## A Fourier transform for all generalized functions

## Akbarali Mukhammadiev<sup>1</sup>

joint work with: P. Giordano (Wolfgang Pauli Institute, Vienna)

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Vienna

GF 2020

#### Definition

Let  $u = [u_{\varepsilon}] \in \mathcal{G}^{s}(\Omega)$  be a CGF and  $K \Subset \Omega$ . Then  $\int_{K} u(x) dx := [\int_{K} u_{\varepsilon}(x) dx] \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$ . Similarly, for  $\int_{\Omega} u$  if u is compactly supported.

#### Definition

Let  $u = [u_{\varepsilon}] \in \mathcal{G}^{s}(\Omega)$  be a CGF and  $K \Subset \Omega$ . Then  $\int_{K} u(x) dx := [\int_{K} u_{\varepsilon}(x) dx] \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$ . Similarly, for  $\int_{\Omega} u$  if u is compactly supported.

On the other hand, to define the Fourier transform, we have to integrate tempered CGF on the entire  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This is accomplished by multiplying the generalized function by a so-called *damping measure*.

#### Definition

Let  $u = [u_{\varepsilon}] \in \mathcal{G}^{s}(\Omega)$  be a CGF and  $K \Subset \Omega$ . Then  $\int_{K} u(x) dx := [\int_{K} u_{\varepsilon}(x) dx] \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$ . Similarly, for  $\int_{\Omega} u$  if u is compactly supported.

On the other hand, to define the Fourier transform, we have to integrate tempered CGF on the entire  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This is accomplished by multiplying the generalized function by a so-called *damping measure*.

This notion of Fourier transform shares several properties with the classical one, but it lacks e.g. the Fourier inversion theorem, which holds only at the level of equality in the sense of generalized tempered distributions.

#### Definition

Let  $u = [u_{\varepsilon}] \in \mathcal{G}^{s}(\Omega)$  be a CGF and  $K \Subset \Omega$ . Then  $\int_{K} u(x) dx := [\int_{K} u_{\varepsilon}(x) dx] \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$ . Similarly, for  $\int_{\Omega} u$  if u is compactly supported.

On the other hand, to define the Fourier transform, we have to integrate tempered CGF on the entire  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This is accomplished by multiplying the generalized function by a so-called *damping measure*.

- This notion of Fourier transform shares several properties with the classical one, but it lacks e.g. the Fourier inversion theorem, which holds only at the level of equality in the sense of generalized tempered distributions.
- We can say, the use of the multiplicative damping measure introduces a perturbation of infinitesimal frequencies that inhibit several results.

### Definition

Let  $k \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$  be a positive infinite number and let  $K := [-k, k]^n \subseteq {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$  be a functionally compact set. We define the *n*-dimensional hyperfinite Fourier transform of the GSF  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(K, \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n)$  as follows:

### Definition

Let  $k \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$  be a positive infinite number and let  $K := [-k, k]^n \subseteq {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$  be a functionally compact set. We define the *n*-dimensional hyperfinite Fourier transform of the GSF  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(K, \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n)$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}_{k}(f)(\omega) := \int_{K} f(x) e^{-ix\omega} dx = \int_{-k}^{k} dx_{1} \dots \int_{-k}^{k} f(x_{1} \dots x_{n}) e^{-ix \cdot \omega} dx_{n},$$

where the product  $x \cdot \omega$  on  ${}^{\rho} \mathbb{R}^n$  denotes the usual dot product  $x \cdot \omega = \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j \omega_j)$ .

### Definition

Let  $k \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$  be a positive infinite number and let  $K := [-k, k]^n \subseteq {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$  be a functionally compact set. We define the *n*-dimensional hyperfinite Fourier transform of the GSF  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(K, \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n)$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}_{k}(f)(\omega) := \int_{K} f(x) e^{-ix\omega} dx = \int_{-k}^{k} dx_{1} \dots \int_{-k}^{k} f(x_{1} \dots x_{n}) e^{-ix \cdot \omega} dx_{n},$$

where the product  $x \cdot \omega$  on  ${}^{\rho} \mathbb{R}^n$  denotes the usual dot product  $x \cdot \omega = \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j \omega_j)$ .

• For any representatives  $(k_{\varepsilon})$  of k we have  $\mathcal{F}_{k}(f)(\omega) := \int_{\mathcal{K}} f(x) e^{-ix\omega} dx = \left[ \int_{-k_{\varepsilon}}^{k_{\varepsilon}} dx_{1} \dots \int_{-k_{\varepsilon}}^{k_{\varepsilon}} f_{\varepsilon}(x_{1} \dots x_{n}) e^{-ix \cdot \omega_{\varepsilon}} dx_{n} \right] \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}.$ 

イロト イヨト イヨト

### Definition

Let  $k \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$  be a positive infinite number and let  $K := [-k, k]^n \subseteq {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$  be a functionally compact set. We define the *n*-dimensional hyperfinite Fourier transform of the GSF  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(K, \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n)$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}_{k}(f)(\omega) := \int_{K} f(x) e^{-ix\omega} dx = \int_{-k}^{k} dx_{1} \dots \int_{-k}^{k} f(x_{1} \dots x_{n}) e^{-ix \cdot \omega} dx_{n},$$

where the product  $x \cdot \omega$  on  ${}^{\rho} \mathbb{R}^n$  denotes the usual dot product  $x \cdot \omega = \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j \omega_j)$ .

Sor any representatives (k<sub>ε</sub>) of k we have F<sub>k</sub>(f)(ω) := ∫<sub>K</sub> f(x) e<sup>-ixω</sup> dx = [∫<sup>k<sub>ε</sub></sup><sub>-k<sub>ε</sub></sub> dx<sub>1</sub>...∫<sup>k<sub>ε</sub></sup><sub>-k<sub>ε</sub></sub> f<sub>ε</sub>(x<sub>1</sub>...x<sub>n</sub>) e<sup>-ix⋅ω<sub>ε</sub></sup> dx<sub>n</sub>] ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ̃.
F<sub>k</sub> : <sup>ρ</sup>GC<sup>∞</sup>(K, ℂ) → <sup>ρ</sup>GC<sup>∞</sup>(K, <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ<sup>n</sup>).

### Definition

Let  $k \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$  be a positive infinite number and let  $K := [-k, k]^n \subseteq {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$  be a functionally compact set. We define the *n*-dimensional hyperfinite Fourier transform of the GSF  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(K, \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n)$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}_{k}(f)(\omega) := \int_{K} f(x) e^{-ix\omega} dx = \int_{-k}^{k} dx_{1} \dots \int_{-k}^{k} f(x_{1} \dots x_{n}) e^{-ix \cdot \omega} dx_{n},$$

where the product  $x \cdot \omega$  on  ${}^{\rho} \mathbb{R}^n$  denotes the usual dot product  $x \cdot \omega = \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j \omega_j)$ .

Sor any representatives (k<sub>ε</sub>) of k we have F<sub>k</sub>(f)(ω) := ∫<sub>K</sub> f(x) e<sup>-ixω</sup> dx = [∫<sup>k<sub>ε</sub></sup><sub>-k<sub>ε</sub></sub> dx<sub>1</sub>...∫<sup>k<sub>ε</sub></sup><sub>-k<sub>ε</sub></sub> f<sub>ε</sub>(x<sub>1</sub>...x<sub>n</sub>) e<sup>-ix⋅ω<sub>ε</sub></sup> dx<sub>n</sub>] ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ̃.
F<sub>k</sub> : <sup>ρ</sup>GC<sup>∞</sup>(K, ℂ) → <sup>ρ</sup>GC<sup>∞</sup>(K, <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ<sup>n</sup>).



We define the k-convolution on  ${}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}{}^n$  by

Image: A matrix

. . . . . . .

# Convolution on ${}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$

We define the k-convolution on  ${}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}{}^n$  by

## Definition

Assume that 
$$f, g \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}\left({}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$$
 with  
 $\forall x \in [-\infty, -k]^{n} \cup [k, \infty]^{n} : f(x) = g(x) = 0.$  Then  $\forall x, y \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n},$   
 $K := [-k, k]^{n} \subseteq {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}, \exists r \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{>0} : k \ge \mathrm{d}\rho^{-r},$ 

# Convolution on ${}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$

We define the *k*-convolution on  ${}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$  by

## Definition

Assume that 
$$f, g \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}\left({}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$$
 with  
 $\forall x \in [-\infty, -k]^{n} \cup [k, \infty]^{n} : f(x) = g(x) = 0$ . Then  $\forall x, y \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ ,  
 $K := [-k, k]^{n} \subseteq {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}, \exists r \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{>0} : k \ge d\rho^{-r}$ ,

$$(f *_k g)(x) := \int_{\rho \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(y) g(x-y) dy = \int_{-k}^k dy_1 \dots \int_{-k}^k f(y) g(x-y) dy_n.$$

3 🕨 🖌 3

Image: Image:

# Convolution on ${}^{ ho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}{}^n$

We define the *k*-convolution on  ${}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$  by

### Definition

Assume that 
$$f, g \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}\left({}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$$
 with  
 $\forall x \in [-\infty, -k]^{n} \cup [k, \infty]^{n} : f(x) = g(x) = 0$ . Then  $\forall x, y \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ ,  
 $K := [-k, k]^{n} \subseteq {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}, \exists r \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{>0} : k \ge d\rho^{-r}$ ,

$$(f *_k g)(x) := \int_{\rho \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(y) g(x-y) \, \mathrm{d}y = \int_{-k}^k \mathrm{d}y_1 \dots \int_{-k}^k f(y) g(x-y) \, \mathrm{d}y_n.$$

For this type convolution we have the usual commutative, associative and distributive properties. Moreover, for  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}\left({}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}, {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}\right)$  such that  $\forall x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}} \exists r \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{>0} \exists c \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}} \forall y \in \overline{B^{\mathbb{E}}}_{r}(x) \forall j \in \mathbb{N} : |d^{j}f(y)| \leq c$  we have  $f * \delta = f$ , where  $\delta$  is the Dirac delta distribution.

### Definitions

Let  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(K, \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n)$ ,  $x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$  be a measurable generalized smooth function, then

## Definitions

Let  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(K, \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n)$ ,  $x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$  be a measurable generalized smooth function, then

• Translation: 
$$(s \oplus f)(x) := f(x - s)$$
,  $s = (s_1, s_2 \dots s_n) \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$ .

## Definitions

Let  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(K, \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n)$ ,  $x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$  be a measurable generalized smooth function, then

• Translation:  $(s \oplus f)(x) := f(x - s), s = (s_1, s_2 \dots s_n) \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$ .

3 Dilation: 
$$\delta^{a}(f)(x) := f(ax)$$
,  $a \in {}^{\rho}\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ .

メロト メポト メヨト メヨト

### Definitions

Let  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(K, \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n)$ ,  $x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$  be a measurable generalized smooth function, then

- Translation:  $(s \oplus f)(x) := f(x s), s = (s_1, s_2 \dots s_n) \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$ .
- $(r \odot f)(x) := \frac{1}{r^n} f(\frac{x}{r}), r \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{>0}$  and the *reflection* of f is defined by  $\widetilde{f}(x) := f(-x)$ .

### Definitions

Let  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(K, \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n)$ ,  $x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$  be a measurable generalized smooth function, then

- Translation:  $(s \oplus f)(x) := f(x s), s = (s_1, s_2 \dots s_n) \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$ .
- $(r \odot f)(x) := \frac{1}{r^n} f(\frac{x}{r}), r \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{>0}$  and the *reflection* of f is defined by  $\widetilde{f}(x) := f(-x)$ .

#### Theorem

Let  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(K, \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n)$ ,  $x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$  be a GSF, then we have the following results

### Definitions

Let  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(K, \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n)$ ,  $x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$  be a measurable generalized smooth function, then

- Translation:  $(s \oplus f)(x) := f(x s), s = (s_1, s_2 \dots s_n) \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$ .
- $(r \odot f)(x) := \frac{1}{r^n} f(\frac{x}{r}), r \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{>0}$  and the *reflection* of f is defined by  $\widetilde{f}(x) := f(-x)$ .

#### Theorem

Let  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(\mathcal{K}, \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n)$ ,  $x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$  be a GSF, then we have the following results

### Definitions

Let  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(K, \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n)$ ,  $x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$  be a measurable generalized smooth function, then

- Translation:  $(s \oplus f)(x) := f(x s), s = (s_1, s_2 \dots s_n) \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$ .
- $(r \odot f)(x) := \frac{1}{r^n} f(\frac{x}{r}), r \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{>0}$  and the *reflection* of f is defined by  $\widetilde{f}(x) := f(-x)$ .

#### Theorem

Let  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(K, \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n)$ ,  $x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$  be a GSF, then we have the following results

### Definitions

Let  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(K, \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n)$ ,  $x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$  be a measurable generalized smooth function, then

- Translation:  $(s \oplus f)(x) := f(x s), s = (s_1, s_2 \dots s_n) \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$ .
- $(r \odot f)(x) := \frac{1}{r^n} f(\frac{x}{r}), r \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{>0}$  and the *reflection* of f is defined by  $\widetilde{f}(x) := f(-x)$ .

#### Theorem

Let  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(K, \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n)$ ,  $x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$  be a GSF, then we have the following results

Akbarali Mukhammadiev (Uni Vienna)

### Definitions

Let  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(K, \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n)$ ,  $x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$  be a measurable generalized smooth function, then

- Translation:  $(s \oplus f)(x) := f(x s), s = (s_1, s_2 \dots s_n) \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$ .
- $(r \odot f)(x) := \frac{1}{r^n} f(\frac{x}{r}), r \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{>0}$  and the *reflection* of f is defined by  $\widetilde{f}(x) := f(-x)$ .

#### Theorem

Let  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(K, \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}^n)$ ,  $x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$  be a GSF, then we have the following results

Akbarali Mukhammadiev (Uni Vienna)

### Theorem

### Theorem

### Theorem

### Theorem

**a** 
$$\mathcal{F}_k(\delta^t(f)) = t \odot \mathcal{F}_{tk}(f), t > 0.$$
**b**  $\mathcal{F}_k(s \oplus f) = e^{-is(-)} \mathcal{F}_{k+s}(f), \forall s \text{ finite.}$ 
**c**  $\mathcal{F}_k(e^{is(-)}f) = s \oplus \mathcal{F}_k(f).$ 
**c**  $k \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n.$  Then if  $\forall x \in K, f(x_1, \ldots x_{j-1}, k, x_{j+1}) = f(x_1, \ldots x_{j-1}, -k, x_{j+1}) = 0, \text{ we have}$ 
 $\mathcal{F}_k(\partial_j f)(\omega) = i\omega_j \mathcal{F}_k(f)(\omega).$ 

### Theorem

• 
$$\mathcal{F}_{k}(\delta^{t}(f)) = t \odot \mathcal{F}_{tk}(f), t > 0.$$
  
•  $\mathcal{F}_{k}(s \oplus f) = e^{-is(-)}\mathcal{F}_{k+s}(f), \forall s \text{ finite.}$   
•  $\mathcal{F}_{k}(e^{is(-)}f) = s \oplus \mathcal{F}_{k}(f).$   
• Let  $k \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ . Then if  $\forall x \in K, f(x_{1}, \dots, x_{j-1}, k, x_{j+1}) = f(x_{1}, \dots, x_{j-1}, -k, x_{j+1}) = 0$ , we have  
 $\mathcal{F}_{k}(\partial_{j}f)(\omega) = i\omega_{j}\mathcal{F}_{k}(f)(\omega).$ 

### Theorem

**a** 
$$\mathcal{F}_k(\delta^t(f)) = t \odot \mathcal{F}_{tk}(f), t > 0.$$
**b**  $\mathcal{F}_k(s \oplus f) = e^{-is(-)}\mathcal{F}_{k+s}(f), \forall s \text{ finite.}$ 
**c**  $\mathcal{F}_k(e^{is(-)}f) = s \oplus \mathcal{F}_k(f).$ 
**c**  $Let \ k \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n.$  Then if  $\forall x \in K,$ 
 $f(x_1, \ldots x_{j-1}, k, x_{j+1}) = f(x_1, \ldots x_{j-1}, -k, x_{j+1}) = 0, \text{ we have}$ 
 $\mathcal{F}_k(\partial_j f)(\omega) = i\omega_j \mathcal{F}_k(f)(\omega).$ 

#### Theorem

**a** 
$$\mathcal{F}_{k}(\delta^{t}(f)) = t \odot \mathcal{F}_{tk}(f), t > 0.$$
**b**  $\mathcal{F}_{k}(s \oplus f) = e^{-is(-)}\mathcal{F}_{k+s}(f), \forall s \text{ finite.}$ 
**c**  $\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(e^{is(-)}f\right) = s \oplus \mathcal{F}_{k}(f).$ 
**c**  $Let \ k \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}.$  Then if  $\forall x \in K,$ 
 $f(x_{1}, \ldots x_{j-1}, k, x_{j+1}) = f(x_{1}, \ldots x_{j-1}, -k, x_{j+1}) = 0, we have$ 
 $\mathcal{F}_{k}(\partial_{j}f)(\omega) = i\omega_{j}\mathcal{F}_{k}(f)(\omega).$ 
**d**  $\frac{\partial}{\partial\omega_{j}}\mathcal{F}_{k}(f(x))(\omega) = -i\mathcal{F}_{k}(x_{j}f(x))(\omega).$ 

• 
$$\mathcal{F}_k(f *_k g) = \mathcal{F}_k(f) \mathcal{F}_k(g).$$

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ

### Definition

Let  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}\left(K, {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ , we define  $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{-1}(f)(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{n} \int_{K} f(\omega) e^{ix \cdot \omega} d\omega$ for all  $\omega \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$ . This operation is called inverse hyperfinite Fourier transform.

### Definition

Let  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}\left(K, {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ , we define  $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{-1}(f)(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{n} \int_{K} f(\omega) e^{ix \cdot \omega} d\omega$ for all  $\omega \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$ . This operation is called inverse hyperfinite Fourier transform.

We can in fact prove that inverse HFT shares the same properties as the HFT does. In the next theorem we prove that one is the inverse operation of the other.

### Definition

Let 
$$f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}\left(K, {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$$
, we define  $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{-1}(f)(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{n} \int_{K} f(\omega) e^{ix \cdot \omega} d\omega$   
for all  $\omega \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$ . This operation is called inverse hyperfinite Fourier transform.

We can in fact prove that inverse HFT shares the same properties as the HFT does. In the next theorem we prove that one is the inverse operation of the other.

#### Theorem

Let f, g, 
$$h \in {^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}}\left(K, {^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}}^{n}\right)$$
 we have that

### Definition

Let  $f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}\left(K, {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ , we define  $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{-1}(f)(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{n} \int_{K} f(\omega) e^{ix \cdot \omega} d\omega$ for all  $\omega \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$ . This operation is called inverse hyperfinite Fourier transform.

We can in fact prove that inverse HFT shares the same properties as the HFT does. In the next theorem we prove that one is the inverse operation of the other.

#### Theorem

Let f, g, 
$$h \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(K, {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n})$$
 we have that  

$$\int_{K} \mathcal{F}_{k}(f) g(x) = \int_{K} f(x) \mathcal{F}_{k}(g).$$

### Definition

Let 
$$f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}\left(K, {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$$
, we define  $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{-1}(f)(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{n} \int_{K} f(\omega) e^{i x \cdot \omega} d\omega$   
for all  $\omega \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$ . This operation is called inverse hyperfinite Fourier transform.

We can in fact prove that inverse HFT shares the same properties as the HFT does. In the next theorem we prove that one is the inverse operation of the other.

#### Theorem

Let 
$$f$$
,  $g$ ,  $h \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}\left(K, {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$  we have that  
**a**  $\int_{K} \mathcal{F}_{k}(f) g(x) = \int_{K} f(x) \mathcal{F}_{k}(g).$   
**a** Hyperfinite Fourier inversion:  
 $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{-1}[\mathcal{F}_{k}(f)] = f = \mathcal{F}_{k}\left[\mathcal{F}_{k}^{-1}(f)\right] = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{n} \int_{K} \mathcal{F}_{k}(f)(\omega) e^{ix\omega} d\omega.$ 

### Examples

• If  $\delta(x) = \delta(x_1) \delta(x_2) \dots \delta(x_n)$  is n-dimensional Dirac delta distribution with  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$  and  $k \ge d\rho^{-a}, \forall a \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$  then  $\mathcal{F}_k(\delta)(\omega) = 1$  if  $\forall \omega$  finite and  $\mathcal{F}_k(\delta)(\omega) = 0$  if  $|\omega| \ge k$ .

If δ (x) = δ (x<sub>1</sub>) δ (x<sub>2</sub>)...δ (x<sub>n</sub>) is n-dimensional Dirac delta distribution with x = (x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>,..., x<sub>n</sub>) ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ<sup>n</sup> and k ≥ dρ<sup>-a</sup>, ∀a ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ then F<sub>k</sub> (δ) (ω) = 1 if ∀ω finite and F<sub>k</sub> (δ) (ω) = 0 if |ω| ≥ k.
Let f (x) = e<sup>-|x|<sup>2</sup></sup>/<sub>2</sub> ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>GC<sup>∞</sup> (<sup>ρ</sup>ℝ<sup>n</sup>), x ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ<sup>n</sup>. Then F<sub>k</sub> (f) (ω) = (2π)<sup>n/2</sup> e<sup>-|ω|<sup>2</sup>/<sub>2</sub>.
</sup>

If δ (x) = δ (x<sub>1</sub>) δ (x<sub>2</sub>)...δ (x<sub>n</sub>) is n-dimensional Dirac delta distribution with x = (x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>,...,x<sub>n</sub>) ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ<sup>n</sup> and k ≥ dρ<sup>-a</sup>, ∀a ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ then F<sub>k</sub> (δ) (ω) = 1 if ∀ω finite and F<sub>k</sub> (δ) (ω) = 0 if |ω| ≥ k.
Let f (x) = e<sup>-|x|<sup>2</sup></sup>/<sub>2</sub> ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>GC<sup>∞</sup> (<sup>ρ</sup>ℝ<sup>n</sup>), x ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ<sup>n</sup>. Then F<sub>k</sub>(f) (ω) = (2π)<sup>n/2</sup> e<sup>-|ω|<sup>2</sup>/<sub>2</sub></sup>.
If f (x) = e<sup>-a|x|</sup> (with x ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ) then F(f) (ω) = <sup>1-e<sup>(a-iω)k</sup>/<sub>a-iω</sub> - <sup>e<sup>-(a+iω)k</sup>-1</sup>/<sub>a+iω</sub>.
</sup>

• If $\delta(x) = \delta(x_1) \delta(x_2) \dots \delta(x_n)$ is n-dimensional Dirac delta	
distribution with $x=(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n)\in {}^ ho\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}{}^n$ and $k\geq \mathrm{d} ho^{-a}, orall a\in {}^ ho\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}{}^n$	-
then $\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\delta\right)\left(\omega\right)=1$ if $orall\omega$ finite and $\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\delta\right)\left(\omega ight)=0$ if $\left \omega ight \geq k$ .	
2 Let $f(x) = e^{-\frac{ x ^2}{2}} \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}\left({}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n\right)$ , $x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$ . Then	
$\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(f ight)\left(\omega ight)=\left(2\pi ight)^{rac{n}{2}}e^{-rac{\left \omega ight ^{2}}{2}}.$	
• If $f(x) = e^{-a x }$ (with $x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$ ) then	
$\mathcal{F}\left(f ight)\left(\omega ight)=rac{1-e^{\left(a-i\omega ight)k}}{a-i\omega}-rac{e^{-\left(a+i\omega ight)k}-1}{a+i\omega}.$	
• If $f(x) = e^x$ where $ x  \le k$ and $k = -\log(d\rho)$ then	
$\mathcal{F}_{k}(f)(\omega) = \frac{e^{k(1-i\omega)} - e^{-k(1-i\omega)}}{1-i\omega} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\rho^{(i\omega-1)} - \mathrm{d}\rho^{(1-i\omega)}}{1-i\omega}.$	

<ロ> <四> <四> <四> <四</td>

#### Theorem

Let  $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\Omega)$  and  $\mu$  be a Colombeau mollier. Then the map

#### Theorem

Let  $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\Omega)$  and  $\mu$  be a Colombeau mollier. Then the map

$$\iota_{\Omega}^{\boldsymbol{b}}:\,\mathcal{T}\in\mathcal{S}'\left(\Omega\right)\mapsto\left[\mathcal{T}*\left(b_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\odot\mu\right)\left(-\right)\right]\in{^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}\left(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\boldsymbol{c}},\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}\right)}$$

where  $b \ge d\rho^{-a}$ ,  $a \in {}^{\rho}\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  is a linear embedding that commutes with partial derivatives and  $\forall f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_k(\iota_{\Omega}^b(f)) = \iota_{\Omega}^b(\mathcal{F}(f))$ .

#### Theorem

Let  $T\in\mathcal{S}'\left(\Omega
ight)$  and  $\mu$  be a Colombeau mollier. Then the map

$$\iota_{\Omega}^{\boldsymbol{b}}: T \in \mathcal{S}'\left(\Omega\right) \mapsto \left[T * \left(b_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \odot \mu\right)(-)\right] \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}\left(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\boldsymbol{c}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}\right)$$

where  $b \ge d\rho^{-a}$ ,  $a \in {}^{\rho}\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  is a linear embedding that commutes with partial derivatives and  $\forall f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_k\left(\iota_{\Omega}^b(f)\right) = \iota_{\Omega}^b(\mathcal{F}(f))$ .

Our next problem is to associate the Fourier transform of a tempered distribution and its HFT. Our conjecture is

#### Theorem

Let  $T\in\mathcal{S}'\left(\Omega
ight)$  and  $\mu$  be a Colombeau mollier. Then the map

$$\iota_{\Omega}^{\boldsymbol{b}}: T \in \mathcal{S}'\left(\Omega\right) \mapsto \left[T * \left(b_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \odot \mu\right)(-)\right] \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}\left(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\boldsymbol{c}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}\right)$$

where  $b \ge d\rho^{-a}$ ,  $a \in {}^{\rho}\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  is a linear embedding that commutes with partial derivatives and  $\forall f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_k\left(\iota_{\Omega}^b(f)\right) = \iota_{\Omega}^b(\mathcal{F}(f))$ .

Our next problem is to associate the Fourier transform of a tempered distribution and its HFT. Our conjecture is

Conjecture: Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set and  $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\Omega)$ . Then if  $\iota_{\Omega}^b(T)$  is an embedding of T we have that

#### Theorem

Let  $T\in\mathcal{S}'\left(\Omega
ight)$  and  $\mu$  be a Colombeau mollier. Then the map

$$\iota_{\Omega}^{\boldsymbol{b}}: T \in \mathcal{S}'\left(\Omega\right) \mapsto \left[T * \left(b_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \odot \mu\right)(-)\right] \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}\left(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\boldsymbol{c}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}\right)$$

where  $b \ge d\rho^{-a}$ ,  $a \in {}^{\rho}\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  is a linear embedding that commutes with partial derivatives and  $\forall f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_k(\iota_{\Omega}^b(f)) = \iota_{\Omega}^b(\mathcal{F}(f))$ .

Our next problem is to associate the Fourier transform of a tempered distribution and its HFT. Our conjecture is

Conjecture: Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set and  $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\Omega)$ . Then if  $\iota_{\Omega}^b(T)$  is an embedding of T we have that

$$\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\iota_{\Omega}^{b}\left(T\right)\cdot\mathbf{1}\right)\left(\omega\right)=\left(\iota_{\Omega}^{b}\left(\widehat{T}\right)\right)\left(\omega\right),\forall\omega\in\widetilde{\Omega}_{c},\mathbf{1}:=\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\delta\right).$$

### Examples

• *n*-th order homogeneous generalized ODE  $a_n y^{(n)} + \ldots a_1 y^{(1)} + a_0 y = 0, y \in {}^{\rho} \mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(\mathcal{K}, {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}), a_n \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^*, n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1},$  $y(k) = y(-k) = 0, k \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$ 

### Examples

- *n*-th order homogeneous generalized ODE  $a_n y^{(n)} + \ldots a_1 y^{(1)} + a_0 y = 0, y \in {}^{\rho} \mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(\mathcal{K}, {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}), a_n \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^*, n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1},$  $y(k) = y(-k) = 0, k \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$
- P any<sup>(n)</sup> + ... a<sub>1</sub>y<sup>(1)</sup> + a<sub>0</sub>y = h(t), y, h ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>GC<sup>∞</sup>(K, <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ̃), a<sub>n</sub> ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ̃<sup>\*</sup>, n ∈ N<sub>≥1</sub>, y(k) = y(-k) = 0, k ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ

*n*-th order homogeneous generalized ODE *a<sub>n</sub>y<sup>(n)</sup>* + ... *a<sub>1</sub>y<sup>(1)</sup>* + *a<sub>0</sub>y* = 0, *y* ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>GC<sup>∞</sup>(K, <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ̃), *a<sub>n</sub>* ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ<sup>\*</sup>, *n* ∈ N<sub>≥1</sub>, *y*(*k*) = *y*(-*k*) = 0, *k* ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ̃ *n*-th order non-homogeneous generalized ODE *a<sub>n</sub>y<sup>(n)</sup>* + ... *a<sub>1</sub>y<sup>(1)</sup>* + *a<sub>0</sub>y* = *h*(*t*), *y*, *h* ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>GC<sup>∞</sup>(K, <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ̃), *a<sub>n</sub>* ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ<sup>\*</sup>, *n* ∈ N<sub>≥1</sub>, *y*(*k*) = *y*(-*k*) = 0, *k* ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ̃
Generalized ODE - *u''* + *u* = *f*(*x*), *u*, *f* ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>GC<sup>∞</sup>([-*k*, *k*], <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ̃), *u*(*k*) = *u*(-*k*) = 0, *k* ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ.

*n*-th order homogeneous generalized ODE *a<sub>n</sub>y<sup>(n)</sup>* + ... *a<sub>1</sub>y<sup>(1)</sup>* + *a<sub>0</sub>y* = 0, *y* ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>GC<sup>∞</sup>(K, <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ̃), *a<sub>n</sub>* ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ<sup>\*</sup>, *n* ∈ N<sub>≥1</sub>, *y*(*k*) = *y*(-*k*) = 0, *k* ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ *n*-th order non-homogeneous generalized ODE *a<sub>n</sub>y<sup>(n)</sup>* + ... *a<sub>1</sub>y<sup>(1)</sup>* + *a<sub>0</sub>y* = *h*(*t*), *y*, *h* ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>GC<sup>∞</sup>(K, <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ̃), *a<sub>n</sub>* ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ<sup>\*</sup>, *n* ∈ N<sub>≥1</sub>, *y*(*k*) = *y*(-*k*) = 0, *k* ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ
Generalized ODE - *u''* + *u* = *f*(*x*), *u*, *f* ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>GC<sup>∞</sup>([-*k*, *k*], <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ), *u*(*k*) = *u*(-*k*) = 0, *k* ∈ <sup>ρ</sup>ℝ.
Generalized Airy equation

$$u''-xu=0, f\in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}([-k,k],{}^{
ho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}), u(k)=u(-k)=0, k\in {}^{
ho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}.$$

|田 | | 田 | | 田 |

## General procedure to apply the HFT in the study of DE

• We can start from a linear differential problem and assume that it has a solution  $u \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{c}, {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}})$ .

## General procedure to apply the HFT in the study of DE

• We can start from a linear differential problem and assume that it has a solution  $u \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{c}, {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}})$ .

• We can hence take any infinite number  $k \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$  and consider  $K := \{x \in \Omega \mid |x| \leq k\}, K/2 := \{x \in \Omega \mid |x| \leq k/2\} \subseteq {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ , and  $\bar{u} \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{C}^{\infty}({}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}, {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}})$  compactly supported in K/2 and such that  $\bar{u}|_{\widetilde{\Omega}_{c}} = u$ . Since  $\bar{u}(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \{x \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n} \mid \forall k \in K : |x - k| > 0\}$ , we have  $\mathcal{F}_{k}(\partial_{j}\bar{u})(\omega) = i\omega_{j}\mathcal{F}_{k}(\bar{u})(\omega)$ . As usual, this allows to transform the differential problem into a simpler problem.

## General procedure to apply the HFT in the study of DE

- We can start from a linear differential problem and assume that it has a solution  $u \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{c}, {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}})$ .
- We can hence take any infinite number  $k \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$  and consider  $K := \{x \in \Omega \mid |x| \leq k\}, K/2 := \{x \in \Omega \mid |x| \leq k/2\} \subseteq {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ , and  $\overline{u} \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{C}^{\infty}({}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n}, {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}})$  compactly supported in K/2 and such that  $\overline{u}|_{\widetilde{\Omega}_{c}} = u$ . Since  $\overline{u}(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \{x \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{n} \mid \forall k \in K : |x - k| > 0\}$ , we have  $\mathcal{F}_{k}(\partial_{j}\overline{u})(\omega) = i\omega_{j}\mathcal{F}_{k}(\overline{u})(\omega)$ . As usual, this allows to transform the differential problem into a simpler problem.
- **③** We finally apply the inversion theorem, at  $\omega \in \widetilde{\Omega}_c$ , so that we can recover the initial CGF u.

#### Examples

Generalized wave equation  $\frac{\partial^{2}u(x,t)}{\partial t^{2}} = c^{2}\frac{\partial^{2}u(x,t)}{\partial x^{2}}, c, x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}, t \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0}, u \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}([-k,k] \times {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}) \text{ with the assumptions } u(k,t) = u(-k,t) = 0 \text{ and } u_{x}(k,t) = u_{x}(-k,t) = 0 \text{ and initial conditions } u(x,0) = f(x), u_{t}(x,0) = g(x), x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}, f, g \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}([-k,k] \times {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}).$   $u(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}[f(x+ct) + f(x-ct)] + \frac{1}{2c}\int_{x-ct}^{x+ct} g(\xi) d\xi.$ 

### Examples

Generalized wave equation <sup>∂<sup>2</sup>u(x,t)</sup>/<sub>∂t<sup>2</sup></sub> = c<sup>2</sup> <sup>∂<sup>2</sup>u(x,t)</sup>/<sub>∂x<sup>2</sup></sub>, c, x ∈ <sup>p</sup> ℝ, t ∈ <sup>p</sup> ℝ<sub>≥0</sub>, u ∈ <sup>p</sup> GC<sup>∞</sup>([-k, k] × <sup>p</sup> ℝ) with the assumptions u(k, t) = u(-k, t) = 0 and u<sub>x</sub>(k, t) = u<sub>x</sub>(-k, t) = 0 and initial conditions u(x, 0) = f(x), u<sub>t</sub>(x, 0) = g(x), x ∈ <sup>p</sup> ℝ, f, g ∈ <sup>p</sup> GC<sup>∞</sup>([-k, k] × <sup>p</sup> ℝ). u(x, t) = <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> [f(x + ct) + f(x - ct)] + <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2c</sub> ∫<sup>x+ct</sup><sub>x-ct</sub> g(ξ) dξ.
Generalized heat equation a<sup>-2</sup> ∂u(x,t)/∂t<sup>2</sup> = ∂<sup>2</sup>u(x,t)/∂x<sup>2</sup>, a, x ∈ <sup>p</sup> ℝ, t ∈ <sup>p</sup> ℝ<sub>≥0</sub>, u ∈ <sup>p</sup> GC<sup>∞</sup>([-k, k] × <sup>p</sup> ℝ) with the analogous assumptions u(k, t) = u(-k, t) = 0 and u<sub>x</sub>(k, t) = u<sub>x</sub>(-k, t) = 0 and initial condition u(x, 0) = f(x), x ∈ <sup>p</sup> ℝ, f ∈ <sup>p</sup> GC<sup>∞</sup>([-k, k] × <sup>p</sup> ℝ). u(x, t) = <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2c</sub> ∫<sup>k</sup>, F(ω) e<sup>-a<sup>2</sup>ω<sup>2</sup>t</sup> e<sup>iωx</sup> dω, F := F<sub>k</sub>(u)(ω, 0).

< 回 ト < 三 ト <

### Examples

Generalized wave equation  $\frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2} = c^2 \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2}, c, x \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}, t \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{>0}, u \in {}^{\rho} \mathcal{GC}^{\infty}([-k,k] \times {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}) \text{ with the }$ assumptions u(k, t) = u(-k, t) = 0 and  $u_x(k, t) = u_x(-k, t) = 0$  and initial conditions u(x,0) = f(x),  $u_t(x,0) = g(x)$ ,  $x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $f,g \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}([-k,k] \times {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}})$ .  $u(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ f(x+ct) + f(x-ct) \right] + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{x-ct}^{x+ct} g(\xi) d\xi.$ Generalized heat equation  $a^{-2} \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial t^2}, a, x \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}, t \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{>0}, u \in {}^{\rho} \mathcal{GC}^{\infty}([-k,k] \times {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}})$  with the analogous assumptions u(k, t) = u(-k, t) = 0 and  $u_x(k, t) = u_x(-k, t) = 0$  and initial condition  $u(x,0) = f(x), x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}, f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}([-k,k] \times {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}).$  $u(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{k} F(\omega) e^{-a^{2}\omega^{2}t} e^{i\omega x} d\omega, F := \mathcal{F}_{k}(u)(\omega,0).$ Generalized Laplace's equation  $\frac{\partial^2 u(x,y)}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 u(x,y)}{\partial x^2}, x \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}, y \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{>0}, u \in {}^{\rho} \mathcal{GC}^{\infty}([-k,k] \times {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}) \text{ with the assumptions}$  $u(x,k) = 0, \forall r \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{>0}: k > d\rho^{-r}$  and the initial condition  $u(x,0) = f(x), x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}, f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}([-k,k] \times {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}).$  $u(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{k} F(\omega) e^{-|\omega|y} e^{i\omega x} d\omega, F := \mathcal{F}_{k}(u)(\omega,0).$ 

A = A = A = A = A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

### Examples

Generalized wave equation  $\frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2} = c^2 \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2}, c, x \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}, t \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{>0}, u \in {}^{\rho} \mathcal{GC}^{\infty}([-k,k] \times {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}) \text{ with the }$ assumptions u(k, t) = u(-k, t) = 0 and  $u_x(k, t) = u_x(-k, t) = 0$  and initial conditions u(x,0) = f(x),  $u_t(x,0) = g(x)$ ,  $x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $f,g \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}([-k,k] \times {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}})$ .  $u(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ f(x+ct) + f(x-ct) \right] + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{x-ct}^{x+ct} g(\xi) d\xi.$ Generalized heat equation  $a^{-2} \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial t^2}, a, x \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}, t \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{>0}, u \in {}^{\rho} \mathcal{GC}^{\infty}([-k,k] \times {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}})$  with the analogous assumptions u(k, t) = u(-k, t) = 0 and  $u_x(k, t) = u_x(-k, t) = 0$  and initial condition  $u(x,0) = f(x), x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}, f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}([-k,k] \times {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}).$  $u(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{k} F(\omega) e^{-a^{2}\omega^{2}t} e^{i\omega x} d\omega, F := \mathcal{F}_{k}(u)(\omega,0).$ Generalized Laplace's equation  $\frac{\partial^2 u(x,y)}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 u(x,y)}{\partial x^2}, x \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}, y \in {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{>0}, u \in {}^{\rho} \mathcal{GC}^{\infty}([-k,k] \times {}^{\rho} \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}) \text{ with the assumptions}$  $u(x,k) = 0, \forall r \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{>0}: k > d\rho^{-r}$  and the initial condition  $u(x,0) = f(x), x \in {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}, f \in {}^{\rho}\mathcal{GC}^{\infty}([-k,k] \times {}^{\rho}\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}).$  $u(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{k} F(\omega) e^{-|\omega|y} e^{i\omega x} d\omega, F := \mathcal{F}_{k}(u)(\omega,0).$ 

A = A = A = A = A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Parseval's relation using hyperfinite series.

∃ ▶ ∢

- Parseval's relation using hyperfinite series.
- Plancherel's identity.

- Parseval's relation using hyperfinite series.
- Plancherel's identity.
- Determine the space of *n* dimensional rapidly decreasing GSFs and define a Fourier transform in it using ∫<sup>∞</sup><sub>-∞</sub> and without dependence on k ∈ <sup>ρ</sup> R.

- Parseval's relation using hyperfinite series.
- Plancherel's identity.
- Obtermine the space of *n* dimensional rapidly decreasing GSFs and define a Fourier transform in it using ∫<sup>∞</sup><sub>-∞</sub> and without dependence on k ∈ <sup>ρ</sup> R.
- 9 Paley-Wiener theorem using hyperfinite series.

### Contact:

#### akbarali.mukhammadiev@univie.ac.at

#### Thank you for your attention!

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ