

The nuclearity of Gelfand-Shilov spaces and kernel theorems

Lenny Neyt

Department of Mathematics: Analysis, Logic and Discrete Mathematics
Ghent University

International Conference on Generalized Functions
Ghent, Belgium, September 3, 2020

joint work with Andreas Debrouwere and Jasson Vindas

Introduction

The goal of this talk is to characterize when the **Gelfand-Shilov space** $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathcal{W}]}^{[m]}$ is nuclear.

- Gel'fand and Shilov characterized the nuclearity of the space $\mathcal{S}_{(\mathcal{W}),\infty}$.
- For **weight sequences**, the nuclearity of the space $\mathcal{S}_{[A]}^{[M]}$ was shown under (M.1) and (M.2) by Pilipović, Prangoski, and Vindas.
- Boiti, Jornet, and Oliaro recently considered the nuclearity of isotropic **Beurling-Björk spaces** $\mathcal{S}_{(\omega)}^{(\omega)}$ with respect to the weight function ω .
- Our results deal with more general spaces and provide full characterizations of their nuclearity. This talk is based on:



A. DEBROUWERE, L. NEYT AND J. VINDAS, *The nuclearity of Gelfand–Shilov spaces and kernel theorems*, Collect. Math., in press (DOI: 10.1007/s13348-020-00286-2).

Introduction

The goal of this talk is to characterize when the **Gelfand-Shilov space** $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathcal{W}]}^{[m]}$ is nuclear.

- Gel'fand and Shilov characterized the nuclearity of the space $\mathcal{S}_{(\mathcal{W}),\infty}$.
- For **weight sequences**, the nuclearity of the space $\mathcal{S}_{[A]}^{[M]}$ was shown under (M.1) and (M.2) by Pilipović, Prangoski, and Vindas.
- Boiti, Jornet, and Oliaro recently considered the nuclearity of isotropic **Beurling-Björk spaces** $\mathcal{S}_{(\omega)}^{(\omega)}$ with respect to the weight function ω .
- Our results deal with more general spaces and provide full characterizations of their nuclearity. This talk is based on:



A. DEBROUWERE, L. NEYT AND J. VINDAS, *The nuclearity of Gelfand–Shilov spaces and kernel theorems*, Collect. Math., in press (DOI: 10.1007/s13348-020-00286-2).

Introduction

The goal of this talk is to characterize when the **Gelfand-Shilov space** $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathcal{W}]}^{[m]}$ is nuclear.

- Gel'fand and Shilov characterized the nuclearity of the space $\mathcal{S}_{(\mathcal{W}),\infty}$.
- For **weight sequences**, the nuclearity of the space $\mathcal{S}_{[A]}^{[M]}$ was shown under (M.1) and (M.2) by Pilipović, Prangoski, and Vindas.
- Boiti, Jornet, and Oliaro recently considered the nuclearity of isotropic **Beurling-Björk spaces** $\mathcal{S}_{(\omega)}^{(\omega)}$ with respect to the weight function ω .
- Our results deal with more general spaces and provide full characterizations of their nuclearity. This talk is based on:



A. DEBROUWERE, L. NEYT AND J. VINDAS, *The nuclearity of Gelfand–Shilov spaces and kernel theorems*, Collect. Math., in press (DOI: 10.1007/s13348-020-00286-2).

Introduction

The goal of this talk is to characterize when the **Gelfand-Shilov space** $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathcal{W}]}^{[m]}$ is nuclear.

- Gel'fand and Shilov characterized the nuclearity of the space $\mathcal{S}_{(\mathcal{W}),\infty}$.
- For **weight sequences**, the nuclearity of the space $\mathcal{S}_{[A]}^{[M]}$ was shown under (M.1) and (M.2) by Pilipović, Prangoski, and Vindas.
- Boiti, Jornet, and Oliaro recently considered the nuclearity of isotropic **Beurling-Björk spaces** $\mathcal{S}_{(\omega)}^{(\omega)}$ with respect to the weight function ω .
- Our results deal with more general spaces and provide full characterizations of their nuclearity. This talk is based on:



A. DEBROUWERE, L. NEYT AND J. VINDAS, *The nuclearity of Gelfand–Shilov spaces and kernel theorems*, Collect. Math., in press (DOI: 10.1007/s13348-020-00286-2).

Introduction

The goal of this talk is to characterize when the **Gelfand-Shilov space** $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathcal{W}]}^{[m]}$ is nuclear.

- Gel'fand and Shilov characterized the nuclearity of the space $\mathcal{S}_{(\mathcal{W}),\infty}$.
- For **weight sequences**, the nuclearity of the space $\mathcal{S}_{[A]}^{[M]}$ was shown under (M.1) and (M.2) by Pilipović, Prangoski, and Vindas.
- Boiti, Jornet, and Oliaro recently considered the nuclearity of isotropic **Beurling-Björk spaces** $\mathcal{S}_{(\omega)}^{(\omega)}$ with respect to the weight function ω .
- Our results deal with more general spaces and provide full characterizations of their nuclearity. This talk is based on:



A. DEBROUWERE, L. NEYT AND J. VINDAS, *The nuclearity of Gelfand–Shilov spaces and kernel theorems*, Collect. Math., in press (DOI: 10.1007/s13348-020-00286-2).

Nuclear spaces - Definition

Let E and F be locally convex Hausdorff spaces (=lchS).

A linear map $A : E \rightarrow F$ is **nuclear** if there exists

- an equicontinuous sequence $(a_n)_n$ in E' ;
- a sequence $(b_n)_n$ contained in a bounded Banach disk of F ;
- an absolutely summable complex sequence $(\lambda_n)_n$;

such that

$$A(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_n \langle a_n, x \rangle b_n, \quad \forall x \in E.$$

Definition

A lchS E is called **nuclear** if every continuous linear map from E into a Banach space is nuclear.

Nuclear spaces - Definition

Let E and F be locally convex Hausdorff spaces (=lchS).

A linear map $A : E \rightarrow F$ is **nuclear** if there exists

- an equicontinuous sequence $(a_n)_n$ in E' ;
- a sequence $(b_n)_n$ contained in a bounded Banach disk of F ;
- an absolutely summable complex sequence $(\lambda_n)_n$;

such that

$$A(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_n \langle a_n, x \rangle b_n, \quad \forall x \in E.$$

Definition

A lchS E is called **nuclear** if every continuous linear map from E into a Banach space is nuclear.

Nuclear spaces - Properties

Nuclear spaces enjoy several nice properties.

- Nuclear spaces are stable under taking subspaces, Hausdorff quotients, projective and inductive limits, completion, tensor products, ...
- Nuclear spaces are Schwartz.
 - ↪ Every quasi-complete barrelled nuclear space is Montel (i.e. every bounded set is relatively compact).
 - ↪ An infinite dimensional Banach space is not nuclear.
- For a nuclear complete lchS E and any complete lchS F the well-known topologies on $E \otimes F$ coincide. Moreover, the extension of

$$E \otimes F \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_b(E'_b, F) : e \otimes f \mapsto (e' \mapsto \langle e', e \rangle f)$$

gives the canonical isomorphism

$$E \hat{\otimes} F \cong \mathcal{L}_b(E'_b, F)$$

- For example we have $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2})'_b \cong \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1}), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_2})'_b)$, i.e. for any continuous linear $L : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_2})'_b$ there exists a kernel $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2})'$ such that

$$\langle L(\varphi_1), \varphi_2 \rangle = \int f(x, y) \varphi_1(x) \varphi_2(y) dx dy, \quad \varphi_j \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_j}), \quad j = 1, 2$$

Nuclear spaces - Properties

Nuclear spaces enjoy several nice properties.

- Nuclear spaces are stable under taking subspaces, Hausdorff quotients, projective and inductive limits, completion, tensor products, ...
- Nuclear spaces are Schwartz.
 - ↪ Every quasi-complete barrelled nuclear space is Montel (i.e. every bounded set is relatively compact).
 - ↪ An infinite dimensional Banach space is not nuclear.
- For a nuclear complete lchS E and any complete lchS F the well-known topologies on $E \otimes F$ coincide. Moreover, the extension of

$$E \otimes F \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_b(E'_b, F) : \quad e \otimes f \mapsto (e' \mapsto \langle e', e \rangle f)$$

gives the canonical isomorphism

$$E \widehat{\otimes} F \cong \mathcal{L}_b(E'_b, F)$$

- For example we have $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2})'_b \cong \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1}), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_2})'_b)$, i.e. for any continuous linear $L : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_2})'_b$ there exists a kernel $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2})'$ such that

$$\langle L(\varphi_1), \varphi_2 \rangle = \int f(x, y) \varphi_1(x) \varphi_2(y) dx dy, \quad \varphi_j \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_j}), \quad j = 1, 2$$

Nuclear spaces - Properties

Nuclear spaces enjoy several nice properties.

- Nuclear spaces are stable under taking subspaces, Hausdorff quotients, projective and inductive limits, completion, tensor products, ...

- Nuclear spaces are Schwartz.

↪ Every quasi-complete barrelled nuclear space is Montel (i.e. every bounded set is relatively compact).

↪ An infinite dimensional Banach space is not nuclear.

- For a nuclear complete lchS E and any complete lchS F the well-known topologies on $E \otimes F$ coincide. Moreover, the extension of

$$E \otimes F \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_b(E'_b, F) : e \otimes f \mapsto (e' \mapsto \langle e', e \rangle f)$$

gives the canonical isomorphism

$$E \hat{\otimes} F \cong \mathcal{L}_b(E'_b, F)$$

- For example we have $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2})'_b \cong \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1}), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_2})'_b)$, i.e. for any continuous linear $L : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_2})'_b$ there exists a kernel $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2})'$ such that

$$\langle L(\varphi_1), \varphi_2 \rangle = \int f(x, y) \varphi_1(x) \varphi_2(y) dx dy, \quad \varphi_j \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_j}), j = 1, 2$$

Nuclear spaces - Properties

Nuclear spaces enjoy several nice properties.

- Nuclear spaces are stable under taking subspaces, Hausdorff quotients, projective and inductive limits, completion, tensor products, ...
- Nuclear spaces are Schwartz.
 - ↪ Every quasi-complete barrelled nuclear space is Montel (i.e. every bounded set is relatively compact).
 - ↪ An infinite dimensional Banach space is not nuclear.
- For a nuclear complete lchS E and any complete lchS F the well-known topologies on $E \otimes F$ coincide. Moreover, the extension of

$$E \otimes F \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_b(E'_b, F) : e \otimes f \mapsto (e' \mapsto \langle e', e \rangle f)$$

gives the canonical isomorphism

$$E \widehat{\otimes} F \cong \mathcal{L}_b(E'_b, F)$$

- For example we have $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2})'_b \cong \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1}), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_2})'_b)$, i.e. for any continuous linear $L : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_2})'_b$ there exists a kernel $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2})'$ such that

$$\langle L(\varphi_1), \varphi_2 \rangle = \int f(x, y) \varphi_1(x) \varphi_2(y) dx dy, \quad \varphi_j \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_j}), j = 1, 2$$

Nuclear spaces - Properties

Nuclear spaces enjoy several nice properties.

- Nuclear spaces are stable under taking subspaces, Hausdorff quotients, projective and inductive limits, completion, tensor products, ...
- Nuclear spaces are Schwartz.
 - ↪ Every quasi-complete barrelled nuclear space is Montel (i.e. every bounded set is relatively compact).
 - ↪ An infinite dimensional Banach space is not nuclear.
- For a nuclear complete lchS E and any complete lchS F the well-known topologies on $E \otimes F$ coincide. Moreover, the extension of

$$E \otimes F \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_b(E'_b, F) : e \otimes f \mapsto (e' \mapsto \langle e', e \rangle f)$$

gives the canonical isomorphism

$$E \hat{\otimes} F \cong \mathcal{L}_b(E'_b, F)$$

- For example we have $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2})'_b \cong \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1}), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_2})'_b)$, i.e. for any continuous linear $L : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_2})'_b$ there exists a kernel $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2})'$ such that

$$\langle L(\varphi_1), \varphi_2 \rangle = \int f(x, y) \varphi_1(x) \varphi_2(y) dx dy, \quad \varphi_j \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_j}), j = 1, 2$$

Nuclear spaces - Properties

Nuclear spaces enjoy several nice properties.

- Nuclear spaces are stable under taking subspaces, Hausdorff quotients, projective and inductive limits, completion, tensor products, ...
- Nuclear spaces are Schwartz.
 - ↪ Every quasi-complete barrelled nuclear space is Montel (i.e. every bounded set is relatively compact).
 - ↪ An infinite dimensional Banach space is not nuclear.
- For a nuclear complete lchS E and any complete lchS F the well-known topologies on $E \otimes F$ coincide. Moreover, the extension of

$$E \otimes F \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_b(E'_b, F) : \quad e \otimes f \mapsto (e' \mapsto \langle e', e \rangle f)$$

gives the canonical isomorphism

$$E \hat{\otimes} F \cong \mathcal{L}_b(E'_b, F)$$

- For example we have $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2})'_b \cong \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1}), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_2})'_b)$, i.e. for any continuous linear $L : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_2})'_b$ there exists a kernel $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2})'$ such that

$$\langle L(\varphi_1), \varphi_2 \rangle = \int f(x, y) \varphi_1(x) \varphi_2(y) dx dy, \quad \varphi_j \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_j}), \quad j = 1, 2$$

Nuclear spaces - Properties

Nuclear spaces enjoy several nice properties.

- Nuclear spaces are stable under taking subspaces, Hausdorff quotients, projective and inductive limits, completion, tensor products, ...
- Nuclear spaces are Schwartz.
 - ↪ Every quasi-complete barrelled nuclear space is Montel (i.e. every bounded set is relatively compact).
 - ↪ An infinite dimensional Banach space is not nuclear.
- For a nuclear complete lchS E and any complete lchS F the well-known topologies on $E \otimes F$ coincide. Moreover, the extension of

$$E \otimes F \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_b(E'_b, F) : \quad e \otimes f \mapsto (e' \mapsto \langle e', e \rangle f)$$

gives the canonical isomorphism

$$E \widehat{\otimes} F \cong \mathcal{L}_b(E'_b, F)$$

- For example we have $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2})'_b \cong \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1}), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_2})'_b)$, i.e. for any continuous linear $L : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_2})'_b$ there exists a kernel $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2})'$ such that

$$\langle L(\varphi_1), \varphi_2 \rangle = \int f(x, y) \varphi_1(x) \varphi_2(y) dx dy, \quad \varphi_j \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d_j}), \quad j = 1, 2$$

Nuclear spaces - Fréchet and (DF)-spaces

Let E be a lchS and $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be some sequence in E . Then x is called

- **weakly summable** if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle x', x_n \rangle| < \infty$ for all $x' \in E'$
- **absolutely summable** if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(x_n) < \infty$ for every continuous seminorms p on E

Proposition (Grothendieck, 1955)

Let E be a **Fréchet space** or a **(DF)-space**. Then, E is nuclear if and only if every weakly summable sequence in E is absolutely summable.

Nuclear spaces - Fréchet and (DF) -spaces

Let E be a lchS and $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be some sequence in E . Then x is called

- **weakly summable** if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle x', x_n \rangle| < \infty$ for all $x' \in E'$
- **absolutely summable** if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(x_n) < \infty$ for every continuous seminorms p on E

Proposition (Grothendieck, 1955)

Let E be a **Fréchet space** or a **(DF) -space**. Then, E is nuclear if and only if every weakly summable sequence in E is absolutely summable.

Nuclear spaces - Fréchet and (DF) -spaces

Let E be a lchS and $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be some sequence in E . Then x is called

- **weakly summable** if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle x', x_n \rangle| < \infty$ for all $x' \in E'$
- **absolutely summable** if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(x_n) < \infty$ for every continuous seminorms p on E

Proposition (Grothendieck, 1955)

Let E be a **Fréchet space** or a **(DF) -space**. Then, E is nuclear if and only if every weakly summable sequence in E is absolutely summable.

Nuclear spaces - Fréchet and (DF) -spaces

Let E be a lchS and $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be some sequence in E . Then x is called

- **weakly summable** if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle x', x_n \rangle| < \infty$ for all $x' \in E'$
- **absolutely summable** if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(x_n) < \infty$ for every continuous seminorms p on E

Proposition (Grothendieck, 1955)

Let E be a **Fréchet space** or a **(DF) -space**. Then, E is nuclear if and only if every weakly summable sequence in E is absolutely summable.

The Beurling and Roumieu case

Throughout this presentation, we will simultaneously consider the **Beurling** and **Roumieu** cases.

Notation

We use the brackets (\cdot) to denote the Beurling case, while we use $\{\cdot\}$ for the Roumieu case. We employ $[\cdot]$ as a common notation.

We will encounter several conditions which use the indices λ and μ . These should always be preceded by the quantifiers:

- Beurling case: $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+$;
- Roumieu case: $\forall \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Köthe sequence spaces

A family $A = \{(a_j^\lambda)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ of sequences of positive numbers is called a **Köthe set** if $a_j^\lambda \leq a_j^\mu$ when $\mu \leq \lambda$.

For any $q \in [1, \infty]$ we consider the **Köthe sequence space** $\lambda^q[A]$ of all $(c_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ such that

$$\|c_j a_j^\lambda\|_{\ell^q(\mathbb{Z}^d)} < \infty, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ (resp. } \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+)$$

A is said to satisfy **[N]** if $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_j^\lambda / a_j^\mu < \infty$

Proposition

The following are equivalent:

- (i) A satisfies [N]
- (ii) $\lambda^q[A]$ is nuclear for all (resp. for some) $q \in [1, \infty]$
- (iii) $\lambda^q[A] = \lambda^r[A]$ for all $q, r \in [1, \infty]$ (resp. for some $q \neq r$)

Köthe sequence spaces

A family $A = \{(a_j^\lambda)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ of sequences of positive numbers is called a **Köthe set** if $a_j^\lambda \leq a_j^\mu$ when $\mu \leq \lambda$.

For any $q \in [1, \infty]$ we consider the **Köthe sequence space** $\lambda^q[A]$ of all $(c_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ such that

$$\|c_j a_j^\lambda\|_{\ell^q(\mathbb{Z}^d)} < \infty, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ (resp. } \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+)$$

A is said to satisfy **[N]** if $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_j^\lambda / a_j^\mu < \infty$

Proposition

The following are equivalent:

- (i) A satisfies [N]
- (ii) $\lambda^q[A]$ is nuclear for all (resp. for some) $q \in [1, \infty]$
- (iii) $\lambda^q[A] = \lambda^r[A]$ for all $q, r \in [1, \infty]$ (resp. for some $q \neq r$)

Köthe sequence spaces

A family $A = \{(a_j^\lambda)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ of sequences of positive numbers is called a **Köthe set** if $a_j^\lambda \leq a_j^\mu$ when $\mu \leq \lambda$.

For any $q \in [1, \infty]$ we consider the **Köthe sequence space** $\lambda^q[A]$ of all $(c_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ such that

$$\|c_j a_j^\lambda\|_{\ell^q(\mathbb{Z}^d)} < \infty, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ (resp. } \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+)$$

A is said to satisfy **[N]** if $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_j^\lambda / a_j^\mu < \infty$

Proposition

The following are equivalent:

- (i) A satisfies [N]
- (ii) $\lambda^q[A]$ is nuclear for all (resp. for some) $q \in [1, \infty]$
- (iii) $\lambda^q[A] = \lambda^r[A]$ for all $q, r \in [1, \infty]$ (resp. for some $q \neq r$)

Köthe sequence spaces

A family $A = \{(a_j^\lambda)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ of sequences of positive numbers is called a **Köthe set** if $a_j^\lambda \leq a_j^\mu$ when $\mu \leq \lambda$.

For any $q \in [1, \infty]$ we consider the **Köthe sequence space** $\lambda^q[A]$ of all $(c_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ such that

$$\|c_j a_j^\lambda\|_{\ell^q(\mathbb{Z}^d)} < \infty, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ (resp. } \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+)$$

A is said to satisfy **[N]** if $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_j^\lambda / a_j^\mu < \infty$

Proposition

The following are equivalent:

- (i) A satisfies [N]
- (ii) $\lambda^q[A]$ is nuclear for all (resp. for some) $q \in [1, \infty]$
- (iii) $\lambda^q[A] = \lambda^r[A]$ for all $q, r \in [1, \infty]$ (resp. for some $q \neq r$)

Weight function systems

A family $\mathscr{W} = \{w^\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ of positive continuous functions is called a **weight function system** if $1 \leq w^\lambda \leq w^\mu$ when $\mu \leq \lambda$.

We consider the conditions

$$[\text{wM}] \quad \sup_{|y| \leq 1} w^\lambda(x+y) \leq C w^\mu(x)$$

$$[\text{M}] \quad w^\lambda(x+y) \leq C w^\mu(x) w^\mu(y)$$

$$[\text{N}] \quad w^\lambda / w^\mu \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

To \mathscr{W} we associate the **Köthe set** $A_{\mathscr{W}} = \{(w^\lambda(j))_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d}\}$

Proposition

Suppose \mathscr{W} satisfies [wM]. The following are equivalent:

- (i) \mathscr{W} satisfies [N]
- (ii) $A_{\mathscr{W}}$ satisfies [N]

Weight function systems

A family $\mathscr{W} = \{w^\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ of positive continuous functions is called a **weight function system** if $1 \leq w^\lambda \leq w^\mu$ when $\mu \leq \lambda$.

We consider the conditions

$$[\text{wM}] \quad \sup_{|y| \leq 1} w^\lambda(x+y) \leq C w^\mu(x)$$

$$[\text{M}] \quad w^\lambda(x+y) \leq C w^\mu(x) w^\mu(y)$$

$$[\text{N}] \quad w^\lambda / w^\mu \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

To \mathscr{W} we associate the **Köthe set** $A_{\mathscr{W}} = \{(w^\lambda(j))_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d}\}$

Proposition

Suppose \mathscr{W} satisfies [wM]. The following are equivalent:

- (i) \mathscr{W} satisfies [N]
- (ii) $A_{\mathscr{W}}$ satisfies [N]

Weight function systems

A family $\mathcal{W} = \{w^\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ of positive continuous functions is called a **weight function system** if $1 \leq w^\lambda \leq w^\mu$ when $\mu \leq \lambda$.

We consider the conditions

$$[\text{wM}] \quad \sup_{|y| \leq 1} w^\lambda(x+y) \leq C w^\mu(x)$$

$$[\text{M}] \quad w^\lambda(x+y) \leq C w^\mu(x) w^\mu(y)$$

$$[\text{N}] \quad w^\lambda / w^\mu \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

To \mathcal{W} we associate the **Köthe set** $A_{\mathcal{W}} = \{(w^\lambda(j))_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d}\}$

Proposition

Suppose \mathcal{W} satisfies [wM]. The following are equivalent:

- (i) \mathcal{W} satisfies [N]
- (ii) $A_{\mathcal{W}}$ satisfies [N]

Weight sequence systems - Definition

A **weight sequence** $M = (M_\alpha)_\alpha$ is a sequence of positive numbers such that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} M_\alpha^{1/|\alpha|} = \infty$ and for which $M_{\alpha+e_j}^2 \leq M_\alpha M_{\alpha+2e_j}$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$.

A **weight sequence system** $\mathfrak{M} = \{M^\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ is a family of weight sequences such that $M^\lambda \leq M^\mu$ when $\lambda \leq \mu$.

- We consider the following conditions on \mathfrak{M} :

$$\begin{aligned} [L] \quad & \forall L > 0 : L^{|\alpha|} M_\alpha^\mu \leq C M_\alpha^\lambda; \\ [\mathfrak{M}.2]' \quad & \exists H > 0 : M_{\alpha+e_j}^\mu \leq C H^{|\alpha|} M_\alpha^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

- \mathfrak{M} is called **accelerating** if $M_{\alpha+e_j}^\lambda / M_\alpha^\lambda \leq M_{\alpha+e_j}^\mu / M_\alpha^\mu$ when $\lambda \leq \mu$.
- \mathfrak{M} is called **isotropically decomposable** if, after some permutation of the indices, it can be written as

$$\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathfrak{M}_k$$

where for each $\mathfrak{M}_j = \{(M_{j,\alpha}^\lambda)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ it holds that $M_{j,\alpha}^\lambda = M_{j,\beta}^\lambda$ whenever $|\alpha| = |\beta|$.

Weight sequence systems - Definition

A **weight sequence** $M = (M_\alpha)_\alpha$ is a sequence of positive numbers such that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} M_\alpha^{1/|\alpha|} = \infty$ and for which $M_{\alpha+e_j}^2 \leq M_\alpha M_{\alpha+2e_j}$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$.

A **weight sequence system** $\mathfrak{M} = \{M^\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ is a family of weight sequences such that $M^\lambda \leq M^\mu$ when $\lambda \leq \mu$.

- We consider the following conditions on \mathfrak{M} :

$$\begin{aligned} [L] \quad & \forall L > 0 : L^{|\alpha|} M_\alpha^\mu \leq C M_\alpha^\lambda; \\ [\mathfrak{M}.2]' \quad & \exists H > 0 : M_{\alpha+e_j}^\mu \leq C H^{|\alpha|} M_\alpha^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

- \mathfrak{M} is called **accelerating** if $M_{\alpha+e_j}^\lambda / M_\alpha^\lambda \leq M_{\alpha+e_j}^\mu / M_\alpha^\mu$ when $\lambda \leq \mu$.
- \mathfrak{M} is called **isotropically decomposable** if, after some permutation of the indices, it can be written as

$$\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathfrak{M}_k$$

where for each $\mathfrak{M}_j = \{(M_{j,\alpha}^\lambda)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ it holds that $M_{j,\alpha}^\lambda = M_{j,\beta}^\lambda$ whenever $|\alpha| = |\beta|$.

Weight sequence systems - Definition

A **weight sequence** $M = (M_\alpha)_\alpha$ is a sequence of positive numbers such that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} M_\alpha^{1/|\alpha|} = \infty$ and for which $M_{\alpha+e_j}^2 \leq M_\alpha M_{\alpha+2e_j}$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$.

A **weight sequence system** $\mathfrak{M} = \{M^\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ is a family of weight sequences such that $M^\lambda \leq M^\mu$ when $\lambda \leq \mu$.

- We consider the following conditions on \mathfrak{M} :

$$\begin{aligned} [L] \quad & \forall L > 0 : L^{|\alpha|} M_\alpha^\mu \leq C M_\alpha^\lambda; \\ [\mathfrak{M}.2]' \quad & \exists H > 0 : M_{\alpha+e_j}^\mu \leq C H^{|\alpha|} M_\alpha^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

- \mathfrak{M} is called **accelerating** if $M_{\alpha+e_j}^\lambda / M_\alpha^\lambda \leq M_{\alpha+e_j}^\mu / M_\alpha^\mu$ when $\lambda \leq \mu$.
- \mathfrak{M} is called **isotropically decomposable** if, after some permutation of the indices, it can be written as

$$\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathfrak{M}_k$$

where for each $\mathfrak{M}_j = \{(M_{j,\alpha}^\lambda)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ it holds that $M_{j,\alpha}^\lambda = M_{j,\beta}^\lambda$ whenever $|\alpha| = |\beta|$.

Weight sequence systems - Definition

A **weight sequence** $M = (M_\alpha)_\alpha$ is a sequence of positive numbers such that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} M_\alpha^{1/|\alpha|} = \infty$ and for which $M_{\alpha+e_j}^2 \leq M_\alpha M_{\alpha+2e_j}$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$.

A **weight sequence system** $\mathfrak{M} = \{M^\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ is a family of weight sequences such that $M^\lambda \leq M^\mu$ when $\lambda \leq \mu$.

- We consider the following conditions on \mathfrak{M} :

$$\begin{aligned} [L] \quad & \forall L > 0 : L^{|\alpha|} M_\alpha^\mu \leq C M_\alpha^\lambda; \\ [\mathfrak{M}.2]' \quad & \exists H > 0 : M_{\alpha+e_j}^\mu \leq C H^{|\alpha|} M_\alpha^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

- \mathfrak{M} is called **accelerating** if $M_{\alpha+e_j}^\lambda / M_\alpha^\lambda \leq M_{\alpha+e_j}^\mu / M_\alpha^\mu$ when $\lambda \leq \mu$.
- \mathfrak{M} is called **isotropically decomposable** if, after some permutation of the indices, it can be written as

$$\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathfrak{M}_k$$

where for each $\mathfrak{M}_j = \{(M_{j,\alpha}^\lambda)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ it holds that $M_{j,\alpha}^\lambda = M_{j,\beta}^\lambda$ whenever $|\alpha| = |\beta|$.

Weight sequence systems - Definition

A **weight sequence** $M = (M_\alpha)_\alpha$ is a sequence of positive numbers such that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} M_\alpha^{1/|\alpha|} = \infty$ and for which $M_{\alpha+e_j}^2 \leq M_\alpha M_{\alpha+2e_j}$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$.

A **weight sequence system** $\mathfrak{M} = \{M^\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ is a family of weight sequences such that $M^\lambda \leq M^\mu$ when $\lambda \leq \mu$.

- We consider the following conditions on \mathfrak{M} :

$$\begin{aligned} [L] \quad & \forall L > 0 : L^{|\alpha|} M_\alpha^\mu \leq C M_\alpha^\lambda; \\ [\mathfrak{M}.2]' \quad & \exists H > 0 : M_{\alpha+e_j}^\mu \leq C H^{|\alpha|} M_\alpha^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

- \mathfrak{M} is called **accelerating** if $M_{\alpha+e_j}^\lambda / M_\alpha^\lambda \leq M_{\alpha+e_j}^\mu / M_\alpha^\mu$ when $\lambda \leq \mu$.
- \mathfrak{M} is called **isotropically decomposable** if, after some permutation of the indices, it can be written as

$$\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathfrak{M}_k$$

where for each $\mathfrak{M}_j = \{(M_{j,\alpha}^\lambda)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ it holds that $M_{j,\alpha}^\lambda = M_{j,\beta}^\lambda$ whenever $|\alpha| = |\beta|$.

Weight sequence systems - Properties

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ the **associated function** of M^λ is defined as

$$\omega_{M^\lambda}(t) = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \log \frac{|t^\alpha| M_0^\lambda}{M_\alpha^\lambda}$$

Then, $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{M}} = \{\exp \omega_{M^\lambda}(\cdot) : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ is a weight function system.

Proposition

Let \mathfrak{M} be an isotropically decomposable weight sequence system satisfying [L].

(a) $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ satisfies [M].

(b) Consider the statements:

(i) \mathfrak{M} satisfies [M.2]'

(ii) $A_{\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{M}}}$ satisfies [N]

(iii) $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ satisfies [N]

Then, (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii). If \mathfrak{M} is accelerating, then (iii) \Rightarrow (i).

Weight sequence systems - Properties

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ the **associated function** of M^λ is defined as

$$\omega_{M^\lambda}(t) = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \log \frac{|t^\alpha| M_0^\lambda}{M_\alpha^\lambda}$$

Then, $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{M}} = \{\exp \omega_{M^\lambda}(\cdot) : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ is a weight function system.

Proposition

Let \mathfrak{M} be an isotropically decomposable weight sequence system satisfying [L].

- (a) $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ satisfies [M].
- (b) Consider the statements:
 - (i) \mathfrak{M} satisfies $[\mathfrak{M}.2]'$
 - (ii) $A_{\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{M}}}$ satisfies [N]
 - (iii) $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ satisfies [N]

Then, $(i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii)$. If \mathfrak{M} is accelerating, then $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$.

Weight sequence systems - Examples

Weight sequence systems allow us to simultaneously consider different definitions of Gelfand-Shilov spaces such as:

- Via **weight sequences**. Here we put for a weight sequence M :

$$\mathfrak{M}_M = \{(\lambda^{|\alpha|} M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}, \quad \mathscr{W}_M = \{\exp \omega_M(\cdot/\lambda) : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$$

- Via **Braun-Meise-Taylor weight functions** $\omega : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ where we set

$$\mathfrak{M}_\omega = \{(\exp(\frac{1}{\lambda} \phi^*(\lambda|\alpha|)))_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}, \quad \mathscr{W}_\omega = \{\exp(\frac{1}{\lambda} \omega(\cdot)) : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$$

where $\phi^*(y) = \sup_{x \geq 0} (xy - \omega(e^x))$ is the Young conjugate of $\omega(e^x)$.

In this case, we characterized the nuclearity for a larger class of spaces in:



A. DEBROUWERE, L. NEYT AND J. VINDAS, *Characterization of nuclearity for Beurling-Björck spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., in press (DOI: 10.1090/proc/15227).

Weight sequence systems - Examples

Weight sequence systems allow us to simultaneously consider different definitions of Gelfand-Shilov spaces such as:

- Via **weight sequences**. Here we put for a weight sequence M :

$$\mathfrak{M}_M = \{(\lambda^{|\alpha|} M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}, \quad \mathscr{W}_M = \{\exp \omega_M(\cdot/\lambda) : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$$

- Via **Braun-Meise-Taylor weight functions** $\omega : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ where we set

$$\mathfrak{M}_\omega = \{(\exp(\frac{1}{\lambda} \phi^*(\lambda|\alpha|)))_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}, \quad \mathscr{W}_\omega = \{\exp(\frac{1}{\lambda} \omega(\cdot)) : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$$

where $\phi^*(y) = \sup_{x \geq 0} (xy - \omega(e^x))$ is the Young conjugate of $\omega(e^x)$.

In this case, we characterized the nuclearity for a larger class of spaces in:



A. DEBROUWERE, L. NEYT AND J. VINDAS, *Characterization of nuclearity for Beurling-Björck spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., in press (DOI: 10.1090/proc/15227).

Weight sequence systems - Examples

Weight sequence systems allow us to simultaneously consider different definitions of Gelfand-Shilov spaces such as:

- Via **weight sequences**. Here we put for a weight sequence M :

$$\mathfrak{M}_M = \{(\lambda^{|\alpha|} M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}, \quad \mathscr{W}_M = \{\exp \omega_M(\cdot/\lambda) : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$$

- Via **Braun-Meise-Taylor weight functions** $\omega : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ where we set

$$\mathfrak{M}_\omega = \{(\exp(\frac{1}{\lambda} \phi^*(\lambda|\alpha|)))_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}, \quad \mathscr{W}_\omega = \{\exp(\frac{1}{\lambda} \omega(\cdot)) : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$$

where $\phi^*(y) = \sup_{x \geq 0} (xy - \omega(e^x))$ is the Young conjugate of $\omega(e^x)$.

In this case, we characterized the nuclearity for a larger class of spaces in:



A. DEBROUWERE, L. NEYT AND J. VINDAS, *Characterization of nuclearity for Beurling-Björck spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., in press (DOI: 10.1090/proc/15227).

Weight sequence systems - Examples

Weight sequence systems allow us to simultaneously consider different definitions of Gelfand-Shilov spaces such as:

- Via **weight sequences**. Here we put for a weight sequence M :

$$\mathfrak{M}_M = \{(\lambda^{|\alpha|} M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}, \quad \mathscr{W}_M = \{\exp \omega_M(\cdot/\lambda) : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$$

- Via **Braun-Meise-Taylor weight functions** $\omega : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ where we set

$$\mathfrak{M}_\omega = \{(\exp(\frac{1}{\lambda} \phi^*(\lambda|\alpha|)))_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}, \quad \mathscr{W}_\omega = \{\exp(\frac{1}{\lambda} \omega(\cdot)) : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$$

where $\phi^*(y) = \sup_{x \geq 0} (xy - \omega(e^x))$ is the Young conjugate of $\omega(e^x)$.

In this case, we characterized the nuclearity for a larger class of spaces in:



A. DEBROUWERE, L. NEYT AND J. VINDAS, *Characterization of nuclearity for Beurling-Björck spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., in press (DOI: 10.1090/proc/15227).

Gelfand-Shilov spaces

For a weight sequence system \mathfrak{M} , weight function system \mathscr{W} and $q \in [1, \infty]$ we define $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ as the space of all $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t.

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}} = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{1}{M_{|\alpha|}^\lambda} \|\varphi^{(\alpha)} w^\lambda\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \infty, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ (resp. } \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+)$$

Theorem 1 (Debrouwere, N. and Vindas, 2020)

Let \mathfrak{M} satisfy [L] and $[\mathfrak{M}.2]'$, \mathscr{W} satisfy [wM] and suppose $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} \neq \{0\}$ for some $q \in [1, \infty]$. Consider the statements

- (i) \mathscr{W} satisfies [N]
- (ii) $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}]}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} = \mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} = \mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],r}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ as locally convex spaces for all $q, r \in [1, \infty]$
- (iii) $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} = \mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],r}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ as vector spaces for some $q, r \in [1, \infty]$ with $q \neq r$

Then, (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii).

If in addition \mathscr{W} satisfies [M], then also (iii) \Rightarrow (i).

Gelfand-Shilov spaces

For a weight sequence system \mathfrak{M} , weight function system \mathscr{W} and $q \in [1, \infty]$ we define $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ as the space of all $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t.

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}} = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{1}{M_{|\alpha|}^\lambda} \|\varphi^{(\alpha)} w^\lambda\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \infty, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ (resp. } \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+)$$

Theorem 1 (Debrouwere, N. and Vindas, 2020)

Let \mathfrak{M} satisfy [L] and $[\mathfrak{M}.2]'$, \mathscr{W} satisfy [wM] and suppose $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} \neq \{0\}$ for some $q \in [1, \infty]$. Consider the statements

- (i) \mathscr{W} satisfies [N]
- (ii) $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}]}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} = \mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} = \mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],r}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ as **locally convex spaces** for all $q, r \in [1, \infty]$
- (iii) $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} = \mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],r}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ as **vector spaces** for some $q, r \in [1, \infty]$ with $q \neq r$

Then, (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii).

If in addition \mathscr{W} satisfies [M], then also (iii) \Rightarrow (i).

Sufficient conditions for nuclearity

Theorem 2 (Debrouwere, N. and Vindas, 2020)

Let \mathfrak{M} satisfy [L] and $[\mathfrak{M}.2]'$ and \mathscr{W} satisfy [wM] and [N].

Then, $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ is nuclear for all $q \in [1, \infty]$.

Proof: By Theorem 1 it suffices to show $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],\infty}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ is nuclear.

Let $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],\infty}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ be weakly summable, then one shows

$$\forall \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ \ (\exists \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+) : \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{M_\alpha^\mu} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\varphi_n^{(\alpha)}(x)| w^\mu(x) < \infty$$

Then one finds for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+$ (for any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$) s.t.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\varphi_n\|_{\mathcal{S}_{w^\lambda,1}^{M^\lambda}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{1}{2^{|\alpha|} M_\alpha^\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\varphi_n^{(\alpha)}(x)| w^\lambda(x) dx = O\left(\|w^\lambda/w^\mu\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}\right)$$

Then $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is absolutely summable in $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],1}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} = \mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],\infty}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$.

Sufficient conditions for nuclearity

Theorem 2 (Debrouwere, N. and Vindas, 2020)

Let \mathfrak{M} satisfy [L] and $[\mathfrak{M}.2]'$ and \mathscr{W} satisfy [wM] and [N].

Then, $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ is nuclear for all $q \in [1, \infty]$.

Proof: By Theorem 1 it suffices to show $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],\infty}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ is nuclear.

Let $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],\infty}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ be weakly summable, then one shows

$$\forall \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ \ (\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+) : \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{M_\alpha^\mu} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\varphi_n^{(\alpha)}(x)| w^\mu(x) < \infty$$

Then one finds for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+$ (for any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$) s.t.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\varphi_n\|_{\mathcal{S}_{w^\lambda,1}^{M^\lambda}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{1}{2^{|\alpha|} M_\alpha^\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\varphi_n^{(\alpha)}(x)| w^\lambda(x) dx = O\left(\|w^\lambda/w^\mu\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}\right)$$

Then $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is absolutely summable in $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],1}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} = \mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],\infty}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$.

Sufficient conditions for nuclearity

Theorem 2 (Debrouwere, N. and Vindas, 2020)

Let \mathfrak{M} satisfy [L] and $[\mathfrak{M}.2]'$ and \mathscr{W} satisfy [wM] and [N].

Then, $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ is nuclear for all $q \in [1, \infty]$.

Proof: By Theorem 1 it suffices to show $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],\infty}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ is nuclear.

Let $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],\infty}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ be **weakly summable**, then one shows

$$\forall \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ \ (\exists \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+) : \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{M_\alpha^\mu} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\varphi_n^{(\alpha)}(x)| w^\mu(x) < \infty$$

Then one finds for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+$ (for any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$) s.t.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\varphi_n\|_{\mathcal{S}_{w^\lambda,1}^{M^\lambda}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{1}{2^{|\alpha|} M_\alpha^\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\varphi_n^{(\alpha)}(x)| w^\lambda(x) dx = O\left(\|w^\lambda/w^\mu\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}\right)$$

Then $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is **absolutely summable** in $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],1}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} = \mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],\infty}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$.

Sufficient conditions for nuclearity

Theorem 2 (Debrouwere, N. and Vindas, 2020)

Let \mathfrak{M} satisfy [L] and $[\mathfrak{M}.2]'$ and \mathscr{W} satisfy [wM] and [N].

Then, $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ is nuclear for all $q \in [1, \infty]$.

Proof: By Theorem 1 it suffices to show $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],\infty}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ is nuclear.

Let $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],\infty}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ be **weakly summable**, then one shows

$$\forall \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ \ (\exists \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+) : \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{M_\alpha^\mu} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\varphi_n^{(\alpha)}(x)| w^\mu(x) < \infty$$

Then one finds for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+$ (for any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$) s.t.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\varphi_n\|_{\mathcal{S}_{w^\lambda,1}^{M^\lambda}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{1}{2^{|\alpha|} M_\alpha^\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\varphi_n^{(\alpha)}(x)| w^\lambda(x) dx = O\left(\|w^\lambda/w^\mu\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}\right)$$

Then $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is **absolutely summable** in $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],1}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} = \mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],\infty}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$.

Necessary conditions for nuclearity

Lemma (Petzsche, 1978)

Let A be a Köthe set. Let E be a lchS s.t. E is nuclear (E'_b is nuclear). Suppose the following diagram of continuous functions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lambda^1[A] & \xrightarrow{T} & E \\ & \searrow \iota & \downarrow S \\ & & \lambda^\infty[A] \end{array}$$

commutes, then $\lambda^1[A]$ is nuclear.

To get necessary conditions for nuclearity: find continuous embeddings such that the diagram commutes in the case of $A = A_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $A = A_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}}$.

Necessary conditions for nuclearity

Lemma (Petzsche, 1978)

Let A be a Köthe set. Let E be a lchS s.t. E is nuclear (E'_b is nuclear). Suppose the following diagram of continuous functions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lambda^1[A] & \xrightarrow{T} & E \\ & \searrow \iota & \downarrow S \\ & & \lambda^\infty[A] \end{array}$$

commutes, then $\lambda^1[A]$ is nuclear.

To get necessary conditions for nuclearity: find continuous embeddings such that the diagram commutes in the case of $A = A_{\mathcal{W}}$ and $A = A_{\mathcal{W}\mathfrak{M}}$.

Characterization of nuclearity - General

The nuclearity of the Gelfand-Shilov spaces $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ may now be characterized as follows :

Theorem 3 (Debrouwere, N. and Vindas, 2020)

Let \mathfrak{M} be an isotropically decomposable accelerating weight sequence system satisfying [L].

Let \mathscr{W} be a weight function system satisfying [M].

Suppose that $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} \neq \{0\}$ for some $q \in [1, \infty]$.

The following are equivalent:

- (i) \mathfrak{M} satisfies $[\mathfrak{M}.2]'$ and \mathscr{W} satisfies [N]
- (ii) $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ is nuclear for **some** $q \in [1, \infty]$
- (iii) $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ is nuclear for **all** $q \in [1, \infty]$

Characterization of nuclearity - Fixed \mathfrak{M}

If we fix a weight sequence \mathfrak{M} , our result becomes:

Theorem 4 (Debrouwere, N. and Vindas, 2020)

Let \mathfrak{M} be a weight sequence system satisfying [L] and $[\mathfrak{M}.2]'$.

Let \mathscr{W} be a weight function system satisfying [M].

Suppose that $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} \neq \{0\}$ for some $q \in [1, \infty]$.

The following are equivalent:

- (i) \mathscr{W} satisfies [N]
- (ii) $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ is nuclear for **some** $q \in [1, \infty]$
- (iii) $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ is nuclear for **all** $q \in [1, \infty]$
- (iii) $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} = \mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],r}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ as **locally convex spaces** for all $q, r \in [1, \infty]$
- (iv) $\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],q}^{[\mathfrak{M}]} = \mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}],r}^{[\mathfrak{M}]}$ as **vector spaces** for some $q, r \in [1, \infty]$ with $q \neq r$

Application - Projective description

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{V}(\mathscr{W}) &= \{w : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \text{ is upper semicontinuous such that} \\ &\quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} w(x)/w^\lambda(x) < \infty, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\} \\ \overline{V}(\mathfrak{M}) &= \{M = (M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}^d} \text{ such that } \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} M_\alpha^\lambda / M_\alpha < \infty, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5 (Debrouwere, N. and Vindas, 2020)

Let \mathfrak{M} satisfy [L] and $[\mathfrak{M}.2]'$ and \mathscr{W} satisfy [wM] and [N].
Then,

$$\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{\{\mathscr{W}\}}^{\{\mathfrak{M}\}} \iff \sup_{(\alpha, x) \in \mathbb{N}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\varphi^{(\alpha)}(x)| w(x)}{M_\alpha} < \infty, \quad \forall w \in \overline{V}(\mathscr{W}), M \in \overline{V}(\mathfrak{M})$$

Moreover, the topology of $\mathcal{S}_{\{\mathscr{W}\}}^{\{\mathfrak{M}\}}$ is generated by the latter seminorms.

Application - Projective description

Let

$$\overline{V}(\mathscr{W}) = \{w : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \text{ is upper semicontinuous such that } \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} w(x)/w^\lambda(x) < \infty, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$$
$$\overline{V}(\mathfrak{M}) = \{M = (M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}^d} \text{ such that } \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} M_\alpha^\lambda / M_\alpha < \infty, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$$

Theorem 5 (Debrouwere, N. and Vindas, 2020)

Let \mathfrak{M} satisfy [L] and $[\mathfrak{M}.2]'$ and \mathscr{W} satisfy [wM] and [N].

Then,

$$\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{\{\mathscr{W}\}}^{\{\mathfrak{M}\}} \iff \sup_{(\alpha, x) \in \mathbb{N}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\varphi^{(\alpha)}(x)| w(x)}{M_\alpha} < \infty, \quad \forall w \in \overline{V}(\mathscr{W}), M \in \overline{V}(\mathfrak{M})$$

Moreover, the topology of $\mathcal{S}_{\{\mathscr{W}\}}^{\{\mathfrak{M}\}}$ is generated by the latter seminorms.

Theorem 6 (Debrouwere, N. and Vindas, 2020)

Let \mathfrak{M}_j be a weight sequence system on \mathbb{N}^{d_j} satisfying [L] and $[\mathfrak{M}.2]'$. ($j=1,2$)

Let \mathscr{W}_j be a weight function systems on \mathbb{R}^{d_j} satisfying [wM] and [N]. ($j=1,2$)

Then,

$$\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}_1 \otimes \mathscr{W}_2]}^{[\mathfrak{M}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{M}_2]}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2}) \cong \mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}_1]}^{[\mathfrak{M}_1]}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1}) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}_2]}^{[\mathfrak{M}_2]}(\mathbb{R}^{d_2}) \cong \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}_1]}^{[\mathfrak{M}_1]}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1})'_b, \mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}_2]}^{[\mathfrak{M}_2]}(\mathbb{R}^{d_2}))$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}_1 \otimes \mathscr{W}_2]}^{[\mathfrak{M}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{M}_2]}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1+d_2})'_b \cong \mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}_1]}^{[\mathfrak{M}_1]}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1})'_b \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}_2]}^{[\mathfrak{M}_2]}(\mathbb{R}^{d_2})'_b \cong \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}_1]}^{[\mathfrak{M}_1]}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1}), \mathcal{S}_{[\mathscr{W}_2]}^{[\mathfrak{M}_2]}(\mathbb{R}^{d_2})'_b)$$