \mathcal{S}' f is the derivative of order $k + 1$ of a locally integrable function and the order of $f(x_0)$ is less or equal to $k + 2$.