

# The Prime Number Theorem for Generalized Integers. New Cases

Jasson Vindas

`jvindas@cage.Ugent.be`

Department of Pure Mathematics and Computer Algebra  
Ghent University

Logic and Analysis Seminar  
April 29, 2010

# The prime number theorem

The prime number theorem (PNT) states that

$$\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$\pi(x) = \sum_{p \text{ prime}, p < x} 1.$$

We will consider in this talk generalizations of the PNT for **Beurling's generalized integers**

# Outline

- 1 Abstract prime number theorems
  - Landau's PNT
  - Beurling's problem
- 2 The main theorem: Extension of Beurling's theorem
- 3 A Tauberian approach
  - Ikehara's Tauberian theorem
  - A Tauberian theorem for local pseudo-function boundary behavior
- 4 Other related results

# Landau's theorem

In 1903, Landau essentially showed the following theorem.

- Let  $1 < p_1 \leq p_2, \dots$  be a non-decreasing sequence tending to infinity.
- Arrange all possible products of the  $p_j$  in a non-decreasing sequence  $1 < n_1 \leq n_2, \dots$ , where every  $n_k$  is repeated as many times as represented by  $p_{\nu_1}^{\alpha_1} p_{\nu_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots p_{\nu_m}^{\alpha_m}$  with  $\nu_j < \nu_{j+1}$ .
- Denote  $N(x) = \sum_{n_k < x} 1$  and  $\pi(x) = \sum_{p_k < x} 1$ .

## Theorem (Landau, 1903)

If  $N(x) = ax + O(x^\theta)$ ,  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $a > 0$  and  $\theta < 1$ , then

$$\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

# Landau's theorem

In 1903, Landau essentially showed the following theorem.

- Let  $1 < p_1 \leq p_2, \dots$  be a non-decreasing sequence tending to infinity.
- Arrange all possible products of the  $p_j$  in a non-decreasing sequence  $1 < n_1 \leq n_2, \dots$ , where every  $n_k$  is repeated as many times as represented by  $p_{\nu_1}^{\alpha_1} p_{\nu_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots p_{\nu_m}^{\alpha_m}$  with  $\nu_j < \nu_{j+1}$ .
- Denote  $N(x) = \sum_{n_k < x} 1$  and  $\pi(x) = \sum_{p_k < x} 1$ .

Theorem (Landau, 1903)

If  $N(x) = ax + O(x^\theta)$ ,  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $a > 0$  and  $\theta < 1$ , then

$$\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

# Landau's theorem

In 1903, Landau essentially showed the following theorem.

- Let  $1 < p_1 \leq p_2, \dots$  be a non-decreasing sequence tending to infinity.
- Arrange all possible products of the  $p_j$  in a non-decreasing sequence  $1 < n_1 \leq n_2, \dots$ , where every  $n_k$  is repeated as many times as represented by  $p_{\nu_1}^{\alpha_1} p_{\nu_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots p_{\nu_m}^{\alpha_m}$  with  $\nu_j < \nu_{j+1}$ .
- Denote  $N(x) = \sum_{n_k < x} 1$  and  $\pi(x) = \sum_{p_k < x} 1$ .

## Theorem (Landau, 1903)

If  $N(x) = ax + O(x^\theta)$ ,  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $a > 0$  and  $\theta < 1$ , then

$$\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

# Landau's theorem: Examples

- Gaussian integers**  $\mathbb{Z}[i] := \{a + bi \in \mathbb{C} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , with Gaussian norm  $|a + ib| := a^2 + b^2$ . If we define  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  as the sequence of norms of Gaussian primes, then the sequence  $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  corresponds to the sequence of norms of gaussian numbers such that  $|a + ib| > 1$ . One can show that

$$N(x) = \sum_{a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a^2 + b^2 < x} 1 = \pi x + O(\sqrt{x})$$

Consequently, the PNT holds for Gaussian primes.

- Landau actually showed that if the  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  corresponds to the norms of the distinct primes ideal of the ring of integers in an algebraic number field, then  $\pi(x) \sim x / \log x$ .

# Landau's theorem: Examples

- Gaussian integers**  $\mathbb{Z}[i] := \{a + bi \in \mathbb{C} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , with Gaussian norm  $|a + ib| := a^2 + b^2$ . If we define  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  as the sequence of norms of Gaussian primes, then the sequence  $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  corresponds to the sequence of norms of gaussian numbers such that  $|a + ib| > 1$ . One can show that

$$N(x) = \sum_{a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a^2 + b^2 < x} 1 = \pi x + O(\sqrt{x})$$

Consequently, the PNT holds for Gaussian primes.

- Landau actually showed that if the  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  corresponds to the norms of the distinct primes ideal of the ring of integers in an algebraic number field, then  $\pi(x) \sim x / \log x$ .

# Landau's theorem: Examples

- Gaussian integers**  $\mathbb{Z}[i] := \{a + bi \in \mathbb{C} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , with Gaussian norm  $|a + ib| := a^2 + b^2$ . If we define  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  as the sequence of norms of Gaussian primes, then the sequence  $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  corresponds to the sequence of norms of gaussian numbers such that  $|a + ib| > 1$ . One can show that

$$N(x) = \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{Z}, a^2 + b^2 < x} 1 = \pi x + O(\sqrt{x})$$

Consequently, the PNT holds for Gaussian primes.

- Landau actually showed that if the  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  corresponds to the norms of the distinct primes ideal of the ring of integers in an algebraic number field, then  $\pi(x) \sim x / \log x$ .

# Landau's theorem: Examples

- Gaussian integers**  $\mathbb{Z}[i] := \{a + bi \in \mathbb{C} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , with Gaussian norm  $|a + ib| := a^2 + b^2$ . If we define  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  as the sequence of norms of Gaussian primes, then the sequence  $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  corresponds to the sequence of norms of gaussian numbers such that  $|a + ib| > 1$ . One can show that

$$N(x) = \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{Z}, a^2 + b^2 < x} 1 = \pi x + O(\sqrt{x})$$

Consequently, the PNT holds for Gaussian primes.

- Landau actually showed that if the  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  corresponds to the norms of the distinct primes ideal of the ring of integers in an algebraic number field, then  $\pi(x) \sim x / \log x$ .

# Landau's theorem: Examples

- Gaussian integers**  $\mathbb{Z}[i] := \{a + bi \in \mathbb{C} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , with Gaussian norm  $|a + ib| := a^2 + b^2$ . If we define  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  as the sequence of norms of Gaussian primes, then the sequence  $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  corresponds to the sequence of norms of gaussian numbers such that  $|a + ib| > 1$ . One can show that

$$N(x) = \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{Z}, a^2 + b^2 < x} 1 = \pi x + O(\sqrt{x})$$

Consequently, the PNT holds for Gaussian primes.

- Landau actually showed that if the  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  corresponds to the norms of the distinct primes ideal of the ring of integers in an algebraic number field, then  $\pi(x) \sim x / \log x$ .

# Beurling's problem

In 1937, Beurling raised the question: Find conditions over  $N$  which ensure the validity of the PNT, i.e.,  $\pi(x) \sim x/\log x$ .

Theorem (Beurling, 1937)

*if*

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right),$$

*where  $a > 0$  and  $\gamma > 3/2$ , then the PNT holds.*

Theorem (Diamond, 1970)

*Beurling's condition is sharp, namely, the PNT does not necessarily hold if  $\gamma = 3/2$ .*

# Beurling's problem

In 1937, Beurling raised the question: Find conditions over  $N$  which ensure the validity of the PNT, i.e.,  $\pi(x) \sim x/\log x$ .

## Theorem (Beurling, 1937)

*if*

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right),$$

*where  $a > 0$  and  $\gamma > 3/2$ , then the PNT holds.*

## Theorem (Diamond, 1970)

*Beurling's condition is sharp, namely, the PNT does not necessarily hold if  $\gamma = 3/2$ .*

# Beurling's problem

In 1937, Beurling raised the question: Find conditions over  $N$  which ensure the validity of the PNT, i.e.,  $\pi(x) \sim x/\log x$ .

## Theorem (Beurling, 1937)

*if*

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right),$$

*where  $a > 0$  and  $\gamma > 3/2$ , then the PNT holds.*

## Theorem (Diamond, 1970)

*Beurling's condition is sharp, namely, the PNT does not necessarily hold if  $\gamma = 3/2$ .*

# Extension of Beurling theorem

We were able to **relax** the hypothesis of Beurling's theorem.

Theorem (2010, extending Beurling, 1937)

*Suppose there exist constants  $a > 0$  and  $\gamma > 3/2$  such that*

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right) \quad (\text{C}), \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

*Then the prime number theorem still holds.*

The hypothesis means that there exists some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that:

$$\int_0^x \frac{N(t) - at}{t} \left(1 - \frac{t}{x}\right)^m dt = O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right), \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

# Extension of Beurling theorem

We were able to **relax** the hypothesis of Beurling's theorem.

Theorem (2010, extending Beurling, 1937)

*Suppose there exist constants  $a > 0$  and  $\gamma > 3/2$  such that*

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right) \quad (C), \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

*Then the prime number theorem still holds.*

The hypothesis means that there exists some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that:

$$\int_0^x \frac{N(t) - at}{t} \left(1 - \frac{t}{x}\right)^m dt = O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right), \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

# Few words about Cesàro asymptotics

We say a function  $f(x) = O(x^\beta / \log^\alpha x)$   $(C, m)$ ,  $\beta > -1$ , if

$$\frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) \left(1 - \frac{t}{x}\right)^{m-1} dt = O\left(\frac{x^\beta}{\log^\alpha x}\right).$$

- The above expression is the  $m$ -times iterated primitive of  $f$  divided by  $x^m$
- Cesàro means have been widely used in Fourier analysis, they allow a high degree of divergence, often cancelled by **oscillation**.

**Examples:**  $(0 < \alpha < 1)$

- $e^x \sin e^x = O(x^{-\alpha})$   $(C, 1)$ .
- $\sum_{0 \leq k \leq x} (-1)^k = 1/2 + O(x^{-\alpha})$   $(C, 1)$ .

## Few words about Cesàro asymptotics

We say a function  $f(x) = O(x^\beta / \log^\alpha x)$   $(C, m)$ ,  $\beta > -1$ , if

$$\frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) \left(1 - \frac{t}{x}\right)^{m-1} dt = O\left(\frac{x^\beta}{\log^\alpha x}\right).$$

- The above expression is the  $m$ -times iterated primitive of  $f$  divided by  $x^m$
- Cesàro means have been widely used in Fourier analysis, they allow a high degree of divergence, often cancelled by **oscillation**.

Examples:  $(0 < \alpha < 1)$

- $e^x \sin e^x = O(x^{-\alpha})$   $(C, 1)$ .
- $\sum_{0 \leq k \leq x} (-1)^k = 1/2 + O(x^{-\alpha})$   $(C, 1)$ .

## Few words about Cesàro asymptotics

We say a function  $f(x) = O(x^\beta / \log^\alpha x)$   $(C, m)$ ,  $\beta > -1$ , if

$$\frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) \left(1 - \frac{t}{x}\right)^{m-1} dt = O\left(\frac{x^\beta}{\log^\alpha x}\right).$$

- The above expression is the  $m$ -times iterated primitive of  $f$  divided by  $x^m$
- Cesàro means have been widely used in Fourier analysis, they allow a high degree of divergence, often cancelled by **oscillation**.

**Examples:**  $(0 < \alpha < 1)$

- $e^x \sin e^x = O(x^{-\alpha})$   $(C, 1)$ .
- $\sum_{0 \leq k \leq x} (-1)^k = 1/2 + O(x^{-\alpha})$   $(C, 1)$ .

For

$$N(x) = ax + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^\gamma x}\right) \quad (C, m)$$

however, one can show that

$$N(x) \sim ax = ax + o(x)$$

# Functions related to generalized primes

The **zeta function** is the analytic function (under our hypothesis)

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k^s}, \quad \operatorname{Re} s > 1.$$

For ordinary integers it reduces to the Riemann zeta function.

One has an **Euler product** representation

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{1}{\rho_k}\right)^s}, \quad \operatorname{Re} s > 1.$$

# Functions related to generalized primes

The **zeta function** is the analytic function (under our hypothesis)

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k^s}, \quad \Re s > 1.$$

For ordinary integers it reduces to the Riemann zeta function.

One has an **Euler product** representation

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{1}{p_k}\right)^s}, \quad \Re s > 1.$$

# Functions related to generalized primes

Define the **von Mangoldt function**

$$\Lambda(n_k) = \begin{cases} \log p_j, & \text{if } n_k = p_j^m, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The **Chebyshev function** is

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{p_k^m < x} \log p_k = \sum_{n_k < x} \Lambda(n_k).$$

One can show the PNT is **equivalent to**  $\psi(x) \sim x$ . We also have the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n_k)}{n_k^s} = -\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)}, \quad \Re s > 1.$$

# Functions related to generalized primes

Define the **von Mangoldt function**

$$\Lambda(n_k) = \begin{cases} \log p_j, & \text{if } n_k = p_j^m, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The **Chebyshev function** is

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{p_k^m < x} \log p_k = \sum_{n_k < x} \Lambda(n_k).$$

One can show the PNT is **equivalent to**  $\psi(x) \sim x$ . We also have the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n_k)}{n_k^s} = -\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)}, \quad \Re s > 1.$$

# Functions related to generalized primes

Define the **von Mangoldt function**

$$\Lambda(n_k) = \begin{cases} \log p_j, & \text{if } n_k = p_j^m, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The **Chebyshev function** is

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{p_k^m < x} \log p_k = \sum_{n_k < x} \Lambda(n_k).$$

One can show the PNT is **equivalent to**  $\psi(x) \sim x$ . We also have the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n_k)}{n_k^s} = -\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)}, \quad \Re s > 1.$$

# Ikehara's Tauberian theorem

One of quickest ways to the PNT (for ordinary primes) is via the following **Tauberian theorem**:

Theorem (Ikehara, 1931, extending Landau, 1908)

Let  $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n/n^s$  be convergent for  $\Re s > 1$ . *Assume additionally that  $c_n \geq 0$ . If there exists a constant  $\beta$  such that*

$$G(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{n^s} - \frac{\beta}{s-1} = F(s) - \frac{\beta}{s-1}, \quad \Re s > 1, \quad (1)$$

*has a continuous extension to  $\Re s = 1$ , then*

$$\sum_{1 \leq n < x} c_n \sim \beta x, \quad x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2)$$

# Ikehara's Tauberian theorem

One of quickest ways to the PNT (for ordinary primes) is via the following **Tauberian theorem**:

**Theorem (Ikehara, 1931, extending Landau, 1908)**

Let  $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n/n^s$  be convergent for  $\Re s > 1$ . **Assume** additionally that  $c_n \geq 0$ . If there exists a constant  $\beta$  such that

$$G(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{n^s} - \frac{\beta}{s-1} = F(s) - \frac{\beta}{s-1}, \quad \Re s > 1, \quad (1)$$

has a continuous extension to  $\Re s = 1$ , then

$$\sum_{1 \leq n < x} c_n \sim \beta x, \quad x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2)$$

# The PNT (for ordinary prime numbers)

Consider the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$ ,  $\Re s > 1$ .

- $\zeta(s) - \frac{1}{s-1}$  admits an analytic continuation to a neighborhood of  $\Re s = 1$
- $\zeta(1 + it)$ ,  $t \neq 1$ , is free of zeros

It follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s} - \frac{1}{s-1} = -\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} - \frac{1}{s-1}$$

admits a (analytic) continuous extension to  $\Re s = 1$ .

Consequently,

$$\sum_{1 \leq n < x} \Lambda(n) = \psi(x) \sim x.$$

# The PNT (for ordinary prime numbers)

Consider the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$ ,  $\Re s > 1$ .

- $\zeta(s) - \frac{1}{s-1}$  admits an analytic continuation to a neighborhood of  $\Re s = 1$
- $\zeta(1 + it)$ ,  $t \neq 0$ , is free of zeros

It follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s} - \frac{1}{s-1} = -\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} - \frac{1}{s-1}$$

admits a (analytic) continuous extension to  $\Re s = 1$ .

Consequently,

$$\sum_{1 \leq n < x} \Lambda(n) = \psi(x) \sim x.$$

# The PNT (for ordinary prime numbers)

Consider the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$ ,  $\Re s > 1$ .

- $\zeta(s) - \frac{1}{s-1}$  admits an analytic continuation to a neighborhood of  $\Re s = 1$
- $\zeta(1 + it)$ ,  $t \neq 0$ , is free of zeros

It follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s} - \frac{1}{s-1} = -\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} - \frac{1}{s-1}$$

admits a (analytic) continuous extension to  $\Re s = 1$ .

Consequently,

$$\sum_{1 \leq n < x} \Lambda(n) = \psi(x) \sim x.$$

# Comments on Landau and Beurling PNTs

- In the case of Landau's hypothesis:  $N(x) = ax + O(x^\theta)$ 
  - (1) The function  $\zeta(s) - \frac{a}{s-1}$  admits an **analytic** continuation to a neighborhood of  $\Re s = 1$
  - (2)  $\zeta(1 + it)$ ,  $t \neq 1$ , is free of zeros
  - (3) So, a variant of Ikehara theorem yields, as before, the PNT
- For Beurling's hypothesis:  $N(x) = ax + O(x/\log^\gamma x)$ 
  - (1') If  $\gamma > 2$ , the function  $\zeta(s) - \frac{a}{s-1}$  admits a **continuously differentiable extension** to  $\Re s = 1$  (**not true** for  $3/2 < \gamma \leq 2$ )
  - (2)  $\zeta(1 + it)$ ,  $t \neq 1$ , is free of zeros (whenever  $\gamma > 3/2$ )
  - (3') A variant of Ikehara theorem **only** works when  $\gamma > 2$

# Comments on Landau and Beurling PNTs

- In the case of Landau's hypothesis:  $N(x) = ax + O(x^\theta)$ 
  - (1) The function  $\zeta(s) - \frac{a}{s-1}$  admits an **analytic** continuation to a neighborhood of  $\Re s = 1$
  - (2)  $\zeta(1 + it)$ ,  $t \neq 1$ , is free of zeros
  - (3) So, a variant of Ikehara theorem yields, as before, the PNT
- For Beurling's hypothesis:  $N(x) = ax + O(x/\log^\gamma x)$ 
  - (1') If  $\gamma > 2$ , the function  $\zeta(s) - \frac{a}{s-1}$  admits a **continuously differentiable extension** to  $\Re s = 1$  (**not true** for  $3/2 < \gamma \leq 2$ )
  - (2)  $\zeta(1 + it)$ ,  $t \neq 1$ , is free of zeros (whenever  $\gamma > 3/2$ )
  - (3') A variant of Ikehara theorem **only** works when  $\gamma > 2$

# Comments on Landau and Beurling PNTs

- In the case of Landau's hypothesis:  $N(x) = ax + O(x^\theta)$ 
  - (1) The function  $\zeta(s) - \frac{a}{s-1}$  admits an **analytic** continuation to a neighborhood of  $\Re s = 1$
  - (2)  $\zeta(1 + it)$ ,  $t \neq 1$ , is free of zeros
  - (3) So, a variant of Ikehara theorem yields, as before, the PNT
- For Beurling's hypothesis:  $N(x) = ax + O(x/\log^\gamma x)$ 
  - (1') If  $\gamma > 2$ , the function  $\zeta(s) - \frac{a}{s-1}$  admits a **continuously differentiable extension** to  $\Re s = 1$  (**not true** for  $3/2 < \gamma \leq 2$ )
  - (2)  $\zeta(1 + it)$ ,  $t \neq 1$ , is free of zeros (whenever  $\gamma > 3/2$ )
  - (3') A variant of Ikehara theorem **only** works when  $\gamma > 2$

# Comments on Landau and Beurling PNTs

- In the case of Landau's hypothesis:  $N(x) = ax + O(x^\theta)$ 
  - (1) The function  $\zeta(s) - \frac{a}{s-1}$  admits an **analytic** continuation to a neighborhood of  $\Re s = 1$
  - (2)  $\zeta(1 + it)$ ,  $t \neq 1$ , is free of zeros
  - (3) So, a variant of Ikehara theorem yields, as before, the PNT
- For Beurling's hypothesis:  $N(x) = ax + O(x/\log^\gamma x)$ 
  - (1') If  $\gamma > 2$ , the function  $\zeta(s) - \frac{a}{s-1}$  admits a **continuously differentiable extension** to  $\Re s = 1$  (**not true** for  $3/2 < \gamma \leq 2$ )
  - (2)  $\zeta(1 + it)$ ,  $t \neq 1$ , is free of zeros (whenever  $\gamma > 3/2$ )
  - (3') A variant of Ikehara theorem **only** works when  $\gamma > 2$

# Comments on Landau and Beurling PNTs

- In the case of Landau's hypothesis:  $N(x) = ax + O(x^\theta)$ 
  - (1) The function  $\zeta(s) - \frac{a}{s-1}$  admits an **analytic** continuation to a neighborhood of  $\Re s = 1$
  - (2)  $\zeta(1 + it)$ ,  $t \neq 1$ , is free of zeros
  - (3) So, a variant of Ikehara theorem yields, as before, the PNT
- For Beurling's hypothesis:  $N(x) = ax + O(x/\log^\gamma x)$ 
  - (1') If  $\gamma > 2$ , the function  $\zeta(s) - \frac{a}{s-1}$  admits a **continuously differentiable extension** to  $\Re s = 1$  (**not true** for  $3/2 < \gamma \leq 2$ )
  - (2)  $\zeta(1 + it)$ ,  $t \neq 1$ , is free of zeros (whenever  $\gamma > 3/2$ )
  - (3') A variant of Ikehara theorem **only** works when  $\gamma > 2$

# Comments on Landau and Beurling PNTs

- In the case of Landau's hypothesis:  $N(x) = ax + O(x^\theta)$ 
  - (1) The function  $\zeta(s) - \frac{a}{s-1}$  admits an **analytic** continuation to a neighborhood of  $\Re s = 1$
  - (2)  $\zeta(1 + it)$ ,  $t \neq 1$ , is free of zeros
  - (3) So, a variant of Ikehara theorem yields, as before, the PNT
- For Beurling's hypothesis:  $N(x) = ax + O(x/\log^\gamma x)$ 
  - (1') If  $\gamma > 2$ , the function  $\zeta(s) - \frac{a}{s-1}$  admits a **continuously differentiable extension** to  $\Re s = 1$  (**not true** for  $3/2 < \gamma \leq 2$ )
  - (2)  $\zeta(1 + it)$ ,  $t \neq 1$ , is free of zeros (whenever  $\gamma > 3/2$ )
  - (3') A variant of Ikehara theorem **only** works when  $\gamma > 2$

# Comments on Landau and Beurling PNTs

- In the case of Landau's hypothesis:  $N(x) = ax + O(x^\theta)$ 
  - (1) The function  $\zeta(s) - \frac{a}{s-1}$  admits an **analytic** continuation to a neighborhood of  $\Re s = 1$
  - (2)  $\zeta(1 + it)$ ,  $t \neq 1$ , is free of zeros
  - (3) So, a variant of Ikehara theorem yields, as before, the PNT
- For Beurling's hypothesis:  $N(x) = ax + O(x/\log^\gamma x)$ 
  - (1') If  $\gamma > 2$ , the function  $\zeta(s) - \frac{a}{s-1}$  admits a **continuously differentiable extension** to  $\Re s = 1$  (**not true** for  $3/2 < \gamma \leq 2$ )
  - (2)  $\zeta(1 + it)$ ,  $t \neq 1$ , is free of zeros (whenever  $\gamma > 3/2$ )
  - (3') A variant of Ikehara theorem **only** works when  $\gamma > 2$

# Tempered distributions

- $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the space of rapidly decreasing test functions, i.e.,

$$\|\phi\|_j := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, k \leq j} (1 + |x|)^k |\phi^{(k)}(x)| < \infty, \text{ for each } j \in \mathbb{N},$$

with the Fréchet space topology defined by the above seminorms.

- Fourier transform,  $\hat{\phi}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx} \phi(x) dx$ , is an isomorphism.
- The space  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  is its dual, the Fourier transform is defined by

$$\langle \hat{f}, \phi \rangle = \langle f, \hat{\phi} \rangle.$$

# Tempered distributions

- $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the space of rapidly decreasing test functions, i.e.,

$$\|\phi\|_j := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, k \leq j} (1 + |x|)^j |\phi^{(k)}(x)| < \infty, \text{ for each } j \in \mathbb{N},$$

with the Fréchet space topology defined by the above seminorms.

- Fourier transform,  $\hat{\phi}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx} \phi(x) dx$ , is an isomorphism.
- The space  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  is its dual, the Fourier transform is defined by

$$\langle \hat{f}, \phi \rangle = \langle f, \hat{\phi} \rangle.$$

# Tempered distributions

- $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the space of rapidly decreasing test functions, i.e.,

$$\|\phi\|_j := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, k \leq j} (1 + |x|)^k |\phi^{(k)}(x)| < \infty, \text{ for each } j \in \mathbb{N},$$

with the Fréchet space topology defined by the above seminorms.

- Fourier transform,  $\hat{\phi}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx} \phi(x) dx$ , is an isomorphism.
- The space  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  is its dual, the Fourier transform is defined by

$$\langle \hat{f}, \phi \rangle = \langle f, \hat{\phi} \rangle.$$

# Tempered distributions

- $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the space of rapidly decreasing test functions, i.e.,

$$\|\phi\|_j := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, k \leq j} (1 + |x|)^j |\phi^{(k)}(x)| < \infty, \text{ for each } j \in \mathbb{N},$$

with the Fréchet space topology defined by the above seminorms.

- Fourier transform,  $\hat{\phi}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx} \phi(x) dx$ , is an isomorphism.
- The space  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  is its dual, the Fourier transform is defined by

$$\langle \hat{f}, \phi \rangle = \langle f, \hat{\phi} \rangle .$$

# Pseudo-functions

A distribution  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  is called a **pseudo-function** if  $\hat{f} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ .

It is called a **local** pseudofunction if for each  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  with compact support, the distribution  $\phi f$  is a pseudo-function.

$f$  is locally a pseudo-function if and only if the following '**Riemann-Lebesgue lemma**' holds: for each  $\phi$  with compact support

$$\lim_{|h| \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(t), e^{-iht} \phi(t) \rangle = 0$$

## Corollary

*If  $f$  belongs to  $C(\mathbb{R})$ , or more generally  $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $f$  is locally a pseudo-function.*

# Pseudo-functions

A distribution  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  is called a **pseudo-function** if  $\hat{f} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . It is called a **local** pseudofunction if for each  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  with compact support, the distribution  $\phi f$  is a pseudo-function.  $f$  is locally a pseudo-function if and only if the following '**Riemann-Lebesgue lemma**' holds: for each  $\phi$  with compact support

$$\lim_{|h| \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(t), e^{-iht} \phi(t) \rangle = 0$$

## Corollary

*If  $f$  belongs to  $C(\mathbb{R})$ , or more generally  $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $f$  is locally a pseudo-function.*

# Pseudo-functions

A distribution  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  is called a **pseudo-function** if  $\hat{f} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . It is called a **local** pseudofunction if for each  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  with compact support, the distribution  $\phi f$  is a pseudo-function.  $f$  is locally a pseudo-function if and only if the following '**Riemann-Lebesgue lemma**' holds: for each  $\phi$  with compact support

$$\lim_{|h| \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(t), e^{-iht} \phi(t) \rangle = 0$$

## Corollary

*If  $f$  belongs to  $C(\mathbb{R})$ , or more generally  $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $f$  is locally a pseudo-function.*

# Local pseudo-function boundary behavior

Let  $G(s)$  be analytic on  $\Re s > \alpha$ . We say that  $G$  has **local pseudo-function boundary behavior** on the line  $\Re s = \alpha$  if it has **distributional** boundary values in such a line, namely

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \alpha^+} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\sigma + it) \phi(t) dt = \langle f, \phi \rangle, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ with compact support,}$$

and the boundary distribution  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  is locally a pseudo-function.

# A Tauberian theorem

for local pseudo-function boundary behavior

## Theorem

Let  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be such that  $0 < \lambda_k \nearrow \infty$ .

**Assume**  $\{c_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  satisfies:  $c_k \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{\lambda_k < x} c_k = O(x)$ .

If there exists  $\beta$  such that

$$G(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{\lambda_k^s} - \frac{\beta}{s-1}, \quad \Re s > 1, \quad (3)$$

has local pseudo-function boundary behavior on  $\Re s = 1$ , then

$$\sum_{\lambda_k < x} c_k \sim \beta x, \quad x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4)$$

# Under $N(x) = ax + O(x/\log^\gamma x)$ (C)

Using 'generalized distributional asymptotics', we translated the Cesàro estimate into:

- For  $\gamma > 1$ ,  $\zeta(s) - \frac{a}{s-1}$  has continuous extension to  $\Re s = 1$ .
- For  $\gamma > 3/2$ 
  - $(s-1)\zeta(s)$  is free of zeros on  $\Re s = 1$ .
  - $-\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} - \frac{1}{s-1}$  has local pseudo-function boundary behavior on the line  $\Re s = 1$
  - A Chebyshev upper estimate:  $\sum_{n_k < x} \Lambda(n) = \psi(x) = O(x)$
  - So, the last Tauberian theorem **implies the PNT** ( $\gamma > 3/2$ )

# Under $N(x) = ax + O(x/\log^\gamma x)$ (C)

Using 'generalized distributional asymptotics', we translated the Cesàro estimate into:

- For  $\gamma > 1$ ,  $\zeta(s) - \frac{a}{s-1}$  has continuous extension to  $\Re s = 1$ .
- For  $\gamma > 3/2$ 
  - $(s-1)\zeta(s)$  is free of zeros on  $\Re s = 1$ .
  - $-\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} - \frac{1}{s-1}$  has local pseudo-function boundary behavior on the line  $\Re s = 1$
  - A Chebyshev upper estimate:  $\sum_{n_k < x} \Lambda(n) = \psi(x) = O(x)$
  - So, the last Tauberian theorem **implies the PNT** ( $\gamma > 3/2$ )

# Under $N(x) = ax + O(x/\log^\gamma x)$ (C)

Using 'generalized distributional asymptotics', we translated the Cesàro estimate into:

- For  $\gamma > 1$ ,  $\zeta(s) - \frac{a}{s-1}$  has continuous extension to  $\Re s = 1$ .
- For  $\gamma > 3/2$ 
  - $(s-1)\zeta(s)$  is free of zeros on  $\Re s = 1$ .
  - $-\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} - \frac{1}{s-1}$  has local pseudo-function boundary behavior on the line  $\Re s = 1$
  - A Chebyshev upper estimate:  $\sum_{n_k < x} \Lambda(n) = \psi(x) = O(x)$
  - So, the last Tauberian theorem **implies the PNT** ( $\gamma > 3/2$ )

# Under $N(x) = ax + O(x/\log^\gamma x)$ (C)

Using 'generalized distributional asymptotics', we translated the Cesàro estimate into:

- For  $\gamma > 1$ ,  $\zeta(s) - \frac{a}{s-1}$  has continuous extension to  $\Re s = 1$ .
- For  $\gamma > 3/2$ 
  - $(s-1)\zeta(s)$  is free of zeros on  $\Re s = 1$ .
  - $-\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} - \frac{1}{s-1}$  has local pseudo-function boundary behavior on the line  $\Re s = 1$
  - A Chebyshev upper estimate:  $\sum_{n_k < x} \Lambda(n) = \psi(x) = O(x)$
  - So, the last Tauberian theorem **implies the PNT** ( $\gamma > 3/2$ )

# Under $N(x) = ax + O(x/\log^\gamma x)$ (C)

Using 'generalized distributional asymptotics', we translated the Cesàro estimate into:

- For  $\gamma > 1$ ,  $\zeta(s) - \frac{a}{s-1}$  has continuous extension to  $\Re s = 1$ .
- For  $\gamma > 3/2$ 
  - $(s-1)\zeta(s)$  is free of zeros on  $\Re s = 1$ .
  - $-\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} - \frac{1}{s-1}$  has local pseudo-function boundary behavior on the line  $\Re s = 1$
  - A Chebyshev upper estimate:  $\sum_{n_k < x} \Lambda(n) = \psi(x) = O(x)$
  - So, the last Tauberian theorem **implies the PNT** ( $\gamma > 3/2$ )

## Other related results ( $\gamma > 3/2$ )

### Theorem

*Our theorem is a proper extension of Beurling's PNT, namely, there is a set of generalized numbers satisfying the Cesàro estimate but not Beurling's one.*

### Theorem

*Let  $\mu$  be the Möbius function. Then,*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n_k)}{n_k} = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n_k < x} \mu(n_k) = 0 .$$

## Other related results ( $\gamma > 3/2$ )

### Theorem

*Our theorem is a proper extension of Beurling's PNT, namely, there is a set of generalized numbers satisfying the Cesàro estimate but not Beurling's one.*

### Theorem

*Let  $\mu$  be the Möbius function. Then,*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n_k)}{n_k} = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n_k < x} \mu(n_k) = 0 .$$