

CHARACTERIZATION OF THE FREE σ -HOMOTOPY GROUPS
OF COXETER COMPLEXES

H. Van Maldeghem \star
communicated by J.A. Thas

J. Tits introduced in his work on local characterisations of buildings [4] the notion of a σ -homotopy group of an arbitrary chamber system. In [6], we gave a method to calculate some σ -homotopy groups for arbitrary Coxeter complexes. The purpose of this paper is to give a criterion whether such a group is free.

INTRODUCTION

We can conceive any Coxeter complex as a connected chamber system Σ of rank n , for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ (for general definitions see Tits [4] and Ronan [2]). Let $\Delta = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\sigma \subseteq 2^\Delta$, then we call two galleries γ and γ' elementary σ -homotopic (notation: $\gamma \stackrel{e}{\sim} \gamma'$) if and only if they can be written as the juxtaposition of three galleries $\gamma = \alpha\delta\beta$ and $\gamma' = \alpha\delta'\beta$ where α and δ , resp. α and δ' are i -adjacent and δ and β , resp. δ' and β are j -adjacent ($i, j \in \Delta$, α and/or possibly empty) and δ and δ' lie in a same cell of cotype J , $J \in \sigma$ and have the same extremity chambers. Two galleries

\star The author's research was supported by the National Fund for Scientific Research (Belgium)

γ and γ' are called σ -homotopic if and only if they can be connected by a sequence of elementary σ -homotopics (notation $\gamma \stackrel{\sigma}{=} \gamma'$).

Let us denote by $[\gamma]_{\sigma}$ the σ -homotopy class of galleries containing γ . Then by definition $\pi^{\sigma}(\Sigma)$, the σ -homotopy group of Σ , is the group whose elements are all σ -homotopy classes of galleries of Σ based at a chamber c of Σ (which means : galleries with extremity chambers the chamber c) and with binary operation $[\alpha]_{\sigma}[\beta]_{\sigma}=[\alpha\beta]_{\sigma}$ ($\alpha\beta$ is the juxtaposition of α and β). This group is un-
independant on the choice of the chamber c (Σ is connected) (see also [2] and [4]).

DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

We denote always the cardinality of a set A by $|A|$.
By Σ_n , we denote always a Coxeter complex of rank n , $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Its diagram is in fact a set $\Delta_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ together with a map $f: (\Delta_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$. We denote this diagram also by Δ_n . If $J \subseteq \Delta_n$, we denote by J the set J , and by $J \cap \Delta_n$ the diagram with set J and map $f / \binom{J}{2}: \binom{J}{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$.

If Σ_n is a Coxeter complex (reducible or not) of rank n , $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, with diagram Δ_n , then Σ_n^J is the set of flags of type J of Σ_n , $J \subseteq \Delta_n$ and $\Sigma_n^{(i)}$ is the set of flags of

rank i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence $|\Sigma_n^{\Delta_n}| = |\Sigma_n^{(n)}|$ is the number of chambers of Σ_n . Since a Coxeter complex Σ_n of rank n

defines a triangulation of the hypersphere $S^{n-1} =$

$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n \mid \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 = 1\}$, we have by the

Euler-Poincaré formula the equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k |\Sigma_n^{(n-k)}| = \sum_{J \subseteq \Delta_n} (-1)^{|J|} |\Sigma_n^{\Delta_n - J}| = 1$$

If $J \subseteq \Delta_n$, then we denote by Σ_J the Coxeter complex of rank $|J|$ and with diagram $J \cap \Delta_n$ and we notice the equation (see also [6]) :

$$(E) \quad |\Sigma_n^{\Delta_n - J}| \cdot |\Sigma_J^{J-L}| = |\Sigma_n^{\Delta_n - L}| \quad L \subseteq J \subseteq \Delta_n$$

Suppose now $\Delta_n \subseteq \sigma' \subseteq 2^{\Delta_n}$, then it follows by [6] (remark 2) that there exists σ , $\Delta_n \subseteq \sigma \subseteq \Delta_n \cup \binom{\Delta_n}{2}$ and

$\pi^{\sigma'}(\Sigma_n) = \pi^\sigma(\Sigma_n)$. ($\{i, j\} \in \sigma \Rightarrow \{i, j\} \subseteq K \in \sigma'$). So we consider only σ with $\Delta_n \subseteq \sigma \subseteq \Delta_n \cup \binom{\Delta_n}{2}$. But then we can conceive σ as a linear graph $(\Delta_n, \sigma - \Delta_n)$, which we denote by $\bar{\sigma}$, and we define $\bar{\sigma}^* = \text{flag } \sigma$. If E is the set of simplices of $\bar{\sigma}^*$, then we denote $\sigma^* = E \cup \emptyset$.

If $\sigma = \Delta_n$, then $\pi^\sigma(\Sigma_n)$ is a free group with rank

$$1 - \sum_n \binom{n}{n} + \sum_n \binom{n-1}{n}$$

(see [6]) and we denote this rank by $\chi(\Sigma_n)$, which is in fact the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of the chambergraph of Σ_n (see [6]).

Finally, we define the family \bar{S} of linear graphs with set of vertices Δ_n , for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ inductively as follows :

- 1) Each complete linear graph belongs to \bar{S}

2) If two linear graphs of the class \bar{S} have a common complete subgraph as intersection, or have an empty intersection, then their union belongs to \bar{S} . From theorem 2 in [6], it follows that if $\bar{\sigma}$ is a complete subgraph, then $\pi^\sigma(\Sigma_n)$ is trivial, (and hence free). From theorem 4 in [6], it follows that if $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$ and $\bar{\sigma}_1, \bar{\sigma}_2 \in \bar{S}$, $\overline{\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2}$ is a complete subgraph, then $\pi^\sigma(\Sigma_n)$ is a free group. Hence $\bar{\sigma} \in \bar{S}$ is a sufficient condition in order that $\pi^\sigma(\Sigma_n)$ is a free group. The purpose of this paper is to prove that it is also necessary. Thus we have to prove :

THEOREM : $\bar{\sigma} \in \bar{S} \Leftrightarrow \pi^\sigma(\Sigma_n)$ is a free group. In this case, the rank of the free group is given by

$$1 - \sum_{J \in \sigma^+} (-1)^{|J|} \left| \Sigma_n^{\Delta_n - J} \right| \quad (1)$$

Suppose we have proved the first part of the theorem. Then by remark 3 of [6] we know that the rank of $\pi^\sigma(\Sigma_n)$, $\bar{\sigma} \in \bar{S}$, is

$$\chi(\Sigma_n) - \sum_{\substack{J \in \sigma^+ \\ |J| \geq 2}} (-1)^{|J|} \left| \Sigma_n^{\Delta_n - J} \right|$$

Applying the definition of $\chi(\Sigma_n)$, we obtain (1). So the second part of the theorem follows from the first part. To prove the theorem, we need some lemma's.

LEMMA 1. Suppose $\Delta_n \subseteq \sigma \subseteq \Delta_n \cup \binom{\Delta_n}{2}$ and suppose $\#J \subseteq \Delta_n$ with
 $|J| > 3$ such that $\binom{J}{2} \cap \sigma$ is a cycle of length $|J|$ (or
 $\bar{\sigma}$ contains no minimal circuit of length $m > 3$). Then
we have $\bar{\sigma} \in \bar{S}$.

PROOF.

If $n=1$, the result follows immediately (also for $n=2,3$) since there exist no cycles of length $m, m > 3$ in this case, and each linear graph with set of vertices Δ_1, Δ_2 or Δ_3 belongs to S .

We complete the proof by induction.

Let $n > 1$ be arbitrary. Assume $\bar{\sigma}$ is not connected.

Then $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$ with $(\cup \sigma_i) \neq \emptyset, i=1,2$ and $(\cup \sigma_1) \cap (\cup \sigma_2) = \emptyset$.

It is clear that neither $\bar{\sigma}_1$ nor $\bar{\sigma}_2$ contains a minimal circuit of length $m > 3$. By induction we have $\bar{\sigma}_1, \bar{\sigma}_2 \in \bar{S}$

and since their sets of vertices are disjoint, $\overline{\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2} = \bar{\sigma} \in \bar{S}$.

Let σ be connected. We denote by G_K the complete graph with as set of vertices $K \subseteq \Delta_n$. Let G_J be a maximal complete subgraph of $\bar{\sigma}$. We define for each $X \subseteq J$

$$Q_X = \{t \in \Delta_n - J \mid t \sim x, \forall x \in X \text{ and } t \not\sim y, \forall y \in J - X\}$$

where \sim denotes the adjacency relation in the graph $\bar{\sigma}$.

Notice that $Q_J = \emptyset$, otherwise $G_{J \cup Q_J}$ is a greater complete subgraph than G_J .

Suppose $X_1 - X_2 \neq \emptyset, X_2 - X_1 \neq \emptyset, X_1, X_2 \subseteq J$, and $Q_{X_1}, Q_{X_2} \neq \emptyset$. Take $x_1 \in Q_{X_1}, x_2 \in Q_{X_2}$ and suppose $x_1 \sim x_2$.

Then $x_1 \sim t_1 \in X_1 - X_2$ and $x_2 \sim t_2 \in X_2 - X_1$, so $x_1 \sim t_1 \sim t_2 \sim x_2 \sim x_1$

is a cycle of length 4 since $t_1 \not\sim x_2, t_2 \not\sim x_1$. Hence $x_1 \not\sim x_2$

We define now for each $X \subseteq J$

(1)

$$R_X = \begin{cases} \phi, & \text{if } (\exists Y \subseteq J) ((X \subset Y) \text{ and } (Q_Y \neq \phi)) \\ Q_X \cup \{t \in \Delta_n - J \mid (\exists Y \subset X) (t \in Q_Y) \text{ and } ((\exists x \in Q_X) (t \sim x))\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From that definition, it follows that if $Q_X = \phi$, then $R_X = \phi$.

Suppose $R_{X_1}, R_{X_2} \neq \phi$ and $X_1 \subsetneq X_2$, then $Q_{X_1} \neq \phi$ and so $R_{X_2} = \phi$ and

we conclude that $X_1 - X_2 \neq \phi$ and $X_2 - X_1 \neq \phi$. Suppose now

$R_{X_1} \cap R_{X_2} \neq \phi$. Then $\exists z \in R_{X_1} \cap R_{X_2}$. If $z \in Q_{X_1}$, then $z \notin R_{X_2}$ since

$X_1 \not\subset X_2$, thus $z \in Q_Y$ with $Y \subset X_1 \cap X_2$ and $\exists x_1, x_2$ with $x_1 \in Q_{X_1}$

and $x_2 \in Q_{X_2}$ such that $x_1 \sim z \sim x_2$. Take $t_1 \sim x_1, t_2 \sim x_2$; $t_1 \in X_1 - Y$,

$t_2 \in X_2 - Y$, then $t_1 \sim x_1 \sim z \sim x_2 \sim t_2 \sim t_1$ is a cycle of length 5,

since $t_1 \not\sim z \not\sim t_2$ ($t_1, t_2 \notin Y$), $x_1 \not\sim x_2$ (by (1)), $x_1 \not\sim t_2$ and

$x_2 \not\sim t_1$. Thus $R_{X_1} \cap R_{X_2} = \phi$. Suppose now that $x_1 \in R_{X_1}, x_2 \in R_{X_2}$

and $x_1 \sim x_2$. Assume first $x_1 \in Q_{X_1}$, then $x_2 \notin Q_{X_2}$ and thus

$(\exists Y \subset X_2) (Y \not\subset X_1) (x_2 \in Q_Y)$. If $t_1 \in X_1 - X_2, t_2 \in Y - X_1$, then

$t_1 \sim x_1 \sim x_2 \sim t_2 \sim t_1$ is a cycle of length 4, since $t_1 \not\sim x_2$

and $x_1 \not\sim t_2$. Assume now $x_1 \notin Q_{X_1}$, then for the same reason

$x_2 \notin Q_{X_2}$ and we have $(\exists y_1 \sim x_1) (y_1 \in Q_{X_1})$ and $(\exists y_2 \sim x_2) (y_2 \in Q_{X_2})$.

If $t_1 \in X_1 - X_2, t_2 \in X_2 - X_1$, then $t_1 \sim y_1 \sim x_1 \sim x_2 \sim y_2 \sim t_2 \sim t_1$ is

a cycle of length 6. We conclude $x_1 \not\sim x_2$ (2).

We define : $\lambda = \{X \subseteq J \mid R_X \neq \phi\}$ and for each $X \in \lambda$

$S_X = \{t \in \Delta_n - J \mid \exists \text{ gallery } \gamma \text{ whose extremity vertices}$

are t and t_0 for some $t_0 \in R_X$ and with

$\gamma \subseteq \Delta_n - J\}$

Notice that $J \notin \lambda$.

Suppose $X_1, X_2 \in \lambda$, then we prove that no element of S_{X_1} is adjacent to any element of S_{X_2} (or that $S_{X_1} \neq S_{X_2}$). Suppose therefore $x_1 \in S_{X_1}, x_2 \in S_{X_2}$, $x_1 \sim x_2$. Let γ_i be a gallery of minimal length between R_{X_i} and x_i , $i=1,2$. We proceed by induction on $k = |\gamma_1| + |\gamma_2| - 2$. If $k=0$, then $x_i \in R_{X_i}$, $i=1,2$ and we proved this in (2).

Suppose now $k > 0$. By induction, no element of γ_1 is adjacent to any element of γ_2 , except $x_1 \sim x_2$. Let $t_1 \in X_1 - X_2$, $t_2 \in X_2 - X_1$ then $t_1 \sim \gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2 \sim t_2 \sim t_1$ is a cycle of length $k+4 > 4$, so $x_1 \not\sim x_2$ (3).

To complete the proof, we concieve two cases :

1) $|\lambda| = 0$ Then $\sigma = \Delta_n \cup \binom{\Delta_n}{2}$ since $\bar{\sigma}$ is connected and $\bar{\sigma} \in \bar{S}$.

2) $|\lambda| > 0$. Suppose $X_0 \in \lambda$, $X_0 \neq J$ since $J \notin \lambda$. We denote by

$\bar{\sigma}/_P$, where $P \subseteq \Delta_n$, the restriction of $\bar{\sigma}$ to P (Thus

$\bar{\sigma}/_P$ is the graph $(P, \sigma \cap \binom{P}{2})$). If $\bar{\sigma}_1 = \bar{\sigma}/_{X_0 \cup S_{X_0}}$ and

$\bar{\sigma}_2 = \bar{\sigma}/_{\Delta_n - S_{X_0}}$. Since $X_0 \neq J$, $X_0 \cup S_{X_0} \neq \Delta_n$ and since $S_{X_0} \neq \emptyset$, $\Delta_n - S_{X_0} \neq \Delta_n$,

so we can apply induction : $\bar{\sigma}_1 \in \bar{S}$, $\bar{\sigma}_2 \in \bar{S}$. But we have :

$\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2 = \binom{X_0}{2}$ and $\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2 = \sigma$ since no element of S_{X_0} is

adjacent to any element of $\Delta_n - (X_0 \cup S_{X_0})$. We conclude

$\bar{\sigma} \in \bar{S}$. ■

LEMMA 2. Let v be an idempotent endomorphism of the Coxetercomplex Σ_n with diagram Δ_n , then for each σ ,

$\Delta_n \subseteq \sigma \subseteq \Delta_n$ $\binom{\Delta_n}{2}$ we have :

$$\pi^\sigma(v(\Sigma_n)) \leq \pi^\sigma(\Sigma_n)$$

PROOF. It is sufficient to prove that $([\gamma]_{\sigma}=[C]_{\sigma}$ in $v(\Sigma)) \Leftrightarrow ([\gamma]_{\sigma}=[C]_{\sigma}$ in $\Sigma_n)$, with γ a gallery in $v(\Sigma_n)$ based at some chamber C in $v(\Sigma_n)$.

- If $[\gamma]_{\sigma}=[C]_{\sigma}$ in $v(\Sigma_n)$, then of course $[\gamma]_{\sigma}=[C]_{\sigma}$ in Σ_n
- If $[\gamma]_{\sigma}=[C]_{\sigma}$ in Σ_n , then there is a sequence

$$[\gamma]_{\sigma} \stackrel{\cong}{=} [\delta_1]_{\sigma} \stackrel{\cong}{=} [\delta_2]_{\sigma} \stackrel{\cong}{=} \dots \stackrel{\cong}{=} [\delta_1]_{\sigma} \stackrel{\cong}{=} [C]_{\sigma}.$$

But then we have

$$[\gamma]_{\sigma} \stackrel{\cong}{=} [v(\gamma)]_{\sigma} \stackrel{\cong}{=} [v(\delta_1)]_{\sigma} \stackrel{\cong}{=} \dots \stackrel{\cong}{=} [v(\delta_1)]_{\sigma} \stackrel{\cong}{=} [v(c)]_{\sigma} = [c]_{\sigma}.$$

and so $[\gamma]_{\sigma} \stackrel{\cong}{=} [c]_{\sigma}$ in $v(\Sigma_n)$ or $[\gamma]_{\sigma}=[c]_{\sigma}$ in $v(\Sigma_n)$. ■

PROPOSITION 1. If $J \subseteq \Delta_n$, $\Delta_n \subseteq \sigma \subseteq \Delta_n \cup \binom{\Delta_n}{2}$, and $\bar{\sigma}' = \bar{\sigma}/J$, then we have

$$\pi^{\sigma'}(\Sigma_J) \leq \pi^{\sigma}(\Sigma_n).$$

PROOF. Σ_J is a convex subcomplex of Σ_n and contains at least one chamber. So by theorem 2.19 pp.24-25 [5], Σ_J is the image of an idempotent endomorphism. But, since no i -adjacent chambers appear in Σ_J , for $i \in \Delta_n - J$, we can restrict $\bar{\sigma}$ to J and so the result follows by lemma 2. ■

LEMMA 3. If Σ_n is a Coxeter complex of rank n with diagram Δ_n , and $\bar{\sigma}$ is a circuit of length n , $n \geq 3$, then $\pi^{\sigma}(\Sigma_n)$ is the fundamental group of an orientable surface without boundary. Moreover, $\sigma^* = \sigma \cup \{\phi\}$ for $n > 3$, and we have

$n=3$: $\pi^\sigma(\Sigma_3)$ is the trivial group of one element

$n>3$: $\pi^\sigma(\Sigma_n)$ is the fundamental group of an orientable surface with $1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{J \in \sigma^*} (-1)^{|J|} \binom{\Delta_n - J}{|\Sigma_n|} \right) (\neq 0)$ handles.

PROOF. We define the 2-dimensional complex $\Gamma_\sigma(\Sigma_n)$ (for general definition see Ronan [2]). The vertices of $\Gamma_\sigma(\Sigma_n)$ are the chambers of Σ_n , the cells of codimension 1, and the cells of cotype $\{i,j\}$, where $\{i,j\} \in \sigma$. In this proof c and c_i , $i \in N$ denote always chambers; s and s_i , $i \in N$ denote always cells of codimension 1, and t and t_i , $i \in N$ denote always cells of cotype $\{i,j\}$, $\{i,j\} \in \sigma$. Then we define :

$$\{c, s\} \in \Gamma_\sigma(\Sigma_n) \iff c \in s$$

$$\{c, t\} \in \Gamma_\sigma(\Sigma_n) \iff c \in t$$

$$\{t, s\} \in \Gamma_\sigma(\Sigma_n) \iff s \subseteq t$$

$$(c, s, t) \in \Gamma_\sigma(\Sigma_n) \iff c \in s \subseteq t$$

We claim that $\Gamma_\sigma(\Sigma_n)$ is a triangulation of an orientable surface. We first prove that every 1-simplex (i.e. every edge) lies in exactly two 2-simplices. There are three cases :

1) $\{c, s\} \in \Gamma_\sigma(\Sigma_n)$ with $c \in s$, $\text{cotyps} = i \in \Delta_n$. Then, there are exactly two 2-sets of σ which contain i . So we have $\{i, j_1\}$, $\{i, j_2\} \in \sigma$. By definition of a chamber system, s is in exactly one cell of each cotype.

2) $\{c, t\} \in \Gamma_\sigma(\Sigma_n)$, thus $c \in t$. Suppose $\text{cotypt} = \{i, j\} \in \sigma$.

There is exactly one cell s_i of cotype i for which $c \in s_i$. Similar $c \in s_j$, $\text{cotyps}_j = j$. By definition of a chamber

system $\{c, s_i, t\}, \{c, s_j, t\} \in \Gamma_\sigma(\Sigma_n)$. If s_k is a cell of cotype k , $k \neq i$, $k \neq j$ then $s_k \notin t$.

3) $\{s, t\} \in \Gamma_\sigma(\Sigma_n)$ and $s \subset t$. Since a Coxeter complex is a thin chamber complex, there are exactly two chambers c_1 and c_2 with $c_1, c_2 \in s$.

So, $\Gamma_\sigma(\Sigma_n)$ is a triangulation of a surface without boundary. We prove now that every orientation of this complex is a coherent orientation. Let C_i , $i=1,2$, be the

free \mathbb{Z} -module with base the set of i -simplices. Then we have to define a map $\partial: C_2 \rightarrow C_1: w \rightarrow \sum_{v_i \subseteq w} (-1)^{k_{v_i}^w} v_i$ such that

if $w_1, w_2 \in C_2$ and $v \in C_1$ with $v \subseteq w_1$, $v \subseteq w_2$, then v appears with different signs in ∂w_1 and ∂w_2 (*). To define ∂ , we take an

arbitrary chamber c_0 and we define $m_c = d(c_0, c)$ for c a chamber of Σ_n . If $c_1 \sim c_2$, then $|d(c_0; c_1) - d(c_0; c_2)| = 1$

(see Carter [1]) and so we have $m_{c_1} = m_{c_2} \pm 1$ or $(-1)^{m_{c_1}} (-1)^{m_{c_2}} = -1$.

We now write Δ_n as $\Delta_n = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\}$ with the property that $\{i_k, i_{k+1}\} \in \sigma$ $k=1, \dots, n-1$, and $\{i_1, i_n\} \in \sigma$. Then we define

$$k_{\{c,s\}}^{\{c,s,t\}} = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if cotyp } s = i_k \text{ and cotyp } t = \{i_k, i_{k+1}\} \\ & \text{or cotyp } s = i_n \text{ and cotyp } t = \{i_n, i_1\} \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$k_{\{c,t\}}^{\{c,s,t\}} = k_{\{c,s\}}^{\{c,s,t\}}$$

$$k_{\{s,t\}}^{\{c,s,t\}} = m_c$$

It is clear that the property (*) holds with that definition of ∂ . So, we only have still to determine the genus of the orientable surface. But if m is the genus, then $2m-1=\chi$ is the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of $\Gamma_\sigma(\Sigma_n)$. Thus we only have to compute this characteristic and it follows that the genus m is $1+\frac{1}{2}\chi$. We first count the 2 simplices (c,s,t) . There are $\sum_{\{i,j\} \in \sigma} |\Sigma_n^{\Delta_n - \{i,j\}}|$

cells t of codimension 2. Each cell t of cotype $\{i,j\}$ contains $|\Sigma_{\{i,j\}}^{\{i\}}| + |\Sigma_{\{i,j\}}^{\{j\}}|$ cells of codimension 1. Each cell of cotype i contains $|\Sigma_{\{i\}}^{\{i\}}| = 2$ chambers. Thus the number of 2-simplices k_3 of $\Gamma_\sigma(\Sigma_n)$ is

$$k_3 = \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\} \in \sigma \\ i \neq j}} |\Sigma_n^{\Delta_n - \{i,j\}}| (|\Sigma_{\{i,j\}}^{\{i\}}| \cdot |\Sigma_{\{i,j\}}^{\{j\}}| + |\Sigma_{\{i,j\}}^{\{j\}}| \cdot |\Sigma_{\{i,j\}}^{\{i\}}|)$$

Similar, there are $k_{2,1}$ 1-simplices of type $\{s,t\}$; $k_{2,2}$ 1-simplices of type $\{c,t\}$ and $k_{2,3}$ 1-simplices of type $\{c,s\}$ with

$$k_{2,1} = \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\} \in \sigma \\ i \neq j}} |\Sigma_n^{\Delta_n - \{i,j\}}| \cdot (|\Sigma_{\{i,j\}}^{\{i\}}| + |\Sigma_{\{i,j\}}^{\{j\}}|)$$

$$k_{2,2} = \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\} \in \sigma \\ i \neq j}} |\Sigma_n^{\Delta_n - \{i,j\}}| \cdot |\Sigma_{\{i,j\}}^{\{i,j\}}|$$

$$k_{2,3} = \sum_{i \in \Delta_n} |\Sigma_n^{\Delta_n - \{i\}}| \cdot |\Sigma_{\{i\}}^{\{i\}}|$$

Finally, the number of vertices is

$$k_1 = |\Sigma_n^{\Delta_n}| + \sum_{i \in \Delta_n} |\Sigma_n^{\Delta_n - \{i\}}| + \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\} \in \sigma \\ i \neq j}} |\Sigma_n^{\Delta_n - \{i,j\}}|$$

and if $k_2 = k_{2,1} + k_{2,2} + k_{2,3}$, then $\chi = 1 - k_1 + k_2 - k_3$.

Using the equation (E), we have :

$$k_3 = 2n |\Sigma_n^{\Delta_n}|$$

$$k_2 = 2 |\Sigma_n^{(n-1)}| + n |\Sigma_n^{(n)}| + n |\Sigma_n^{(n)}|$$

$$= 1 - |\Sigma_n^{(n)} - \Sigma_n^{(n-1)}| - \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\} \in \sigma \\ i \neq j}} |\Sigma_n^{\Delta_n - \{i,j\}}| + 2 |\Sigma_n^{(n-1)}| + 2n |\Sigma_n^{(n)}|$$

$$= 1 - |\Sigma_n^{(n)}| + |\Sigma_n^{(n-1)}| - \sum_{\substack{\{i,j\} \in \sigma \\ i \neq j}} |\Sigma_n^{\Delta_n - \{i,j\}}| - 2n |\Sigma_n^{(n)}|$$

$$= 1 - \sum_{J \in \sigma \cup \{\phi\}} (-1)^{|J|} |\Sigma_n^{\Delta_n - J}|$$

- If $n=3$, then $\sum_{J \in \sigma \cup \{\phi\}} (-1)^{|J|} |\Sigma_3^{\Delta_3 - J}| = 1 - (-1)^{\Delta_3} |\Sigma_3^{\Delta_3 - \Delta_3}| = 2$

since $\sigma \cup \{\phi\} = 2^{\Delta_3} - \{\Delta_3\}$.

Thus $\chi = -1$ and $m = 0$ (This is in conformity with theorem 2 [6], after all $\bar{\sigma} \in \bar{S}$).

- If $n > 3$, then $\sigma^* = \sigma \cup \{\phi\}$ and $\chi = 1 - \sum_{J \in \sigma^*} (-1)^{|J|} |\Sigma_n^{\Delta_n - J}|$

$$m = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{J \in \sigma} (-1)^{|J|} |\Sigma_n^{\Delta_n - J}|.$$

But since $n > 3$, there exist $i, j \in \Delta_n$ with $\{i, j\} \notin \sigma$. Applying proposition 1, we have

$$\pi^{\{\{i\}, \{j\}\}}(\Sigma_{\{i,j\}}) \leq \pi^{\sigma}(\Sigma_n).$$

Since $Z_{+} \cong \pi^{\{\{i\}, \{j\}\}}(\Sigma_{\{i,j\}})$, $\pi^{\sigma}(\Sigma_n)$ is not trivial and $m \geq 1$. ■

DEFINITION. Let F_k be the free group generated by a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k . If $w = w(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ is a word (reduced or not), then $L_w(a_i)$ denotes the sum of the exponents of a_i in w , $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

LEMMA 4. If G is a one relator-group, $G = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \mid R(a_1, \dots, a_k) \rangle$ and if $(\forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}) (L_R(a_i) \in 2\mathbb{Z})$, then G is not a free group.

PROOF. It has been proved by J.M.C. Whitehead [8] that a one relator group is free if and only if the cyclic word R (which is the only relation) is simple. We recall that a word R is simple if and only if R can be derived from a letter (a generator) by a sequence of simple transformations [7].

Finally, a simple transformation is one of the three following

- 1) Replacing x by xy and x^{-1} by $y^{-1}x^{-1}$ ($x \neq y$), x and y are generators
- 2) insert xx^{-1} , x generator
- 3) omit xx^{-1} , x generator

see [7].

Now let l be the length of a minimal sequence of simple transformations to obtain $R(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ from a certain letter a_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. If $l > 0$, then we denote by $R'(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ the word we obtain by applying exactly the same sequence of simple transformations on a_i , except the last, which is dropped.

We prove by induction on l that for every simple word $w(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ there is a $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ for which $L_w(a_j) \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$.

Then it follows that R is not simple and G not free

If $l = 0$, $l_w(a_i) = 1 \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$

If $l > 0$, then suppose for $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $L_{w'}(a_j) \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$. An insertion or an omission of xx^{-1} changes nothing at the $L_w(a_m) \forall m \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Suppose in w' , we replace a_p by $a_p a_q$ and a_p^{-1} by $a_q^{-1} a_p^{-1}$ for $j \neq p, q$. Then $L_w(a_j) = L_{w'}(a_j) \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$.

If $p = j$, then again $L_w(a_j) = L_{w'}(a_j) \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$.

If $q = j$, then $L_w(a_j) = L_w(a_p) + \dots$. If $L_{w'}(a_p) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, then again $L_w(a_j) \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$. If $L_{w'}(a_p) \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$, then $L_w(a_p) = L_{w'}(a_p) \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$ and so every simple word has some letter a_m for which $L_w(a_m) \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$, $m \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

COROLLARY 1. The fundamental group of a surface is either trivial or not free. In particular, if $\bar{\sigma}$ is a circuit of length n , $\pi^\sigma(\Sigma_n)$ is not free for $n > 3$.

PROOF. The fundamental group of a surface (without boundary) is either trivial or is of the following type [3]:

$$G = \langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_m, t_m \parallel a_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1} \dots a_m b_m a_m^{-1} b_m^{-1} \rangle$$

$$\text{or } G = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m \parallel a_1^2 a_2^2 \dots a_m^2 \rangle$$

In both last cases, the sum of the exponents of an arbitrary generator in the generator is even. Applying lemma 4 gives us the first part of the corollary. The second part follows immediately with lemma 3.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM.

Suppose $\bar{\sigma} \notin \bar{S}$. Then by lemma 1, $\bar{\sigma}$ contains a circuit of length $m > 3$. Suppose $\bar{\tau}$ is such a circuit with set of vertices $J \subseteq \Delta_n$. By proposition 1, $\pi^{\tau}(\Sigma_J) \leq \pi^{\sigma}(\Sigma_n)$. By lemma 3 $\pi^{\tau}(\Sigma_J)$ is the fundamental group of an orientable surface and not trivial since $|J| > 3$ which is, by corollary 1, not free. Since a subgroup of a free group is always free, $\pi^{\sigma}(\Sigma_n)$ cannot be free. Hence the theorem is proved.

REMARK. One can easily prove directly from the definition, that if $\bar{\sigma} \in \bar{S}$, than $\bar{\sigma}$ contains no circuits of length $n > 3$, but using our lemma's, the proof can be very short.

If $\bar{\sigma} \in \bar{S}$, then $\pi^{\sigma}(\Sigma_n)$ is free and admits no non-free subgroup, hence by corollary 1 and proposition 1 $\bar{\sigma}$ cannot contain a circuit of length $m > 3$. So the conversion of lemma 1 is also true.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Carter : "Simple groups of Lie Type". Pure and Applied mathematics, London, New York, Sydney, Toronto.

- [2] M.A. Ronan : "Coverings and Automorphisms of Chamber systems". Europ.J.Combinatorics (1980) 1, 259-269.
- [3] E. H. Spanier : "Algebraic Topology" New York, McGraw-Hill (1966)
- [4] J. Tits : "A local approach to Buildings", in "The Geometric Vein", the Coxeter festschrift (Springer Verlag, Berlin) 1981
- [5] J. Tits : Buildings of Spherical Type and Finite BN-pairs", Lecture Notes in Mathematics 386 (Spinger Verlag, Berlin, 1974)
- [6] H. Van Maldeghem : " σ -Homotopy groups of Coxeter complexes" , Simon Stevin, Vol.58 (1984), Number 1-2 (Jan.-Apr. 1984)
- [7] J.H.C. Whitehead : "On certain sets of elements in a free group" Proc.L.M.S. , 41 (1936), 48-56
- [8] J.H.C. Whitehead : "On equivalent sets of elements in a free group". Ann.Math.37 (1936), 782-800.

Seminar of Geometry and Combinatorics (received January 1985)
 Krijgslaan 281
 B-9000 Gent
 Belgium