Pointwise behavior of fractional integrals of modular forms via complex analysis

Jasson Vindas jasson.vindas@UGent.be

Ghent University

Multifractal analysis and self-similarity CIRM, Marseille, June 29, 2023

 Recently (2019), Pastor has found the pointwise Hölder exponent (at every point!) of fractional integrals of modular forms. This covers certain Fourier series

$$g_a(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{n^a} e^{\frac{2\pi i x}{m}}, \qquad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

• His arguments are based on approximative functional equations and Tauberian/Abelian theorems for wavelet transforms.

Our goal: To sketch an alternative method for the analysis of irrational points, using basic complex analysis instead of wavelet analysis. (Collaborative work with Frederik Broucke.)

伺下 イヨト イヨ

 Recently (2019), Pastor has found the pointwise Hölder exponent (at every point!) of fractional integrals of modular forms. This covers certain Fourier series

$$g_a(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{n^a} e^{\frac{2\pi i x}{m}}, \qquad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

• His arguments are based on approximative functional equations and Tauberian/Abelian theorems for wavelet transforms.

Our goal: To sketch an alternative method for the analysis of irrational points, using basic complex analysis instead of wavelet analysis. (Collaborative work with Frederik Broucke.)

 Recently (2019), Pastor has found the pointwise Hölder exponent (at every point!) of fractional integrals of modular forms. This covers certain Fourier series

$$g_a(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{n^a} e^{\frac{2\pi i x}{m}}, \qquad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

• His arguments are based on approximative functional equations and Tauberian/Abelian theorems for wavelet transforms.

Our goal: To sketch an alternative method for the analysis of irrational points, using basic complex analysis instead of wavelet analysis. (Collaborative work with Frederik Broucke.)

 Recently (2019), Pastor has found the pointwise Hölder exponent (at every point!) of fractional integrals of modular forms. This covers certain Fourier series

$$g_a(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{n^a} e^{\frac{2\pi i x}{m}}, \qquad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

• His arguments are based on approximative functional equations and Tauberian/Abelian theorems for wavelet transforms.

Our goal: To sketch an alternative method for the analysis of irrational points, using basic complex analysis instead of wavelet analysis. (Collaborative work with Frederik Broucke.)

According to an account of Weierstrass, Riemann would have suggested

$$R(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{in^2\pi x}}{n^2}$$

as an example of a nowhere differentiable function.

• In 1916 Hardy was able to show that *R* is not differentiable at:

irrationals, rationals of the forms 
$$\frac{2r+1}{2s}$$
, and  $\frac{2r}{4s+1}$ .

- Gerver showed in 1970-1971 that *R* is in turn only differentiable at every rational that is the quotient of two odd integers.
- Smith (1972) and Itatsu (1981) gave simpler treatments of rational points, which (essentially) yielded the pointwise Hölder exponents.
- This left open the determination of the pointwise Hölder exponents at irrational points.
- Duistermaat (1991): upper bounds for pointwise Hölder exponents.
- Jaffard settled the problem in 1996.

According to an account of Weierstrass, Riemann would have suggested

$$R(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{in^2 \pi x}}{n^2}$$

as an example of a nowhere differentiable function.

• In 1916 Hardy was able to show that R is not differentiable at:

irrationals, rationals of the forms 
$$\frac{2r+1}{2s}$$
, and  $\frac{2r}{4s+1}$ .

- Gerver showed in 1970-1971 that *R* is in turn only differentiable at every rational that is the quotient of two odd integers.
- Smith (1972) and Itatsu (1981) gave simpler treatments of rational points, which (essentially) yielded the pointwise Hölder exponents.
- This left open the determination of the pointwise Hölder exponents at irrational points.
- Duistermaat (1991): upper bounds for pointwise Hölder exponents.
- Jaffard settled the problem in 1996.

・ 同 ト ・ ラ ト ・ ラ

According to an account of Weierstrass, Riemann would have suggested

$$R(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{in^2 \pi x}}{n^2}$$

as an example of a nowhere differentiable function.

• In 1916 Hardy was able to show that R is not differentiable at:

irrationals, rationals of the forms 
$$\frac{2r+1}{2s}$$
, and  $\frac{2r}{4s+1}$ .

- Gerver showed in 1970-1971 that *R* is in turn only differentiable at every rational that is the quotient of two odd integers.
- Smith (1972) and Itatsu (1981) gave simpler treatments of rational points, which (essentially) yielded the pointwise Hölder exponents.
- This left open the determination of the pointwise Hölder exponents at irrational points.
- Duistermaat (1991): upper bounds for pointwise Hölder exponents.
- Jaffard settled the problem in 1996.

・ 同 ト ・ ラ ト ・ ラ

According to an account of Weierstrass, Riemann would have suggested

$$R(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{in^2 \pi x}}{n^2}$$

as an example of a nowhere differentiable function.

• In 1916 Hardy was able to show that R is not differentiable at:

irrationals, rationals of the forms 
$$\frac{2r+1}{2s}$$
, and  $\frac{2r}{4s+1}$ 

- Gerver showed in 1970-1971 that *R* is in turn only differentiable at every rational that is the quotient of two odd integers.
- Smith (1972) and Itatsu (1981) gave simpler treatments of rational points, which (essentially) yielded the pointwise Hölder exponents.
- This left open the determination of the pointwise Hölder exponents at irrational points.
- Duistermaat (1991): upper bounds for pointwise Hölder exponents.
- Jaffard settled the problem in 1996.

マロト イラト イラ

According to an account of Weierstrass, Riemann would have suggested

$$R(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{in^2\pi x}}{n^2}$$

as an example of a nowhere differentiable function.

• In 1916 Hardy was able to show that R is not differentiable at:

irrationals, rationals of the forms 
$$\frac{2r+1}{2s}$$
, and  $\frac{2r}{4s+1}$ .

- Gerver showed in 1970-1971 that *R* is in turn only differentiable at every rational that is the quotient of two odd integers.
- Smith (1972) and Itatsu (1981) gave simpler treatments of rational points, which (essentially) yielded the pointwise Hölder exponents.
- This left open the determination of the pointwise Hölder exponents at irrational points.
- Duistermaat (1991): upper bounds for pointwise Hölder exponents.
- Jaffard settled the problem in 1996.

・ 同 ト ・ ラ ト ・ ラ

According to an account of Weierstrass, Riemann would have suggested

$$R(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{in^2\pi x}}{n^2}$$

as an example of a nowhere differentiable function.

irrationals, rationals of the forms 
$$\frac{2r+1}{2s}$$
, and  $\frac{2r}{4s+1}$ .

- Gerver showed in 1970-1971 that *R* is in turn only differentiable at every rational that is the quotient of two odd integers.
- Smith (1972) and Itatsu (1981) gave simpler treatments of rational points, which (essentially) yielded the pointwise Hölder exponents.
- This left open the determination of the pointwise Hölder exponents at irrational points.
- Duistermaat (1991): upper bounds for pointwise Hölder exponents.
- Jaffard settled the problem in 1996.

According to an account of Weierstrass, Riemann would have suggested

$$R(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{in^2 \pi x}}{n^2}$$

as an example of a nowhere differentiable function.

irrationals, rationals of the forms 
$$\frac{2r+1}{2s}$$
, and  $\frac{2r}{4s+1}$ .

- Gerver showed in 1970-1971 that *R* is in turn only differentiable at every rational that is the quotient of two odd integers.
- Smith (1972) and Itatsu (1981) gave simpler treatments of rational points, which (essentially) yielded the pointwise Hölder exponents.
- This left open the determination of the pointwise Hölder exponents at irrational points.
- Duistermaat (1991): upper bounds for pointwise Hölder exponents.
- Jaffard settled the problem in 1996.

According to an account of Weierstrass, Riemann would have suggested

$$\mathsf{R}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{in^2 \pi x}}{n^2}$$

as an example of a nowhere differentiable function.

irrationals, rationals of the forms 
$$\frac{2r+1}{2s}$$
, and  $\frac{2r}{4s+1}$ .

- Gerver showed in 1970-1971 that *R* is in turn only differentiable at every rational that is the quotient of two odd integers.
- Smith (1972) and Itatsu (1981) gave simpler treatments of rational points, which (essentially) yielded the pointwise Hölder exponents.
- This left open the determination of the pointwise Hölder exponents at irrational points.
- Duistermaat (1991): upper bounds for pointwise Hölder exponents.
- Jaffard settled the problem in 1996.

According to an account of Weierstrass, Riemann would have suggested

$$\mathsf{R}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{in^2 \pi x}}{n^2}$$

as an example of a nowhere differentiable function.

irrationals, rationals of the forms 
$$\frac{2r+1}{2s}$$
, and  $\frac{2r}{4s+1}$ .

- Gerver showed in 1970-1971 that *R* is in turn only differentiable at every rational that is the quotient of two odd integers.
- Smith (1972) and Itatsu (1981) gave simpler treatments of rational points, which (essentially) yielded the pointwise Hölder exponents.
- This left open the determination of the pointwise Hölder exponents at irrational points.
- Duistermaat (1991): upper bounds for pointwise Hölder exponents.
- Jaffard settled the problem in 1996.

According to an account of Weierstrass, Riemann would have suggested

$$\mathsf{R}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{in^2 \pi x}}{n^2}$$

as an example of a nowhere differentiable function.

irrationals, rationals of the forms 
$$\frac{2r+1}{2s}$$
, and  $\frac{2r}{4s+1}$ .

- Gerver showed in 1970-1971 that *R* is in turn only differentiable at every rational that is the quotient of two odd integers.
- Smith (1972) and Itatsu (1981) gave simpler treatments of rational points, which (essentially) yielded the pointwise Hölder exponents.
- This left open the determination of the pointwise Hölder exponents at irrational points.
- Duistermaat (1991): upper bounds for pointwise Hölder exponents.
- Jaffard settled the problem in 1996.

Any analysis of Riemann's function passes through the Jacobi theta function:

$$\theta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i n^2 z}, \qquad \operatorname{Im} z > 0,$$

$$R'(z) = \frac{i\pi}{2}(\theta(z) - 1).$$

heta is modular form of 'weight' 1/2, satisfies the transformation laws:

$$heta(z+2)= heta(z)$$
 and  $heta\Big(-rac{1}{z}\Big)=e^{-rac{i\pi}{4}}\sqrt{z}\cdot heta(z).$ 

伺下 イヨト イヨト

Any analysis of Riemann's function passes through the Jacobi theta function:

$$\theta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i n^2 z}, \qquad \operatorname{Im} z > 0,$$

$$R'(z)=\frac{i\pi}{2}(\theta(z)-1).$$

heta is modular form of 'weight' 1/2, satisfies the transformation laws:

$$heta(z+2)= heta(z)$$
 and  $heta\Big(-rac{1}{z}\Big)=e^{-rac{i\pi}{4}}\sqrt{z}\cdot heta(z).$ 

• • = • • = •

Any analysis of Riemann's function passes through the Jacobi theta function:

$$\theta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i n^2 z}, \qquad \text{Im } z > 0,$$

$$R'(z)=\frac{i\pi}{2}(\theta(z)-1).$$

 $\theta$  is modular form of 'weight' 1/2, satisfies the transformation laws:

$$heta(z+2)= heta(z) \quad ext{and} \quad heta\Big(-rac{1}{z}\Big)=e^{-rac{i\pi}{4}}\sqrt{z}\cdot heta(z).$$

• • = • • = •

Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of finite index of SL(2,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ). A modular form of weight r is a holomorphic function g on the upper-half plane such that  $g(\gamma z) = \mu_{\gamma} \cdot (cz + d)^r g(z), \quad \text{for each } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma, \quad |\mu_{\gamma}| = 1,$ 

and such that  $g(z) \ll (\operatorname{Im} z)^{u}$  as  $\operatorname{Im} z \to 0^+$  for some u.

Let *m* be the order of the stabilizer of  $\infty$  in SL(2,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) mod  $\Gamma$ .

• There is 
$$0 \le \kappa < 1$$
 such that  $g(mz) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n e^{2\pi i (n+\kappa) z}$ .

•  $g(\infty) = \lim_{\text{Im } z \to \infty} g(z)$  and call g cuspidal at  $\infty$  if  $g(\infty) = 0$ .

• We say that g is cuspidal at  $t \in \mathbb{Q}$  if  $\frac{g(\gamma z)}{(cz+d)^r}$  is cuspidal at  $\infty$ , where  $\gamma \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$  is such that  $\gamma(\infty) = t$ .

• Cusp form: if cuspidal at every element of  $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of finite index of SL(2,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ). A modular form of weight r is a holomorphic function g on the upper-half plane such that  $g(\gamma z) = \mu_{\gamma} \cdot (cz + d)^r g(z)$ , for each  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ ,  $|\mu_{\gamma}| = 1$ ,

and such that  $g(z) \ll (\operatorname{Im} z)^{-\nu}$  as  $\operatorname{Im} z \to 0^+$  for some  $\nu$ .

Let *m* be the order of the stabilizer of  $\infty$  in SL(2, $\mathbb{Z}$ ) mod  $\Gamma$ .

• There is 
$$0 \le \kappa < 1$$
 such that  $g(mz) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n e^{2\pi i (n+\kappa) z}$ .

•  $g(\infty) = \lim_{\text{Im } z \to \infty} g(z)$  and call g cuspidal at  $\infty$  if  $g(\infty) = 0$ .

• We say that g is cuspidal at  $t \in \mathbb{Q}$  if  $\frac{g(\gamma z)}{(cz+d)^r}$  is cuspidal at  $\infty$ , where  $\gamma \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$  is such that  $\gamma(\infty) = t$ .

• Cusp form: if cuspidal at every element of  $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of finite index of SL(2,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ). A modular form of weight *r* is a holomorphic function *g* on the upper-half plane such that

 $g(\gamma z) = \mu_{\gamma} \cdot (cz + d)^r g(z), \quad \text{ for each } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma, \quad |\mu_{\gamma}| = 1,$ 

and such that  $g(z) \ll (\operatorname{Im} z)^{-\nu}$  as  $\operatorname{Im} z \to 0^+$  for some  $\nu$ .

Let *m* be the order of the stabilizer of  $\infty$  in SL(2,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) mod  $\Gamma$ .

• There is 
$$0 \le \kappa < 1$$
 such that  $g(mz) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n e^{2\pi i (n+\kappa)z}$ .

•  $g(\infty) = \lim_{\text{Im } z \to \infty} g(z)$  and call g cuspidal at  $\infty$  if  $g(\infty) = 0$ .

• We say that g is cuspidal at  $t \in \mathbb{Q}$  if  $\frac{g(\gamma z)}{(cz+d)^r}$  is cuspidal at  $\infty$ , where  $\gamma \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$  is such that  $\gamma(\infty) = t$ .

• Cusp form: if cuspidal at every element of  $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of finite index of SL(2,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ). A modular form of weight r is a holomorphic function g on the upper-half plane such that

$$g(\gamma z) = \mu_{\gamma} \cdot (cz + d)^r g(z), \quad \text{ for each } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma, \quad |\mu_{\gamma}| = 1,$$

and such that  $g(z) \ll (\operatorname{Im} z)^{-\nu}$  as  $\operatorname{Im} z \to 0^+$  for some  $\nu$ .

Let *m* be the order of the stabilizer of  $\infty$  in SL(2,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) mod  $\Gamma$ .

• There is 
$$0 \leq \kappa < 1$$
 such that  $g(mz) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n e^{2\pi i (n+\kappa) z}$ 

•  $g(\infty) = \lim_{\text{Im } z \to \infty} g(z)$  and call g cuspidal at  $\infty$  if  $g(\infty) = 0$ .

• We say that g is cuspidal at  $t \in \mathbb{Q}$  if  $\frac{g(\gamma z)}{(cz+d)^r}$  is cuspidal at  $\infty$ , where  $\gamma \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$  is such that  $\gamma(\infty) = t$ .

• Cusp form: if cuspidal at every element of  $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of finite index of SL(2,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ). A modular form of weight r is a holomorphic function g on the upper-half plane such that

$$g(\gamma z) = \mu_\gamma \cdot (cz + d)^r g(z), \qquad ext{for each } \gamma = egin{pmatrix} \mathsf{a} & b \ \mathsf{c} & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathsf{\Gamma}, \quad |\mu_\gamma| = 1,$$

and such that  $g(z) \ll (\operatorname{Im} z)^{-\nu}$  as  $\operatorname{Im} z \to 0^+$  for some  $\nu.$ 

Let *m* be the order of the stabilizer of  $\infty$  in SL(2,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) mod  $\Gamma$ .

• There is 
$$0 \leq \kappa < 1$$
 such that  $g(mz) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n e^{2\pi i (n+\kappa) z}$ .

•  $g(\infty) = \lim_{\text{Im } z \to \infty} g(z)$  and call g cuspidal at  $\infty$  if  $g(\infty) = 0$ .

• We say that g is cuspidal at  $t \in \mathbb{Q}$  if  $\frac{g(\gamma z)}{(cz+d)^r}$  is cuspidal at  $\infty$ , where  $\gamma \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$  is such that  $\gamma(\infty) = t$ .

• Cusp form: if cuspidal at every element of  $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of finite index of SL(2,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ). A modular form of weight *r* is a holomorphic function *g* on the upper-half plane such that

$$g(\gamma z) = \mu_\gamma \cdot (cz + d)^r g(z), \qquad ext{for each } \gamma = egin{pmatrix} \mathsf{a} & b \ \mathsf{c} & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathsf{\Gamma}, \quad |\mu_\gamma| = 1,$$

and such that  $g(z) \ll (\operatorname{Im} z)^{-\nu}$  as  $\operatorname{Im} z \to 0^+$  for some  $\nu.$ 

Let *m* be the order of the stabilizer of  $\infty$  in SL(2,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) mod  $\Gamma$ .

• There is 
$$0 \leq \kappa < 1$$
 such that  $g(mz) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n e^{2\pi i (n+\kappa) z}$  .

•  $g(\infty) = \lim_{\text{Im } z \to \infty} g(z)$  and call g cuspidal at  $\infty$  if  $g(\infty) = 0$ .

- We say that g is cuspidal at  $t \in \mathbb{Q}$  if  $\frac{g(\gamma z)}{(cz+d)^r}$  is cuspidal at  $\infty$ , where  $\gamma \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$  is such that  $\gamma(\infty) = t$ .
- Cusp form: if cuspidal at every element of  $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ .
- Non-cusp form: otherwise.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of finite index of SL(2,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ). A modular form of weight r is a holomorphic function g on the upper-half plane such that

$$g(\gamma z) = \mu_\gamma \cdot (cz + d)^r g(z), \qquad ext{for each } \gamma = egin{pmatrix} \mathsf{a} & b \ \mathsf{c} & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathsf{\Gamma}, \quad |\mu_\gamma| = 1,$$

and such that  $g(z) \ll (\operatorname{Im} z)^{-\nu}$  as  $\operatorname{Im} z \to 0^+$  for some  $\nu.$ 

Let *m* be the order of the stabilizer of  $\infty$  in SL(2,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) mod  $\Gamma$ .

• There is 
$$0 \leq \kappa < 1$$
 such that  $g(mz) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n e^{2\pi i (n+\kappa) z}$  .

•  $g(\infty) = \lim_{\text{Im } z \to \infty} g(z)$  and call g cuspidal at  $\infty$  if  $g(\infty) = 0$ .

- We say that g is cuspidal at  $t \in \mathbb{Q}$  if  $\frac{g(\gamma z)}{(cz+d)^r}$  is cuspidal at  $\infty$ , where  $\gamma \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$  is such that  $\gamma(\infty) = t$ .
- Cusp form: if cuspidal at every element of  $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ .
- Non-cusp form: otherwise.

We assume w.l.o.g. that 
$$m=1$$
, so that  $g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}c_ne^{2\pi i(n+\kappa)z}$ 

$$g_a(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^a} \sum_{n+\kappa>0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{(n+\kappa)^a} e^{2\pi i (n+\kappa)z}, \qquad \text{Im } z \ge 0.$$

• Non-cusp forms: uniformely convergent for a > r.

• Cusp forms: uniformely convergent for a > r/2.

We write  $\alpha_a(x)$  for the pointwise Hölder exponent of  $g_a$  at x.

#### I heorem

Let  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

If g is cuspidal at x, then  $\alpha_a(x) = 2a - r$ .

(a) If g is not cuspidal at x, then  $\alpha_a(x) = a - r$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

We assume w.l.o.g. that 
$$m=1$$
, so that  $g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}c_ne^{2\pi i(n+\kappa)z}$ 

$$g_a(z) = rac{1}{(2\pi i)^a} \sum_{n+\kappa>0}^{\infty} rac{c_n}{(n+\kappa)^a} e^{2\pi i (n+\kappa) z}, \qquad \operatorname{Im} z \ge 0.$$

• Non-cusp forms: uniformely convergent for a > r.

• Cusp forms: uniformely convergent for a > r/2.

We write  $\alpha_a(x)$  for the pointwise Hölder exponent of  $g_a$  at x.

### Theorem

Let  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

If g is cuspidal at x, then  $\alpha_a(x) = 2a - r$ .

(a) If g is not cuspidal at x, then  $\alpha_a(x) = a - r$ .

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

We assume w.l.o.g. that 
$$m=1$$
, so that  $g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}c_ne^{2\pi i(n+\kappa)z}$ 

$$g_a(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^a} \sum_{n+\kappa>0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{(n+\kappa)^a} e^{2\pi i (n+\kappa)z}, \qquad \text{Im } z \ge 0.$$

- Non-cusp forms: uniformely convergent for a > r.
- Cusp forms: uniformely convergent for a > r/2.

We write  $\alpha_a(x)$  for the pointwise Hölder exponent of  $g_a$  at x.

#### Theorem

Let  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

If g is cuspidal at x, then  $\alpha_a(x) = 2a - r$ .

(a) If g is not cuspidal at x, then  $\alpha_a(x) = a - r$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

We assume w.l.o.g. that 
$$m=1$$
, so that  $g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}c_ne^{2\pi i(n+\kappa)z}$  .

$$g_a(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^a} \sum_{n+\kappa>0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{(n+\kappa)^a} e^{2\pi i (n+\kappa)z}, \qquad \text{Im } z \ge 0.$$

- Non-cusp forms: uniformely convergent for a > r.
- Cusp forms: uniformely convergent for a > r/2.

We write  $\alpha_a(x)$  for the pointwise Hölder exponent of  $g_a$  at x.

### Theorem

Let  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

- If g is cuspidal at x, then  $\alpha_a(x) = 2a r$ .
- If g is not cuspidal at x, then  $\alpha_a(x) = a r$ .

Let  $\rho$  be irrational. Let  $\tau(\rho) = 2$  if g is a cusp form, otherwise

$$\tau(\rho) = \sup\left\{\tau: \left|\rho - \frac{p}{q}\right| \ll \frac{1}{q^{\tau}} \text{ for infinitely many noncuspidal } \frac{p}{q}\right\}$$

If 
$$ho$$
 is irrational,  $lpha_{a}(
ho) = a - r\left(1 - \frac{1}{\tau(
ho)}\right)$ .

- We sketch a proof in the more difficult non-cusp form case.
- For simplicity, we impose some restrictions in the parameters.

Main tool: boundary behavior of 
$$g$$
 at  $\rho$   

$$g(\rho + iy) \gg y^{-r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} + \varepsilon}, \quad \text{infinitely often as } y \to 0^+.$$

$$g(\rho + z) \ll y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} + y^{-r} |z|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon}, \quad \text{for } 0 < y < 1/2.$$

Let  $\rho$  be irrational. Let  $\tau(\rho) = 2$  if g is a cusp form, otherwise

$$\tau(\rho) = \sup\left\{\tau: \left|\rho - \frac{p}{q}\right| \ll \frac{1}{q^{\tau}} \text{ for infinitely many noncuspidal } \frac{p}{q}\right\}$$

If 
$$\rho$$
 is irrational,  $\alpha_a(\rho) = a - r \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tau(\rho)}\right)$ .

- We sketch a proof in the more difficult non-cusp form case.
- For simplicity, we impose some restrictions in the parameters.

Main tool: boundary behavior of 
$$g$$
 at  $\rho$   

$$g(\rho + iy) \gg y^{-r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} + \varepsilon}, \quad \text{infinitely often as } y \to 0^+.$$

$$g(\rho + z) \ll y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} + y^{-r} |z|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon}, \quad \text{for } 0 < y < 1/2.$$

Let  $\rho$  be irrational. Let  $\tau(\rho) = 2$  if g is a cusp form, otherwise

$$\tau(\rho) = \sup\left\{\tau: \left|\rho - \frac{p}{q}\right| \ll \frac{1}{q^{\tau}} \text{ for infinitely many noncuspidal } \frac{p}{q}\right\}$$

f 
$$ho$$
 is irrational,  $lpha_{s}(
ho)=s-r\left(1-rac{1}{ au(
ho)}
ight).$ 

- We sketch a proof in the more difficult non-cusp form case.
- For simplicity, we impose some restrictions in the parameters.

Main tool: boundary behavior of 
$$g$$
 at  $\rho$   

$$g(\rho + iy) \gg y^{-r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} + \varepsilon}, \quad \text{infinitely often as } y \to 0^+.$$

$$g(\rho + z) \ll y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} + y^{-r} |z|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon}, \quad \text{for } 0 < y < 1/2.$$

Let  $\rho$  be irrational. Let  $\tau(\rho) = 2$  if g is a cusp form, otherwise

$$\tau(\rho) = \sup\left\{\tau: \left|\rho - \frac{p}{q}\right| \ll \frac{1}{q^{\tau}} \text{ for infinitely many noncuspidal } \frac{p}{q}\right\}$$

f 
$$ho$$
 is irrational,  $lpha_{s}(
ho)=s-r\left(1-rac{1}{ au(
ho)}
ight).$ 

- We sketch a proof in the more difficult non-cusp form case.
- For simplicity, we impose some restrictions in the parameters.

Main tool: boundary behavior of g at 
$$\rho$$
  
**a**  $g(\rho + iy) \gg y^{-r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} + \varepsilon}$ , infinitely often as  $y \to 0^+$ .  
**a**  $g(\rho + z) \ll y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} + y^{-r} |z|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon}$ , for  $0 < y < 1/2$ .

Let  $\rho$  be irrational. Let  $\tau(\rho) = 2$  if g is a cusp form, otherwise

$$\tau(\rho) = \sup\left\{\tau: \left|\rho - \frac{p}{q}\right| \ll \frac{1}{q^{\tau}} \text{ for infinitely many noncuspidal } \frac{p}{q}\right\}$$

$$\sigma_{a}(
ho) = a - r\left(1 - \frac{1}{\tau(
ho)}\right).$$

- We sketch a proof in the more difficult non-cusp form case.
- For simplicity, we impose some restrictions in the parameters.

Main tool: boundary behavior of g at 
$$\rho$$
  

$$g(\rho + iy) \gg y^{-r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} + \varepsilon}, \quad \text{infinitely often as } y \to 0^+.$$

$$g(\rho + z) \ll y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} + y^{-r} |z|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon}, \quad \text{for } 0 < y < 1/2.$$

$$(\Box \land \langle \Box \rangle \land \langle \Xi \land \langle \Xi \rangle \land \langle \Xi \rangle \land \langle \Xi \rangle \land \langle \Xi \rangle \land \langle \Xi \land \langle \Xi \land \langle \Xi \rangle \land \langle \Xi \land \langle \Xi \land \langle \Xi \rangle \land \langle \Xi \land \langle \Xi \rangle \land \langle \Xi \land \langle \Xi \land \langle \Xi \rangle \land \langle \Xi \land \langle \Xi$$

# Special case a = 1 > r. Lower bound $\alpha_1(\rho) \ge 1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}$ .

Our assumption is  $g'_1(z) = g(z) - g(\infty)$ , so that

$$g_1(\rho+h)-g_1(\rho)=-h\cdot g(\infty)+\lim_{y\to 0^+}\int_{\mathrm{i}y}^{h+\mathrm{i}y}g(\rho+z)\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

By Cauchy's theorem, the limit of this integral equals

$$\int_{0}^{i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, \mathrm{d}z + \int_{i|h|}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, \mathrm{d}z - \int_{h}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, \mathrm{d}z \eqqcolon l_{1} + l_{2} + l_{3}.$$

Using the bounds  $g(\rho + z) \ll y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} + y^{-r} |z|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon}$ , we get

$$\begin{split} &l_1 \ll \int_0^{|h|} y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,, \\ &l_2 \ll |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \cdot |h| \, = |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,, \\ &l_3 \ll \int_0^{|h|} y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \, \mathrm{d}y + |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \int_0^{|h|} y^{-r} \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,. \end{split}$$

In the general case, use  $g_a(x) = rac{e^{-ia\pi}}{\Gamma(a)} \int_x^{x+i\infty} (z-x)^{a-1} (g(z)-g(\infty)) \,\mathrm{d} z.$ 

# Special case a = 1 > r. Lower bound $\alpha_1(\rho) \ge 1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}$ .

Our assumption is  $g_1'(z) = g(z) - g(\infty)$ , so that

$$g_1(\rho+h)-g_1(\rho)=-h\cdot g(\infty)+\lim_{y\to 0^+}\int_{iy}^{h+iy}g(\rho+z)\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

By Cauchy's theorem, the limit of this integral equals

$$\int_{0}^{i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, \mathrm{d}z + \int_{i|h|}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, \mathrm{d}z - \int_{h}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, \mathrm{d}z \eqqcolon l_{1} + l_{2} + l_{3}.$$

Using the bounds  $g(\rho + z) \ll y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} + y^{-r} |z|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon}$ , we get

$$\begin{split} &l_1 \ll \int_0^{|h|} y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,, \\ &l_2 \ll |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \cdot |h| \, = |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,, \\ &l_3 \ll \int_0^{|h|} y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \, \mathrm{d}y + |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \int_0^{|h|} y^{-r} \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,. \end{split}$$

In the general case, use  $g_a(x) = rac{e^{-ia\pi}}{\Gamma(a)} \int_x^{x+i\infty} (z-x)^{a-1} (g(z)-g(\infty)) \,\mathrm{d} z.$ 

Our assumption is  $g_1'(z) = g(z) - g(\infty)$ , so that

$$g_1(
ho+h)-g_1(
ho)=-h\cdot g(\infty)+\lim_{y
ightarrow 0^+}\int_{\mathrm{i}y}^{h+\mathrm{i}y}g(
ho+z)\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

By Cauchy's theorem, the limit of this integral equals

$$\int_{0}^{i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, \mathrm{d}z + \int_{i|h|}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, \mathrm{d}z - \int_{h}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, \mathrm{d}z \eqqcolon l_1 + l_2 + l_3.$$

Using the bounds  $g(\rho + z) \ll y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} + y^{-r}|z|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon}$ , we get

$$\begin{split} &l_1 \ll \int_0^{|h|} y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,, \\ &l_2 \ll |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \cdot |h| \, = |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,, \\ &l_3 \ll \int_0^{|h|} y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \, \mathrm{d}y + |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \int_0^{|h|} y^{-r} \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,. \end{split}$$

Our assumption is  $g_1'(z)=g(z)-g(\infty)$ , so that

$$g_1(
ho+h)-g_1(
ho)=-h\cdot g(\infty)+\lim_{y
ightarrow 0^+}\int_{\mathrm{i}y}^{h+\mathrm{i}y}g(
ho+z)\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

By Cauchy's theorem, the limit of this integral equals

$$\int_{0}^{i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, \mathrm{d}z + \int_{i|h|}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, \mathrm{d}z - \int_{h}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, \mathrm{d}z \eqqcolon I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3}.$$

Using the bounds  $g(
ho+z)\ll y^{rac{r}{ au(
ho)}-arepsilon-r}+y^{-r}|z|^{rac{r}{ au(
ho)}-arepsilon}$  , we get

$$\begin{split} &l_1 \ll \int_0^{|h|} y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,, \\ &l_2 \ll |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \cdot |h| = |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,, \\ &l_3 \ll \int_0^{|h|} y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \, \mathrm{d}y + |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \int_0^{|h|} y^{-r} \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,. \end{split}$$

Our assumption is  $g_1'(z) = g(z) - g(\infty)$ , so that

$$g_1(\rho+h)-g_1(\rho)=-h\cdot g(\infty)+\lim_{y\to 0^+}\int_{\mathrm{i}y}^{h+\mathrm{i}y}g(\rho+z)\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

By Cauchy's theorem, the limit of this integral equals

$$\int_{0}^{i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, \mathrm{d}z + \int_{i|h|}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, \mathrm{d}z - \int_{h}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, \mathrm{d}z \eqqcolon I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3}.$$

Using the bounds  $g(\rho+z) \ll y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}-\varepsilon-r}+y^{-r}|z|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}-\varepsilon}$ , we get

$$\begin{split} &l_1 \ll \int_0^{|h|} y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,, \\ &l_2 \ll |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \cdot |h| = |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,, \\ &l_3 \ll \int_0^{|h|} y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \, \mathrm{d}y + |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \int_0^{|h|} y^{-r} \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,. \end{split}$$

Our assumption is  $g_1'(z) = g(z) - g(\infty)$ , so that

$$g_1(\rho+h)-g_1(\rho)=-h\cdot g(\infty)+\lim_{y\to 0^+}\int_{\mathrm{i}y}^{h+\mathrm{i}y}g(\rho+z)\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

By Cauchy's theorem, the limit of this integral equals

$$\int_{0}^{i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, \mathrm{d}z + \int_{i|h|}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, \mathrm{d}z - \int_{h}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, \mathrm{d}z \eqqcolon I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3}.$$

Using the bounds  $g(\rho+z) \ll y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}-\varepsilon-r}+y^{-r}|z|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}-\varepsilon}$ , we get

$$\begin{split} h &\ll \int_0^{|h|} y \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,, \\ l_2 &\ll |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \cdot |h| \,= |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,, \\ l_3 &\ll \int_0^{|h|} y \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r \, \mathrm{d}y + |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \int_0^{|h|} y^{-r} \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,. \end{split}$$

Our assumption is  $g_1'(z) = g(z) - g(\infty)$ , so that

$$g_1(\rho+h)-g_1(\rho)=-h\cdot g(\infty)+\lim_{y\to 0^+}\int_{\mathrm{i}y}^{h+\mathrm{i}y}g(\rho+z)\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

By Cauchy's theorem, the limit of this integral equals

$$\int_{0}^{i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, dz + \int_{i|h|}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, dz - \int_{h}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, dz =: I_1 + I_2 + I_3.$$

Using the bounds  $g(\rho+z) \ll y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}-\varepsilon-r}+y^{-r}|z|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}-\varepsilon}$ , we get

$$h \ll \int_{0}^{|h|} y \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1-r+\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}-\varepsilon} ,$$
  

$$l_{2} \ll |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}-\varepsilon-r} \cdot |h| = |h|^{1-r+\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}-\varepsilon} ,$$
  

$$l_{3} \ll \int_{0}^{|h|} y \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r \, \mathrm{d}y + |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}-\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{|h|} y^{-r} \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1-r+\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}-\varepsilon} ,$$

Our assumption is  $g_1'(z) = g(z) - g(\infty)$ , so that

$$g_1(\rho+h)-g_1(\rho)=-h\cdot g(\infty)+\lim_{y\to 0^+}\int_{\mathrm{i}y}^{h+\mathrm{i}y}g(\rho+z)\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

By Cauchy's theorem, the limit of this integral equals

$$\int_{0}^{i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, dz + \int_{i|h|}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, dz - \int_{h}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, dz \eqqcolon I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3}.$$

Using the bounds  $g(\rho + z) \ll y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}-\varepsilon-r} + y^{-r}|z|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}-\varepsilon}$ , we get

$$\begin{split} & h_1 \ll \int_0^{|h|} y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,, \\ & h_2 \ll |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \cdot |h| = |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,, \\ & h_3 \ll \int_0^{|h|} y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \, \mathrm{d}y + |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \int_0^{|h|} y^{-r} \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,. \end{split}$$

Our assumption is  $g_1'(z) = g(z) - g(\infty)$ , so that

$$g_1(\rho+h)-g_1(\rho)=-h\cdot g(\infty)+\lim_{y\to 0^+}\int_{\mathrm{i}y}^{h+\mathrm{i}y}g(\rho+z)\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

By Cauchy's theorem, the limit of this integral equals

$$\int_{0}^{i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, dz + \int_{i|h|}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, dz - \int_{h}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, dz \eqqcolon I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3}.$$

Using the bounds  $g(\rho + z) \ll y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}-\varepsilon-r} + y^{-r}|z|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}-\varepsilon}$ , we get

$$\begin{split} h_1 \ll \int_0^{|h|} y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,, \\ h_2 \ll |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \cdot |h| = |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,, \\ h_3 \ll \int^{|h|} y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \, \mathrm{d}y + |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,\int^{|h|} y^{-r} \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}} \end{split}$$

Our assumption is  $g_1'(z) = g(z) - g(\infty)$ , so that

$$g_1(\rho+h)-g_1(\rho)=-h\cdot g(\infty)+\lim_{y\to 0^+}\int_{\mathrm{i}y}^{h+\mathrm{i}y}g(\rho+z)\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

By Cauchy's theorem, the limit of this integral equals

$$\int_{0}^{i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, dz + \int_{i|h|}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, dz - \int_{h}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, dz \eqqcolon I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3}.$$

Using the bounds  $g(\rho + z) \ll y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}-\varepsilon-r} + y^{-r}|z|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}-\varepsilon}$ , we get

$$\begin{split} &I_1 \ll \int_0^{|h|} y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,, \\ &I_2 \ll |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \cdot |h| \, = |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,, \\ &I_3 \ll \int_0^{|h|} y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \, \mathrm{d}y + |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \int_0^{|h|} y^{-r} \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,. \end{split}$$

Our assumption is  $g_1'(z) = g(z) - g(\infty)$ , so that

$$g_1(\rho+h)-g_1(\rho)=-h\cdot g(\infty)+\lim_{y\to 0^+}\int_{\mathrm{i}y}^{h+\mathrm{i}y}g(\rho+z)\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

By Cauchy's theorem, the limit of this integral equals

$$\int_{0}^{i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, dz + \int_{i|h|}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, dz - \int_{h}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, dz =: I_1 + I_2 + I_3.$$

Using the bounds  $g(\rho + z) \ll y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}-\varepsilon-r} + y^{-r}|z|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}-\varepsilon}$ , we get

$$\begin{split} & l_1 \ll \int_0^{|h|} y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,, \\ & l_2 \ll |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \cdot |h| \, = |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,, \\ & l_3 \ll \int_0^{|h|} y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \, \mathrm{d}y + |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \int_0^{|h|} y^{-r} \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,. \end{split}$$

Our assumption is  $g_1'(z) = g(z) - g(\infty)$ , so that

$$g_1(\rho+h)-g_1(\rho)=-h\cdot g(\infty)+\lim_{y\to 0^+}\int_{\mathrm{i}y}^{h+\mathrm{i}y}g(\rho+z)\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

By Cauchy's theorem, the limit of this integral equals

$$\int_{0}^{i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, dz + \int_{i|h|}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, dz - \int_{h}^{h+i|h|} g(\rho+z) \, dz \eqqcolon I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3}.$$

Using the bounds  $g(\rho + z) \ll y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}-\varepsilon-r} + y^{-r}|z|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}-\varepsilon}$ , we get

$$\begin{split} &I_1 \ll \int_0^{|h|} y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,, \\ &I_2 \ll |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \cdot |h| \, = |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,, \\ &I_3 \ll \int_0^{|h|} y^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon - r} \, \mathrm{d}y + |h|^{\frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \int_0^{|h|} y^{-r} \, \mathrm{d}y \ll |h|^{1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} - \varepsilon} \,. \end{split}$$

Abelian argument based on the maximum modulus principle and

 $g(\rho + iy) \gg y^{-r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} + \varepsilon}$ , infinitely often as  $y \to 0^+$ . (1)

• Our assumption is  $g_1'(z) = g(z) - g(\infty)$ , so that

$$g(z) = g(\infty) + \frac{1}{(2\pi \mathrm{i})} \oint_{|z-\zeta|=\eta} \frac{g_1(\zeta) - g_1(\rho)}{(\zeta - z)^2} \,\mathrm{d}\zeta, \quad 0 < \eta < \mathrm{Im}\, z.$$

- Suppose that g<sub>1</sub>(x) g<sub>1</sub>(ρ) = O(|x ρ|<sup>β</sup>). Then, f(z) = g<sub>1</sub>(z)-g<sub>1</sub>(ρ)/(z-ρ)<sup>β</sup> has continuous extension to {z : Im z ≥ 0} \ {ρ} and ≪ (Im z)<sup>-ν</sup>.
- By the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle, f(z) = O(1) on Im z > 0.
- Thus,  $g(z) \ll 1 + |z \rho|^{\beta 1}$ . Comparing with (1),  $\beta \leq 1 r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}$

Special case 
$$a = 1 > r$$
. Upper bound  $\alpha_1(\rho) \le 1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}$ .

$$g(\rho + iy) \gg y^{-r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} + \varepsilon}$$
, infinitely often as  $y \to 0^+$ . (1)

• Our assumption is  $g'_1(z) = g(z) - g(\infty)$ , so that

$$g(z) = g(\infty) + \frac{1}{(2\pi \mathrm{i})} \oint_{|z-\zeta|=\eta} \frac{g_1(\zeta) - g_1(\rho)}{(\zeta-z)^2} \,\mathrm{d}\zeta, \quad 0 < \eta < \mathrm{Im}\, z.$$

- Suppose that  $g_1(x) g_1(\rho) = O(|x \rho|^{\beta})$ . Then,  $f(z) = \frac{g_1(z) g_1(\rho)}{(z \rho)^{\beta}}$ has continuous extension to  $\{z : \text{Im } z \ge 0\} \setminus \{\rho\}$  and  $\ll (\text{Im } z)^{-\nu}$ .
- By the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle, f(z) = O(1) on Im z > 0.
- Thus,  $g(z) \ll 1 + |z \rho|^{\beta 1}$ . Comparing with (1),  $\beta \leq 1 r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}$

Special case 
$$a = 1 > r$$
. Upper bound  $\alpha_1(\rho) \le 1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}$ .

$$g(\rho + iy) \gg y^{-r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} + \varepsilon}$$
, infinitely often as  $y \to 0^+$ . (1)

• Our assumption is  $g_1'(z) = g(z) - g(\infty)$ , so that

$$g(z) = g(\infty) + \frac{1}{(2\pi \mathrm{i})} \oint_{|z-\zeta|=\eta} \frac{g_1(\zeta) - g_1(\rho)}{(\zeta-z)^2} \,\mathrm{d}\zeta, \quad 0 < \eta < \mathrm{Im}\, z.$$

- Suppose that g<sub>1</sub>(x) − g<sub>1</sub>(ρ) = O(|x − ρ|<sup>β</sup>). Then, f(z) = g<sub>1</sub>(z)−g<sub>1</sub>(ρ)/(z−ρ)<sup>β</sup> has continuous extension to {z : Im z ≥ 0} \ {ρ} and ≪ (Im z)<sup>-ν</sup>.
- By the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle, f(z) = O(1) on Im z > 0.
- Thus,  $g(z) \ll 1 + |z \rho|^{\beta 1}$ . Comparing with (1),  $\beta \leq 1 r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}$

Special case 
$$a = 1 > r$$
. Upper bound  $\alpha_1(\rho) \le 1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}$ .

$$g(\rho + iy) \gg y^{-r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} + \varepsilon}$$
, infinitely often as  $y \to 0^+$ . (1)

• Our assumption is  $g_1'(z) = g(z) - g(\infty)$ , so that

$$g(z) = g(\infty) + \frac{1}{(2\pi\mathrm{i})} \oint_{|z-\zeta|=\eta} \frac{g_1(\zeta) - g_1(\rho)}{(\zeta-z)^2} \,\mathrm{d}\zeta, \quad 0 < \eta < \mathrm{Im}\, z.$$

- Suppose that  $g_1(x) g_1(\rho) = O(|x \rho|^{\beta})$ . Then,  $f(z) = \frac{g_1(z) g_1(\rho)}{(z \rho)^{\beta}}$ has continuous extension to  $\{z : \text{Im } z \ge 0\} \setminus \{\rho\}$  and  $\ll (\text{Im } z)^{-\nu}$ .
- By the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle, f(z) = O(1) on Im z > 0.
- Thus,  $g(z) \ll 1 + |z \rho|^{\beta 1}$ . Comparing with (1),  $\beta \leq 1 r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}$

Special case 
$$a = 1 > r$$
. Upper bound  $\alpha_1(\rho) \le 1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}$ .

$$g(\rho + iy) \gg y^{-r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} + \varepsilon}$$
, infinitely often as  $y \to 0^+$ . (1)

• Our assumption is  $g_1'(z) = g(z) - g(\infty)$ , so that

$$g(z) = g(\infty) + \frac{1}{(2\pi \mathrm{i})} \oint_{|z-\zeta|=\eta} \frac{g_1(\zeta) - g_1(\rho)}{(\zeta-z)^2} \,\mathrm{d}\zeta, \quad 0 < \eta < \mathrm{Im}\, z.$$

- Suppose that  $g_1(x) g_1(\rho) = O(|x \rho|^{\beta})$ . Then,  $f(z) = \frac{g_1(z) g_1(\rho)}{(z \rho)^{\beta}}$ has continuous extension to  $\{z : \text{Im } z \ge 0\} \setminus \{\rho\}$  and  $\ll (\text{Im } z)^{-\nu}$ .
- By the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle, f(z) = O(1) on Im z > 0.
- Thus,  $g(z) \ll 1 + |z \rho|^{\beta 1}$ . Comparing with (1),  $\beta \leq 1 r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}$

Special case 
$$a = 1 > r$$
. Upper bound  $\alpha_1(\rho) \le 1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}$ .

$$g(\rho + iy) \gg y^{-r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} + \varepsilon}$$
, infinitely often as  $y \to 0^+$ . (1)

• Our assumption is  $g_1'(z) = g(z) - g(\infty)$ , so that

$$g(z) = g(\infty) + \frac{1}{(2\pi\mathrm{i})} \oint_{|z-\zeta|=\eta} \frac{g_1(\zeta) - g_1(\rho)}{(\zeta-z)^2} \,\mathrm{d}\zeta, \quad 0 < \eta < \mathrm{Im}\, z.$$

- Suppose that  $g_1(x) g_1(\rho) = O(|x \rho|^{\beta})$ . Then,  $f(z) = \frac{g_1(z) g_1(\rho)}{(z \rho)^{\beta}}$ has continuous extension to  $\{z : \text{Im } z \ge 0\} \setminus \{\rho\}$  and  $\ll (\text{Im } z)^{-\nu}$ .
- By the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle, f(z) = O(1) on Im z > 0.
- Thus,  $g(z) \ll 1 + |z \rho|^{\beta 1}$ . Comparing with (1),  $\beta \leq 1 r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}$

Special case 
$$a = 1 > r$$
. Upper bound  $\alpha_1(\rho) \le 1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}$ .

$$g(\rho + iy) \gg y^{-r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} + \varepsilon}$$
, infinitely often as  $y \to 0^+$ . (1)

• Our assumption is  $g_1'(z) = g(z) - g(\infty)$ , so that

$$g(z) = g(\infty) + \frac{1}{(2\pi \mathrm{i})} \oint_{|z-\zeta|=\eta} \frac{g_1(\zeta) - g_1(\rho)}{(\zeta-z)^2} \,\mathrm{d}\zeta, \quad 0 < \eta < \mathrm{Im}\, z.$$

- Suppose that  $g_1(x) g_1(\rho) = O(|x \rho|^{\beta})$ . Then,  $f(z) = \frac{g_1(z) g_1(\rho)}{(z \rho)^{\beta}}$ has continuous extension to  $\{z : \text{Im } z \ge 0\} \setminus \{\rho\}$  and  $\ll (\text{Im } z)^{-\nu}$ .
- By the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle, f(z) = O(1) on Im z > 0.
- Thus,  $g(z) \ll 1 + |z \rho|^{\beta 1}$ . Comparing with (1),  $\beta \leq 1 r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}$

Special case 
$$a = 1 > r$$
. Upper bound  $\alpha_1(\rho) \le 1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}$ .

$$g(\rho + iy) \gg y^{-r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} + \varepsilon}$$
, infinitely often as  $y \to 0^+$ . (1)

• Our assumption is  $g_1'(z) = g(z) - g(\infty)$ , so that

$$g(z) = g(\infty) + \frac{1}{(2\pi\mathrm{i})} \oint_{|z-\zeta|=\eta} \frac{g_1(\zeta) - g_1(\rho)}{(\zeta-z)^2} \,\mathrm{d}\zeta, \quad 0 < \eta < \mathrm{Im}\, z.$$

- Suppose that  $g_1(x) g_1(\rho) = O(|x \rho|^{\beta})$ . Then,  $f(z) = \frac{g_1(z) g_1(\rho)}{(z \rho)^{\beta}}$ has continuous extension to  $\{z : \text{Im } z \ge 0\} \setminus \{\rho\}$  and  $\ll (\text{Im } z)^{-\nu}$ .
- By the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle, f(z) = O(1) on Im z > 0.
- Thus,  $g(z) \ll 1 + |z \rho|^{\beta 1}$ . Comparing with (1),  $\beta \leq 1 r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}$

Special case 
$$a = 1 > r$$
. Upper bound  $\alpha_1(\rho) \le 1 - r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}$ .

$$g(\rho + iy) \gg y^{-r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)} + \varepsilon}$$
, infinitely often as  $y \to 0^+$ . (1)

• Our assumption is  $g_1'(z) = g(z) - g(\infty)$ , so that

$$g(z) = g(\infty) + \frac{1}{(2\pi \mathrm{i})} \oint_{|z-\zeta|=\eta} \frac{g_1(\zeta) - g_1(\rho)}{(\zeta-z)^2} \,\mathrm{d}\zeta, \quad 0 < \eta < \mathrm{Im}\, z.$$

- Suppose that  $g_1(x) g_1(\rho) = O(|x \rho|^{\beta})$ . Then,  $f(z) = \frac{g_1(z) g_1(\rho)}{(z \rho)^{\beta}}$ has continuous extension to  $\{z : \text{Im } z \ge 0\} \setminus \{\rho\}$  and  $\ll (\text{Im } z)^{-\nu}$ .
- By the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle, f(z) = O(1) on Im z > 0.
- Thus,  $g(z) \ll 1 + |z \rho|^{\beta 1}$ . Comparing with (1),  $\beta \leq 1 r + \frac{r}{\tau(\rho)}$

## Multifractal spectrum

Using the knowledge of exact pointwise Hölder exponent and a variant of the Jarnik's theorem, Pastor proved:

#### Theorem

Let  $d_a(\alpha)$  be the Hausdorff dimension of  $\{x : \alpha_a(x) = \alpha\}$ . Then,

If g is a cusp form,

$$d_a(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha = a - r/2 \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 2a - r \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If g is not a cusp form,

$$d_{a}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 2\left(1 + \frac{\alpha - a}{r}\right) & \text{if } a - r \leq \alpha \leq a - r/2\\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 2a - r\\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

200

## Multifractal spectrum

Using the knowledge of exact pointwise Hölder exponent and a variant of the Jarnik's theorem, Pastor proved:

#### Theorem

Let  $d_a(\alpha)$  be the Hausdorff dimension of  $\{x : \alpha_a(x) = \alpha\}$ . Then,

If g is a cusp form,

$$d_{a}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha = a - r/2 \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 2a - r \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If g is not a cusp form

$$d_{a}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 2\left(1 + \frac{\alpha - a}{r}\right) & \text{if } a - r \leq \alpha \leq a - r/2 \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 2a - r \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

DQA

## Multifractal spectrum

Using the knowledge of exact pointwise Hölder exponent and a variant of the Jarnik's theorem, Pastor proved:

#### Theorem

Let  $d_a(\alpha)$  be the Hausdorff dimension of  $\{x : \alpha_a(x) = \alpha\}$ . Then,

If g is a cusp form,

$$d_{a}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha = a - r/2 \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 2a - r \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If g is not a cusp form,

$$d_{\mathsf{a}}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 2\left(1 + \frac{\alpha - \mathsf{a}}{r}\right) & \text{if } \mathsf{a} - r \leq \alpha \leq \mathsf{a} - r/2 \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 2\mathsf{a} - r \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

200

The talk is based on the following collaborative preprint with Frederik Broucke:

F. Broucke, J. Vindas, *The pointwise behavior of Riemann's function*, J. Fractal Geom. 10 (2023)

Some other references:

- F. Chamizo, Automorphic forms and differentiability properties, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 356 (2004), 1909–1935,
- F. Chamizo, I. Petrykiewicz, S. Ruiz-Cabello, *The Hölder* exponent of some Fourier series, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 23 (2017), 758–777.
- C. Pastor, *On the regularity of fractional integrals of modular forms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 372 (2019), 829–857.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The talk is based on the following collaborative preprint with Frederik Broucke:

F. Broucke, J. Vindas, *The pointwise behavior of Riemann's function*, J. Fractal Geom. 10 (2023)

Some other references:

- F. Chamizo, Automorphic forms and differentiability properties, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 356 (2004), 1909–1935,
- F. Chamizo, I. Petrykiewicz, S. Ruiz-Cabello, *The Hölder* exponent of some Fourier series, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 23 (2017), 758–777.
- C. Pastor, On the regularity of fractional integrals of modular forms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 372 (2019), 829–857.