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## I wanted to call it "Wombats, Bilbies and Quolls"

## Entrywise products of eigenvectors

Suppose we have a symmetric association scheme with primitive idempotents $\left\{E_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{d}$ and eigenspaces $V_{j}=\operatorname{colsp} E_{j}$.
Lemma
If $u \in V_{i}$ and $v \in V_{j}$ and $q_{i j}^{k}=0$ then $u \circ v \perp V_{k}$.
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## Corollary

Any two ovoids $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{PG}(3, q)$ have equally many points in common as they do tangent planes.

## Frédéric: 2-Ovoids in Generalized Hexagons

Theorem (Theorem 6.4.20 in dissertation of Vanhove)
Any two distance-2-ovoids $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ in a generalized hexagon of order $\left(s, s^{3}\right)$ with $s>1$ are either disjoint or have exactly $h\left(s^{2}+s+1\right)$ points in common for some $h>s^{3}-s$.

A distance-2-ovoid $\mathcal{O}$ in a generalized hexagon is a set of pairwise non-collinear points hitting every line

## Distance-Regular Graphs

A graph $(X, R)$ is distance-regular if there exist scalars

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
b_{0}=k & b_{1} & b_{2} & \cdots & b_{d-1} & \\
a_{0}=0 & a_{1} & a_{2} & \cdots & a_{d-1} & a_{d} \\
& c_{1} & c_{2} & \cdots & c_{d-1} & c_{d}
\end{array}
$$

such that whenever $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, y)=i$, vertex $y$ has

- $c_{i}$ neighbors at distance $i-1$ from $x$
- $a_{i}$ neighbors at distance $i$ from $x$
- $b_{i}$ neighbors at distance $i+1$ from $x$

We know very little about distance-regular graphs of large girth.

## Girth of Distance-Regular Graphs

Aside from the polygons, we know no distance-regular graphs of large girth.

Conjecture[Suzuki, Koolen] Any distance-regular graph「 of valency $k \geq 3$ has girth $g_{1}(\Gamma)$ at most 12 .

## Girth of Distance-Regular Graphs

Conjecture[Suzuki, Koolen] Any distance-regular graph 「 of valency $k \geq 3$ has girth at most 12 .

## Examples:

- incidence graphs of generalized hexagons of order $(s, s)$ have girth 12
- Foster graph has girth $10(k=3)$
- Biggs-Smith graph has girth $9(k=3)$
- incidence graphs of generalized quadrangles $G Q(s, s)$ have girth 8
Weiss (1985) proved that the only distance-transitive graphs with $k \geq 3$ and $g \geq 9$ those appearing in the first 3 items above.


## Girth of Distance-Regular Graphs

## Related Facts:

- (Ivanov, 1983): If $\Gamma$ has valency $k \geq 3$, girth $g$ then its diameter is bounded by $d<g \cdot 2^{2 k-3}$
- (BCN): Only three distance-regular graphs known with $d \geq 2 k$ (all with $k=3$ )
- some graphs of small numerical girth can still have large "geometric girth"
- (Tanaka-WJM): The only distance-regular graphs we know with $k>2$ and a splitting field which is more than a degree two extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ are the Biggs-Smith graph, the above generalized hexagons, and their line graphs
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Q-polynomial: For some ordering of primitive idempotents (orthogonal projections onto maximal common eigenspaces) $E_{0}, E_{1}, \ldots, E_{d}$, each $E_{i}$ is an entrywise polynomial of degree $i$ in $E_{1}$.

## Example - An association scheme and its $E_{1}$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { Consider the 3-cube. } A_{0}=I, A_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
A_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], A_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Example - An association scheme and its $E_{1}$

For the 3-cube,

$$
E_{1}=\frac{1}{8}\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrrrr}
3 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -3 \\
1 & 3 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -3 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 3 & 1 & -1 & -3 & 1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & -3 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & -3 & 3 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1 & -3 & -1 & 1 & 3 & -1 & 1 \\
-1 & -3 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 3 & 1 \\
-3 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 3
\end{array}\right]
$$

## An Excursion into Homotopy

The following idea appears in the thesis work of Heather Lewis (Discrete Math. (2000)) under the supervision of Paul Terwilliger.


Consider equivalence classes of closed walks in 「 starting and ending at basepoint a.
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Closed walk atwa is in the same equivalence class as atwswa and avswsvatutwa
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These all have "essential length" 3.

## Discrete Homotopy on a Graph



Closed walk awsva represents the same group element as

## awtuwutwsva

William J. Martin

## Discrete Homotopy on a Graph



Our group operation is concatenation of walks. Of course, the concatenation of these two walks is represented by another cycle.

## Homotopy: the group operation
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## Homotopy: the group operation



In this way, larger cycles are built from smaller ones. For example, take our first walk atwa

## Homotopy: the group operation


... and concatenate with the walk awtuwa

## Homotopy: the group operation


....and concatenate with the walk awtuwa
which also has essential length 3 as it has form pqp ${ }^{-1}$ for a walk $\mathbf{q}=$ wtuw of length three and a path $\mathbf{p}$.

## Homotopy: the group operation



In our fundamental group, we have atwa $\star$ awtuwa $=$ atuwa

William J. Martin
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Let $\pi(\Gamma, a)$ be the homotopy group, as just defined, w/ basepoint a.

For each $k$, let $\pi_{k}(\Gamma, a)$ be the subgroup generated by walks of essential length $k$.

For example, if $\Gamma$ is a simple graph, $\pi_{k}(\Gamma, a)=1$ for $k=0,1,2$.

## Discrete Homotopy on a Graph

In this example, $\pi(\Gamma, a)=\pi_{3}(\Gamma, a)$


## Discrete Homotopy on a Graph

In this example, $\pi_{3}(\Gamma, a)=\pi_{4}(\Gamma, a)=\pi_{5}(\Gamma, a) \neq \pi(\Gamma, a)$


## Discrete Homotopy on a Graph

In this example, $\pi_{3}(\Gamma, a) \neq \pi_{4}(\Gamma, a) \neq \pi(\Gamma, a)$
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## Some results of Heather Lewis

- $\pi_{0}(\Gamma, x)=\pi_{1}(\Gamma, x)=\pi_{2}(\Gamma, x) \subseteq \pi_{2 d+1}(\Gamma, x)=\pi(\Gamma, x)$
- a $Q$-polynomial distance-regular graph has girth at most 6
- For any $Q$-polynomial distance-regular graph, $\pi_{6}(\Gamma, x) \neq\{e\}$
- and either $\pi_{6}(\Gamma, x)=\pi(\Gamma, x)$ or
- $\Gamma$ is a "pseudoquotient" with $D \in\{2 d, 2 d+1\}$ and
- $\pi_{6}(\Gamma, x)=\pi_{D-1}(\Gamma, x) \neq \pi_{D}(\Gamma, x)=\pi(\Gamma, x)$


## Girth Parameters

So it makes sense to consider not only the numerical girth $g_{1}(\Gamma)$
of a distance-regular graph, but also

$$
g_{2}(\Gamma)=\min \left\{k \mid \pi_{k}(\Gamma, x)=\pi(\Gamma, x)\right\}
$$

## It's Important to have Open Problems


$\overline{\text { WPI }}$

William J. Martin Girth in Schemes

## The Ideal of a Cometric Scheme

Consider a cometric association scheme $(X, \mathcal{R})$ with $Q$-polynomial ordering

$$
E_{0}, E_{1}, \ldots, E_{d}
$$

of its primitive idempotents.
We consider the columns of $E_{1}$ as $|X|$ vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{|X|}$ and wish to determine the ideal of all polynomials in $|X|$ variables which vanish on all of these points.

If $m=\operatorname{rank} E_{1}$, we may instead find a matrix $U$ with $\mid X$ rows and $m$ columns satisfying $E_{1}=U U^{\top}$ and find the ideal of all polynomials in $m$ variables that vanish on each row of $U$.

In fact, we will identify $X$ with this set (or something equivalent) and denote by $\mathcal{I}(X)$ this ideal.

## Ideal of a finite set

Let $X$ be a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. For $a \in X$, write

$$
a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)
$$

Now consider polynomials in $m$ variables $F(Y)=F\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{m}\right)$ from the polynomial ring $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{C}\left[Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{m}\right]$.

We wish to study the ideal

$$
\mathcal{I}(X)=\left\{F \in \mathcal{R} \mid F\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)=0 \forall a \in X\right\}
$$

of all polynomials in $m$ variables that vanish at every point of $X$.
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## Cubes

Let $X$ consist of the $2^{m}$ points $a=( \pm 1, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm 1)$


- For each $i$, the quadratic $Y_{i}^{2}-1$ vanishes at $a$ for each $a \in X$
- The ideal $\mathrm{I}=\left\langle Y_{1}^{2}-1, \ldots, Y_{m}^{2}-1\right\rangle$ clearly has exactly $X$ as its zero set: $\mathcal{Z}(\mathrm{I})=X$
- Since each zero is a simple zero of I , the ideal is radical
- So $\mathrm{I}=\mathcal{I}(X)$, the ideal of all polynomials that vanish on $X$.


## Polygons

Let $m=2$ and consider the regular $n$-gon $X$ on the unit circle, with equation
$Y_{1}^{2}+Y_{2}^{2}=1$
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## Polygons

Let $m=2$ and consider the regular $n$-gon $X$ on the unit circle. $Y_{1}^{2}+Y_{2}^{2}-1 \in \mathcal{I}(X)$. We call this Nm and consider it "trivial". By Bezout's Theorem, any polynomial in $\mathcal{I}(X)$ which is not a multiple of Nm has degree $\lceil n / 2\rceil$ or larger.
We can choose two zonal polynomials $F$ and $G$ and see that

$$
\mathcal{I}(X)=\langle\mathrm{Nm}, F, G\rangle
$$

E.g., for the regular hexagon, we may choose $F(Y)=Y_{2}\left(Y_{2}^{2}-3 / 4\right)$ and

$$
G(Y)=\left(\sqrt{3} Y_{1}+Y_{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{3} Y_{1}+Y_{2}-\sqrt{3}\right)\left(\sqrt{3} Y_{1}+Y_{2}+\sqrt{3}\right)
$$

## Basic notation and facts from algebraic geometry
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## Basic notation and facts from algebraic geometry

- For $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{m}, \mathcal{I}(X)$ is ideal of polynomials that vanish on $X$
- For $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{C}\left[Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{m}\right]$, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{S})$ is the set of common zeros of all $F \in \mathcal{S}$
- When $X$ is finite $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}(X))=X$
- If $Y \subseteq X$, then $\mathcal{I}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(Y)$
- For an ideal $\mathrm{J}, \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathrm{J}))=\operatorname{Rad}(\mathrm{J})$ (Nullstellensatz) where $\operatorname{Rad}(J)=\left\{F \mid \exists n F^{n} \in J\right\}$
- If $\mathcal{Z}(J)$ is finite and $J$ is radical (i.e., $J=\operatorname{Rad}(J)$ ), then any ideal containing $J$ is radical


## Two "dual girth" parameters

For interesting structures represented by subsets $X$ of Euclidean space, we are interested in two measures of complexity:

- $\gamma_{1}(X)$ : the smallest degree of a non-trivial polynomial in $\mathcal{I}(X)$
- $\gamma_{2}(X)$ : the smallest $k$ for which $\mathcal{I}(X)$ admits a generating set of polynomials of degree $k$ or less

Observe: These two values are invariant under invertible affine transformation.

## Two Dual Girth Parameters

Recap: Trivial polynomials don't depend on $X$ in $\mathcal{X}$. In our case, we will take $\mathcal{X}$ to be the set of all spherical codes in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$.

If $\mathcal{T}$ denotes the ideal of trivial polynomials, a principal ideal in this case, we may write

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma_{1}(X):=\min \{\operatorname{deg} F \mid F \in \mathcal{I}(X), F \notin \mathcal{T}\} \\
\gamma_{2}(X):=\min \{\max \{\operatorname{deg} F \mid F \in \mathcal{G}\} \mid\langle\mathcal{G}\rangle=\mathcal{I}(X)\}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Truncated Boolean Lattice



For $n=5, \Omega=\{1,2,3,4,5\}$ and $k=2$, we take all subsets of $\Omega$ of size at most $k$, ordered by inclusion.

## Truncated Boolean Lattice



Incidence matrix:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llllllllll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

$X$ consists of 10 points in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$ and $\mathcal{I}(X)$ is generated by the obvious quadratics (trivial polynomials for designs).

## Hamming Lattice



For $n=3$ and $q=2$, we consider all "partial" $n$-tuples over $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$, marking unspecified entries with ' $*$ '. Partial order relation is:

$$
a \preceq b \text { if } a_{i}=b_{i} \text { whenever } a_{i} \neq *
$$

## Hamming Lattice

## Incidence matrix:


$\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$
$X$ consists of 8 points in $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ and $\mathcal{I}(X)$ is generated by trivial polynomials together with

$$
Y_{1}+Y_{6}-1, \quad Y_{2}+Y_{5}-1, \quad Y_{3}+Y_{4}-1
$$

Similar ideas work for the Grassmann scheme and the bilinear forms scheme.

## Spherical designs

From now on, we will assume that $X$ is contained in some sphere, of radius $r$ say, centered at the origin.
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So the ideal $\mathcal{I}(X)$ contains the "norm" polynomial

$$
\mathrm{Nm}=Y_{1}^{2}+Y_{2}^{2}+\cdots+Y_{m}^{2}-r^{2}
$$

as well as every polynomial in the principal ideal $\langle\mathrm{Nm}\rangle$. In this setting, these polynomials are called "trivial".
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From now on, we will assume that $X$ is contained in some sphere, of radius $r$ say, centered at the origin.

So the ideal $\mathcal{I}(X)$ contains the "norm" polynomial

$$
\mathrm{Nm}=Y_{1}^{2}+Y_{2}^{2}+\cdots+Y_{m}^{2}-r^{2}
$$

as well as every polynomial in the principal ideal $\langle\mathrm{Nm}\rangle$. In this setting, these polynomials are called "trivial".

A subset $X$ of the unit sphere is a spherical $t$-design if the average over $X$ of any polynomial $F$ of degree $\leq t$ in $m$ variables is exactly equal to the average of $F$ over the sphere.

## Lower Bound on $\gamma_{1}(X)$ for Spherical $t$-Designs

The following observation is due to Bannai (probably also known in cubature community).

Lemma
If $X$ is a spherical $t$-design, then every polynomial in $\mathcal{I}(X)$ of degree $t / 2$ or less is trivial.
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The following observation is due to Bannai (probably also known in cubature community).

Lemma
If $X$ is a spherical $t$-design, then every polynomial in $\mathcal{I}(X)$ of degree $t / 2$ or less is trivial.

Proof: If $F \in \mathcal{I}(X)$, then $F^{2}$ is zero on $X$, so $F^{2}$ averages to zero on the sphere. But $F^{2}$ is a non-negative polynomial function, so $F^{2}$ is identically zero on the sphere.

## Zonal Polynomials

For a single-variable polynomial $f(t)$ and a point $a \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$, we define the zonal polynomial

$$
Z_{f, a}(Y)=f(a \cdot Y)
$$
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For a single-variable polynomial $f(t)$ and a point $a \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$, we define the zonal polynomial

$$
Z_{f, a}(Y)=f(a \cdot Y)
$$

For example, if $f(t)=\prod_{h=0}^{d}\left(t-\omega_{h}\right)$, then
$Z_{f, a}(Y)=\left(a_{1} Y_{1}+\cdots+a_{m} Y_{m}-\omega_{0}\right) \cdots\left(a_{1} Y_{1}+\cdots+a_{m} Y_{m}-\omega_{d}\right)$
is a polynomial of degree $d+1$ in $m$ variables.

## Zonal Polynomials

For a single-variable polynomial $f(t)$ and a point $a \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$, we define the zonal polynomial

$$
Z_{f, a}(Y)=f(a \cdot Y)
$$

For example, if $f(t)=\prod_{h=0}^{d}\left(t-\omega_{h}\right)$, then
$Z_{f, a}(Y)=\left(a_{1} Y_{1}+\cdots+a_{m} Y_{m}-\omega_{0}\right) \cdots\left(a_{1} Y_{1}+\cdots+a_{m} Y_{m}-\omega_{d}\right)$
is a polynomial of degree $d+1$ in $m$ variables.
In this case, if $\{a \cdot b \mid b \in X\} \subseteq\left\{\omega_{0}, \ldots, \omega_{d}\right\}$, then $Z_{f, a} \in \mathcal{I}(X)$.

## Ideal of zonals

Now suppose $X$ has inner product set

$$
\{a \cdot b \mid a, b \in X\}=\left\{\omega_{0}, \ldots, \omega_{d}\right\}
$$

Then, for each $a \in X$, the ideal $\mathcal{I}(X)$ contains the zonal polynomial $Z_{f, a}(Y)$ where
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## Ideal of zonals

Now suppose $X$ has inner product set

$$
\{a \cdot b \mid a, b \in X\}=\left\{\omega_{0}, \ldots, \omega_{d}\right\}
$$

Then, for each $a \in X$, the ideal $\mathcal{I}(X)$ contains the zonal polynomial $Z_{f, a}(Y)$ where

$$
f(t)=\left(t-\omega_{0}\right) \cdots\left(t-\omega_{d}\right)
$$

Observe that, if $X$ spans $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, then the tangent space at every solution to this system of equations is zero-dimensional. So this ideal is radical, as is any ideal that contains it. We can also show that every solution to this system of polynomial equations lies in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$
(except for the orthoplex! E.g. the octahedron.)

## Sliced zonals

Consider the icosahedron
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Not only does our ideal contain the degree four zonal polynomials, but it also contains many degree three "sliced zonal polynomials".

## Sliced zonals

Consider the icosahedron


Not only does our ideal contain the degree four zonal polynomials, but it also contains many degree three "sliced zonal polynomials". If $\omega_{0}=1>\omega_{1}>\cdots>\omega_{d}=-1$, replace

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{f, a}(Y)=\left(a \cdot Y-\omega_{0}\right)\left(a \cdot Y-\omega_{1}\right) \cdots\left(a \cdot Y-\omega_{d}\right) \quad \text { by } \\
& \quad S_{f, a, b}(Y)=(b \cdot Y)\left(a \cdot Y-\omega_{1}\right) \cdots\left(a \cdot Y-\omega_{d-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $b \perp a$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and this also vanishes at each point in $X$, including $a$ and $-a$.

## The Icosahedron and Famous Lattices

These sliced zonal polynomials generate $\mathcal{I}(X)$ in these cases:

| Name | Dim | strength | $\gamma_{1}(X)$ | $\gamma_{2}(X)$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| icos. | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| $E_{6}$ | 6 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| $E_{7}$ | 7 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| $E_{8}$ | 8 | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| Leech | 24 | 11 | 6 | 6 |

(joint with Corre Love Steele arXiv: 1310.6626 )

These are examples of $Q$-bipartite association schemes, where $\gamma_{1}\left(E_{1}\right) \leq d$ is shown using sliced zonals.

## Basic Inequalities

$$
2 \leq \gamma_{1}\left(E_{1}\right) \leq \gamma_{2}\left(E_{1}\right) \leq d+1
$$

The zonal ideal is not always equal to the full ideal (e.g., non-maximal sets of real mutually unbiased bases), but we need only throw in some polynomials of degree $d$ to "shave off" phantom vertices.

## A possible dual to homotopy

What we are doing is, in some sense, dual to this.
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## A possible dual to homotopy

What we are doing is, in some sense, dual to this.

We associate an ideal $\mathcal{I}(X)$ to our combinatorial object $X$. It is natural to ask

- What is the smallest degree of a non-trivial polynomial in $\mathcal{I}(X)$ ?
- When is $\mathcal{I}(X)$ generated by its small-degree polynomials?
- If the polynomials of degree $\leq k$ do not generate $\mathcal{I}(X)$, what is the variety of the ideal they do generate?


## A dual pair of association schemes

The $Q$-polynomial association scheme (it's just a strongly regular graph) $K_{3,3}$ has eigenmatrix $P=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}1 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -3 & 2\end{array}\right]$ with inverse $\frac{1}{6}\left[\begin{array}{rrr}1 & 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -2 & 1\end{array}\right]$.
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The dual association scheme is the one coming from the
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## Association Scheme Duality

Association scheme $\left(X,\left\{R_{0}, \ldots, R_{d}\right\}\right)$ has eigenmatrix $P$ whose columns are the eigenvalues of the graphs $\left(X, R_{i}\right)$. (These $d+1$ adjacency matrices are simultaneously diagonalizable. Take my word for it.)

We say $\left(Y,\left\{R_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, R_{d}^{\prime}\right\}\right)$ is formally dual to the scheme above if its eigenmatrix is $\frac{1}{|X|} P^{-1}$ for some ordering of its rows and columns.

True duality of association schemes comes to us via the character theory of abelian groups.
Recall that a finite abelian group $\Gamma$ has $|G|$ linear characters (homomorphisms $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ ) and these form a group under multiplication of functions. This group $G^{\dagger}$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma$.

## Association Scheme Duality

Both $K_{3,3}$ and the octahedron are translation association schemes: the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ acts on each as a regular group of automorphisms.


Connection set for $K_{3,3}$ is $\{1,3,5\}$; connection set for octahedron is $\{1,2,4,5\}$.

## Association Scheme Duality

Connection set for the octahedron is $\{1,2,4,5\}$.
The corresponding characters of $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ form a basis for the first eigenspace of $K_{3,3}$. Let $\omega$ be a primitive sixth root of unity in $\mathbb{C}$. Then we have

$$
\chi_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\omega \\
\omega^{2} \\
-1 \\
\omega^{4} \\
\omega^{5}
\end{array}\right], \chi_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\omega^{2} \\
\omega^{4} \\
1 \\
\omega^{8} \\
\omega^{10}
\end{array}\right], \chi_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\omega^{4} \\
\omega^{8} \\
1 \\
\omega^{16} \\
\omega^{20}
\end{array}\right], \chi_{5}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\omega^{5} \\
\omega^{4} \\
-1 \\
\omega^{2} \\
\omega
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Characters yield spherical representation of $K_{3,3}$

We put these characters together in a matrix and map vertex $i$ to the $i^{\text {th }}$ column of

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lllrll}
1 & \omega & \omega^{2} & -1 & \omega^{4} & \omega^{5} \\
1 & \omega^{2} & \omega^{4} & 1 & \omega^{2} & \omega^{4} \\
1 & \omega^{4} & \omega^{2} & 1 & \omega^{4} & \omega^{2} \\
1 & \omega^{5} & \omega^{4} & -1 & \omega^{2} & \omega
\end{array}\right]
$$

to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
X=\{ & (1,1,1,1),\left(\omega, \omega^{2}, \omega^{4}, \omega^{5}\right),\left(\omega^{2}, \omega^{4}, \omega^{2}, \omega^{4}\right), \\
& \left.(-1,1,1,-1),\left(\omega^{4}, \omega^{2}, \omega^{4}, \omega^{2}\right),\left(\omega^{5}, \omega^{4}, \omega^{2}, \omega\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Discrete Homotopy on a Graph

Now we observe that every closed walk in the octahdron gives us a nice polynomial in $\mathcal{I}(X)$. E.g. $w=0450$ gives $Y_{4} Y_{1} Y_{1}-1$ in $\mathcal{I}(X)$.

## Homotopy, Ideals and Duality

The upshot of all this is the following theorem:
If a translation distance-regular graph $G$ has its fundamental group generated by small cycles, then the dual ( $Q$-polynomial) scheme has its ideal generated by small degree polynomials.

$$
\pi_{k}(\Gamma, 0)=\pi(\Gamma, 0) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \gamma_{2}\left(E_{1}\right) \leq\lceil k / 2\rceil
$$

## Homotopy, Ideals and Duality

## Theorem

Let $\left(X,\left\{R_{0}, \ldots, R_{d}\right\}\right)$ be a cometric translation association scheme defined on abelian group $X$ and let $\left(X^{\dagger},\left\{R_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, R_{d}^{\prime}\right\}\right)$ be the (metric) dual association scheme defined on the group of characters $X^{\dagger}$. Let $\Gamma=\left(X^{\dagger}, R_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ denote the underlying translation distance-regular graph. Let $E_{1}$ denote the first primitive idempotent in the corresponding Q-polynomial ordering for the original scheme.
If the homotopy group $\pi(\Gamma, \mathbf{1})$ is generated by closed walks of essential length $k$ or less, then the ideal $\mathcal{I}\left(E_{1}\right)$ is generated by polynomials of degree $k$ or less.

$$
\pi_{k}(\Gamma, 0)=\pi(\Gamma, 0) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \gamma_{2}\left(E_{1}\right) \leq\lceil k / 2\rceil
$$

## Conjectures

In all six statements, exclude polygons.
[P] Conj (Suzuki/Koolen): Any distance-regular graph has girth at most 12
[Q] Conj (WJM): For any Q-polynomial scheme, $\gamma_{2}\left(E_{1}\right) \leq 6$
[P] Thm (Lewis): A $Q$-polynomial drg has girth at most 6
[Q] Thm: For any $P$ - and $Q$-poly scheme, $\gamma_{1}\left(E_{1}\right) \leq 3$
[P] Thm (Lewis): When $\Gamma$ is a $Q$-poly drg, and not a pseudo-quotient, $\pi_{6}(\Gamma, a)=\pi(\Gamma, a)$
[Q] Conj (WJM): For any $P$ - and $Q$-poly scheme, $\gamma_{2}\left(E_{1}\right) \leq 3$

## Consequences and Partial Results

- If we prove $\gamma_{1}\left(E_{1}\right) \leq 6$ for cometric schemes, we get a new proof of a result of Bannai and Damerell showing the non-existence of tight spherical $t$-designs
- Note that $\gamma_{1} \leq 3$ for a $Q$-polynomial distance-regular graph with $k>2$, so these have spherical strength at most five
- Likewise, we would rule out $t$-designs with $t \geq 12$ in the regular semilattices that induce $Q$-polynomial schemes
- (WJM \& Williford): For $Q$-polynomial association schemes, $\gamma_{2}\left(E_{1}\right)$ is bounded by a function of $m_{1}:=\operatorname{rank} E_{1}$


## Open Problems

- Prove $\gamma_{1}\left(E_{1}\right) \leq 6$ for important classes of $Q$-poly schemes
- ... real MUBs, hemisystems in GQs, relative hemisystems, ...
- For regular semilattices, $\gamma_{2}\left(E_{1}\right) \leq 2$ ?
- What happens for other (Euclidean) lattices?
- Finite bounds for special classes of schemes would be of value
- as would any finite bounds on $\gamma_{2}$ (or $\gamma_{1}$ ) even if not at the conjectured optimum
- Can we close the gap between $t / 2$ and $t$ for block designs or nonlinear codes?


## Thank You



Frédéric Vanhove, Bled Slovenia, 2011
WPI

William J. Martin

